

Human Rights and Resource Development Project

**Albertans' Concerns about
Health Impacts and Oil and Gas
Development: A Summary**

Nickie Vlavianos, B.A. (Hons.) (Sask.), LL.B. (Alberta), LL.M. (Calgary)

Research Associate
Canadian Institute of Resources Law

February 2006



Alberta Civil Liberties Research Centre
Centre de recherche de l'Alberta sur les libertés civiles



Canadian Institute of Resources Law
Institut canadien du droit des ressources

All rights reserved. No part of this paper may be reproduced in any form or by any means without permission in writing from the publisher: Alberta Civil Liberties Research Centre, MFH 2350, or the Canadian Institute of Resources Law, MFH 3330, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, T2N 1N4

Copyright © 2006

Alberta Civil Liberties Research Centre
Centre de recherche de l'Alberta sur les libertés civiles

Canadian Institute of Resources Law
Institut canadien du droit des ressources

University of Calgary

Printed in Canada

Foreword

This paper is the third publication to come from the Human Rights and Resource Development Project, the purpose of which is to explore the relationship between two important areas of law: human rights, as they are protected by law in Alberta, and the legal regime pursuant to which natural resources, such as oil and gas, are developed in the Province. The two non-profit organizations which have undertaken this Project – the Alberta Civil Liberties Research Centre and the Canadian Institute of Resources Law – are dedicated to legal research, publication and education. Thus, we do not take positions regarding the factual controversies, which lie behind some of the conflicts over resource development in Alberta. Nevertheless, our work on the Project proceeds from the assumption that those controversies are serious enough that it is crucial for the relevant law on these matters to be as clearly articulated and as widely understood as possible.

This paper was prepared in February 2003 to provide those of us working on the Project with background information on some of the health concerns of Albertans in regard to oil and gas development in the province. Numerous requests for information on this topic have prompted us to publish this paper. The paper helps to set the context for some of the other publications from this Project. As a legal researcher, the author, Nickie Vlavianos, cannot, and does not, pass any judgment on the substantive validity of the scientific and medical issues raised by Albertans about the impact of oil and gas activities. Her intent was simply to summarize some of the concerns so as to provide part of the necessary context for our Project.

We would like to express thanks to our own organizations for supporting our desire to undertake the Human Rights and Resource Development Project and to the Alberta Law Foundation for providing the funds to make it all possible.

Linda McKay-Panos
Janet Keeping
Calgary
February 2006

Table of Contents

<i>Foreword</i>	<i>iii</i>
1.0 Introduction	1
2.0 Background Factors	2
2.1 Increasing Awareness – Health and the Environment	2
2.2 Intensification of Oil and Gas Development in Alberta	3
2.3 Maturation of Alberta’s Oil and Gas Industry	5
3.0 Environmental Impacts of Oil and Gas Development	6
4.0 Possible Health Impacts of Oil and Gas Development	7
4.1 Air Quality Concerns	7
4.2 Soil and Groundwater Quality	8
4.3 Examples.....	9
5.0 Actual Health Impacts of Oil and Gas Development	10
5.1 Lack of Scientific Consensus.....	10
5.2 The Stories of Albertans	13
6.0 Conclusion	16
<i>Alberta Civil Liberties Research Centre Publications</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>Canadian Institute of Resources Law Publications</i>	<i>19</i>

1.0 Introduction

For years, rural Albertans have been exposed to oil and gas activities on their lands and in their communities. Although co-existence has generally been possible, Albertans have expressed concerns about the impacts of oil and gas operations on their health. Such concerns are being raised more frequently and more strongly in recent years. In 1999, the Alberta Energy and Utilities Board (AEUB), the province's energy regulator, acknowledged that “[d]isputes between residents and petroleum companies seem to be increasing in number and intensity.”¹

A number of factors are likely working together to intensify Albertans' concerns about the impacts of oil and gas operations on their health. First, the past three decades have witnessed a dramatic increase in awareness around the world of the interdependence between the environment and human health. Albertans have been part of, and have been influenced by, this worldwide trend. At the same time, oil and gas development in Alberta has proceeded at a rapid pace in recent years. This increase in activity has heightened concerns about the actual and potential threats to human health, particularly in regard to cumulative effects. It has also brought the oil and gas industry into closer contact with more Albertans.

Another factor likely fueling health concerns in Alberta is the maturity of the oil and gas industry in the province. Not only does a mature industry mean that many facilities and pipelines in the province are older now and thus more prone to environmental problems, the maturity of the industry has also meant that, with the depletion of conventional oil and gas reserves, more environmentally risky and energy-intensive activities are being pursued.²

After a brief exploration of these factors in Section 2, this paper will provide some background to the health concerns being raised by Albertans in relation to oil and gas development. Section 3 will begin by briefly discussing the actual and potential environmental impacts of oil and gas development in the province. In Section 4, the paper will consider what these environmental impacts may mean from a human health perspective. This section will focus on the *possible* health impacts from oil and gas development given the environmental impacts. Section 5 of the paper will then consider the *actual* health impacts of oil and gas operations in the province. The paper notes the

¹ Alberta Energy and Utilities Board, *Regulatory Highlights for 1999* (Calgary: EUB, 1999) at 10.

² For a number of other socio-economic and political trends that may be influencing health concerns in regard to oil and gas development in Alberta, see: T. Marr-Laing & C. Severson-Baker, *Beyond Eco-terrorism: The Deeper Issues Affecting Alberta's Oilpatch* (Drayton Valley: The Pembina Institute for Appropriate Development, 1999).

lack of consensus in the medical and scientific community, and provides a summary of some of the existing anecdotal evidence from rural Albertans.

2.0 Background Factors

2.1 Increasing Awareness – Health and the Environment

According to one observer, “[p]ublic interest in and unease about environmental risks and health hazards has reached exceptional heights in recent years.”³ In September 2000, 93 percent of Canadians expressed concern that environmental hazards are affecting the health of their children.⁴

Since Rachel Carson warned the world about the harmful effects of pesticides on human health in 1962,⁵ awareness of the interdependence between the environment and human health has been growing steadily. Scientific research over the past four decades continues to establish actual and potential links between environmental quality and human health.⁶

Tragically, the residents of Walkerton, Ontario were made all too aware of this interrelationship in May 2000. *E. coli* bacterium from cattle manure contaminated the town’s water supply, causing the deaths of seven people and the serious illness of hundreds of others.

Other examples of the health effects of environmental degradation and contamination abound. Most notably, the harmful effects of second-hand smoke on the health of non-smokers is now beyond question. In short, there is broad consensus that “... substances that are released into the environment can ultimately find their way back to us in various

³Lord Woolf, Lord Chief Justice of England and Wales, “Environmental Risk: The Responsibilities of the Law and Science” (Paper presented to The Environmental Law Foundation, Professor David Hall Memorial Lecture, London, U.K., 24 May 2001) [unpublished].

⁴Ekos poll, September 2000, cited in National Round Table on the Environment and the Economy, *Achieving a Balance: Four Challenges for Canada in the Next Decade* (Ottawa: NRTEE, 2001).

⁵R. Carson, *Silent Spring* (Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1962).

⁶For a summary of some of the science linking human health and environmental quality, see: D. Bates, *A Citizen’s Guide to Air Pollution* (Montreal: McGill-Queen’s University Press, 1972) and P.A. Larkin, *Freshwater Pollution, Canadian Style* (McGill-Queen’s University Press, 1974).

amounts and combinations through our air, water, soil and food, and can affect our health and the health of ecosystems.”⁷

In Canada, both federal and provincial legislation recognizes and highlights the interdependence between human health and the environment. The *Canadian Environmental Protection Act* is subtitled “[a]n Act respecting pollution prevention and the protection of the environment *and human health* in order to contribute to sustainable development”.⁸ The Act’s preamble also recognizes that “... the protection of the environment is essential to the *well-being* of Canadians ...”⁹ Similarly, Alberta’s environmental legislation highlights that “... the protection of the environment is essential to the integrity of ecosystems *and human health* and to the well-being of society”.¹⁰

Undoubtedly, Albertans have been part of, and have been influenced by, this growing awareness of the interrelationship between the environment and human health. Given Alberta’s position as a world leader in oil and gas production, it is not surprising that this growing awareness would translate into concerns about the effects of oil and gas development on human health.

2.2 Intensification of Oil and Gas Development in Alberta

Since the discovery of oil in 1947, oil and gas exploration and production have grown steadily in Alberta. One estimate suggests that only 12.7 percent of Alberta’s total area does not contain oil and gas potential.¹¹ While conventional oil and natural gas development continues at a brisk pace, nonconventional development of coalbed methane (or natural gas in coal) and of massive deposits of oil sands in the province is just beginning.

⁷Canada, *Report of the Commissioner of the Environment and Sustainable Development to the House of Commons* (Ottawa: Public Works Canada, 1999), Chapter 3: “Managing Toxic Substances” at 3-7.

⁸*Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999*, S.C. 1999, c. 33 (*CEPA*) [emphasis added]. Notably, *CEPA* was revised in 1999 on the basis of a Standing Committee report entitled *It’s About Our Health! Toward Pollution Prevention*.

⁹*Ibid.*, Preamble [emphasis added].

¹⁰*Environmental Protection and Enhancement Act*, R.S.A. 2000, c. E-12, s. 2(a) (*EPEA*) [emphasis added]. Elsewhere, the Act recognizes the “... integral relationship between human health and the environment ...” and directs the provincial Environment Minister to “... cooperate with and assist the Minister of Health and Wellness in promoting human health through environmental protection”: *EPEA*, s. 11.

¹¹M. Ross, *Legal and Institutional Responses to Conflicts Involving the Oil and Gas and Forestry Sectors*, Occasional Paper #10 (Calgary: Canadian Institute of Resources Law, 2001), citing a 1992 report of the Alberta Energy Resources Conservation Board.

The number of conventional oil and gas wells being drilled in the province on an annual basis is considerable and continues to grow each year. By 1998, more than 199,025 wells had been drilled in Alberta¹² and, between 1998 and 2002, an average of about another 12,000 wells was drilled each year.¹³

In addition, by July 2002, 703 gas plants were operating in the province and over 300,000 kilometres of pipeline were in the ground.¹⁴ Along with conventional oil and gas development, the development of Alberta's oil sands is only just beginning. In 2001, raw bitumen production surpassed conventional crude production for the first time, and the EUB estimates that production of crude bitumen will triple by 2011, accounting for as much as 75 percent of Alberta's total oil supply.¹⁵

This increase in oil and gas activity not only means that more Albertans are coming into contact with the industry, but it also means that those already living and working near resource facilities are coming into more contact with industry activities. While the presence of one well in a given area may not be particularly worrisome, the addition of a number of others along with batteries, pipelines and gas plants may raise the level of actual or perceived environmental risk amongst those in the area. Such growing concerns about cumulative effects have been summarized as follows:

“Researchers now suspect that accumulated pollution and low-level exposure to several pollutants at once (also known as ‘total pollution loads’) have interactive and cumulative impacts on human health. Exposure may fall short of causing death or hospital admission, but still may affect large numbers of people. Ongoing exposure to low levels of pollution may result in permanent harm to healthy human function.”¹⁶

The focus in terms of cumulative effects is not the impact of a single operation, but rather, the impacts and risks posed by that operation in conjunction with those of other

¹²*Alberta's Energy Resources: 1998 in Review* (Calgary: EUB, 1999) at 4.

¹³*Field Surveillance Provincial Summary April 2001/March 2002*, Statistical Series 57 (Calgary: EUB, July 2002) at 19.

¹⁴*Ibid.* at v.

¹⁵*Alberta's Reserves 2001 & Supply/Demand Outlook 2002-2011*, Statistical Series 2002-98 (Calgary: EUB, 2001) at 1 and 3.

¹⁶NRTEE, *supra* note 4. Elsewhere, the NRTEE has similarly noted that although “... cancer has historically been the focus of assessments, recent research suggests that significant, non-cancer health impacts can arise from long-term, low-level exposure to a mix of substances.” National Round Table on the Environment and the Economy, *Managing Potentially Toxic Substances in Canada – A State of the Debate Report* (Ottawa: NRTEE, 2001) at 3. For a review of how cumulative effects are addressed in Canada and the difficulties of doing so, see: S. Kennett, *Towards a New Paradigm for Cumulative Effects Management*, Occasional Paper #8 (Calgary: Canadian Institute of Resources Law, 1999).

projects and activities.¹⁷ These projects and activities include past, present and reasonably foreseeable future ones¹⁸ and are not limited to oil and gas operations. In short, the concern is with the cumulative effects of the total sum of oil and gas operations and other industrial activities within a region.

2.3 Maturation of Alberta's Oil and Gas Industry

Given the intense development of oil and gas in Alberta, established oil and gas reserves in the province are being depleted. Since production peaked in 1973, conventional oil production continues to outpace any additions to established reserves through new discoveries.¹⁹ Similarly, production of natural gas is outstripping additions, resulting in a steady decline of established natural gas reserves in the province.²⁰

According to two observers:

“... as the province's high-producing fields are depleted, companies are left to pursue riskier, less economic, smaller and deeper reserves. This is causing the oil and gas industry to encroach on populated areas, leading to increased conflict with landowners.”²¹

In addition, the decline in established oil reserves has led to increasing pressure to explore and develop non-conventional crude oil from oil sands in the province. According to the EUB in 1998, as oil reserves are decreasing, “... synthetic crude oil production from oil sands continues to expand, filling the gap left by declining conventional oil reserves.”²² It is beyond question that the sheer nature of oil sands operations are (and have the potential to be) more energy intensive and environmentally destructive and thus raise even greater concerns in regard to human health impacts.

¹⁷J.R. Creasey, *Cumulative Effects and the Wellsite Approval Process* (M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Graduate Studies, University of Calgary, 1998).

¹⁸Kennett, *supra* note 16 at 2.

¹⁹*Supra* note 12 at 6.

²⁰*Ibid.* at 9.

²¹Marr-Laing & Severson-Baker, *supra* note 2.

²²EUB 1998 Report, *supra* note 13 at 6.

3.0 Environmental Impacts of Oil and Gas Development

The previous section of this paper discussed some of the factors which are likely serving to heighten concerns about the impacts on human health from oil and gas development in Alberta. In this section, a review of the actual and potential environmental impacts of that development will be undertaken. This will serve to set the context for the health concerns being expressed by Albertans.

The actual and potential environmental effects of upstream oil and gas operations have been well documented.²³ According to the Petroleum Communication Foundation, activities in each of the drilling, production and processing sectors face a number of environmental challenges. For drilling activities, the following concerns are identified: emissions of greenhouse gases and volatile organic compounds; the use and discharge of toxic fluids; the disturbance of land and its uses; and the disruption of plant and animal life. During production, odors, emissions, spills, leaks, and soil and groundwater contamination are all of concern. At the processing stage, environmental challenges in regard to emissions of sulphur dioxide, greenhouse gases and volatile organic compounds, as well as water use, have been identified.²⁴

Elsewhere, the most significant environmental impacts of upstream oil and gas activities have been summarized as follows:

- habitat destruction and fragmentation related to seismic exploration and the construction of roads, wells, and pipelines;
- increased human access resulting in increased hunting and fishing (both legal and illegal), mortality from vehicles, and habitat avoidance by some species;

²³For purposes of this paper, upstream oil and gas activities refer to the extraction/drilling, production and processing of oil and gas. It does not include their marketing, distribution or use, which are generally referred to as downstream operations. See, for example: Petroleum Communication Foundation, *Canada's Oil and Gas Industry and Our Global Environment* (Calgary: Petroleum Communication Foundation, 1997) at 33. While downstream activities also have environmental impacts, the focus of this paper is the current concerns about human health risks from activities of the upstream sector.

²⁴Petroleum Communication Foundation, *ibid.* at 33. See also: Society of Petroleum Engineers of AIME, *Petroleum Production and the Environment* (Dallas: Society of Petroleum Engineers of AIME, 1975); P. KostECKI & E. Calabrese, *Hydrocarbon contaminated soils and groundwater* (Chelsea, Mich.: Lewis Publishers, 1991); and J. Long & D. Graham, "Environmental Impact of Drilling Fluids and Drilling Practices" in *Working with the New Rules for Wellsite Abandonment*, papers delivered at an Insight seminar on April 21, 1997 (Toronto: Insight Press, 1997).

- altered water flows and increased stream sedimentation resulting from stream crossing by seismic lines, roads, and pipelines. These changes can impede the movement of fish and damage fish habitat;
- altered animal movement patterns (e.g., increased wolf access to caribou habitat);
- air pollution from gas flaring and venting, gas processing plants, and from oil sands refineries; and
- contamination of soil and water from pipeline failures, well sites, holding sites, and processing sites.²⁵

4.0 Possible Health Impacts of Oil and Gas Development

To the extent that each of these types of environmental impacts affects the health and functioning of ecosystems which humans depend upon for their survival, they are all of importance to human health. Those that have a more immediate and direct impact on human health, however, are those concerning air pollution and the contamination of soil and water. These represent the most frequently-cited concerns from Albertans exposed to oil and gas activities in their communities.

In their 1999 report, T. Marr-Laing and C. Severson-Baker focus upon the air, surface, and groundwater impacts of oil and gas activities in Alberta. Their focus is also upon the potential for harm to human health as a result of these impacts.

4.1 Air Quality Concerns

With respect to air impacts, T. Marr-Laing and C. Severson-Baker identify a number of air contaminants of concern from a human health perspective. These include: sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, volatile organic compounds, ground level ozone, fine particulate matter, and air toxics. Within Alberta, the oil and gas industry is the predominant contributor of such air emissions.²⁶ These emissions can impact significantly upon human health in various ways. For example:

²⁵Forest Watch Alberta, “Oil and Gas Overview”, online: <http://www.forestwatchalberta.ca/oil/oil_frame.html>.

²⁶Marr-Laing & Severson-Baker, *supra* note 2 at 4.

- acute exposure to high concentrations of sulphur dioxide can irritate the upper respiratory tract and increase susceptibility to respiratory infections; long term exposure may increase the risk of developing chronic respiratory disease;
- volatile organic compounds include compounds such as benzene which are known to be carcinogenic and toxic to humans;
- ground level ozone causes adverse effects on humans, including irritation of the eyes, nose and throat, reduced lung function, and the development of chronic respiratory disease;
- fine particulate matter can penetrate into the lungs, have serious effects on respiratory function, and have been linked to respiratory and cardiac disease; and
- air toxics such as benzene, styrene and toluene are known carcinogens.

All of these types of air emissions are associated with the development of the oil and gas in Alberta.

4.2 Soil and Groundwater Quality

With respect to surface impacts, the key impact of oil and gas operations from a human health perspective is the actual or potential contamination of soil and surface water. This contamination can result from waste disposal practices that are permitted or it may result from inadvertent or deliberate spills or surface and subsurface leaks at wells, facilities or pipelines.²⁷

The primary soil and surface water contaminants associated with oil and gas operations are hydrocarbons, salts, heavy metals, and process chemicals. While hydrocarbon compounds (such as crude oil) vary in their complexity, they include substances such as benzene which can be toxic and carcinogenic. High concentrations of salts, for example sodium chloride and calcium chloride, are also found in hydrocarbons such as crude oil and in the water produced along with the hydrocarbons. At low concentrations, salts can affect water and soil quality, but they can also be toxic to plants and aquatic life at higher concentrations.²⁸ Similarly, some heavy metals (introduced largely during the drilling and processing stages of oil and gas production) are toxic and carcinogenic. Finally, process chemicals (which include drilling mud additives,

²⁷ *Ibid.* at 8-10.

²⁸ *Ibid.*

lubricants, cleaners, pesticides, and numerous other compounds used in oil and gas operations) can have various impacts on soil and surface waters.²⁹

These four types of contaminants, which can affect soils and surface water, can have similar impacts on groundwater. However, as T. Marr-Laing & C. Severson-Baker caution, “[a]n important difference is the fact that groundwater is the only source of drinking water for a large percentage of rural Albertans, thus increasing the risk of human exposure and health impacts.”³⁰

4.3 Examples

There are numerous examples of the impacts of oil and gas activities on the air, soil and water in Alberta. Here are just three reported incidents:

- (a) During an accidental shutdown of the Strachan gas processing plant near Rocky Mountain House in January 2001, fuel was diverted from a flare designed to burn dangerous gases. As a result, hydrogen sulphide gases were burned incompletely and breaches of air quality guidelines occurred.

According to a report prepared by the EUB, for two one-hour periods on January 23, hydrogen sulphide levels in the area reached hourly averages of 12 parts per billion, exceeding Alberta’s guidelines of 10 parts per billion. As these hourly measures reflected an average of the high and low readings over that time, it was possible that many more times the provincial guideline limit could have been in the air for short periods of time.³¹

- (b) From 1922 until the mid-1970s, an oil refinery operated in southeast Calgary, Alberta. In the 1980s, the area was developed as a residential community. Tests since then showed elevated levels of lead and hydrocarbons in the soil and prompted health concerns among residents. Alberta Environment ordered the former operator of the refinery to clean up the contamination.³²

²⁹*Ibid.*

³⁰*Ibid.* at 10.

³¹L. Michelin, “Gas plant emissions exceeded limits” *Red Deer Advocate* (17 September 2001).

³²W.A. Thompson, “Province demands Imperial Oil replace soil” *Calgary Herald* (25 July 2002). An appeal by Imperial Oil of the clean-up order to the Alberta Environmental Appeal Board (AEAB) was unsuccessful: *Imperial Oil Ltd. and Devon Estates Ltd. v. Director, Enforcement and Monitoring, Bow Region, Regional Services, Alberta Environment re: Imperial Oil Ltd.*, Appeal No. 01-062-R (May 21, 2002).

- (c) In September 1992, a faulty gas line at a gas processing plant 10 km west of Rocky Mountain House leaked hydrocarbons into the ground, contaminating the plant site and water aquifer that supplied residents of the community of Ferrier Acres with water supply. One resident's well was found to contain 500 micrograms of benzene, 100 times higher than acceptable levels for drinking water. After a decade of cleanup efforts, the water has improved, but questions still remain about its quality.³³

5.0 Actual Health Impacts of Oil and Gas Development

Although the possible impacts to human health from air emissions and soil and groundwater contamination associated with oil and gas development are well-known and accepted, there is vast disagreement on the level of risk involved.³⁴ There is also serious disagreement on whether oil and gas operations, as currently regulated, are adversely affecting the health of Albertans, particularly those living near such operations.

5.1 Lack of Scientific Consensus

A survey conducted in 1999 revealed that 57 percent of those polled either moderately or strongly agreed that the activities of the oil and gas industry were harmful to the health of people living nearby.³⁵ By contrast, Alberta's energy regulator, the Energy and Utilities Board (EUB), has repeatedly noted the lack of a clear scientific consensus in support of such a conclusion.

In a 2001 decision, interveners faced with the prospect of more sour gas operations near their residence argued that they had already suffered significant ill health effects from existing activity. In rendering its decision to approve the drilling of three additional sour gas wells in the area, the EUB highlighted the disagreement between two medical

³³P. Cowley, "Fears linger over chemicals in well water" *Red Deer Advocate* (8 June 2001).

³⁴The risks are well-known and accepted since, after all, they are what the extensive environmental regulations faced by the oil and gas industry in Alberta are intended to address. For example, the legal requirements to abandon facilities and to reclaim sites at the end of operations recognize that contamination of soil and groundwater is a real possibility.

³⁵1999 Poll conducted by Angus Reid for the Petroleum Communication Foundation. Opinions were obtained from 1,200 Albertans: 800 from the general population and 400 who lived near oil and gas production facilities: Petroleum Communication Foundation, News Release, "Albertans' Views of the Oil and Gas Industry" (29 July 1999).

experts on the cause and nature of the illnesses complained of. The EUB stated as follows:

“[t]he Board notes that while both medical experts agree that Darrell Graff, Barbara Graff, and Anita Sorgard are ill, Drs. Young and van Olm clearly disagree on the cause and nature of the illness. The Board views this disagreement as consistent with the limited state of understanding and capability to characterize and test for a relatively new class of illnesses such as those environmentally triggered. The Board notes that despite persuasive evidence necessitating further investigation, these illnesses are yet to be recognized as a disease or distinct syndrome by the Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research.”³⁶

According to the EUB, the interveners had failed to establish an undisputed causal link between the oil and gas operations in question and their illnesses. The Board stated its view of the importance of scientific over anecdotal evidence as follows:

“[t]he Board notes the detailed submission presented by the Graffs, correlating suspected exposure to emissions from oil and gas activities to diary entries documenting adverse health effects experienced. The Board, however, also notes an absence of critical environmental assessment data to support anecdotal evidence and the claim that Gulf/Crestar’s oil and gas emissions are solely responsible for the onset and continuing symptoms of this complex illness. The Board finds the lack of quantitative data regarding exposure (e.g., ambient, workplace and indoor air quality measurements), as well as the lack of analyses of soil, water, vegetation suspected of contamination, a significant deficiency hampering the development of clear conclusions.”³⁷

Elsewhere, the EUB has discussed the lack of objective scientific evidence proving deleterious health effects more generally. In 1998, a number of families had requested a hearing to examine the environmental and health effects of oil and gas operations near their homes. The applicants alleged various health effects from the operations. Noting that the operations had been conducted in accordance with required licences, approvals, and environmental standards, the Board dismissed the application for a hearing. In addition, the Board highlighted the absence of objective scientific evidence demonstrating that the health effects were caused by the oil and gas activities in the area. The Board concluded as follows:

“... the Board carefully considered the applicants’ concerns about adverse effects of local oil and gas activity on their health and that of their livestock. Evidence provided by the families at that time was insufficient to support their request for a review or an inquiry. No credible medical, veterinarian, or environmental evidence was submitted. The families chose not to submit any such evidence to substantiate their claims. To date no compelling evidence has been presented in

³⁶EUB, *Gulf Canada Resources Limited Applications for Well Licences and Pipelines Vulcan Field*, Decision 2001-48 (June 5, 2001) at 15-16.

³⁷*Ibid.* at 16.

connection with the current request for an inquiry that would cause the Board to hold a public inquiry into these perceived effects.”³⁸

In short, the Board concluded that there was “... no objective evidence that the deleterious effects identified by the families [were] caused by the lawful activities of energy companies operating in the Hythe area”. The request for a hearing was thus denied.³⁹

Throughout its decisions, the EUB’s position reflects the fact that to date there exists no formal scientific study or scientific consensus for the proposition that upstream oil and gas operations in Alberta are harmful to the health of Albertans in some way.⁴⁰ Equally, though, there is no study or consensus that establishes that they are not.⁴¹

Despite the absence of uncontradicted scientific proof and consensus, some argue that “a growing body of hard scientific evidence” is emerging which “... seriously challenges

³⁸EUB, *Response to Inquiry Request from the Ludwig, Schilthuis, Boonstra, Wraight, Bryzgorni, and Johnstone Families and Dr. W.O. Scott* (9 May 2000) at 2.

³⁹It is somewhat odd that the EUB denied the request for a hearing simply because of a lack of objective scientific evidence at this stage in the proceedings. Clearly the very purpose of the hearing would be the introduction and examination of such evidence. Perhaps the EUB is suggesting that it requires *some* objective evidence (establishing a *prima facie* case?) prior to granting a hearing, but this is not clear from its decision.

Another interesting aspect of the EUB’s position with respect to the lack of clear scientific evidence emerges when the Board’s view of the precautionary principle is examined. In regard to setting new sulphur recovery guidelines for processing plants, for example, the Board has noted that a review of the guidelines would “... focus on the precautionary principle of minimizing emissions of all potentially harmful substances to the extent practical, *even in the absence of specific evidence of adverse impacts*”: see EUB, *supra* note 1 (emphasis added). There seems to be a discrepancy between this view and the Board’s general position noted above that no action should be taken where there is a lack of scientific evidence with respect to health impacts.

⁴⁰Marr-Laing & Severson-Baker, *supra* note 2 at 1.

⁴¹To date, the largest single human health study carried out in Alberta was the Medical Diagnostic Review, a major component of Alberta’s government-industry Acid Deposition Research Program conducted between 1983 and 1989. It failed to find any difference in most health outcomes between a community near extensive sour gas operations and a community without such operations. Nonetheless, the study did show that there were more respiratory symptoms reported in children aged five to fifteen living downwind from two gas processing plants: see Petroleum Communication Foundation, *Sour Gas Questions and Answers* (Calgary: Petroleum Communication Foundation, 2000) at 26.

More recently, a joint project intended to include the four western Canadian provinces was initiated in early 2001 to study the health effects on animals and humans from flaring emissions. As of January 2002, however, only Alberta had contributed funding for the study and it appeared that the human health component had been deferred indefinitely: see K. Cryderman, “Industry to help fund oil and gas flaring research” *Edmonton Journal* (17 January 2002); and W-A. Thompson, “Cost-cutting may axe environmental studies” *Calgary Herald* (14 December 2001).

the industry claims that their activities have no negative health and environmental impacts.”⁴² These studies have focused on the impacts of routine (i.e., licensed) and non-routine (i.e., spills) air emissions from oil and gas operations on cattle. Although not determinative on all issues, some negative correlations have been found, most notably in the area of reproduction – for example, increased risk of non-pregnancy, abortions, stillbirths, and twinning.⁴³ As for soil and water contamination (spills of crude oil or salt water, for example), the evidence of a direct and identifiable risk to cattle is even stronger.⁴⁴

5.2 The Stories of Albertans

While Albertans await scientific studies with respect to human health effects, the number of stories about perceived health effects from oil and gas operations seems to be growing. The following are just a few of those stories, as reported in various publications across the province.

- According to the Ludwig, Boonstra and Schilthuis families, air emissions in the area around their farm, which is surrounded by oil and gas operations, have resulted in health problems for years. These include: headaches, nausea, colds, skin rashes, insomnia, miscarriages and stillbirths.⁴⁵
- T. Evans moved her family out of Alberta to escape emissions from the flaring of unwanted gas at oil and gas installations near her home. She believes her children were “poisoned” by flaring from a sour gas processing plant in the area. Blood tests of her three-year old son revealed higher than normal levels of hydrocarbons, benzene and xylene. Although away from gas flaring now, her children remain

⁴²Marr-Laing & Severson-Baker, *supra* note 2 at 13.

⁴³*Ibid.* at 13-15.

⁴⁴In fact, two Alberta court cases have found direct causal links between soil and groundwater contamination from upstream oil and gas activities and the health of cattle. In 1975, the court found that a number of cattle had died from crude oil poisoning after grazing in an area where oil had spilled or leaked from two producing well sites: *Girtetz v. Bailey Selburn Oil & Gas Ltd.* (1975), 65 D.L.R. (3d) 533 (Alta. S.C.). In 1999, Doug Jones successfully sued Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. for the loss of cattle harmed by exposure to soil and water contaminated by oil facilities on his property. Despite conflicting theories as to the cause of the cattle’s poor health, the court held that, on the evidence, it was satisfied that “... the chronic poor performance of [Jones’] cattle was caused by or materially contributed to by exposure to and ingestion of oil and gas contaminants.”: *Jones v. Mobil Oil Canada Ltd.*, (1999), 72 Alta. L.R. (3d) 369 (Q.B.).

⁴⁵EUB, *supra* note 38. See also A. Nikiforuk, *Saboteurs: Wiebo Ludwig’s War Against Big Oil* (Toronto: McFarlane Walter & Ross, 2001).

extra-sensitive to chemicals, getting nosebleeds from diesel truck emission or chlorinated pools for example.⁴⁶

- According to C. Sutton, her family was “gassed” four times by air emissions from a nearby oil and gas facility. She was rendered unconscious and suffered subsequent bouts of vomiting and loss of muscle control.⁴⁷
- The local health authority in the Turner Valley/Black Diamond region of Alberta decided to investigate whether there is a link between nearby sour gas wells and the above-average number of people with multiple sclerosis in the area. A local group of concerned residents brought the matter to health officials’ attention, pointing out the large number of people in the area diagnosed with the nerve-deteriorating disease.⁴⁸
- According to M. Dahl, he and his co-worker, R. Spooner, were seriously injured when they were exposed to gas emissions while working on a remote seismic line in west central Alberta in July 1999. After smelling a rotten egg odour, both men became ill immediately with headaches, nausea, skin rashes, runny noses and irritated eyes.⁴⁹ Mr. Spooner collapsed shortly thereafter. Both men continue to suffer from depression, anxiety and stress, and neither has been able to work since the incident. Mr. Spooner has been diagnosed with brain damage. He slurs his speech and loses his balance in stressful situations.⁵⁰

Before the EUB, similar stories are often repeated during hearings held to determine whether a particular oil and gas operation is in the public interest and thus should proceed. They have included the following:

- In 2001, A. Sorgard, D. Graff, and B. Graff told the EUB that exposure to emissions from sour gas operations near their farm had caused them to be acutely sensitive to hydrocarbons, sour gas, and the combustion products of sour gas. One

⁴⁶Michelin, *supra* note 31.

⁴⁷“Sour Gas: The Movie” *Oilweek* (7 May 2001).

⁴⁸Sterling News, Turner Valley/Black Diamond, “MS cases prompt health probe” *Calgary Herald* (9 March 2001). See also: G. Beckett, “Residents claim gas flaring is responsible for health concerns” *Okotoks Western Wheel* (7 March 2001).

⁴⁹H₂S gas is typically described as smelling like rotten eggs at low levels.

⁵⁰D. Korchinski, “Organizational Meeting of ‘Survivors of Sour Gas’, October 15, 2000 at Shepard Community Hall, City of Calgary Outskirts”, *Net News Inc.* (Calgary, 2000) at 10-18. Many other testimonials of perceived health effects from oil and gas operations were presented at this meeting. For more stories, see also: Nikiforuk, *supra*, note 45, and Provincial Advisory Committee on Public Safety & Sour Gas, *Public Safety & Sour Gas Findings & Recommendations: Final Report* (December 2000), Appendix C.

doctor diagnosed them as suffering from an environmental illness and a form of multiple chemical sensitivity. The medical symptoms reported included neurological impairment affecting coordination, physical strength and stamina, concentration, and vision. Weight loss and digestive problems were also noted. In addition, each suffered a progressively heightened sensitivity and adverse reaction to a variety of chemicals, including exhaust fumes, methanol, ammonia, cleaning products, plastics and printing ink.⁵¹

- According to the Proc family, they suffered a significant deterioration in health due to venting and emission activities of oil and gas operations in the area around their property. Some of the symptoms, in varying degrees, included: headaches, weight loss, burning of the eyes and nose, memory loss, lethargy, nausea, abdominal pain, and blood in the urine. The Procs noted that their symptoms appeared to worsen when wells were being vented and seemed to lessen when they left the area of their property. The Proc's expert medical witness submitted that their symptoms were attributable to exposure to toluene, mercaptans, and other sulphides, as well as possibly benzene. In his view, this was not a case of overly-sensitive individuals, but rather, it may have been a case of long-term, low-dose exposure to a mixture of many petrochemicals.⁵²
- In early 2001, L. Smith told the EUB that, since moving to an area near a sour gas processing plant, her asthma had worsened and that she had to increase her use of medication and inhalers to control her symptoms.⁵³
- A. Dzurny and the Emslie family submitted to the EUB that they were constantly affected by air emissions from oil and gas activities around their properties. According to A. Dzurny, under certain wind conditions, air pollutants caused him to have lengthy headaches and asthma attacks. The Emslie family was so concerned about their health that when the air smelled bad, or the sky was very grey or an unusual color, the children were not allowed to play outdoors. Such situations occurred on average a couple times a month.⁵⁴

⁵¹EUB, *supra* note 36. See also: W.A. Thompson, "Vulcan Family takes on oil giant" *Calgary Herald* (5 April 2001) and A. Nikiforuk, "Flare Up" *National Post Business* (October 2002).

⁵²EUB, *Avalanche Energy Limited Applications for a Holding, Reduced Spacing and Review of Well Licences Keoma/Entice Area*, Decision 2000-49 (July 14, 2000).

⁵³EUB, *Duke Energy Midstream Services Canada Ltd. Application to Modify an Existing Sour Gas Plant and Amend an Existing Acid Gas Disposal Scheme Pouce Coupe Field*, Decision 2001-43 (May 23, 2001).

⁵⁴EUB, *Shell Canada Limited Cogeneration Plant and Hydrogen Pipeline Fort Saskatchewan Area*, Addendum to Decision 2000-3 (July 25, 2000).

6.0 Conclusion

This paper has provided some background to assist in understanding Albertans' concerns about the impacts of oil and gas operations on their health. A number of factors were identified that are likely serving to intensify these concerns in recent years. These factors include a worldwide trend towards viewing the environment and human health as interdependent, and the increasing pace and intensity of oil and gas development in Alberta. While the actual and potential impacts of oil and gas activities on the environment are well-known, there is a lack of scientific and medical consensus on the relationship between these environmental impacts and human health. Consequently, it is through the stories and anecdotal evidence of rural Albertans that we can get a sense of what Albertans' concerns are in regard to health impacts from oil and gas development. The question of whether these stories can be substantiated by uncontradicted scientific evidence will of course have to await another day.



Alberta Civil Liberties Research Centre Research Centre Publications

Please indicate choice(s) and fill out information form on reverse:

_____ *Refugees and Discrimination: Teacher and Student materials*. Background information for teachers, and student activities on refugees in Canada. Includes applicable laws, suitable for secondary school students. 190+ pages. 2006. ISBN# 1-896225-43-8 (**\$35 + \$5 s/h**).

_____ *Family Law: Don't Get Lost in the Shuffle: Adult Handbook*. Answers questions that young people have about separation and divorce. Intended to assist adults. Can be used alone or with Don't Get Lost in the Shuffle Cards (Contact CLERC for information on these cards at (403) 207-9029). 32 pages. 2005. ISBN# 1-896225-39-X (**\$7 + \$3 s/h**).

_____ *Adoption Handbook*. Answers questions that young people have about adoption. Intended to assist adults. 28 pages. 2006. ISBN# 1-896225-41-1 (**\$7 + \$3 s/h**).

_____...but words DO hurt: Stories from GLBTT Youth Video. 30 mins. In this video, you will meet young people who identify as GLBTT. They talk about identity issues, the media, coming out, their family, their experiences in school and their hopes for the future. Some of the biggest obstacles they face include discrimination and name-calling and they share some of these experiences. Includes guidebook 2005. Check here for order information.

_____ *Butt Out: Smokers and Anti-Smoking Bylaws in Alberta*. Despite the fact that several municipalities have passed bylaws that restrict or prohibit smoking in public places, a number of persons continue to smoke. Examines the possible challenges to anti-smoking bylaws, the cases that have involved these challenges and whether there may be an argument that smoking is a disability under the Charter. 38 pages. 2004 ISBN #1-896225-33-0 (**\$10 + \$3 s/h**).

_____ *Religion in Public School—The Alberta Situation*. Examines the unique situation in Alberta regarding constitutional protection for prayers in public schools. Looks at the issue in the context of the Charter of Rights guarantee of freedom of religion, which includes freedom from religion. 48 pages. 2004. ISBN# 1-896225-29-2 (**\$12 + \$3 s/h**).

_____ *Freedom of Expression and Its Limitations in Canada: Background Materials and Learning Activities*. Includes background activities and

suggested learning activities (grades 7 to 12 and beyond) on freedom of expression law, Charter issues, obscenity law, defamation, hate laws and related issues of interest to youth. 176 pages. 2004. ISBN# 1-896225-25-X (**\$30 + \$5 s/h**).

_____ *Annotation of the Human Rights, Citizenship and Multicultural Education Act (2003 Edition)*. Contains full text of the Alberta Act, as amended, by-laws, current caselaw and panel decisions. Includes table of concordance, glossary and other resources. 110 pages. 2003. ISBN# 1-896225-27-6 (**\$22 + \$3 s/h**).

_____ *Hopes and Dreams: Stories from Young Refugees* (video (25 mins. and guidebook 60+ pages) 2002. ISBN #1-896225-38-1. Check here to receive ordering information.

_____ *Rights and Responsibilities in Canada: Young Offenders*. Basic guide to laws and procedures involving Canada's new Youth Criminal Justice Act. Covers procedures, being questioned by police, search and seizure, rights of arrested persons, bail, first appearance in court, trials and finding a lawyer. 45+ pages. 2003. ISBN#1-896225-32-2 (**\$13 + \$3 s/h**).

_____ *Privacy Handbook for Canadians: Your Rights and Remedies* by ACLRC and Brian Eddy. Two Volumes full of user-friendly information about government and private sector privacy laws that affect all Canadians. 2002. Vol. 1 350 pages, ISBN # 1-896225-34-9. Vol. 2 150+ pages, ISBN # 1-896225-36-5 (**Both: \$59 + \$10 s/h**).

_____ *Freedom of Expression and all that Jazz* (video). Intended for secondary school and up. Provides an overview of laws about freedom of expression and the legal limits placed on it in Canada. Discussion group format. Includes a user's guidebook. 40+ pages. 2001. ISBN #1-896225-30-6. Check here to receive ordering information.

_____ *Seniors and the Law: A Resource Guide* by Anna Pellatt, LL.M. In a question-answer format, provides an overview of issues facing seniors, including abuse, mental health, personal directives, powers of attorney and consumer protection. Includes a glossary and list of Alberta agencies. 160 pages. 2000. ISBN #1-896225- 28-4 (**\$25 + \$5 s/h**).

_____ *Harassment and What You Can Do About It* (video). Intended for ages 12 and up. Provides an

overview of laws on harassment: racial, sexual and other types, using scenarios and featuring youth. Includes user's guide. 17 mins. 2000. ISBN#1-896225-26-8 (\$22 + \$3 s/h).

_____ *The Rights Angle: Human Rights Education Using the Newspaper—Teacher and student materials.* Background information for teachers and student activities on human rights, the newspaper, the Charter, hate crimes, war crimes and applicable laws, suitable for secondary school students. Includes reproducible handouts and overheads. 150+ pages. 1999. ISBN# 1-896225-24-1 (\$35 + \$5 s/h).

_____ *United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child: How Does Alberta's Legislation Measure Up?* by Anna Pellatt, LL.M, uses a star rating system to assist in analyzing the degree to which Alberta legislation complies with the UN Convention. Appendices include the Convention, a checklist for analysis, a summary of recommendations, a list of pertinent legislation and a compliance chart. 260+ pages. 1999. ISBN #1-896225-23-3 (\$20 + \$5 s/h).

_____ *Discrimination, Human Rights and You—Student Guide (Senior High).* Provides information and activities on human rights, the Charter, hate crimes, war crimes and applicable laws. Suitable for students Grades 9 to 12. May be used alone or with teacher's guide. 80+ pages. 1999. ISBN# 1-896225-20-9 (\$10 + \$3 s/h). Discount available for class sets of over 20.

****Special discount price.**

No s/h costs if items are picked up. Discount in s/h available if more than 2 copies of the same item are ordered. Please contact office.

_____ *Discrimination, Human Rights and You—Student Guide (Junior High).* As Above, Suitable for students Grades 6 to 9. May be used alone or with teacher's guide. 80+ pages. 1999. ISBN# 1-896225-19-5 (\$10 + \$3 s/h).

_____ *Discrimination, Human Rights and You—Teacher's Guide.* Background information for teachers, and activities on human rights, the Charter, hate crimes, war crimes and applicable laws, suitable for secondary school students (Grades 7 to 12). May be used alone or with student guides. Includes reproducible handouts and overheads. 200+ pages. 1998. ISBN# 1-896225-21-7 (\$40 + \$5 s/h).

_____ *Discrimination, Human Rights, and You* (video) Intended for ages 12 and up. Overview of human rights laws, using scenarios and featuring youth. Includes user's guide. 15:45 mins. 1997. ISBN#1-896225-18-7 (\$22 + \$3 s/h).

_____ *Discrimination, Human Rights and You: A* set consisting of the teacher's manual, the student guidebooks and the video (\$72 + \$8 s/h).**

_____ *Rights and Responsibilities in Canada: Police Procedures.* Basic guide to laws and procedures involving Canada's police. Providing identification, being questioned by police, search and seizure, rights of arrested persons, bail, first appearance in court, police complaint procedures, interpreters, pardons, criminal record, and finding a lawyer. 50+ pages. 1997. ISBN#1-896225-13-6 (\$13 + \$3 s/h).

Please make cheques payable to the Alberta Civil Liberties Research Centre. Visa/MasterCard Accepted (see below).

No GST is payable.

Amount Enclosed _____

Publication Order

Name: _____

Address: _____

City, Province: _____ **Postal Code:** _____

PLEASE MAIL COMPLETED FORM AND PAYMENT TO:

ALBERTA CIVIL LIBERTIES RESEARCH CENTRE
c/o University of Calgary, Faculty of Law
2500 University Drive N.W.
Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2N 1N4
Tel: (403) 220-2505, Fax: (403) 284-0945
EMAIL: aclrc@ucalgary.ca

We take Visa/ MasterCard

Visa _____ MasterCard _____

Card Number _____

Expiry Date _____

Name on Card _____

CIRL Publications

Books and Reports

- A Guide to Impact and Benefits Agreements**
Steven A. Kennett
\$35.00 sc
1999 120 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-48-6
- Local Benefits from Mineral Development: The Law Applicable in the Northwest Territories**
Janet M. Keeping
\$35.00 sc
1999 122 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-47-8
- Agricultural Law in Canada 1867-1995: With particular reference to Saskatchewan**
Marjorie L. Benson
\$35.00 sc
1996 192 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-43-5
- Forest Management in Canada**
Monique Ross
\$59.00 sc
1995 388 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-42-7
- Comprehensive Land Claims Agreements of the Northwest Territories: Implications for Land and Water Management**
Magdalena A.K. Muir
\$30.00 sc
1994 152 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-44-3
- Canadian Law of Mining**
Barry J. Barton
\$135.00 hc
1993 522 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-39-7
- A Citizen's Guide to the Regulation of Alberta's Energy Utilities**
Janet Keeping
\$5.00 sc
1993 75 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-40-4
- Environmental Protection: Its Implications for the Canadian Forest Sector Management**
Monique Ross and J. Owen Saunders
\$30.00 sc
1993 175 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-34-6
- Energy Conservation Legislation for Building Design and Construction**
Adrian J. Bradbrook
\$12.00 sc
1992 87 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-36-2
- Managing Interjurisdictional Waters in Canada: A Constitutional Analysis**
Steven A. Kennett
\$15.00 sc
1991 238 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-31-1
- Security of Title in Canadian Water Rights**
Alastair R. Lucas
\$13.00 sc
1990 102 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-22-2
- Toxic Water Pollution in Canada: Regulatory Principles for Reduction and Elimination with Emphasis on Canadian Federal and Ontario Law**
Paul Muldoon and Marcia Valiante
\$13.00 sc
1989 117 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-26-5
- The Offshore Petroleum Regimes of Canada and Australia**
Constance D. Hunt
\$10.00 sc
1989 169 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-29-X
- Interjurisdictional Issues in Canadian Water Management**
J. Owen Saunders
\$13.00 sc
1988 130 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-27-3
- Aboriginal Water Rights in Canada: A Study of Aboriginal Title to Water and Indian Water Rights**
Richard H. Bartlett
\$30.00 sc
1988 237 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-23-0
- A Reference Guide to Mining Legislation in Canada**
Barry Barton, Barbara Roulston and Nancy Strantz
\$10.00 sc
Second Edition – 1988 123 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-25-7

Maritime Boundaries and Resource Development Options for the
Beaufort Sea
Donald R. Rothwell

\$5.00 sc
1988 61 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-24-9

**Acid Precipitation in North America: The Case for Transboundary
Cooperation**
Douglas M. Johnston and Peter Finkle

\$5.00 sc
1983 75 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-02-8

C o n f e r e n c e P r o c e e d i n g s

**Resource Development and the Mackenzie Valley Resource
Management Act: The New Regime**
John Donihee (Contributing Editor), Jeff Gilmour and Doug Burch

\$40.00 sc
2000 281 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-49-4

**Mineral Exploration and Mine Development in Nunavut: Working
with the New Regulatory Regime**
Michael J. Hardin and John Donihee, eds.

\$35.00 sc
1998 160 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-46-X

**Disposition of Natural Resources: Options and Issues for Northern
Lands**
Monique M. Ross and J. Owen Saunders, eds.

\$45.00 sc
1997 282 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-45-1

Law and Process in Environmental Management
Steven A. Kennett, ed.

\$80.00 hc
1993 422 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-41-9
*Sixth Institute Conference on Natural
Resources Law*

**Growing Demands on a Shrinking Heritage: Managing Resource-Use
Conflicts**
Monique Ross and J. Owen Saunders, eds.

\$80.00 hc
1992 431 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-35-4
*Fifth Institute Conference on Natural
Resources Law*

Public Disposition of Natural Resources
Nigel Bankes and J. Owen Saunders, eds.

\$25.00 hc
1985 366 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-14-1
*First Institute Conference on Natural
Resources Law*

D i s c u s s i o n P a p e r s

**Alberta's Wetlands: Legal Incentives and Obstacles to Their
Conservation**
Darcy M. Tkachuk

\$10.00 sc
1993 38 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-37-0

**Instream Flow Protection and Alberta's Water Resources Act:
Legal Constraints and Considerations for Reform**
Steven J. Ferner

\$10.00 sc
1992 44 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-38-9

Successor Liability for Environmental Damage
Terry R. Davis

\$10.00 sc
1989 46 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-30-3

**Surrounding Circumstances and Custom: Extrinsic Evidence in the
Interpretation of Oil and Gas Industry Agreements in Alberta**
David E. Hardy

\$10.00 sc
1989 36 pp.

Classifying Non-operating Interests in Oil and Gas
Eugene Kuntz

\$10.00 sc
1988 31 pp.

Views on Surface Rights in Alberta
Barry Barton, ed.

\$10.00 sc
1988 77 pp.

Human Rights and Resource Development Project Papers

- Albertans' Concerns about Health Impacts and Oil and Gas Development: A Summary** **\$10.00 sc**
2006 16 pp.
Human Rights Paper #3
Nickie Vlavianos
- How Human Rights Laws Work in Alberta and Canada** **\$15.00 sc**
2005 46 pp.
Human Rights Paper #2
Linda McKay-Panos
- Health, Human Rights and Resource Development in Alberta: Current and Emerging Law** **\$15.00 sc**
2003 36 pp.
Human Rights Paper #1
Nickie Vlavianos

Occasional Papers

- Wildlife Corridors and the Three Sisters Decision: Lessons and Recommendations for Implementing NRCB Project Approvals** **\$15.00 sc**
2005 33 pp.
Occasional Paper #16
Steven A. Kennett
- The Trapping Rights of Aboriginal Peoples in Northern Alberta** **\$20.00 sc**
2005 79 pp.
Occasional Paper #15
Monique M. Passelac-Ross
- Spinning Wheels in the Castle: A Lost Decade for Sustainability in Southwestern Alberta** **\$15.00 sc**
2003 59 pp.
Occasional Paper #14
Steven A. Kennett
- Oil Sands, Carbon Sinks and Emissions Offsets: Towards a Legal and Policy Framework** **\$15.00 sc**
2003 24 pp.
Occasional Paper #13
Steven A. Kennett
- Aboriginal Peoples and Resource Development in Northern Alberta** **\$15.00 sc**
2003 32 pp.
Occasional Paper #12
Monique M. Ross
- Integrated Resource Management in Alberta: Past, Present and Benchmarks for the Future** **\$15.00 sc**
2002 35 pp.
Occasional Paper #11
Steven A. Kennett
- Legal and Institutional Responses to Conflicts Involving the Oil and Gas and Forestry Sectors** **\$15.00 sc**
2002 38 pp.
Occasional Paper #10
Monique M. Ross
- The Evolution of Wildlife Law in Canada** **\$15.00 sc**
2000 73 pp.
Occasional Paper #9
John Donihee
- Towards a New Paradigm for Cumulative Effects Management** **\$15.00 sc**
1999 53 pp.
Occasional Paper #8
Steven A. Kennett
- Recent Developments in Oil and Gas Law** **\$15.00 sc**
1999 68 pp.
Occasional Paper #7
Nigel Bankes
- Resource Developments on Traditional Lands: The Duty to Consult** **\$10.00 sc**
1999 26 pp.
Occasional Paper #6
Ceryl Sharvit, Michael Robinson and Monique M. Ross

In Search of Public Land Law in Alberta

Steven A. Kennett and Monique M. Ross

\$15.00 sc
1998 56 pp.
Occasional Paper #5

New Directions for Public Land Law

Steven A. Kennett

\$15.00 sc
1998 51 pp.
Occasional Paper #4

Towards Sustainable Private Woodlots in Alberta

Monique M. Ross

\$10.00 sc
1997 25 pp.
Occasional Paper #3

A History of Forest Legislation in Canada 1867-1996

Monique Ross

\$15.00 sc
1997 50 pp.
Occasional Paper #2

Pipeline Jurisdiction in Canada: The Case of NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd.

Steven A. Kennett

\$15.00 sc
1996 45 pp.
Occasional Paper #1

Working Papers

Liability for Drilling- and Production-Source Oil Pollution in the Canadian Offshore

Christian G. Yoder

\$10.00 sc
1986 84 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-20-6
Working Paper #12

A Guide to Appearing Before the Surface Rights Board of Alberta

Barry Barton and Barbara Roulston

\$10.00 sc
Second Edition - 1986 124 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-19-2
Working Paper #11

Crown Timber Rights in Alberta

N.D. Bankes

\$10.00 sc
1986 128 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-24-9
Working Paper #10

The Canadian Regulation of Offshore Installations

Christian G. Yoder

\$10.00 sc
1985 116 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-18-4
Working Paper #9

Oil and Gas Conservation on Canada Lands

Owen L. Anderson

\$10.00 sc
1985 122 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-16-8
Working Paper #7

The Assignment and Registration of Crown Mineral Interests with Particular Reference to the Canada Oil and Gas Act

N.D. Bankes

\$10.00 sc
1985 126 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-11-7
Working Paper #5

The International Legal Context of Petroleum Operations in Canadian Arctic Waters

Ian Townsend Gault

\$5.00 sc
1983 76 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-10-9
Working Paper #4

Canadian Electricity Exports: Legal and Regulatory Issues

Alastair R. Lucas and J. Owen Saunders

\$5.00 sc
1983 42 pp.
ISBN 0-919269-09-5
Working Paper #3

Other Publications

Resources: The Newsletter of the Canadian Institute of Resources Law

Free sc
Quarterly
ISSN 0714-6918

Annual Report

Free sc

Available from Carswell

Canada Energy Law Services

Canada Energy Law Service (Federal) · 2 vols. · 0-88820-409-4 (Publication #20154)
Canada Energy Law Service (Alberta) · 1 vol. · 0-88820-410-8 (Publication #20162)
Canada Energy Law Service (Full Service) · 3 vols. · (Publication #20146)

Order from:

Carswell
Thomson Professional Publishing
One Corporate Plaza
2075 Kennedy Road
Toronto, Ontario M1T 3V4
Canada

For more information, call Customer Relations:

(Toll Free Canada & US) 1.800.387.5164
(Toronto & Int'l) 416.609.3800
(Toll Free Canada) Fax: 1.877.750.9041
Fax: 416.298.5082
Customer Relations:
customerrelations@carswell.com
Website: www.carswell.com
Website Inquiries: comments@carswell.com

C I R L O r d e r I n f o r m a t i o n

All book order enquiries should be directed to:

Canadian Institute of Resources Law
Murray Fraser Hall, Room 3330 (MFH 3330)
University of Calgary
Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2N 1N4
Tel 403.220.3200; Fax 403.282.6182
E-mail cirl@ucalgary.ca Website www.cirl.ca

Business Hours

0830 to 1630 (MST except MDT April-October)

Discount Policy for Bookstores and Book Wholesalers

20% on 1 to 4 books

40% on 5 or more books

GST

All Canadian orders are subject to the 7% Goods and Services Tax (GST). If GST exempt, please indicate in writing. CIRL's GST Registration No. 11883 3508 RT

Payment Terms

Net 60 days.

- Payment or numbered, authorized purchase order must accompany all orders.
- MasterCard or Visa account number with expiry date will be accepted.

Shipping

Please allow four to five weeks for delivery.

Return Policy

(Applies ONLY to bookstores and book wholesalers.)

All books may be returned for credit within one year of the invoice date, provided that they are in a clean and resaleable condition. Please write for permission to return books and supply original invoice numbers and discounts. Returns must be shipped prepaid. Defective books are replaceable at no charge.

Please note:

- All books are softcover unless otherwise noted
- All prices are subject to change without notice
- Make cheque or money order payable to the *University of Calgary*

CIRL Order Form

Method of Payment

Payment or purchase order must accompany order.
Please make cheques payable to **University of Calgary**

- Cheque Money Order
 Visa MasterCard

Please return completed order form to:

Canadian Institute of Resources Law
MFH 3330, University of Calgary
Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2N 1N4
Tel 403.220-3200; Fax 403.282.6182
E-mail cirl@ucalgary.ca;
Website www.cirl.ca

Credit Card Number _____
Expiry Date _____
Cardholder Name _____
Daytime Telephone _____

Name _____
Company Name _____
Address _____
City _____ Province/State _____
Postal/Zip Code _____ Country _____

Please send me the following books

Title	Quantity	Price	Subtotal
Subtotal			
Add Shipping and Handling*			
Add 7% GST for orders placed in Canada (CIRL GST No. 11883 3508 RT)			
Total (All prices subject to change without notice)			

***Add Shipping and Handling**

Within Canada: first book \$5.00; each additional book \$2.00
Outside Canada: first book \$10.00; each additional book \$4.00

February 2006

