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#### Abstract

This thesis describes a combination of results focusing around carbon-nitrogensulfur (CNS) systems. Initially a systematic study was performed to determine the conditions that favor formation of CNS ring systems from the reaction of $\mathrm{ArCN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with PhSCl . Low temperatures favor the production of rings, whereas at room temperature chalcogen-containing diazenes $Z, E, Z-\mathrm{PhENC}(\mathrm{Ar}) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{Ar}) \mathrm{NEPh}$ are formed in quantitative yields. The structures of the eight-membered rings $\left(4-\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$ ( X $=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ) were determined by X-ray crystallography. The $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ rings have a boat-boat conformation with the sulfur substituents in equatorial positions. These heterocycles produce a purple color when exposed to UV radiation. A detailed investigation of this process revealed a novel photochemical isomerization of these $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ rings into acyclic diazenes. Kinetic studies have shown the transformation to be an intramolecular process.

A new geometrical isomer of the sulfur-containing diazenes $E, E, E-\mathrm{PhSN}(2-$ $\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSPh}$ and a Pt complex of this diazene were isolated and structurally characterized.

Finally, the decomposition of $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{NSCCl}_{3}\right)\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ unexpectedly produced a five membered ring 4- $\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CNSC}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{N}$, which was also structurally characterized.


## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would not be where I am if it weren't for help and contributions from many people. First of all, I would like to acknowledge my supervisor Dr. T. Chivers, not only for his helpful suggestions and guidance throughout this thesis, but also for his patience during my not so conventional approach to research. From him I have learned what it takes to be a real research chemist and not just someone who likes to play with chemicals.

In addition I would like to thank Ignacio Vargas-Baca, Dr. X. Gao and Dr. R. W. Hilts for all their helpful chemistry and non-chemistry related discussions. From them I have learned many experimental techniques as well as a few other things.

Dr. R. Yamdagni, D. Fox and Q. Wu must also be recognized, for without their hard work most of my compounds would be left characterized as 'unknown' or 'interesting'.

Dr. M. Parvez also receives a special acknowledgment for his perseverance and patience during the X-ray structural determination of several key compounds for this thesis.

I would also like to thank Dr. C. H. Langford and L. E. Shaw for the use of their photochemical equipment.

And last, but not least I would like to express my thanks to all the members of 'Chivers group', for putting up with my sense of humor and also for their invaluable friendship.

Funding from the Department of Chemistry and the University of Calgary is greatly appreciated.

# THIS THESIS IS DEDICATED TO MY PARENTS FOR THEIR SACRIFICES SO I COULD HAVE THE OPPORTUNITIES THEY NEVER HAD 

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Approval Page ..... ii
Abstract ..... iii
Acknowledgements ..... iv
Dedication ..... v
Table of Contents ..... vi
List of Compounds ..... xi
List of Schemes ..... xv
List of Figures ..... xvi
List of Tables ..... xviii
List of Abbreviations and Symbols ..... xx
CHAPTER ONE: HYBRID INORGANIC RING SYSTEMS
1.: Introduction ..... 1
1.1: Inorganic Ring Systems ..... 2
1.2: 1,5-Diphosphadithiatetrazocines ..... 3
1.3: 1,5-Dithia-2,4,6,8-tetrazocines ..... 7
1.4: Chalcogen-Containing Diazenes ..... 13
1.4.1: Synthesis ..... 13
1.4.2: Structures ..... 16
1.4.3: Spectroscopic Properties ..... 18
1.4.4: Ligand Behaviour ..... 18
1.5: $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ Ring ..... 19
1.6: Thesis Objectives ..... 21
CHAPTER TWO: INVESTIGATIONS OF THE PRODUCTS DERIVED FROM THE REACTIONS OF TRISILYLATED BENZAMIDINES WITH BENZENE SULPHENYL CHLORIDE
2.1: Introduction ..... 23
2.2: Reaction of $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with 3 PhSCl ..... 24
2.2.1: Separation of Products ..... 26
2.2.2: Optimization of Yield of Cyclic Products ..... 29
2.2.3: Spectroscopic Characterization of $Z, E, Z-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}(4-$ ..... 32$\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph}),\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$and $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{4} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4}(\mathrm{Ph})_{4}$
2.2.4: X-ray Structure of $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$ ..... 35
2.3: Reaction of $2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with 3 PhSCl ..... 38
2.3.1: Spectroscopic Characterization of $E, E, E-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SNC}(2-$ ..... 41$\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$
2.3.2: X-ray Structure of $E, E, E-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}(2-$ ..... 41
$\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$
2.4: Reaction of $E, E$, $E-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}=\mathrm{C}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)=\mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$ ..... 44with $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$
2.4.1: Spectroscopic Characterization of $\mathrm{Pt}\left\{\mathrm{PhSNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}-\right.$ ..... 44
$\left.\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSPh}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$
2.4.2: X-ray Structure of $\operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PhSNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{NC}(2-\right.$ ..... 44 $\left.\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSPh}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$
2.5: Reaction of $2-\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with 3 PhSCl ..... 49
2.6: Reaction of $3-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with 3 PhSCl ..... 49
2.7: Reaction of $4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with 3 PhSCl ..... 50
2.7.1: Spectroscopic Characterization of Z, E, Z-(Ph)SN(4- ..... 51$\left.\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$ and (4-$\left.\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$
2.7.2: X-ray Structure of $\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$ ..... 52
2.8: Reaction of $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with $\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CSCl} / 2 \mathrm{PhSeCl}$ ..... 56
2.8.1: Spectroscopic Characterization of ..... 58
$4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{NSCCl}_{3}\right)\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right], 4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CNSC}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{N}$ and Z
2.8.2: X-ray Structure of $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CNSC}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{N}$ ..... 58
2.9: Reactions of 4- $\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with 3 PhSeCl ..... 62
2.9.1: Spectroscopic Characterization of $Z, E, Z-\mathrm{PhSeNC}(4-$ ..... 63
$\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSePh}$
2.10: Conclusions ..... 63
2.11: Experimental ..... 65
2.11.1: General ..... 65
2.11.2: Instrumentation ..... 65
2.11.3: Solvents ..... 66
2.11.4: Reagents ..... 66
2.11.5: Reaction of $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with 3 PhSCl ..... 67
2.11.6: Reaction of $2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with 3 PhSCl ..... 69
2.11.7: Reaction of $E, E, E-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}=\mathrm{C}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}(2-$ ..... 71
$\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)=\mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$ with $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$
2.11.8: Reaction of $2-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with 3 PhSCl ..... 72
2.11.9: Reaction of $3-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with 3 PhSCl ..... 73
2.11.10: Reaction of $4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with 3 PhSCl ..... 74
2.11.11: Reaction of $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with ..... 76
$\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CSCl} / 2 \mathrm{PhSeCl}$
2.11.12: Reaction of $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with 3 PhSeCl ..... 77
CHAPTER THREE: PHOTOCHEMICAL INVESTIGATION OF THE ISOMERIZATION OF THE RINGS (Ar) $\mathbf{2}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{P h})_{\mathbf{2}}$ TO THE DIAZENES (Ph)SNC(Ar)N=NC(Ar)NS(Ph)
3.1: Introduction ..... 79
3.2: Qualitative Experiments ..... 80
3.3: Experimental Setup ..... 81
3.4: Determination of Order of Reaction ..... 84
3.5: Determination of Quantum Yield ..... 91
3.6: Mechanism of Isomerization ..... 93
3.7: Conclusions ..... 98
CHAPTER FOUR: CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK
4.1: Thesis Summary ..... 99
4.2: Suggestions for Future Work ..... 101
REFERENCES ..... 107
APPENDIX A ..... 111

## LIST OF COMPOUNDS

1. $\left[\mathrm{NPCl}_{2}\right]_{3}$
2. $\mathrm{S}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$
3. $1,5-(\mathrm{R})_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$
a. $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$
b. $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph})$
4. 1;5-(R) $)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$
a. $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph})$
b. $\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$
c. $\left(\mathrm{R}={ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)$
5. (R) $)_{2} \mathrm{PN}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$
a. $(R=M e)$
b. $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph})$
6. $1,5-(\mathrm{Ph})_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4}(\mathrm{SX})_{2}$
a. $(X=B r)$
b. $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl})$

## 7. $1,5-\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$

8. $1,5-\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right]\left[\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]$
9. $1,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \bullet \mathrm{BCl}_{3}$
10. $1,5-\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right]_{4} \bullet 3 \mathrm{SnCl}_{4}$
11. $\mathrm{Li}\left[(\mathrm{Ph})_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{R})\right]$
12. $1,5-(\mathrm{Ph})_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4}(\mathrm{SR})\left(\mathrm{SR}^{\prime}\right)$
a. chair conformation
b. boat conformation
13. $\left[\mathrm{Li}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$
14. $\left[1,5-(\mathrm{Ph})_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{AlCl}_{4}\right]_{2}$
15. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{Ph})=\mathrm{NSN}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$
16. $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right]^{-}$
17. $1,5-\left[(\mathrm{Me})_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Cl})_{2}\right.$
18. $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}^{+}\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{-}$
19. $1,5-\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{O})_{2}\left[\left(\mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{2}\right.$
20. 1,5-( $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{CN}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$
21. $\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$
a. $(\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{H})$
b. $\left(\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$
c. $\left(\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)$
d. $(\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{Cl})$
e. $\left(\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$
f. $\left(\mathrm{X}=3-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$
g. $\left(\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$
22. $\left[\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right]^{+} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$
a. $(\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{H})$
b. $\left(\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$
c. $\left(\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)$
d. $(\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{Cl})$
e. $\left(X=4-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$
f. $\left(\mathrm{X}=3-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$
g. $\left(\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$
23. $\left(\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)_{2}$
a. $(\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{H})$
b. $\left(\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$
c. $\left(\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)$
d. $(\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{Cl})$
e. $\left(X=4-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$
f. $\left(\mathrm{X}=3-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$
24. 1,5-( $\left.\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$
a. $(\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{H})$
b. $\left(\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$
c. $\left(\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)$
d. $(X=4-C l)$
e. $\left(X=4-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$
f. $\left(\mathrm{X}=3-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$
25. $Z, E$, $Z-$ RENC(Ar)N=NC(Ar)NER
a. $(E=\mathrm{Se} ; \mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$
b. $(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{Se} ; \mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph})$
c. $(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph})$
d. $\left(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{Ar}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}\right)$
e. $\left(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{Ar}=4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}\right)$
f. $\left(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Ar}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} ; \mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$
g. $\left(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{SO}_{2} ; \mathrm{Ar}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}\right)$
h. $\left(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{Ar}=4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}\right)$
i. $\left(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{Ar}=3-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}\right)$
j. $\left(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{Se} ; \mathrm{Ar}=4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}\right)$
26. $\mathrm{Pt}\{\mathrm{PhSNC}(\mathrm{Ar}) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{Ar}) \mathrm{NSPh}\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$
a. $\left(\mathrm{Ar}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$
b. $\left(\mathrm{Ar}=2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$
27. $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}$
a. $\left(\mathrm{Ar}=4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}\right)$
b. $\left(\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CCl}_{3}\right)$
c. $\left(\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}=2,4-\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$
d. $\left(\mathrm{Ar}=4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}\right)$
28. $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{4} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4}(\mathrm{Ph})_{4}$
29. $\mathrm{S}\left(1,2-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{SN}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})=\mathrm{NS}\left(1,2-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{SN}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})=\mathrm{N}$
30. $E, E, E-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SNC}(\mathrm{Ar}) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{Ar}) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$
a. $\left(\mathrm{Ar}=2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$
b. $\left(\mathrm{Ar}=2-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$

## 31. Z, E, Z-(Ph)SNC(H)N=NC(H)NS(Ph)

## 32. $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{NSCCl}_{3}\right)\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$

33. $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CNSC}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{N}$
34. $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}(\mathrm{SePh})_{3}$

## LIST OF SCHEMES

Scheme 1.1. Reaction scheme for the formation of $1,5-(\mathrm{Ph})_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4}(\mathrm{SR})\left(\mathrm{SR}^{\prime}\right)$. ..... 6
Scheme 1.2. Attempted polymerization of $\mathrm{PhCN}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ with $\mathrm{SCl}_{2}$. ..... 8
Scheme 1.3. Alternative routes to dithiatetrazocines. ..... 12
Scheme 1.4. Principle electrode process of the $10 \pi e^{-}$ring system $1,5-(\mathrm{R})_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$. ..... 13
Scheme 1.5. Reaction scheme for the formation of $Z, E, Z-\mathrm{RENC}(\mathrm{Ar}) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{Ar}) \mathrm{NER}$. ..... 15
Scheme 2.1. Isolated products from reaction of $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with PhSCl . ..... 24
Scheme 2.2. Proposed mechanisms for the formation of rings. ..... 30
Scheme 2.3. Attempted reaction route for the formation of eight-membered rings. ..... 56
Scheme 2.4. Proposed mechanism for formation of 4- $\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CNSC}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{N}$. ..... 57
Scheme 3.1. Photochemical rearrangement of 1,3,2,4-dithiadiazolyl to 1,2,3,5- ..... 79 dithiadiazolyl radicals.
Scheme 3.2. Proposed mechanism for the photoisomerization. ..... 96
Scheme 4.1. Proposed scheme for the formation of CNSN polymers. ..... 103
Scheme 4.2. Attempted synthesis of a S(IV) diazene. ..... 104
Scheme 4.3. Attempted oxidation of a $S$ (II) diazene to a $S$ (IV) diazene. ..... 104
Scheme 4.4. Proposed photochemical route to $S(I V)$ diazenes. ..... 105
Scheme 4.5. Proposed isomerization of the $(\mathrm{Ph})_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4}(\mathrm{SR})\left(\mathrm{SR}^{\prime}\right)$ ring to a diazene. ..... 106

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1. ORTEP diagram of $Z, E, Z-\mathrm{MeSeNC}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{NSeMe}$.
Figure 1.2. Molecular orbital ( $\pi^{*}(\mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{N})$ ) of a model diazene $Z, E, Z-\mathrm{HSNC}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{NSH}$.

Figure 1.3. ORTEP diagram of $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{4} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4}(\mathrm{Ph})_{4}$. 20
Figure 2.1. Typical TLC of products isolated from the reaction of 26 4- $\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with PhSCl .
Figure 2.2. Flow chart for separation of $Z, E, Z-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}(4-$ $\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph}),\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$ and $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4}(\mathrm{Ph})_{4}$.
Figure 2.3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ Spectra for $Z, E$, $Z-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph}), 34$ $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$ and $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{4} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4}(\mathrm{Ph})_{4}$.
Figure 2.4. ORTEP diagram of $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$.37

Figure 2.5. Calculated relative energies ( $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) of $(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{SNC}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{H})$ isomers and the eight-membered ring $(\mathrm{H})_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{H})_{2}$.
Figure 2.6. ORTEP diagram of $(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}=\mathrm{C}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)=\mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$. 43
Figure 2.7. ORTEP diagram of $\mathrm{Pt}\left\{\mathrm{PhSNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSPh}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) .48$
Figure 2.8. ORTEP diagram of $\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$. 55
Figure 2.9. ORTEP diagram of $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \overparen{\mathrm{CNSC}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{N}}$. 61
Figure 3.1. Experimental setup for studying the kinetics of the rearrangement of 82 an eight-membered $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ring to the corresponding diazene.
Figure 3.2. Absorbance vs. wavelength ( nm ) for a typical kinetic run.83

Figure 3.3. Plots of absorbance at 547 nm vs. time for $3.15 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ solution of (4- 85 $\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$ in toluene at intensities of $41.9 \%$ and $63.8 \%$.
Figure 3.4. Plots of absorbance at 547 nm vs. time for $3.15 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ solution of (4$\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$ in toluene at intensities of $82.2 \%$ and $100 \%$.
Figure 3.5. Slope of absorbance vs. time vs. intensity plot for $3.15 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ toluene solution of $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$.

Figure 3.6. Plots of absorbance at 547 nm vs. time for $3.98 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ solution of (4$\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$ in toluene at intensities of $41.9 \%$ and $63.8 \%$.
Figure 3.7. Plots of absorbance at 547 nm vs. time for $3.98 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ solution of (489 $\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$ in toluene at intensities of $82.2 \%$ and $100 \%$.
Figure 3.8. Slope of absorbance vs. time vs. intensity plot for $3.98 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ toluene 90 solution of $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$.
Figure 3.9. Plot of absorbance at 510 nm vs. time for actinometry experiment.92

Figure 3.10. Plots of absorbance at 547 nm vs. time for $9.62 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ solution of (4- 94 $\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$ in toluene for Run 3 (trials 1 and 2).
Figure 3.11. Correlation diagram for the photoisomerization of96 $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$ into $\mathrm{Z}, E, Z-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}(4-$ $\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$.
Figure 3.12. Sequence showing photoisomerization of $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$ into 97 $Z, E, Z-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$.

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1. Reaction conditions and relative product distribution of $Z, E, Z-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}(4-$ $\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph}),\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$ and (4$\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{4} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4}(\mathrm{Ph})_{4}$.
Table 2.2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data for $Z, E$, $Z-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$, 33 $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$ and $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{4} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4}(\mathrm{Ph})_{4}$.
Table 2.3. Crystallographic Parameters for $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$. 35
Table 2.4. Selected Bond Lengths $(\AA)$, Bond Angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ and Torsional Angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right) \quad 36$ for $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$.
Table 2.5. Crystallographic Parameters for $E, E$, $E-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}(2-\quad 41$
$\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$.
Table 2.6. Selected Bond Lengths ( $\AA$ ), Bond Angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ and Torsional Angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right) 42$ for $E, E, E-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$..
Table 2.7. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data for $\mathrm{Pt}\left\{\mathrm{PhSNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSPh}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \quad 44$
Table 2.8. Crystallographic Parameters for $\mathrm{Pt}\left\{\mathrm{PhSNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{NC}(2-\quad 45\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSPh}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$

| Table 2.9. Selected Bond Lengths $(\AA)$ and Bond Angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for |
| :--- |
|  |
| $\mathrm{Pt}\left\{\mathrm{PhSNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSPh}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$. |

Table 2.10. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data for $\mathrm{Z}, E, \mathrm{Z}-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph}) \quad 51$
and $\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$.
Table 2.11. Crystallographic Parameters for $\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$. 52
Table 2.12. Selected Bond Lengths $(\AA)$, Bond Angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ and Torsional Angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right) 53$ for $\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$.
Table 2.13. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data for $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{NSCCl}_{3}\right)\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right], 4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \stackrel{\mathrm{CNSC}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{N}}{ } 58$ and $\mathbf{Z}$.
Table 2.14. Crystallographic Parameters for 4- $\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \overparen{\mathrm{CNSC}(\mathrm{Cl}) N}$. 59
Table 2.15. Selected Bond Lengths $(\AA)$, Bond Angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ and Torsional Angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right) \quad 60$ for $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \overparen{\mathrm{CNSC}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{N}}$.

Table 2.16. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data for $Z, E$, $Z-\mathrm{PhSeNC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSePh}$.63

Table 2.17. Elemental Analysis, Mass Spectra, Melting Points and UV-visible data 68 for $Z, E, Z-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$, $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$ and $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{4} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4}(\mathrm{Ph})_{4}$.
Table 2.18. Elemental Analysis, Mass Spectra, Melting Points, UV-visible and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data for $E, E, E-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$ and $\mathbf{W}$.
Table 2.19. Elemental Analysis, Melting Points and UV-visible data for $\mathrm{Pt}\left\{\mathrm{PhSNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSPh}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$.
Table 2.20. Elemental Analysis, Mass Spectra, Melting Points, UV-visible and
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data for $E, E, E-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SNC}\left(2-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$ and $X$.
Table 2.21. Elemental Analysis, Mass Spectra, Melting Points, UV-visible and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data for $\mathrm{Z}, E, Z-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SNC}\left(3-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(3-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$ and $\mathbf{Y}$.
Table 2.22. Elemental Analysis, Mass Spectra, Melting Points and UV-visible data for $Z, E$, $Z-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SNC}\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$ and $\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$.
Table 2.23. Elemental Analysis, Mass Spectra and Melting Points
data for $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CNSC}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{N}$.
Table 2.24. Elemental Analysis, Melting Points and UV-visible data for $\mathrm{Z}, E, Z-\mathrm{PhSeNC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSePh}$.
Table 3.1. Rate and quantum yield results for isomerization of an eight-membered ring 93 (4- $\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$ to a diazene $Z, E, Z-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$.
Table A.1. Time and absorbance data for Run 1. 111
Table A.2. Rate of reaction for Run 1. 112
Table A.3. Time and absorbance data for Run 2. 112
Table A.4. Rate of reaction for Run 2. 112
Table A.5. Actinometry data for $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$-phenanthroline complex. 113
Table A.6. Time and absorbance data for Run 3. 113

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

| Ar | aryl (aromatic) group |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {calc }}$. | calculated density |
| DMF | dimethyl formamide |
| DMSO | dimethyl sulfoxide |
| $E$ | Entgegen $=$ Opposite, with respect to orientation of substituents attached to a double bond |
| ESD | Estimated Standard Deviation |
| FAB-MS | Fast Atomic Bombardment Mass Spectrometry |
| FT-IR | Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy |
| HOMO | Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital |
| LUMO | Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbital |
| MCPBA | meta-chloroperbenzoic acid |
| MO | molecular orbital |
| MS | Mass Spectrometry |
| NMR | Nuclear Magnetic Resonance |
| ORTEP | Oakridge Thermal Ellipsoid Plot |
| Ph | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ (phenyl) |
| ppm | parts per million |
| THF | tetrahydro furan |
| TLC | Thin Layer Chromatography |
| UV | Ultraviolet |
| Z | Number of molecules in a unit cell |
| Z | Zusammen $=$ Together, with respect to orientation of substituents attached to a double bond |

## CHAPTER ONE

Hybrid Inorganic Ring Systems

## 1. Introduction

This thesis is primarily concerned with the synthesis, structures and photochemical isomerization of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ring systems (dithiatetrazocines). ${ }^{\dagger}$ The purpose of this chapter is to develop an understanding as to the reasons for studying the reaction of trisilylated benzamidines, $\mathrm{ArCN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{Ar}=\operatorname{aryl})$ with benzenechalcogenyl chlorides, $\mathrm{PhECl}(\mathrm{E}=$ $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se}$ ) which has been shown to produce both cyclic and acyclic products. The importance of these CNS and CNSe containing compounds will be discussed in terms of potential applications. After a brief account of pertinent inorganic heterocycles, the chemistry of diphosphadithiatetrazocines, $\mathrm{R}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$, will be discussed, and this will be followed by a summary of previous work on dithiatetrazocines, $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$. Finally, the known chemistry of chalcogen-containing diazenes, $\mathrm{ArSN}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{Ph})=\mathrm{NSPh}$ will be discussed. These intensely colored compounds are the acyclic products referred to above.

[^0]
### 1.1 Inorganic Ring Systems

The interest in inorganic heterocycles is mainly due to their fundamental chemistry; however, novel applications have also been discovered. To say that applications are novel does not in any way imply that the chemistry of inorganic heterocycles is a new field. Compounds such as $\left(\mathrm{NPCl}_{2}\right)_{3}(1)$ and $\mathrm{S}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ (2) date back to the 1830 's, but it was not until recently that phosphazene elastomers have been marketed by the Firestone Tire and Rubber Company. ${ }^{1}$


1


2

The ring opening polymerization of $S_{2} N_{2}$, produced by thermolysis of $S_{4} N_{4}$ (2), forms poly(sulfur nitride), which also shows interesting properties. It was the first example of a non-metallic superconductor. ${ }^{2}$

With these two examples, the ring-opening polymerization process has been shown to produce inorganic polymers with unique properties. Although organic polymers have been used for years in numerous household and commercial applications, the ever-
increasing demands on materials and diminishing organic resources point to the need to exploit materials derived from inexhaustible inorganic resources.

To extend the idea of the binary ring systems to hybrid ring systems involving PNS ${ }^{3}$ and $\mathrm{CNS}^{4}$ we draw attention to 1,5-diphosphadithiatetrazocines (3) and 1,5-dithia-2,4,6,8tetrazocines (4), which have been extensively studied over the past decade.


3
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Finally, it should be pointed out that several polymers exist containing the repeating unit PNPNSN $^{5}$ as well as PNPNCN ${ }^{6}$ in the backbone; however, a CNSN polymer has to date eluded isolation which prompts the study of compounds which might act as precursors to some very interesting polymers.

### 1.2 1,5-Diphosphadithiatetrazocines

The discovery of $\mathbf{3 a}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$ was accidental. It was obtained from the decomposition of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{PN}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(5 a)$ in the reaction shown in equation 1.1. ${ }^{3}$



The compound 3a can be considered as a folded eight-membered ring or two fivemembered rings (both $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ units form a plane) fused together with a weak cross-ring sulfur-sulfur bond, forming an overall butterfly structure. The yields of $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph})$ from this synthesis (equation 1.1) were very low (ca. 2\%) and so a better synthetic route was needed. Equation 1.2 shows a building block approach to the ring utilizing a trisilylated phosphamidine and a sulfur halide. ${ }^{7}$


This approach has increased the yield of $\mathbf{3}$ to $50 \%$. With the use of $\mathrm{SCl}_{2}$,
$1,3-\mathrm{R}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ is produced in addition to the 1,5 -isomer; however, with $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ as the source of $S$ the only product is the 1,5 - isomer. ${ }^{8}$ The presence of the sulfur-sulfur bond can be
determined by the characteristic low field ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ signal in the range $114-136 \mathrm{ppm}^{9,10}$ in contrast to a value of $0-30 \mathrm{ppm}$ for other PN rings without a sulfur-sulfur bond. ${ }^{11,12}$ From an analysis of the frontier orbitals of the folded and planar rings of the type $\mathrm{E}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{E}=$ $\mathrm{CR}, \mathrm{PR}_{2}, \mathrm{~S}^{+}$) it has been predicted, and shown experimentally, that if the substituent on C is electron-withdrawing (or if $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{S}^{+}$) then the planar ( $10 \pi e^{-}$) structure is preferred ${ }^{13,14,15}$; whereas if the substituents are electron-donating (or $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{PR}_{2}$ ) then the folded ( $8 \pi \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{e}}$ ) structure with a sulfur-sulfur bond is observed. ${ }^{3,15,16}$

In addition to these interesting structural and electronic properties, the $P_{2} N_{4} S_{2}$ ring also undergoes numerous reactions. Addition of halogens resulted in an electrophilic attack across the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}$ bond (trans addition) to give $1,5-(\mathrm{Ph}){ }_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Br})_{2}(6 \mathrm{a}) .{ }^{17}$ Adducts of the ring, with Lewis or Brønsted acids, have been synthesized and characterized, e.g. $\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ (7), $\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right]\left[\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]$ (8), $\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \bullet \mathrm{BCl}_{3}$ (9) and $\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right]_{4} \bullet 3 \mathrm{SnCl}_{4}(\mathbf{1 0}){ }^{10}$ The attack of these electrophiles occurs at nitrogen and the S $S$ bond is retained; however, with the addition of a second equivalent of an electrophile the S-S bond is broken. The eight-membered 1,5- $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ring also coordinates to transition metals in monodentate and polydentate modes. ${ }^{18}$ Reactions of $\mathbf{3 b}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph})$ with organolithium reagents give the adducts $\mathrm{Li}\left[(\mathrm{Ph})_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{R}\right]$ (11) which when reacted with an organo-halogen compound yield the $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ diorgano derivatives $1,5-(\mathrm{Ph})_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4}(\mathrm{SR})\left(\mathrm{SR}{ }^{\prime}\right)(12)$, according to Scheme 1.1. ${ }^{8,19}$


Scheme 1.1. Reaction scheme for the formation of $1,5-(\mathrm{Ph})_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4}(\mathrm{SR})\left(\mathrm{SR}^{\prime}\right)$.

An interesting feature of this reaction is that the $1,5-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ring in the organolithium adduct 11 is initially in a boat conformation, but the product 12 a (after complete workup) is obtained as the chair conformer, indicating a conformational isomerism which can be monitored by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$. ${ }^{19}$ Two intermediates in the conversion of $\mathbf{1 1}$ to $\mathbf{1 2}$ have been isolated. The first is a LiI adduct of $\mathbf{1 2}$ and the second is $\mathbf{1 2 b}$, in which the $1,5-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ring is in a boat conformation. It has been shown (by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ) for several $S, S^{\prime}$-diorgano derivatives that heating $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ (in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) produces the thermodynamic product $12 \mathrm{a} .{ }^{19.20}$ In addition to the monoanion $1,5-(\mathrm{Ph})_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{R}^{-}$, the dianion $1,5-$
$(\mathrm{Ph})_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}{ }^{2-21}$ and the dication $1,5-(\mathrm{Ph})_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}{ }^{2+22}$ have been prepared according to equations 1.3 and 1.4 , respectively.



### 1.3 1,5-Dithia-2,4,6,8-tetrazocines

Similar to 1,5-diphosphadithiatetrazocines (3), 1,5-dithia-2,4,6,8-tetrazocines (4) were also discovered by accident; however, in this case the heterocycles were obtained from the reaction of benzamidine with sulfur dichloride in an attempt to make the polymer precursor 15 (see Scheme 1.2). ${ }^{4}$


Scheme 1.2. Attempted polymer synthesis from the reaction of $\mathrm{PhCN}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ with $\mathrm{SCl}_{2}$.

Compound 4a was obtained in very low yield (ca. 5-10\%), and its X-ray structure indicated $\pi$-delocalization within the planar ring. This novel ring system is extremely stable to heat, but it decomposes to benzonitrile upon heating to $240^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hydrolysis to benzamidine is relatively easy with KOH or HCl in aqueous dioxane; however, 4 a is resistant to other nucleophiles, it is not oxidized by $m$-chloroperbenzoic acid and it is stable to dinitrogen tetroxide, chloroamine-T, diazomethane, norbornadiene, and triphenyl phosphine. ${ }^{23}$ A second derivative of the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ring system with $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ as the exocyclic substituents (4b) was obtained in $54 \%$ yield. ${ }^{4}$ This new example exhibits a folded structure with partial bonding between the two sulfur atoms $[d(S-S)=2.428 \AA]$. The derivative $\mathbf{4 b}$ does not appear to possess basic properties, presumably due to the delocalization of the
electron pair of the dimethylamino nitrogens into the ring system, as indicated in the schematic representation below.


4b
Chlorination of $\mathbf{4 b}\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ gas passed over a saturated acetonitrile solution) produced the ionic compound $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right]^{-}(16)\right.$, which was characterized by X-ray crystallography. ${ }^{24}$ The $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ring has a boat conformation with an asymmetric S-Cl---S transannular bridge. The S-S separation is $3.491 \AA$.
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The loosely bonded Cl of the anion appears to be approaching the S atom from a direction trans to the bridging Cl atom, which is similar to the addition of $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ across the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}$ bond in 1,5-diphosphadithiatetrazocines. ${ }^{17}$ The preference for an ionic rather than a covalent structure for $\mathbf{1 6}$ has been attributed to the smaller size of the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ring
(compared to $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ). This size difference results in a strong transannular interaction of the Cl by the two S atoms (in $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ring) which blocks the final nucleophilic attack of the $\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right]^{-}$anion on the cation. However, it is possible to form the covalent species $\left[(\mathrm{Me})_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Cl})_{2}(17)\right.$ by treatment of $\mathbf{4 b}$ with sulfuryl chloride. ${ }^{25}$ For comparison the recent X-ray structure of $1,5-(\mathrm{Ph})_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Cl})_{2}(6 \mathrm{~b})$ reveals that the two $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond lengths in $\mathbf{6 b}$ are significantly different, i.e. $2.235(1) \AA$ and $2.419(1) \AA .{ }^{22}$ This suggests that trans addition of two Cl atoms across the S-S bond is incomplete with some ionic character being retained. Treatment of 16 with $\mathrm{NOPF}_{6}$ results in an anion exchange to give $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}^{+}\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{-}(\mathbf{1 8}){ }^{26}$ The Cl atom in 18 sits in a symmetric fashion between the two $S$ atoms due to loss of secondary interaction with the anion.
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Reaction of $\mathbf{4 b}$ with the nucleophilic radical $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NO}$ resulted in an unexpected rearranged product $19 .{ }^{26}$
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So far the reactions discussed have been limited to symmetrically substituted dithiatetrazocines such as $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$. A route to unsymmetrically substituted $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ rings involves the treatment of a mixture of benzamidine and $N, N$-dimethylguanidine with $\mathrm{SCl}_{2}$, which gives a mixture of $\mathbf{4 a}(10 \%), \mathbf{4 b}(5 \%)$ and 3-dimethylamino-7-phenyldithiatetrazocine (20). ${ }^{23}$

The synthesis of compounds $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$ has been limited to the route developed by Woodward et al. ${ }^{4}$ However, with increasing interest in these unusual compounds a versatile, high yield synthesis for heterocycles of type $\mathbf{4}$ is desirable. With this in mind three synthetic approaches were developed (see Scheme 1.3). ${ }^{27}$


Scheme 1.3. Alternative routes to dithiatetrazocines.
a X=4-H, b X=4-CH3, c X=4-CH3 $\mathrm{O}, \mathbf{d X}=4-\mathrm{Cl}$, e $X=4-\mathrm{CF}_{3}, \mathrm{fX}=3-\mathrm{CF}_{3}, \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$

Of the three synthetic routes, method B seemed consistently to give the highest yields (30$55 \%$ ). The availability of a series of planar $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ rings allows a systematic study of their properties. The seven derivatives 24a-f have very similar NMR and electronic absorption spectra. All the compounds undergo a fully reversible one electron electrochemical
reduction which is essentially independent of the nature of the substituent on $C$. This can be explained in terms of the frontier orbitals of the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ring. The LUMO possesses a node at the C atoms and so substituent effects will be negligible. Another derivative (4c, R $={ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ ) included in this study did not undergo a reversible one-electron reduction. On the other hand the oxidation step is greatly influenced by substituent effects since the HOMO has a large coefficient at the C atoms. The results for the seven compounds indicate that $\pi$ donating substituents lead to lowering of the oxidation potential while inductively withdrawing substituents have a smaller effect in raising the potential. It is important to note that none of the oxidation steps were reversible at ambient temperature (see Scheme 1.4).


Scheme 1.4. Principle electrode processes of the $10 \pi e^{-}$ring system $1,5-(\mathrm{R})_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$.

### 1.4 Chalcogen-Containing Diazenes

### 1.4.1 Synthesis

In an attempt to prepare the unknown $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Se}_{2}$ ring from a cyclocondensation reaction of $\mathrm{PhCN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with $\mathrm{RSeCl}_{3}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ph})$, according to the analogous synthesis
of $(\mathrm{Ph})_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4}(\mathrm{SeR})_{2}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{Ph})^{28}$, a new class of compounds was isolated and identified as the chalcogen-containing diazenes $Z, E, Z-\operatorname{RENC}(A r) N=N C(A r) N E R(25) .{ }^{29}$
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Subsequently, it was shown that these diazenes can also be prepared by the reaction of $\mathrm{ArCN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph}, 4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, 4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ with $\mathrm{PhECl}(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se})$ in a $1: 3$ molar ratio. The formation of these diazenes has been studied by ESR spectroscopy which indicates a radical intermediate is involved. ${ }^{30}$ The initial step of the synthesis involves a metathetical reaction producing the $\mathrm{ArCN}_{2}(\mathrm{EPh})_{3}(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se})$ and trimethylsilyl chloride. The tri-substituted benzamidine then undergoes a homolytic E-N cleavage to give the $\mathrm{ArCN}_{2}(\mathrm{EPh})_{2} \bullet$ radical, which exhibits a five line (1:2:3:2:1) ESR signal consistent with spin delocalization over two $\mathrm{N}\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{I}=1,99.6 \%\right)$ atoms. The final step involves the dimerization of the radical to give the diazene 25 with subsequent elimination of $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{E}_{2}$. The overall reaction is shown in Scheme 1.5. In addition it has recently been observed that the diazenes are also formed in the reaction of $\mathrm{PhC}\left[\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right] \mathrm{NBr}$ with $\mathrm{REER}(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$, Ph). ${ }^{31}$


Scheme 1.5. Reaction scheme for the formation of $Z, E, Z-\operatorname{RENC}(\mathrm{Ar}) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{Ar}) \mathrm{NER}$.

Another interesting observation in the synthesis of the diazenes is the formation of an eight-membered ring as a side-product. ${ }^{30}$ The cyclic products, which are of the type $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}(27)\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CCl}_{3}, \mathrm{Ph}, 2,4-\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} ; \mathrm{Ar}=4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, are isomers of the diazene $25(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{S})$. These heterocycles are formed when electronegative substituents are attached to either the chalcogen or the C atom; diazenes are also produced. Another route to the 8 -membered rings is through a decomposition of $\mathrm{PhCN}_{2}(\mathrm{SR})(\mathrm{SePh})_{2}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CCl}_{3}, 2,4-\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right) .{ }^{30}$ It is important to note that an X-ray structure of these $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}$ rings has not yet been determined, in contrast to their P analogues (see Section 1.2 and Scheme 1.1). ${ }^{19}$
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### 1.4.2 Structures

The structure of one of these diazenes, $25 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{Se} ; \mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$ shown in Figure 1.1, indicates a planar geometry with short, intramolecular contacts between the selenium atoms and one of the nitrogen atoms of the azo group, i.e. $\operatorname{Se}(1)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ and $\mathrm{Se}(2)$ $\mathrm{N}(3)$, of ca. $2.65 \AA^{29}$ (cf. $3.5 \AA$ for the sum of van der Waals radii for Se and N ). ${ }^{32}$


Figure 1.1. ORTEP diagram of $Z, E, Z-\mathrm{MeSeNC}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{NSeMe}$.

In addition X-ray structures of the sulfur (II) diazene $25 f\left(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ and the sulfur (VI) diazene $\mathbf{2 5 g}\left(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ar}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ have been determined. ${ }^{33}$ The latter does not exhibit a $\mathrm{S} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ interaction involving the azo nitrogen. ${ }^{33}$ The three structurally characterized diazenes all have the same geometry with respect to the various double bonds, i.e. the substituents around the two $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ bonds are in a Z orientation and those around the $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}$ bond are in an $E$ orientation. The diazenes containing divalent chalcogens are also planar, possessing an inversion center with an overall $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ symmetry.

The Se/S $\cdots \mathrm{N}$ (Figure 1.2) interaction has been attributed to a $\pi$ type donation of a lone pair of the $\mathrm{Se} / \mathrm{S}$ into the $\pi^{*}$ antibonding orbital of the $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}$ linkage. ${ }^{34}$ Such donation is expected to cause a small increase in the $N=N$ bond length; however, the structural data for the diazene 25a do not fully support this prediction. For the heavier chalcogen Te MO calculations predict a greater donation and so a greater lengthening of the $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}$ bond is expected.


Figure 1.2. Molecular orbital $\left(\pi^{*}(\mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{N})\right)$ of a model diazene

$$
Z, E, Z-\mathrm{HSeNC}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{NSeH} .
$$

### 1.4.3 Spectroscopic Properties

Apart from interesting structural features these diazenes are also intensely colored and so are sometimes referred to as "azo dyes". The electronic spectra of these red and purple diazenes exhibit intense ( $\varepsilon=1-3 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) absorption bands in the region 500 550 nm . This intense visible absorption band has been assigned to the HOMO-1 $(\pi) \rightarrow$ LUMO $\left(\pi^{*}\right)$ transition in which the HOMO-1 involves the $\operatorname{Se}(4 \mathrm{p} \pi)-\mathrm{N}(2 \mathrm{p} \pi)$ interactions. ${ }^{30}$

### 1.4.4 Ligand Behavior

Another interesting feature of these chalcogen-containing diazenes is their potentially rich coordination chemistry due to the ligand properties of N and $\mathrm{Se} / \mathrm{S}$. With this in mind several complexes with Pt and Pd have been made. ${ }^{35}$ The diazenes bind to the metal in a tridentate fashion through $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{Se}$. The X-ray structures of these complexes, e.g. $\left.\mathrm{Pt}\left\{\mathrm{PhSNC}^{2}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSPh}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ (26a), show considerable conformation changes from that of the original diazene. The Se/S $\cdots \mathrm{N}$ interaction no longer exists, hence the complexes are not intensely colored. There is also a rearrangement of the $\pi$ bonding in the complex. One major change is the reduction of the $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}$ bond in the diazene (1.263(4) $\AA$ ) to a $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ single bond (1.41(1) $\AA$ ).
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## $1.5 \mathbf{C}_{4} \mathbf{N}_{8} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{4}}$ Ring

As mentioned in Section 1.4.1 the substituents on $C$ and $S$ are important in determining the type of compounds which are formed in the reaction shown in Scheme 1.5. In one reaction, when the aryl substituent on C was $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, the diazene 25 h was isolated (in $98 \%$ yield); however, a novel sixteen-membered ring $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{4} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4}(\mathrm{Ph})_{4}$ (28) was also isolated ( $<1 \%$ yield). ${ }^{36}$ Compound 28 was characterized by X-ray crystallography (Figure 1.3). The heterocycle 28 is a unique 16 -membered ring with alternating CN and SN groups. The molecular symmetry of this compound is $S_{4}$ and it has a cradle-like shape. Some interesting structural parameters for 28 include: (a) S-N (1.667(7) $\AA$, cf. $1.77 \AA$ for single bond) and C-N (1.359(9) A, cf. $1.46 \AA$ for single bond) bonds are somewhat shorter that single bonds, (b) endocyclic bond angles at $\mathrm{C}\left(119.5^{\circ}\right)$, at $\mathrm{S}\left(108.7^{\circ}\right)$, at $\mathrm{N} 1\left(119.4^{\circ}\right)$, and at $\mathrm{N} 2\left(111.7^{\circ}\right)$, (c) the $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{S}\left(1^{*}\right)$ unit is almost planar whereas $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ -$\mathrm{S}\left(1^{*}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{*}\right)$ has a torsion angle of $79^{\circ}$ and (d) the cavity of the ring indicates suitable size
for tetradentate coordination of certain metal ions. The four $N(2)$ nitrogens of the ring are essentially co-planar forming a square-planar orientation.


Figure 1.3. ORTEP diagram of $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{4} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4}(\mathrm{Ph})_{4}$.

A search of other structurally characterized 16 -membered heterocycles containing $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$ and $S$ revealed only one other compound. However, in that example the compound has a $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ (29) molecular skeleton with alternating CC and NS units. ${ }^{37}$


29

### 1.6 Thesis Objectives

From the brief introduction to the chemistry of diphosphadithiatetrazocines and dithiatetrazocines it is apparent that these two classes of compounds have similarities and, at the same time, significant differences so that each system needs to be studied carefully and separately. The chemistry of diphosphadithiatetrazocines has been investigated more extensively than that of dithiatetrazocines; however, with new interest in these CNS rings it is important to gain more insight into the properties of these unusual systems.

It is the objective of this thesis to investigate more fully the reaction of $\mathrm{ArCN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with $\mathrm{PhECl}(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se})$ in order to determine how substituent effects and reaction conditions determine the outcome of the reaction. In this way it is hoped that a better understanding of the formation of the eight-membered rings 27 and the corresponding diazenes will be obtained. A structural determination of these novel heterocycles is also desirable prior to detailed studies of their reactions.

The isolation of the novel sixteen-membered heterocycle 28 introduces a new puzzle to the system. It indicates that the reaction of $\mathrm{ArCN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with PhSCl is not as simple as previously thought and suggests the possible formation of larger macrocycles. Before attempts to separate other products are made, it is important to determine the conditions which optimize the formation of the sixteen-membered ring. Clearly, the yield must be increased considerably before any investigations of its ligand behavior can be undertaken. It is this second objective which will be the initial focus of this thesis.

## CHAPTER TWO

## Study of the Products Derived from the Reactions of Trisilylated Benzamidines with Benzenesulphenyl Chloride

### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

One of the objectives of this thesis was to optimize the synthesis of new CNS rings from the reaction of $\mathrm{ArCN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with benzenesulphenyl chloride. To this end several different aryl substituents have been used including: $\mathrm{Ar}=2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, 3-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, 4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, 2- $\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and 4- $\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$. All of these different benzamidines were prepared from ArCN and $\mathrm{Li}\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\right]_{2}$ by the method developed by Oakley et al. ${ }^{38}$ The aryl substituents were chosen for their availability from Aldrich as well as characteristic ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR signals. Of the various substituents, $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ has been shown to produce the largest number and the most interesting compounds, most of which have been characterized by X-ray diffraction. The substituent 2- $\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ has also generated unexpected products, which have been characterized by X-ray crystallography.

### 2.2 Reaction of $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{~ P h S C l}$

The reaction of 4- $\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with three molar equivalents of PhSCl is a rich source of cyclic and acyclic products, three of which have been identified as $(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}(4-$ $\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})(\mathbf{2 5 h}),\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}(27 \mathrm{~d})$, and (4$\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{4} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4}(\mathrm{Ph})_{4}(28)$. The reactants and their products are shown in Scheme 2.1.
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Scheme 2.1. Isolated products from reaction of $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with PhSCl .

The formation of diazenes of the type 25 was known from earlier experiments and eightmembered rings of the type 27 were tentatively identified (based on mass spectrometry data), ${ }^{30}$ but the sixteen-membered ring 28 was unknown. The sixteen-membered ring 28
was initially discovered by accident during a recrystallization of $\mathbf{2 5 h}$. $^{\mathbf{3 6}}$ The next step involved the determination of the number of compounds present and some of their properties (i.e. relative solubilities and stability to silica) which might facilitate their separation. One characteristic of these compounds which makes them easy to work with is their relative stability towards oxygen and moisture. Consequently, a thin layer chromatogram (TLC) on silica plates was attempted in order to determine the product distribution. The experiment was done by dissolving the reaction mixture in a minimum amount of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and then spotting a small sample on a silica plate. The best eluent was determined to be a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ :hexanes in a 1:3 ratio and a 400 mL beaker was used with an aluminum foil cover to produce a solvent-rich atmosphere. The TLC was then removed and allowed to dry for several minutes and examined under UV light. A typical TLC with some spot assignments is shown in Figure 2.1. The spots on the TLC were assigned according to color ( $\mathbf{2 5 h}$ is deep purple, $\mathbf{2 7 d}$ is pale yellow and $\mathbf{2 8}$ is colorless) and by use of pure compounds as references (after the mode of separation was determined). The unassigned spot was red and readily changed to the purple color of the diazene $\mathbf{2 7} \mathbf{h}$; consequently, it was not isolated as a pure fraction. The possible identity of this red compound will be discussed in detail in Section 2.3. Two vital pieces of information are evident from the TLC. First the order of elution is $\mathbf{2 5 h} \rightarrow \mathbf{2 7 d} \rightarrow \mathbf{2 8}$. Second, the compounds seem to be stable on silica gel and so a preparative scale separation on a silica gel column seemed feasible.

### 2.2.1 Separation of Products

Based on the conditions determined for the TLC, a silica gel column was used with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ :hexane as eluent. This separation was ineffective because excess diazene $\mathbf{2 5 h}$ tails on the silica gel which leaves a purple stain on the entire column. It is necessary, therefore, to remove the diazene (as much as possible) from the mixture of products prior to the chromatography step.


Figure 2.1. Typical TLC of products isolated from the reaction of $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with PhSCl .

The by-product $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ can be removed from the reaction mixture by washing with pentane, which leaves compounds $\mathbf{2 5 h}, \mathbf{2 7 d}$ and 28 in the insoluble fraction. The diazene $\mathbf{2 5 h}$ is partially removed by dissolving this mixture in a large amount of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and then layering the solution with ether. This mixture is then left overnight to precipitate the diazene which is then separated by filtration. The remaining mixture of $\mathbf{2 5 h}, \mathbf{2 7} \mathbf{d}$, and $\mathbf{2 8}$ is placed on the silica gel column as a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution and eluted first with hexanes to remove the diazene and then with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to separate the eight-membered ring $\mathbf{2 7 d}$ and the sixteen-membered ring 28. It is important to note that elution with hexanes should not be started until all the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution has gone onto the column and a band of clean silica appears; otherwise the hexanes will precipitate the compounds and no separation will be achieved. The last two fractions are monitored by periodic spotting of a small sample on a TLC plate and viewing with a UV light. This separation may be performed several times depending on the purity of the initial fractions. The volume of each fraction is then reduced to a minimum and layered with diethyl ether to crystallize each compound. The diazene $\mathbf{2 5 h}$ crystallizes as violet needles, the eight-membered ring 27d as yellow needles and the sixteen-membered ring 28 as colorless rectangular prisms. It is important to note that the mode of separation has to be modified slightly depending on the major product and also on the product distribution which will be discussed in section 2.2.2. As a general rule the compound which is present in excess of $50 \%$ yield must be initially removed by precipitation or recrystallization prior to chromatography. Figure 2.2 shows a flow chart for a typical separation of a mixture consisting of $55 \% \mathbf{2 5 h}, 40 \% \mathbf{2 7 d}$ and $5 \% 28$.


Figure 2.2. Flow chart for separation of $Z$, $E$, $\mathrm{Z}-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$, $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$ and $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{4} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4}(\mathrm{Ph})_{4}$. ( $8 \mathrm{R}=$ eight-membered ring; $16 \mathrm{R}=$ sixteen-membered ring)

### 2.2.2 Optimization of Yield of Cyclic Products

When first isolated, the yield of the sixteen-membered ring 28 was less than $1 \%^{36}$ and so changes in the reaction conditions were attempted in order to improve the yield. The three variables that were investigated were (a) concentration, (b) temperature and (c) time. The effect of each of these variables on reaction conditions will be discussed in detail. It should be noted that all the initial reactions (i.e. addition of reagents at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by 16 h at room temperature for the completion of the reaction) yielded mainly the diazenes in close to quantitative yields and so all changes in conditions were relative to these reactions. Although the mechanism of formation of the cyclic products 27 d and 28 is unknown, it is assumed that the radicals $\operatorname{ArC(NSPh})_{2} \bullet$ are not involved, i.e. the condensation of two trithiolated molecules to give an acyclic intermediate with the elimination of $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ (see Scheme 2.2) is less endothermic than the homolytic cleavage of two S-N bonds (to give 2 $\left.\operatorname{ArC}(\mathrm{NSPh})_{2} \oplus\right)$. The acyclic intermediate may then undergo ring closure to form an eightmembered ring (route A) or react with itself to form a larger acyclic chain which, subsequently, undergoes ring closure to give a sixteen-membered ring (route B). The intramolecular process depicted by route $\mathbf{A}$ should be favored under dilute conditions whereas chain growth (route B) would be preferred at higher concentrations. In the absence of evidence for the formation of other ring sizes, alternate pathways for chain growth, e.g. the formation of precursors to twelve-membered rings, are not considered in Scheme 2.2.






Scheme 2.2. Proposed pathways for the formation of rings.

Consequently, concentration was the first variable to be investigated. The first reaction was carried out at a concentration of 0.24 M [of $\left.4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$. This reaction yielded primarily the diazene 25 h and less than $1 \%$ of sixteen-membered ring 28 . When the concentration of $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ was reduced to 0.016 M the yield of diazene 25 h was again almost quantitative with less than $1 \%$ of the eight-membered ring 27d. Since the yield of the cyclic products was so low it is difficult to say whether other rings were formed under these conditions.

The second variable investigated was temperature. Since the previous reactions were performed at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the next step was to attempt a reaction at higher temperatures. The typical reaction procedure involves adding the reagents together at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then allowing the reaction mixture to reach room temperature (over 16 h ). A reaction was performed in which the reagents were added at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (carefully monitored water bath) and then allowed to reach room temperature $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. In this reaction the yield of diazene $\mathbf{2 5 h}$ was quantitative and there was no evidence of any cyclic products. A similar reaction was carried out in which the reagents were added to boiling carbon tetrachloride (at $77^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). This reaction also gave a quantitative yield of diazene $\mathbf{2 5 h}$ with no cyclic products. The only conclusion that can be drawn for these two reactions is that elevated temperatures favor the formation of the diazene $\mathbf{2 5 h}$, which is the thermodynamic product. ${ }^{34}$

Since higher temperatures did not give the desired products, the next obvious choice is lower temperatures. A reasonable lower temperature would be $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone $\left./ \mathrm{N}_{2(1)}\right)$. In addition to temperature, the effect of reaction time was also investigated. As mentioned in Section 1.4 the reaction proceeds through a trisubstituted species $\left(\mathrm{ArCN}_{2}(\mathrm{SPh})_{3}\right)$, which decomposes to a radical intermediate that, in turn, dimerizes to form the diazene. The aim is to slow down or prevent the formation of the radical intermediate, in order to allow the cyclization reaction to proceed. A reaction in which the reactants were added at $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then kept at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . gave a product distribution of $54.5 \% \mathbf{2 5 h}, 40 \% 27 \mathrm{~d}$ and $5.5 \%$ 28, and an overall yield of $100 \%$. Two other reactions were performed in which the reaction mixture was kept at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after the addition at $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The product distributions
from these reactions are listed in Table 2.1. From these results one can conclude that prolonged low temperatures favor the formation of cyclic products, whereas elevated temperatures lead to the diazene $\mathbf{2 5 h}$ in quantitative yields. Also, the longer the reaction mixture is kept at low temperature the better the yield of the sixteen-membered ring 28.

Table 2.1. Reaction conditions and relative product distribution of $Z, E, Z-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}(4-$ $\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph}),\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$ and $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{4} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4}(\mathrm{Ph})_{4}$.

|  | $\mathbf{2 5 h}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 d}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | $99 \%$ |  | $<1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{B}$ | $99 \%$ | $<1 \%$ |  |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{D}$ | $100 \%$ |  | $5.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{E}$ | $54.5 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $10 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{G}$ | $22 \%$ | $46 \%$ |  |

A) 0.24 M at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT

25h $=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$
B) 0.016 M at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT
$27 \mathrm{~d}=\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$
C) 0.325 M at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT
$28=\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{4} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4}(\mathrm{Ph})_{4}$
D) 0.287 M at $77^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT
E) 0.036 M at $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h .
F) 0.079 M at $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h .
G) 0.034 M at $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 h .

### 2.2.3 Spectroscopic Characterization of $Z, E, Z(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}(4-$

## 

The three products $\mathbf{2 5 h}, \mathbf{2 7 d}$ and $\mathbf{2 8}$ can readily be distinguished by their
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectra. The para-substituted aryl substituents on C give a characteristic $\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{XX}^{\prime}$ spin system resulting in a doublet of doublets pattern in the aromatic region with some further fine structure. The phenyl groups on $S$ give a multiplet, which can be assigned on
the basis of integration ratios. All ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data are listed in Table 2.2, and the spectra are shown in Figure 2.3.


Table 2.2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data for $\mathrm{Z}, E$, $\mathrm{Z}-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})(\mathbf{2 5 h})$, $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}(27 \mathrm{~d})$ and $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{4} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4}(\mathrm{Ph})_{4}$ (28).

25h

27d

28

| $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ | Interation | Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.3 (doublet) | 2 | $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6}(\mathrm{Ha})_{2}(\mathrm{Hb})_{2}{ }^{-}$ |
| 7.72 (doublet) | 2 | $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6}(\mathrm{Ha})_{2}(\mathrm{Hb})_{2}{ }^{-}$ |
| 7.75 (multiplet) |  |  |
| 7.52 (multiplet) | 5 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}-$ |
| 7.38 (multiplet) |  |  |
| $\delta$ (ppm) | Integration | Assignment |
| 8.16 (multiplet) | 5 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}-$ |
| 7.68 (multiplet) |  |  |
| 8.05 (doublet) | 2 | $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6}(\mathrm{Ha})_{2}(\mathrm{Hb})_{2}{ }^{-}$ |
| 7.47 (doublet) | 2 | $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6}(\mathrm{Ha})_{2}(\mathrm{Hb})_{2}-$ |
| $\delta$ (ppm) | Interation | Assignment |
| 7.65-7.4 (multiplet) | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}- \\ & 4-\mathrm{Br}_{6}(\mathrm{Ha})_{2}(\mathrm{Hb})_{2}- \end{aligned}$ |
| 6.95 (doublet) | 2 | $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6}(\mathrm{Ha})_{2}(\mathrm{Hb})_{2}-$ |

Internal reference $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

Figure 2.3 shows the characteristic ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ patterns for each compound. It is evident that a quick inspection of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectra provides a good indication of the purity and identity of the compounds, which is important for verifying the separation of these products on a silica gel column. Àlso this information is vital for bulk sample characterization since the elemental analyses of these three compounds are identical.




27d




Figure 2.3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ Spectra for $Z, E$, $Z-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$, $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$ and $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{4} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4}(\mathrm{Ph})_{4}$.

### 2.2.4 X-ray Structure of $\left(\mathbf{4}-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$.

As mentioned in Chapter 1, Section 1.5 the identity of $\mathbf{2 8}$ was previously determined by X-ray crystallography, by Dr. M. Parvez. ${ }^{36}$

In this work, the structure of $\mathbf{2 7 d}$ was also determined by X-ray crystallography by Dr. M. Parvez. The crystals were carefully grown from a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ diethyl ether mixture for several weeks giving clusters of rectangular prisms suitable for X-ray analysis. The crystallographic data are summarized in Table 2.3 and selected bond lengths, bond angles and torsional angles are given in Table 2.4. Figure 2.4 illustrates an ORTEP drawing of the structure. Full details of the X-ray structure are available from Dr. M. Parvez.

Table 2.3. Crystallographic Parameters for $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$.

| Empirical Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula Weight | 610.38 |
| Crystal Color, Habit | yellow, prism |
| Crystal Dimensions | $0.50 \times 0.30 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Crystal System | monoclinic |
| Lattice Type | C -centered |
| Lattice Parameters | $\mathrm{a}=25.383(9) \AA$ |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=4.080(2) \AA$ |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=23.181(3) \AA$ |
|  | $\beta=95.31(2)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{V}=2390(1) \AA^{3}$ |
| Space Group | $\mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{c}(\# 15)$ |
| Z value | 4 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {calc }}$ | $1.696 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |
| Temperature | $-103^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| R | 0.045 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | 0.043 |

Compound 27d is light sensitive (see Chapter 3) and so the data collection was performed in the dark (as much as possible). Low temperature was utilized in order to further reduce decomposition and thermal motion within the molecule. The space group $\mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{c}$ suggests internal symmetry in the molecule, namely a 2-fold rotation axis, which enables quicker data collection. Because of this internal symmetry, bond lengths and angles are only reported for half the molecule.

Table 2.4. Selected Bond Lengths $(\AA)$, Bond Angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ and Torsional Angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$.

| Atoms | $(\AA)$ | Atoms | $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.650(7)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)^{*}-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $110.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.328(9)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $98.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.661(7)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $99.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.339(10)$ | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $118.0(6)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $118.6(6)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $112.7(7)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $135.1(7)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $112.1(7)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{S}(1)^{*}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $7(1)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}(1)^{*}-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $87.7(7)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $-11(1)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)^{*}-\mathrm{C}(1)^{*}$ | $-81.6(7)$ |

There are several important structural features of this heterocycle which need to be pointed out and explained. The eight-membered ring has a boat-boat conformation $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}\right.$ symmetry). ${ }^{39}$ The exocyclic substituents on $S$ are equatorial and appear to be at an angle of approximately $90^{\circ}$ with respect to one another. The bond lengths; $S(1)-N(2) 1.650(7) \AA$, $S(1)-N(1) 1.661(7) \AA(c f .1 .710 \AA \text { for single } S-N \text { bond })^{40}, N(1)-C(1) 1.328(9) \AA$ and $N(2)-$

C (1) $1.339(10) \AA$ (cf. $1.46 \AA$ for single $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ bond) ${ }^{41}$, indicate some $\pi$-bond delocalization within the ring. The torsional angles for one half of the ring, consisting of $\mathrm{S}(1)^{*}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-$ $\mathrm{N}(2)$ and $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ are $7(1)^{\circ}$ and $11(1)^{\circ}$ respectively, indicating virtual planarity for the SNCNS* units. The endocyclic angle S (i.e. $\mathrm{N}(1)^{*}-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ ) is approximately $110^{\circ}$.


Figure 2.4. ORTEP diagram of $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$.

### 2.3 Reaction of $\mathbf{2}-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{~ P h S C l}$.

After the accidental discovery of the sixteen-membered ring 28, it was considered of interest to see whether other aryl substituents would lead to the formation of additional sixteen-membered rings or, perhaps, larger macrocycles. To this end the reaction of 2$\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with three molar equivalents of PhSCl was investigated. To our surprise the $2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ - substituent behaves quite differently from the $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ - group. In contrast to the $Z, E, Z$ geometry of $\mathbf{2 5 h}$, the $2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ - substituent gives rise to the formation of $\mathbf{3 0 a}$, a new geometrical isomer of 25 (ignoring the different substituents on carbon). The $E, E, E$ isomer 30 a (obtained in $50 \%$ yield) is red in color and appears to be just as stable as $\mathbf{2 5 h}$, and so it can be purified by column chromatography. The structure of 30a was established by X-ray crystallography (see Section 2.3.2).



In addition to $30 a$ there is another product $W$ in this reaction which, on the basis of $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ analysis has the same elemental composition as $\mathbf{3 0 a}$. However, compound $\mathbf{W}$ is white and has different properties from those of $\mathbf{3 0 a}$. For example, whereas 30a is readily
soluble in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathbf{W}$ is completely insoluble. The unknown compound $\mathbf{W}$ is insoluble in solvents such as DMSO, DMF and has poor solubility in nitrobenzene. However W can be recrystallized from nitrobenzene giving tiny white needles. In addition to the new diazene 30a, there is another structurally characterized diazene 31 which is also a $Z, E, Z$ isomer, but has a different orientation about the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ single bonds compared to 25 . This compound was synthesized by Ignacio Vargas-Baca. ${ }^{34}$

$31(Z, E, Z)$
DFT calculations for the model diazene $(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{SNC}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{H})$ have been carried out to determine the relative stabilities of various geometrical isomers. Figure 2.5 shows the potential energy diagram for model diazenes and the isomeric eight-membered ring. From the potential energy plot, several trends can be seen. First of all, the presence of the sulphur-nitrogen interaction seems to stabilize the system so that the classic closed diazene $\mathbf{2 5}$ is the thermodynamic product. To date analogues of compounds I, II, III and the eight-membered ring have been isolated and structurally characterized. There is also
some evidence for the presence of isomers $\mathbf{I V}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ on the basis of variable temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ studies of $(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SNC}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph}) .{ }^{42}$


Figure 2.5. Calculated relative energies $(\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ of $(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{SNC}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{H})$ isomers and the eight-membered ring $(\mathrm{H})_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{H})_{2} .{ }^{34}$

From Figure 2.5 it can be seen that the linear diazene III (cf. 30a) appears to be less stable by $27.2 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ than the thermodynamic diazene I (cf. 25). Attempts to isomerize 30a into the diazene 25 , in boiling toluene ( 2 h. ), were unsuccessful. A possible explanation for this could be the steric effects of the ortho substituted phenyl groups attached to carbon. This is not completely unreasonable since the X-ray structure (see Figure 2.6) shows the Br substituted phenyl to be twisted nearly $90^{\circ}$ out of the plane of the rest of the molecule,
whereas diazene $\mathbf{2 5 f}$ (the only other structurally characterized $Z, E, Z S$ (II) diazene with aryl substituents on $C$ and $S$ ) is essentially planar.

### 2.3.1 Spectroscopic Characterization of $E, E, E-(\mathbf{P h}) \mathrm{SNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}(2-$ $\left.\mathbf{B r C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathbf{P h})$.

Unlike the $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ derivative, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ is not a convenient probe for the 2$\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ substituent (because of the complex resonances). Consequently, characterization is based on elemental analysis, mass spectra and an X-ray structure.

### 2.3.2 X-ray Structure of $E, E, E-(\mathbf{P h}) \mathrm{SNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(\mathbf{2}-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathbf{P h})$.

Cubic crystals of 30 a were grown from a concentrated $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At higher temperatures, the compound crystallizes as very thin plates. The crystallographic data are summarized in Table 2.5 and selected bond lengths, bond angles and torsional angles are given in Table 2.6. Figure 2.6 illustrates an ORTEP drawing of the structure. Full details of the X-ray structure are available from Dr. M. Parvez.

Table 2.5. Crystallographic Parameters for $E, E, E-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}(2-$ $\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$.

Empirical Formula
Formula Weight
Crystal Color, Habit
Crystal Dimensions
Crystal System
Lattice Parameters
$\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$
610.38
red, prism
$0.55 \times 0.45 \times 0.40 \mathrm{~mm}$
orthorhombic
$\mathrm{a}=13.884(5) \AA$
$\mathrm{b}=24.763(7) \AA$
$\mathrm{c}=14.500(3) \AA$
$\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$
610.38
red, prism
$0.55 \times 0.45 \times 0.40 \mathrm{~mm}$
orthorhombic
$\mathrm{a}=13.884(5) \AA$
$\mathrm{b}=24.763(7) \AA$
$\mathrm{c}=14.500$ (3) $\AA$

|  | $V=4985(2) \AA^{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Space Group | Ccca (\# 68) |
| Z value | 8 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {calc }}$ | $1.626 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |
| Temperature | $-123^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| R | 0.043 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | 0.044 |

Table 2.6. Selected Bond Lengths ( $\AA$ ), Bond Angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ and Torsional Angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $E, E, E-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$.

| Atoms | $(\AA \AA)$ | Atoms | $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)^{*}$ | $1.26(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $100.9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.41(1)$ | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $118.5(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.32(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $114.7(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $1.627(8)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $120.5(9)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}(1)^{*}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $113.5(9)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $124.9(8)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $179.3(7)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $-94(1)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}(1)^{*}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $173.8(7)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $7(1)$ |

The main feature of this compound is its unique geometry with respect to the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ bonds. All previous diazenes isolated from the reaction of trisilylated benzamidines with benzenechalcogenyl chlorides are believed to exhibit the $Z, E, Z$ structure analogous to 25f. ${ }^{42}$ In this case, however, there is no $S \cdots N$ interaction, but rather a zig-zag chain consisting of $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ in an $E, E, E$ conformation. The bond lengths indicate a tendency towards localization of single and double bonds, in contrast to the eightmembered ring 27d, in which delocalization is evident (see Section 2.2.4). The $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ bond distance is $1.41 \AA$ (cf. $1.46 \AA$ for a single $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond) and the $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ bond distance is $1.26 \AA$ (cf. $1.28 \AA$ for a double $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ bond) ${ }^{41}$. The torsional angles show the chain and


Figure 2.6. ORTEP diagram of $E, E, E-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}=\mathrm{C}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)=\mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$.
the phenyl groups on $S$ are almost coplanar with deviation of less than $10^{\circ}$ from the plane consisting of $\mathrm{C}(9)^{*}-\mathrm{C}(8) *-\mathrm{S}(1)^{*}-\mathrm{N}(2)^{*}-\mathrm{C}(1)^{*}-\mathrm{N}(1)^{*}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$. The $2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ - group on C is essentially perpendicular to the rest of the molecule with a torsional angle of $-94(1)^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$.

### 2.4 Reaction of $E, E, E-(\mathbf{P h}) \mathrm{SN}=\mathrm{C}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)=\mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$ with $\left(\mathbf{P h}_{3} \mathbf{P}\right)_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{P t}\left(\mathbf{H}_{2} \mathbf{C}=\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)$

To further explore the possibility of isomerization of $\mathbf{3 0 a}$ to 25 a Pt complex of $\mathbf{3 0} \mathbf{a}$ was synthesized by reaction with $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ (see Section 2.11.7) for comparison with the $X$-ray structure of $\mathbf{2 6 a}$, which was made using the $Z, E, Z$ diazene $\mathbf{2 5 d}{ }^{35}$ The new Pt complex 26b, is yellow like 26a.

### 2.4.1 Spectroscopic Characterization of $\mathbf{P t}\left\{\mathrm{PhSNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{NC}(2-\right.$ $\left.\mathbf{B r C} \mathbf{6} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}}\right) \mathbf{N S P h} \mathbf{(} \mathbf{( \mathbf { P P h } _ { \mathbf { 3 } } )}$

Table 2.7. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data for $\mathrm{Pt}\left\{\mathrm{PhSNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSPh}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)(\mathbf{2 6 b})$ $\delta$ (ppm)
26b $\quad 16.5$ (singlet) $\quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{195 \mathrm{pt}-31 \mathrm{P}} 3609 \mathrm{~Hz} \quad$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

### 2.4.2 X-ray Structure of $\mathbf{P t}\left\{\mathbf{P h S N C}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{4}\right) \mathbf{N}-\mathbf{N C}\left(\mathbf{2}-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{4}\right) \mathbf{N S P h}\right\}\left(\mathbf{P P h}_{3}\right)$

Rectangular prism crystals of $\mathbf{2 6 b}$ were grown from a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ diethyl ether solution at room temperature. The asymmetric unit contains two molecules (designated by $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ in the X-ray data) which resulted in a lengthy data collection. The crystallographic data are
summarized in Table 2.8 and selected bond lengths and bond angles are given in Table 2.9.
The fractional subscripts in the empirical formula account for a cocrystallized diethyl ether molecule which is present for every four molecules of $\mathrm{Pt}\left\{\mathrm{PhSNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{NC}(2-\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSPh}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$. Figure 2.7 illustrates an ORTEP drawing of the structure. Full details of the X-ray structure are available from Dr. M. Parvez.

Table 2.8. Crystallographic Parameters for $\mathrm{Pt}\left\{\mathrm{PhSNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{NC}(2-\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSPh}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$

| Empirical Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{35.50} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{PBr}_{2} \mathrm{PtO}_{0.25}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula Weight | 1086.29 |
| Crystal Color, Habit | yellow, prism |
| Crystal Dimensions | $0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.16 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Crystal System | triclinic |
| Lattice Parameters | $\mathrm{a}=18.497(5) \AA$ |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=18.769(6) \AA$ |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=14.005(4) \AA$ |
|  | $\alpha=103.86(2)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\beta=95.55(2)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\gamma=73.23(2)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{V}=4517(2) \AA^{\circ}$ |
| Space Group | $\mathrm{P} \overline{1}(\# 2)$ |
| Z value | 4 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {calc }}$ | $1.597 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |
| Temperature | $-73.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| R | 0.062 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | 0.063 |

Table 2.9. Selected Bond Lengths $(\AA)$ and Bond Angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathrm{Pt}\left\{\mathrm{PhSNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSPh}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ (a).

| Atoms | $(\mathbf{A})$ | Atoms | $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S(1a)-Pt(1a) | $2.24(1)$ | P(1a)-Pt(1a)-S(1a) | $97.7(3)$ |
| P(1a)-Pt(1a) | $2.27(1)$ | N(2a)-Pt(1a)-S(1a) | $82.6(8)$ |
| N(2a)-Pt(1a) | $1.95(2)$ | N(2a)-Pt(1a)-P(1a) | $171.9(8)$ |
| N(4a)-Pt(1a) | $2.03(2)$ | N(4a)-Pt(1a)-S(1a) | $159.3(7)$ |
| N(1a)-S(1a) | $1.69(3)$ | N(4a)-Pt(1a)-P(1a) | $102.8(7)$ |
| N(4a)-S(2a) | $1.70(3)$ | N(4a)-Pt(1a)-N(2aa) | $76.7(10)$ |
| C(1a)-N(1a) | $1.33(4)$ | N(1a)-S(1a)-Pt(1a) | $103.0(10)$ |
| N(3a)-N(2a) | $1.35(3)$ | C(1a)-N(1a)-S(1a) | $111(2)$ |
| C(1a)-N(2a) | $1.36(4)$ | N(3a)-N(2a)-Pt(1a) | $125(2)$ |
| C(2a)-N(3a) | $1.28(4)$ | C(1a)-N(2a)-Pt(1a) | $117(2)$ |
| C(2a)-N(4a) | $1.47(4)$ | C(1a)-N(2a)-N(3a) | $118(3)$ |
|  |  | C(2a)-N(3a)-N(2a) | $107(2)$ |
|  |  | S(2a)-N(4a)-Pt(1a) | $128(1)$ |
|  |  | C(2a)-N(4a)-Pt(1a) | $109(2)$ |
|  |  | C(2a)-N(4a)-S(2a) | $120(2)$ |
|  |  |  | N(2a)-C(1a)-N(1a) |
|  |  |  | N(4a)-C(2a)-N(3a) |
|  |  |  | $126(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 2.9. Cont. Selected Bond Lengths $(\AA)$ and Bond Angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathrm{Pt}\{\mathrm{PhSNC}(2-$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSPh}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ (b).

| Atoms | (A) | Atoms | ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S(1b)-Pt(1b) | 2.27(1) | $\mathrm{P}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Pt}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{S}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 101.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Pt}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 2.27(1) | $\mathrm{N}(2 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Pt}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{S}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 79.8 (8) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Pt}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 1.96(3) | $\mathrm{N}(2 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Pt}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{P}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 173.9(8) |
| $\mathrm{N}(4 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Pt}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 2.03(3) | $\mathrm{N}(4 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Pt}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{S}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 158.1(8) |
| N(1b)-S(1b) | 1.69(3) | $N(4 b)-\mathrm{Pt}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{P}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 100.6(8) |
| $N(4 b)-S(2 b)$ | 1.72(3) | $\mathrm{N}(4 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Pt}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{N}(2 \mathrm{~b})$ | 78.4(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 1.32(3) | $\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{S}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Pt}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 100.9(9) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{N}(2 \mathrm{~b})$ | 1.43(3) | C(1b)-N(1b)-S(1b) | 117(2) |
| C(1b)-N(2b) | 1.32(4) | $\mathrm{N}(3 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{N}(2 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Pt}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 116(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{N}(3 \mathrm{~b})$ | 1.23(4) | $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{N}(2 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Pt}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 124(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{N}(4 \mathrm{~b})$ | 1.42(4) | $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{N}(2 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{N}(3 \mathrm{~b})$ | 120(3) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{N}(3 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{N}(2 \mathrm{~b})$ | 114(3) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{S}(2 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{N}(4 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Pt}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 125(2) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{N}(4 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Pt}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 111(2) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{N}(4 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{S}(2 \mathrm{~b})$ | 116(2) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}(2 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 118(3) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}(4 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{N}(3 \mathrm{~b})$ | 120(3) |

The ESDs on the structural data are quite large due to refinement of certain atoms isotropically instead of anisotropically and also the poor reflection:parameter ratio; however, certain trends can be pointed out. The two independent molecules in the asymmetric unit (designated by $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ ) are nearly superimposable mirror images apart from some slight differences in the orientation of the phenyl substituents and so the data will only be discussed for molecule $\mathbf{a}$. The diazene $\mathbf{3 0 a}$ has undergone significant transformation upon coordination to platinum. Compound 30a is attached to platinum through $S(1 a), N(2 a)$ and $N(4 a)$ in a tridentate fashion forming two five-membered rings. One main feature of this complex is that the $\mathrm{N}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{N}(2 \mathrm{a})$ bond distance of $1.35(3) \AA$ (cf. $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}$ of $1.26(1) \AA$ in 30a) indicates a partial reduction to a single $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ bond. Also the $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{N}(3 \mathrm{a})$ bond distance of $1.28(4) \AA$ (cf. C-N of 1.41 (1) $\AA$ in 30a) now suggests the presence of a $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ double bond. In the second five-membered ring the $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{a})$ and $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{N}(2 \mathrm{a})$ bond distances of $1.33(4) \AA$ and $1.36(4) \AA$, respectively, indicate delocalization in the NCN segment. All of these structural features are comparable to the previously structurally characterized complex 26a. ${ }^{35}$


Figure 2.7. ORTEP diagram of $\mathrm{Pt}\left\{\mathrm{PhSNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSPh}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$.

### 2.5 Reaction of $\mathbf{2}-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{~ P h S C l}$.

To test the hypothesis that steric effects are the predominant factor favoring the $E$, $E, E$ geometry of the novel diazene $\mathbf{3 0 a}$, a reaction was attempted utilizing the $2-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ group on $C$. In this reaction the diazene $E, E, E-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SNC}\left(2-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}(2-$ $\left.\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})(\mathbf{3 0 b})$, which exhibits striking similarities to 30a, was isolated in $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ yield. These linear diazenes exhibit characteristic absorption maxima in the UV-visible spectrum. Unlike the diazenes of the type 25 , which have $\lambda_{\max }=500-550 \mathrm{~nm}$, the two linear diazenes $\mathbf{3 0 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 0 b}$ both have $\lambda_{\text {max. }}=469 \mathrm{~nm}$. In addition to identical $\lambda_{\text {max. }}$ values, these diazenes appear to have similar solubilities in pentane and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, as well as similar EI-MS and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectra. Owing to the numerous similarities between 30a and 30b an X-ray structural analysis was not attempted. In addition to $\mathbf{3 0 b}$, a second product $\mathbf{X}$ was isolated in about three times the yield of 30b (by mass). This product has similar properties to those of the unidentified compound $\mathbf{W}$.

### 2.6 Reaction of $3-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with $3 \mathbf{P h S C l}$.

With the isolation of the new compounds 27d, 28, and 30, and with little understanding as to the effects that determine their formation, it was of interest to investigate the effects of a $3-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ - substituent on the carbon of $\mathrm{ArCN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$. In contrast to the previous reactions this particular experiment did not yield any unusual compounds but, as with the previous two experiments, the reactions were not simple in that more than one major product was formed. In this reaction the closed diazene $\mathbf{2 5 i}$
(analogous to $\mathbf{2 5 h}$ ) was formed in $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ yield and a second product $\mathbf{Y}$ was isolated as colorless rectangular-prism crystals in approximately $22 \%$ yield. The identity of this new compound $\mathbf{Y}$ is a little puzzling, due to some conflicting data (see Section 2.11.9), and further discussion would not be useful.


### 2.7 Reaction of $4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with 3 PhSCl .

The effect of a more electronegative substituent than Br in the para position, i.e. $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$, in the aryl group of $\mathrm{ArCN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ was investigated to determine how the electronic properties of the substituent on C will influence the nature of the products formed. The reaction conditions which favor the formation of cyclic products (addition of reagents at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then allowing the reaction to stand at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h .) were employed. However, in this reaction no evidence for the formation of a sixteen-membered ring was evident from the TLC. The three products that were observed were: the closed diazene $\mathbf{2 5 e}$ ( $19 \%$ ), the eight-membered ring $\mathbf{2 7 a}(81 \%)$ and a burgundy compound which readily changed color to
the purple diazene 25e. Due to the instability. of the burgundy compound, it was not isolated, but only observed on the TLC.


25e


27a

### 2.7.1 Spectroscopic Characterization of $Z, E, Z-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}(4-$

## $\left.\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$ and $\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$.

The 4- $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ - substituent, like $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-$, gives rise to simple $\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{XX}^{\prime}$ (doublet of doublet) pattern in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra that can be used to quickly determine the identity and purity of compounds. This is very useful when dealing with mixtures of products which either have to be separated by chromatography or fractional crystallization.


Table 2.10. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data for $\mathrm{Z}, E$, $\mathrm{Z}-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$ (25e) and (4- $\left.\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}(27 \mathrm{a})$.

|  |  | Integration | Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.5 | (doublet) | 2 | $4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6}(\mathrm{Hb})_{2}(\mathrm{Ha})_{2}{ }^{-}$ |
| 7.5 | (doublet) | 2 | $4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6}(\mathrm{Hb})_{2}(\mathrm{Ha})_{2}{ }^{-}$ |
| 7.8 | (multiplet) |  |  |



Internal reference $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

### 2.7.2 X-ray Structure of $\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$.

Crystals of compound 27 a were grown as long rectangular prisms by slow
. evaporation of a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution. The crystals were cut to an appropriate size. This proved somewhat difficult owing to cocrystallized solvent (identified by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and subsequent X-ray structural determination) within the crystal and upon attempting to cut them they shattered. Eventually a suitable crystal was obtained and a good data set was collected. Like the other eight-membered ring 27d, this heterocycle is also sensitive to light and so similar precautions were employed (see Section 2.2.4). The crystallographic data are summarized in Table 2.11 and selected bond lengths, bond angles and torsional angles are given in Table 2.12. Figure 2.8 illustrates an ORTEP drawing of the structure. Full details of the X-ray structure are available from Dr. M. Parvez. The structure was solved by N. Sandblom as part of a Chemistry 681 class project.

Table 2.11. Crystallographic Parameters for $\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$.

| Empirical Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \bullet 0.5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula Weight | 631.05 |
| Crystal Color, Habit | yellow, prism |
| Crystal Dimensions | $0.45 \times 0.30 \times 0.15 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Crystal System | monoclinic |


| Lattice Parameters | $\mathrm{a}=27.417(6) \AA$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $b=7.933(4) \AA$ |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=26.772$ (7) $\AA$ |
|  | $\beta=111.07(3)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $V=5433(3) \AA^{3}$ |
| Space Group | C2/c (\#15) |
| $Z$ value | 8 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {calc }}$ | $1.543 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |
| Temperature | $-73.0^{\circ}$ |
| R | 0.055 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | 0.055 |

In comparison to the structure of the eight-membered ring 27d there are several key differences which need to be pointed out. Similar to 27d, the heterocycle 27a also crystallizes in the $\mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{c}$ space group, but there is no longer a twofold rotation axis within the molecule. As a result the asymmetric unit now consists of an entire molecule giving rise to eight molecules in the unit cell.

Table 2.12. Selected Bond Lengths ( $(\AA)$, Bond Angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ and Torsional Angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for (4$\left.\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$.

| Atoms | $(\AA)$ | Atoms | $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.651(6)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $112.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $1.634(6)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $114.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.623(5)$ | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $118.6(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $1.652(5)$ | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $125.5(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.330(7)$ | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $124.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.319(7)$ | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $118.1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.348(7)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $132.9(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.312(7)$ | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $133.6(6)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $6(1)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $-17(1)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $-15(1)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $3(1)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $93.3(6)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $-66.2(7)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $82.4(6)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $-78.6(6)$ |

The $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ring still has a boat-boat conformation, but the two halves of the ring are slightly different, thus resulting in no symmetry within the molecule. The exocyclic substituents on sulfur are equatorial and appear to be at an angle of approximately $90^{\circ}$ with respect to one another. The bond lengths; $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) 1.651(6) \AA, \mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(4) 1.634(6) \AA$, $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2) 1.623(5) \AA, \mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3) 1.652(5) \AA$, (cf. $1.710 \AA$ for single $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond) $)^{40}, \mathrm{~N}(2)-$ $\mathrm{C}(13) 1.330(7) \AA, \mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13) 1.319(7) \AA, \mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(21) 1.348(7) \AA \mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(21) 1.312(7) \AA$ (cf. $1.46 \AA$ for single $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ bond) ${ }^{41}$, indicate some $\pi$-bond delocalization within the ring. Torsional angles indicate the two halves of the ring, consisting of $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ and $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{N}(4)$, are essentially planar with deviation of $6(1)^{\circ}$ and $3(1)^{\circ}$ on the two halves. The angle between the two halves is different at each S , which makes this compound slightly different from 27d. At $\mathrm{S}(1)$ the bond angle for $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ is $112.5(3)^{\circ}$ and at $\mathrm{S}(2)$ the bond angle for $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ is $114.1(3)^{\circ}$.


Figure 2.8. ORTEP diagram of $\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$.

### 2.8 Reaction of $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with $\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathbf{C S C l}$.

In an attempt to devise a synthetic route that would primarily produce the eightmembered ring, the following scheme was considered.


Scheme 2.3. Attempted synthesis of the eight-membered ring (4$\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{3}\right)_{2}$.

The first step of this synthesis was the preparation of the mono-substituted derivative $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{NSCCl}_{3}\right)\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ 32. This reaction proved successful based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data, but there was also some evidence for the formation of a five-membered ring system 4-
$\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CNSC}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{N}$ (33). In light of the formation of this five-membered heterocycle the subsequent reaction of 32 with benzeneselenenyl chloride was not explored. The fivemembered ring 33 was obtained (in $82 \%$ yield) as pale beige rectangular crystals after slow evaporation of a diethyl ether solution. The reaction that gave compound 33 seems to have been a nucleophilic attack of the amino N (with the two $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ groups) on the electron poor C (with three Cl ), resulting in a thermodymically favourable ring closure with elimination of two $\mathrm{ClSiMe}_{3}$ molecules (see Scheme 2.4).


Scheme 2.4. Proposed route to 4- $\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CNSC(Cl)N}$.

The heterocyclic ring system exemplified by 33 i.e. a 1,2,4-thiadiazole is well known.
Numerous synthetic approaches exist to these compounds with varying substituents present on $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$ or $\mathrm{S} .{ }^{43-47}$ The closest analogy to the synthesis described above involves the reaction of $\mathrm{RC}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{+}\right] \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$with $\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CSCl}$, see equation 2.1. ${ }^{47}$


## 2.1

### 2.8.1 Spectroscopic Characterization of $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{NSCCl}_{3}\right)\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$,

## $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \stackrel{\mathrm{CNSC}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{N}}{ }$.

The three products can readily be distinguished by their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra. All ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data are listed in Table 2.13.


Table 2.13. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data for $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{NSCCl}_{3}\right)\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ (32), 4$\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CNSC}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{N}(33)$.

32

33
$\frac{\delta(\mathrm{pmm})}{88}$
8.8 (doublet)

Integration
7.56 (doublet)

2
0.3 (singlet) 18
8.15 (doublet) 2
7.65 (doublet) 2

Assignment $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6}(\mathrm{Ha})_{2}(\mathrm{Hb})_{2}-$ $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6}(\mathrm{Ha})_{2}(\mathrm{Hb})_{2}-$ $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)_{2}$ $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6}(\mathrm{Ha})_{2}(\mathrm{Hb})_{2}-$ $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6}(\mathrm{Ha})_{2}(\mathrm{Hb})_{2-}$

Internal reference $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

### 2.8.2 X-ray Structure of $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CNSC(Cl)N}$.

Crystals of compound 33 were grown by slow evaporation of a diethyl ether solution to give long rectangular plate crystals. The crystallographic data are summarized in Table 2.14 and selected bond lengths, bond angles and torsional angles are given in

Table 2.15. Figure 2.9 illustrates an ORTEP drawing of the structure. Full details of the X-ray structure are available from Dr. M. Parvez.

Table 2.14. Crystallographic Parameters for 4- $\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \widehat{\mathrm{CNSC}(\mathrm{Cl})} \mathrm{N}$.

| Empirical Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{SBrCl}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula Weight | 275.55 |
| Crystal Color, Habit | pale beige, plate |
| Crystal Dimensions | $0.50 \times 0.40 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Crystal System | triclinic |
| Lattice Parameters | $\mathrm{a}=7.957(3) \AA$ |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=10.864(5) \AA$ |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=5.625(1) \AA$ |
|  | $\alpha=95.94(3)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\beta=111.07(3)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\gamma=100.72(3)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{V}=469.2(3) \AA^{3}$ |
| Space Group | $\mathrm{P} \overline{1}(\# 2)$ |
| Z value | 2 |
| $D_{\text {calc }}$ | $1.950 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |
| Temperature | $-103.0^{\circ}$ |
| $R$ | 0.042 |
| $R_{w}$ | 0.041 |

Table 2.15. Selected Bond Lengths $(\AA)$, Bond Angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ and Torsional Angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) for 4$\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CNSC}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{N}$.

| Atoms | $(\AA)$ | Atoms | $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.650(6)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $91.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.709(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $107.9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.302(7)$ | $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $108.4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.380(8)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{S}$ | $122.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.331(7)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $123.5(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.706(7)$ | $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $113.6(5)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $118.3(6)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $-178.8(4)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $178.8(4)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $0.0(7)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $179.8(4)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $-0.6(6)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $-0.3(5)$ |

Numerous structurally characterized examples of 1,2,4-thiadiazoles exist with N, C and $S$ bound substituents on the two cyclic $C$ atoms. ${ }^{43-47}$ A common structural feature of these rings is their planarity. In 33 the planarity of the five-membered-ring is evident from the various torsional angles listed in Table 2.15. Bond lengths indicate partial $\pi$-bond delocalization within the five-membered ring: $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) 1.302(7) \AA, \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1) 1.38 \AA$, $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2) 1.331(7) \AA$ (cf. $1.28 \AA$ for $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ double bond and $1.46 \AA$ for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ single bond) ${ }^{41}$, $N(2)-S 1.650(6) \AA$ (cf. $1.78 \AA$ for $N$-S single bond and $1.60 \AA$ for $N=S$ double bond ${ }^{40}$ and finally $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{S} 1.709$ (7) $\AA$ (cf. $1.81 \AA$ for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ single bond and $1.62 \AA$ for $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}$ double bond). Bond angles S-C(1)-N(1) and $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ are $113.6(5)^{\circ}$ and $118.3(6)^{\circ}$, respectively, for the two C centers. Endocyclic bond angles at $N(1), N(2)$ and $S$ are $107.9(5)^{\circ}, 108.4(5)^{\circ}$ and $91.8(3)^{\circ}$, respectively.


Figure 2.9. ORTEP diagram of $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \widetilde{\mathrm{CNSC}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{N}}$.

### 2.9 Reaction of $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with $3 \mathbf{~ P h S e C l}$.

In addition to the experiments discussed in Sections 2.1-2.8, several other reactions were attempted in order to isolate a selenium-containing eight-membered or sixteenmembered ring. In contrast to the reaction of $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with PhSCl , the corresponding reaction with PhSeCl seems to favor the formation of diazenes of type 25. The selenium compounds are extremely sensitive to moisture and air and so the usual detection method (TLC) and separation technique (column chromatography) could not be utilized. Since the reaction of various benzamidines with PhSeCl give similar products, the characterization data for only one reaction, $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ and PhSeCl will be given. The conditions that favored the formation of the ring systems 27 d and 28 were used giving only one new observation. When the reaction is kept at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h the solution becomes red for $S$, but for $S e$ the solution remains yellow and a lot of yellow precipitate is formed. This yellow precipitate, possibly the trisubstituted derivative 34, was not isolated since it decomposes upon warming (quantitatively) to the diazene $\mathbf{2 5 j}$.


34


25j

### 2.9.1 Spectroscopic Characterization of $Z, E, Z-\mathrm{PhSeNC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}(4-$

## $\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ )NSePh.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectra for $\mathbf{2 5 h}(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{S})$ and $\mathbf{2 5 j}(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{Se})$ are similar. All ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR data are listed in Table 2.16.


Table 2.16. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data for $Z, E$, $Z-\mathrm{PhSeNC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSePh}$.
25j

| $\delta$ ¢ |  | Integration | Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.27 | (doublet) | 2 | $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6}(\mathrm{Ha})_{2}(\mathrm{Hb})_{2}-$ |
| 7.72 | (doublet) | 2 | $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6}(\mathrm{Ha})_{2}(\underline{\mathrm{Hb}})_{2-}$ |
| 7.82 | (multiplet) | 5 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}-$ |

7.37-7.55 (multiplet)

Internal reference $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

### 2.10 CONCLUSIONS

The aim of this part of the research was to develop synthetic routes or conditions that would favor formation of CNS macrocycles. To date a sixteen-membered ring 28 has been isolated and structurally characterized. It was determined through systematic trials that prolonged low temperature reaction conditions favor the formation of rings, whereas room temperature and elevated temperatures clearly result in quantitative yields of the diazene 25. Although larger rings were not isolated, eight and sixteen-membered rings were obtained in optimized yields of $80 \%$ and $30 \%$, respectively. In addition to the X-ray structure of the sixteen-membered ring determined previously, ${ }^{36}$ two eight-membered $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ rings, $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}(27 \mathrm{~d})$ and $\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$ (27a), were
isolated and structurally characterized. The structures of these two heterocycles show several similarities: both crystallize in the same space group (C2/c \#15), both have a boatboat conformation, there is extensive delocalization in the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ rings, and they produce a purple color when exposed to UV radiation or heated (see Chapter 3).

In addition, a new geometrical isomer of the diazene of type 25 was isolated. This new isomer $\mathrm{PhSN}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSPh} 30 \mathrm{a}$ is predominantly formed when the aryl group attached to C has an ortho substituent. It is red and adopts an $E, E, E$ geometry. As well, a Pt complex of $\mathbf{3 0 a}$ was synthesized to determine if interconversion between 30 and 25 was possible. The metal complex $\mathrm{Pt}\left\{\mathrm{PhSNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{NC}(2-\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSPh}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)(\mathbf{2 6 b})$ exhibits the same structural features as were found previously for an analogous Pt complex of the diazene 25d. ${ }^{35}$ This suggests that interconversion between these diazene isomers is possible.

To further explore the factors affecting formation of eight-membered rings, an alternative synthetic route was considered. However, the reaction of 4- $\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with $\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CSCl}$ produced a five-membered ring 4- $\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \stackrel{\mathrm{CNSC}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{N}}{ }(\mathbf{3 3})$ through an interesting reaction which is best seen in Scheme 2.4. The X-ray analysis of this fivemembered ring showed it to be planar with some indication of $\pi$-bond delocalization.

Finally several attempts to make the selenium analogs of the heterocycles 27a, 27d and 28 were carried out, but the exclusive product was a selenium-containing diazene of type 25 (based on UV-vis. data) regardless of the substituent on C.

### 2.11 EXPERIMENTAL

### 2.11.1 General

All reactions and distillations were performed under an atmosphere of nitrogen gas. Separation of products on silica gel columns and TLC were performed under a solvent-rich atmosphere and exposure to air was minimized. Nitrogen was dried by passing through a column of phosphorus pentoxide and Sicapent (E. Merck Darmstedt), a commercial drying agent of phosphorus pentoxide and a moisture indicator. Manipulations of solids, both reagents and products, were carried out under an atmosphere of nitrogen gas, either under a cone of flowing gas or in a Innovative Technology glove box. All solutions were transferred via syringes or transfer needle, flushed with nitrogen.

### 2.11.2 Instrumentation

All ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker ACE 200 Spectrometer. The references for the spectra were internal deuterated solvents. Chemical shifts are quoted relative to tetramethylsilane ( 0 ppm ).

Ultraviolet spectra were obtained by using a Cary 219 spectrometer. Infrared spectra were obtained as Nujol mulls on KBr plates with a Mattson 4030 FT-IR spectrometer. Crystal structures were determined from data collected on a Rigaku AFCS diffractometer, at low temperatures. Computer programs for data processing were part of teXsan installed on a Silicon Graphics Personal Iris 4D/35 computer. The structures were solved and refined using the appropriate programs contained in the teXsan ${ }^{48}$ package and
plotted using ORTEP ${ }^{49}$. All chemical analyses were carred out by the Analytical Services division of the Department of Chemistry, University of Calgary.

### 2.11.3 Solvents

All solvents used for reactions and crystallizations were of reagent grade and refluxed over the appropriate drying agent, under an inert atmosphere of nitrogen or argon. Solvents (and drying agents) used include: chloroform (phosphorus pentoxide); dichloromethane (first distilled over phosphorus pentoxide and then calcium hydride (BDH)); diethyl ether (sodium wire/benzophenone, both from Aldrich); hexanes (sodium wire/benzophenone); pentane (sodium wire) and toluene (sodium wire/benzophenone). Chloroform- $\mathrm{d}_{3}$ and dichloromethane- $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ (Aldrich) were not distilled, but used after drying over freshly heated $\left(400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ Davison Molecular sieves ( $4 \AA$, purchased from Fisher).

### 2.11.4 Reagents

The starting materials: $p$-bromobenzonitrile, $m$-bromobenzonitrile, $o$-bromobenzonitrile, $p$-trifluorotolunitrile, $o$-trifluorotolunitrile, diphenyl disulphide, trichloromethanethiol, benzeneselenenyl chloride, potassium tetrachloroplatinate(II), cyclooctadiene, triphenylphosphine, sulphuryl chloride and trimethylsilyl chloride were obtained from Aldrich and used as received. Celite 545 for the purpose of filtration was obtained from Fisher Scientific and dried in an oven $\left(110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ prior to use. Silica gel (170250 mesh) for column chromatography and silica plates for thin layer chromatography were
also obtained from Aldrich and used as received. Lithium hexamethyldisilylamide (from Aldrich) was dissolved in hexanes and 1.1 equivalents of diethyl ether was added. The lithium hexamethyldisilylamide etherate adduct then precipitated out of solution and was washed twice with hexanes prior to storage in a dry box. Sulphuryl chloride and trichloromethanethiol were freshly distilled prior to use.

The synthesis of benzenesulphenyl chloride involved the oxidative addition of $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ across the S-S bond in diphenyl disulphide. ${ }^{50}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ was made according to a literature preparation. ${ }^{51} 4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}, 3-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}, 2-$ $\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}, 4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ and $2-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ were prepared by the same general route according to the method of Oakley et al. ${ }^{38}$ All these compounds have similar ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra with an aromatic signal between $7-8 \mathrm{ppm}$ (either an $\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{XX}^{\prime}$ doublet of doublets for $p$-substituted compounds or a multiplet from the $m$ and $o$-substituted compounds with an integrated area of 4 ) and a singlet at $\sim 0.1 \mathrm{ppm}$ (three $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ groups with an integrated area of 27).

### 2.11.5 Reaction of $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\text { SiMe }_{3}\right)_{3}$ with 3 PhSCl.

A solution of $\mathrm{PhSCl}(0.77 \mathrm{~g}, 5.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 30 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added dropwise to $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}(0.744 \mathrm{~g}, 1.79 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 50 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone $\left./ \mathrm{N}_{2(1)}\right)$ under an $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. Near the end of the addition ( $\sim 30 \mathrm{~min}$.) the solution changed from pale yellow to an orange color. After the addition the solution was kept at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h ., during which time the color changed to purple. The following day the solvent was reduced
to 10 mL and a TLC was conducted to determine the number of products present in the reaction mixture (see Section 2.2.1). The remaining solvent was pumped off and the solid was washed with pentane $(4 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ to remove $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(0.37 \mathrm{~g}, 94.7 \%)$. The pentaneinsoluble solid was separated into $(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})(\mathbf{2 5 h})$ ( $0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%$ ), $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}(27 \mathrm{~d})(0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%)$, and $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{4} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4}(\mathrm{Ph})_{4}$ (28) $(0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5 \%)$ according to the scheme depicted in Figure 2.2. The details of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR characterization and crystallographic data for compounds $\mathbf{2 5 h}, 27 \mathrm{~d}$ and 28 are found in Section 2.2.3. The remaining characterization data are listed in Table 2.17.

Table 2.17. Elemental Analysis, Mass Spectra, Melting Points and UV-visible data for $Z$, $E$, $\mathrm{Z}-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})(\mathbf{2 5 h}),\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}(\mathbf{2 7 d})$ and $\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right){ }_{4} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4}(\mathrm{Ph})_{4}(\mathbf{2 8})$.

## 25h

| Elemental Analysis | Calculated (\%) | Experimental (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carbon | 51.16 | 49.95 |
| Hydrogen | 2.97 | 2.87 |
| Nitrogen | 9.18 | 9.07 |
| Mass Spectrum |  |  |
| Melting Point $193^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ decomposes to a pale yellow liquid |  |  |
| UV-visible Spectra <br> $\lambda_{\text {max }}=543 \mathrm{~nm}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 547 \mathrm{~nm}$ in toluene, $\varepsilon=1.7 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ |  |  |
| 27d |  |  |
| Elemental Analysis | Calculated (\%) | Experimental (\%) |
| Carbon | 51.16 | 50.86 |
| Hydrogen | 2.97 | 2.60 |
| Nitrogen | 9.18 | 8.95 |
| Mass Spectrum |  |  |
| Melting Point $199^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ decompos | a purple colored |  |

UV-visible Spectra
$\lambda_{\text {max. }}=288 \mathrm{~nm}, \varepsilon=3.8 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, in toluene
28

| Elemental Analysis | Calculated (\%) | Experimental (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Carbon | 51.16 | 50.66 |
| Hydrogen | 2.97 | 2.71 |
| Nitrogen | 9.18 | 8.95 |

Mass Spectrum
Fast Atomic Bombardment $1220\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$
Melting Point
$211^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ decomposes and gets a little darker; continues to get darker up to $263^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then it melts giving a brown liquid
UV-visible Spectra
absorbs below 230 nm

### 2.11.6 Reaction of $\mathbf{2}-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with $3 \mathbf{P h S C l}$.

A solution of $\mathrm{PhSCl}(1.89 \mathrm{~g}, 13.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 70 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added dropwise to $2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3},(1.85 \mathrm{~g}, 4.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 90 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The addition took about 45 min . after which the solution was kept at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a large Dewar flask. The following day the solvent was pumped off and the remaining solid was washed with pentane ( $5 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The pentane soluble fraction was separated on a silica column (hexane eluent; $130-270$ mesh; column size: $2.5 \mathrm{~cm} \times 40 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) to give $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ( $0.72 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ) and a red compound $(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})(\mathbf{3 0 a})$. The diazene 30a was recrystallized from a concentrated $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution in the freezer ($20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to give cubic crystals ( $0.68 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ ). The pentane-insoluble fraction was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and filtered to remove some white powder $\mathbf{W}(0.16 \mathrm{~g})$. The crystallographic data
for compound $\mathbf{3 0 a}$ is found in Section 2.3.2. The remaining characterization data is listed in Table 2.18.

Table 2.18. Elemental Analysis, Mass Spectra, Melting Points, UV-visible and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data for $E, E, E-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})(\mathbf{3 0 a})$ and $\mathbf{W}$.

| 30a |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Elemental Analysis | Calculated (\%) | Experimental (\%) |
| Carbon | 51.16 | 50.88 |
| Hydrogen | 2.97 | 2.96 |
| Nitrogen | 9.18 | 9.03 |

Mass Spectrum
Fast Atomic Bombardment $613\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+3\right)$
Melting Point
$178-179^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ sharp
UV-visible Spectra
$\lambda_{\text {max. }}=469 \mathrm{~nm}, \varepsilon=1.75 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right)$
$7.2-7.9 \mathrm{ppm}$ (m, aromatic H's)

| $\mathbf{W}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Elemental Analysis | Calculated (\%) | Experimental (\%) |
| Carbon | 51.16 | 50.86 |
| Hydrogen | 2.97 | 2.60 |
| Nitrogen | 9.18 | 8.95 |

Mass Spectrum
Electron Impact 198/200 (two equal peaks indicating a single Br )
Melting Point
$293^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ decomposes to a brown liquid
IR
No evidence of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$

### 2.11.7 Reaction of $E, E, E-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}=\mathrm{C}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)=\mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})$ with $\left(\mathbf{P h}_{3} \mathbf{P}\right)_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{P t}\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C}=\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)$

A solution of $E, E, E-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}=\mathrm{C}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)=\mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})(30 \mathrm{a})(67.6$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.111 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 20 mL of toluene was placed over molecular sieves ( $4 \AA$, flamed and pumped on for 1 h .) for 1 h . A solution of $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)(84.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.113 \mathrm{mmol})$ was also dried over molecular sieves and transferred to a Schlenk vessel and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The diazene solution was then added dropwise to the $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ solution and the mixture was allowed to reach room temperature. After 3 days the solvent was pumped off the dark red solution. Recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /diethyl ether gave some red plates of $\mathbf{3 0 a}(8 \mathrm{mg})$ and also yellow rectangular prisms of $\mathrm{Pt}\left\{\mathrm{PhSNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{NC}(2-\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSPh}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)(\mathbf{2 6 b})(35 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \%)$. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and crystallographic data for compound 26b are found in Section 2.4.1 and 2.4.2 respectively. The other characterization data are listed in Table 2.19.

Table 2.19. Elemental Analysis, Melting Points and UV-visible data for $\mathrm{Pt}\{\mathrm{PhSNC}(2$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSPh}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ (26b).

| 26b |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Elemental Analysis | Calculated (\%) | Experimental (\%) |
| Carbon | 49.49 | 44.12 |
| Hydrogen | 3.12 | 1.26 |
| Nitrogen | 5.25 | 4.48 |

## Melting Point

$225^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ decomposes
UV-visible Spectra
$\lambda_{\text {max. }}=240 \mathrm{~nm}, 266 \mathrm{~nm}, 320 \mathrm{~nm}, 366 \mathrm{~nm}$, in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$

### 2.11.8 Reaction of $\mathbf{2}-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{~ P h S C l}$.

A solution of $\mathrm{PhSCl}(1.80 \mathrm{~g}, 12.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 60 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added dropwise to $2-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3},(1.61 \mathrm{~g}, 3.99 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 100 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The addition took about 45 min. after which the solution was kept at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a large dewar. The following day the solvent was pumped off and the remaining solid was washed with pentane ( $5 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The pentane soluble fraction was passed on a silica column (hexane eluent; 130-270 mesh; column: $2.5 \mathrm{~cm} \times 40 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) to separate $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(0.85 \mathrm{~g}$, $98 \%)$ and a red compound $(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SN}\left(2-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})(30 b)(0.29 \mathrm{~g}$, $25 \%$ ). The pentane-insoluble fraction was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and filtered to remove some white powder $\mathbf{X}(0.84 \mathrm{~g})$. The characterization data for $\mathbf{3 0 b}$ are listed in Table 2.20.

Table 2.20. Elemental Analysis, Mass Spectra, Melting Points, UV-visible and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR data for $E, E, E-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SNC}\left(2-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})(\mathbf{3 0 b})$ and $\mathbf{X}$.

| Elemental Analysis Calculated (\%) | Experimental (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Carbon 57.14 | 56.75 |
| Hydrogen 3.08 | 2.87 |
| Nitrogen 9.52 | 9.11 |
| Mass Spectrum <br> Fast Atomic Bombardment $591\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+3\right)$ |  |
| Melting Point $134^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, sharp |  |
| UV-visible Spectra $\lambda_{\text {max. }}=469 \mathrm{~nm}, \varepsilon=1.57 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \text { in } \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} { }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \\ 7.3-7.9 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{~m}, \text { aromatic } \mathrm{H} ' \mathrm{~s}) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| X |  |
| Elemental Analysis . Calculated (\%) | Experimental (\%) |
| Carbon | 3.35, 4.33 |
| Hydrogen | 4.30, 4.25 |
| Nitrogen | 2.03, 2.28 |

## Mass Spectrum

Electron Impact 188

Melting Point
$285^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, decomposes to black solid

### 2.11.9 Reaction of $3-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with 3 PhSCl.

A solution of $\mathrm{PhSCl}(1.71 \mathrm{~g}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 50 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added dropwise to $3-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3},(1.852 \mathrm{~g}, 4.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 70 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. During the addition the solution remained yellow. The solution was kept at $78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a large Dewar flask for 16 h ., during which time it became purple. The following day the solvent was pumped off and the solid residue was washed with pentane ( $4 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) to remove $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(0.66 \mathrm{~g}, 78.5 \%)$. The remaining solid was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and filtered to remove some $Z, E, Z-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SNC}\left(3-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(3-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})(25 i)$. Pentane was added to the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution and the solution was placed in the freezer $\left(-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ to give a second crop of $25 \mathrm{i}(0.88 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%)$. The remaining solution was pumped down and the solid residue was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /diethyl ether to give a white crystalline powder $\mathbf{Y}$ $(0.26 \mathrm{~g})$. There was also some evidence of a red colored species $\left(\lambda_{\max }=509 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$ which readily transformed into $25 i$. The characterization data for $25 i$ and $Y$ are listed in Table 2.21.

Table 2.21. Elemental Analysis, Mass Spectra, Melting Points, UV-visible and ${ }^{1}$ H-NMR data for $Z, E, Z-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SNC}\left(3-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(3-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})(\mathbf{2 5 i})$ and $\mathbf{Y}$.

## $25 i$

| Elemental Analysis | Calculated (\%) | Experimental (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Carbon | 51.16 | 51.00 |
| Hydrogen | 2.97 | 2.84 |
| Nitrogen | 9.18 | 9.08 |
| Mass Spectrum |  |  |
| Electron Impact | $487\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{NSPh}\right)$ |  |
| Melting Point |  |  |
| $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ sharp |  |  |
| UV-visible Spectra |  |  |
| $\lambda_{\text {max. }}=544 \mathrm{~nm}, \varepsilon=2.0 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |  |  |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ |  |  |
| $7.4-8.6 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}$, aromatic H 's $)$ |  |  |


| $\mathbf{Y}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Elemental Analysis | Calculated (\%) | Experimental (\%) |
| Carbon |  | 61.3 |
| Hydrogen |  | 4.17 |
| Nitrogen |  | 5.14 |

Mass Spectrum
Fast Atomic Bombardment 611
Melting Point
$220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ decomposes to a orange-red solid
UV-visible Spectra
absorbs below 230 nm
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
6.97-8.32 ppm (m, aromatic H's)

### 2.11.10 Reaction of $4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with $3 \mathbf{~ P h S C l}$.

A solution of $\mathrm{PhSCl}(1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 9.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 50 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added dropwise to $4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3},(1.16 \mathrm{~g}, 2.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 80 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. During the addition the solution remained yellow. The solution was kept at $78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a large Dewar flask, during which time it became purple. The following day the
solvent was pumped off and the solid residue was washed with pentane $(4 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ to remove $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%)$. A TLC of both the solid (in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ and the pentane solution indicated the presence of $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$, the diazene $\mathrm{Z}, E, \mathrm{Z}-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SNC}\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}(4-$ $\left.\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})(25 e$ ), a burgundy compound (which readily transformed to 25e), and some eight-membered ring $\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$ (27a). The remaining solid (after pentane wash) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and filtered to remove 25 e ( $0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 19 \%$ ). The filtrate mainly contained the eight-membered ring $27 \mathrm{a}(1.13 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ ), which was purified by several recrystallizations from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /diethyl ether. The details of the spectroscopic characterization are found in Section 2.7.1. The other characterization data are listed in

## Table 2.22.

Table 2.22. Elemental Analysis, Mass Spectra, Melting Points and UV-visible data for $Z$, $E, \mathrm{Z}-(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SNC}\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Ph})(25 i)$ and $\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}$ (27a).

## $25 i$

| Elemental Analysis | Calculated (\%) | Experimental (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Carbon | 57.14 | 56.23 |
| Hydrogen | 3.08 | 2.58 |
| Nitrogen | 9.52 | 9.14 |
| Mass Spectrum |  |  |
| Electron Impact | $588\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$ |  |

## Melting Point

$205^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, sharp with some dec. to red liquid

## UV-visible Spectra

$\lambda_{\text {max. }}=541 \mathrm{~nm}, \varepsilon=2.2 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$

## 27a

| Elemental Analysis | Calculated (\%) | Experimental (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Carbon | 57.14 | 57.56 |
| Hydrogen | 3.08 | 2.15 |
| Nitrogen | 9.52 | 9.23 |

## Mass Spectrum

Electron Impact $588\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$

## Melting Point

$178^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ begins to turn purple and melts at $204^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ giving purple liquid
UV-visible Spectra
$\lambda_{\text {max }}=252 \mathrm{~nm}, \varepsilon=3.9 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$

### 2.11.11 Reaction of $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with $\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CSCl}$.

A solution of $\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CSCl}(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 3.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 25 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added dropwise to $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3},(1.37 \mathrm{~g}, 3.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 25 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The solution was then stirred for 72 h during which time no color changes were observed. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectra were recorded periodically to monitor the disappearance of $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{NSCCl}_{3}\right)\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ (32). After 3 days the solvent was pumped off, leaving a viscous yellow oil with some white powder. The mixture was separated by precipitation of the white powder from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ :diethyl ether mixture. The white powder was identified as the hydrolyzed carbamidine $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \%)$ by EI-MS. The remaining solution was recrystallized by a slow evaporation of a diethyl ether solution giving pale beige rectangular crystals of the five membered ring 4-BrC $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CNSC}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{N}(33)(0.73 \mathrm{~g}$, $82 \%$ ).

Table 2.23. Elemental Analysis, Mass Spectrum and Melting Point data for 4$\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CNSC}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{N}$ (33).

| 33 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Elemental Analysis | Calculated (\%) | Experimental (\%) |
| Carbon | 34.87 | 35.39 |
| Hydrogen | 1.46 | 1.50 |
| Nitrogen | 10.17 | 9.33 |


| Mass Spectrum |
| :--- |
| Electron Impact $\quad 275\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$ |
| Melting Point |
| $\quad 85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ sharp |

pectrum
g Point
$85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ sharp

### 2.11.12 Reaction of $\mathbf{4}-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with $\mathbf{P h S e C l}$.

A solution of $\mathrm{PhSeCl}(0.987 \mathrm{~g}, 5.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 40 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added dropwise to $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3},(0.738 \mathrm{~g}, 1.78 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 65 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone $/ \mathrm{N}_{2}$ (1) $)$ under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. During the addition the solution went to a pale yellow color. The solution was kept at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a large Dewar flask, during which time it remained yellow and a lot of yellow precipitate formed. The following day the solution was allowed to reach $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, during which time the precipitate dissolved and the solution became an intense violet color. The solvent was pumped off and the solid residue was washed with pentane ( $5 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) to remove $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{2}$ leaving the diazene $Z, E, Z-\mathrm{PhSeNC}(4-$ $\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSePh}(\mathbf{2 5 j})(0.63 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%)$. The details of the spectroscopic characterization are found in Section 2.9.1. The other characterization data are listed in

Table 2.24.

Table 2.24. Elemental Analysis, Melting Point and UV-visible data for $Z, E, Z$-PhSeNC(4$\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSePh}(\mathbf{2 5 j})$.

25j

| Elemental Analysis | Calculated (\%) | Experimental (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Carbon | 44.35 | 44.21 |
| Hydrogen | 2.58 | 2.09 |
| Nitrogen | 7.96 | 7.76 |

## Melting Point

$166^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ decomposes to yellow liquid
UV-visible Spectra

$$
\lambda_{\text {max. }}=555 \mathrm{~nm}, \varepsilon=1.3 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \text {, in } \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}
$$

## CHAPTER THREE

# Photochemical Investigation of the Isomerization of Eight-membered Rings $(\mathbf{A r})_{2} \mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{N}_{4} \mathbf{S}_{2}(\mathbf{P h})_{2}$ to the Diazenes $(\mathbf{P h}) \mathbf{S N C}(\mathbf{A r}) \mathbf{N}=\mathbf{N C}(\mathbf{A r}) \mathbf{N S}(\mathbf{P h})$ 

### 3.1 Introduction

The photochemistry of inorganic ring systems is a relatively unexplored area of research. To date only a few reports of the photolysis of sulfur-nitrogen ring systems have appeared in literature. ${ }^{52,53}$ Usually these photochemical processes involve the expulsion of small molecules, e.g. $S_{8}, S_{4} N_{2}$, and $S_{7} N H$. However, only one article has been reported that describes a photochemical isomerization. This involves the transformation of 1,3,2,4dithiadiazolyl radicals to the 1,2,3,5-dithiadiazolyl isomer (see Scheme 3.1). ${ }^{53}$


Scheme 3.1. Photochemical rearrangement of 1,3,2,4-dithiadiazolyl to 1,2,3,5dithiadiazolyl radicals.

The above process involves an unstable $\pi^{*}-\pi^{*}$ dimer intermediate. The overall reaction proceeds by second order kinetics and is essentially quantitative.

### 3.2 Qualitative Experiments

In this work it was observed during a standard melting point determination of the two eight-membered rings $(\mathrm{Ar})_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2},\left(\mathrm{Ar}=4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathbf{2 7 d}), 4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathbf{2 7 a})\right)$, that the compounds seemed to decompose at approx. $195^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give a dark colored melt. In addition, it was noticed that a pure (solid) samples of $\mathbf{2 7 d}$ and $\mathbf{2 7 a}$ developed a purple stain when left in a sample vial for several days on the bench. It was postulated that the unexpected purple coloration could be a result of the formation of the corresponding diazene 25. In order to determine the source of the purple color a sample of $\mathbf{2 7 d}$ was melted in a 5 mm . NMR tube. $\mathrm{A}^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of $\mathbf{2 7 d}$ was obtained prior to melting to verify the absence of the diazene. A second ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum was recorded after melting the sample. In addition to the resonances for 27 d , new signals for the corresponding diazene $\mathbf{2 5 h}$ were observed. Details of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra of $\mathbf{2 7 d}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 h}$ can be found in Section 2.2.3. The entire mixture was then quickly washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to remove trace amounts of 27d and concentrate the diazene (by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ). At this point it was apparent that the product of this unusual transformation was the diazene $\mathbf{2 5 h}$. However, the conditions under which this interconversion could be studied needed to be established. A sample of $\mathbf{2 7 d}$ was dissolved in toluene and refluxed for 10 h under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Under these conditions no purple coloration was observed. A second
test was done by taking a sample of this toluene solution and placing it in a quartz cuvette. The sample was then irradiated with UV light using a handheld UV lamp (METRLIGHT, UVS-11), and within several minutes the solution began to appear slightly purple in color. Several solvents were tested and it was observed that $\mathbf{2 7 d}$ was most stable in toluene. Therefore, based on these qualitative tests, it was determined that the photochemical transformation should be studied in toluene solution.

### 3.3 Experimental Setup

Various UV reactors were tested. However, most were too powerful resulting in rapid transformation and poor data. The set-up which proved to be satisfactory involved the use of a single xenon light source with a 313 nm cutoff filter and various interference filters (Figure 3.1). A water filter was placed between the light source and interference filter in order to absorb any heat generated. The entire set-up was then covered by dark plastic and the room was kept dark at all times. The various toluene solutions of $\mathbf{2 7 d}$ were placed in a stoppered flame-sealed quartz cuvette which contained a micro stirring bar for maintaining homogeneity. Absorption spectra were periodically recorded giving the evolution of the UV-vis spectra shown in Figure 3.2. This procedure was performed for various light intensities (by use of interference filters) and also for different concentrations of 27d.


Figure 3.1. Experimental setup for studying the kinetics of the rearrangement of an eight-membered $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ring to the corresponding diazene.


Figure 3.2. Absorbance vs. wavelength (nm) for a typical kinetic run.

### 3.4 Determination of Order of Reaction

For comparison of the accuracy of the data two different concentrations were used for the determination of order of reaction Run 1 employed a $3.15 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ toluene solution and Run 2 used a $3.98 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ toluene solution. Three interference filters $(82.2 \%, 63.8 \%$ and $41.9 \%$ of incident radiation transmitted) were used to obtain data at four intensities (one without any filters). The various runs took approximately 100 min to complete. The data were obtained in the form of absorbance (at 547 nm ) versus time (min) as shown in Tables A. 1 and A. 3 (see Appendix A). Figures 3.3 and 3.4 show the linear plots, with slopes indicated, of absorbance at 547 nm vs. time for Run 1 and Figures 3.6 and 3.7 display the same data for Run 2. From these plots one can obtain the rate of the reaction from the slopes, using $\varepsilon=1.7 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (for the diazene $\mathbf{2 5 h}$ ) and the volume of cell equal to 3.665 mL (see Tables A. 2 and A.4; Appendix A). The final two plots (Figures 3.5 and 3.8) are required to determine the relation between rate and intensity. From these two figures, showing data for Run 1 and Run 2, we can see a linear relation between rate and intensity which indicates a first order reaction. Therefore the rate law would be in the form shown below:

$$
\vartheta=\Phi I_{\text {abs }}
$$

where $\vartheta$ is the rate of the reaction, $\Phi$ is the quantum yield and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{abs}}$. is the amount of incident radiation which is absorbed. From the order it can be inferred that the reaction is unimolecular and hence the transformation is most likely intramolecular.



Figure 3.3. Plots of absorbance at 547 nm vs. time for $3.15 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ solution of 27 d in toluene at intensities of $41.9 \%$ and $63.8 \%$.


Figure 3.4. Plots of absorbance at 547 nm vs. time for $3.15 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ solution of $\mathbf{2 7 d}$ in toluene at intensities of $82.2 \%$ and $100 \%$.


Figure 3.5. Slope of absorbance vs. time vs. intensity plot for $3.15 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ toluene solution of 27 d .


Figure 3.6. Plots of absorbance at 547 nm vs. time for $3.98 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ solution of 27 d in toluene at intensities of $41.9 \%$ and $63.8 \%$.


Figure 3.7. Plots of absorbance at 547 nm vs. time for $3.98 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ solution of 27 d in toluene at intensities of $\mathbf{8 2 . 2 \%}$ and $100 \%$.


Figure 3.8. Slope of absorbance vs. time vs. intensity plot for $3.98 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ toluene solution of 27 d .

### 3.5 Determination of Quantum Yield

A third run was performed in order to determine the quantum yield and an accurate rate for the reaction. In this particular experiment the concentration of the solution of $\mathbf{2 7 d}$ was increased by 25 times from that of Run 2 in order to ensure that the heterocycle 27d is absorbing $100 \%$ of the incident radiation with minimal absorption by the diazene and solvent. Also, only data from the first $5 \%$ of the transformation was used for determination of the quantum yield and rate in order to maximize absorption of radiation by 27 d . Incident radiation intensity was determined by standard actinometry techniques ${ }^{54}$ using potassium tris(oxalato)ferrate (III) which undergoes a reduction of $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}$ to $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {II }}$, according to equation 3.1, when irradiated at 313 nm .

$$
\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{3}\right]^{-3} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{hv}}\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{I}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]^{-2}+2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}
$$

The amount of $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {" }}$ produced is then measured at 510 nm after reacting with a developer solution ( $0.05 \%$ 1,10-phenanthroline/ 0.25 M sodium acetate/ $0.1 \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ). Radiation intensity can then be calculated knowing $\varepsilon=1.11 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $\Phi=1.24$ for the Fe"-phenanthroline complex. The actinometry data are listed in Table A. 5 and the data from Run 3 are listed in Table A. 6 (see Appendix A). The slope from the plot of absorbance at 510 nm vs. time is shown in Figure 3.9, from which the light intensity can be readily calculated, using equations 3.2 and 3.3.


Figure 3.9. Plot of absorbance at 510 nm vs. time for actinometry experiment.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\right) / \mathrm{sec}=\left(\left(\mathrm{A}_{510} / \mathrm{min}\right)(\mathrm{V})\right) /\left(\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{Fe}}\right) 60\right) & \mathbf{3 . 2} \\
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{abs}} / \mathrm{sec}=\left(\mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\right) / \mathrm{sec}\right) /\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{Fc}}\right) & \mathbf{3 . 3}
\end{array}
$$

Where:
$\mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\right) / \mathrm{sec}=$ moles of $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$ produced per second
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{st0}} / \mathrm{min}=$ slope of abs. at 510 nm vs. time plot (Figure 3.9)
$\mathrm{V}=$ total volume $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$
$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{Fc}}=$ molar absorptivity of $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$-phenanthroline at 510 nm
( $1.11 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ )
$I_{\text {abs }} / \mathrm{sec}=$ number of photons per second
$\Phi_{\mathrm{Fc}}=$ quantum yield for formation of $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$ (1.24 at 313 nm )

Therefore, the two calculated values are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\right) / \mathrm{sec}=1.99 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{sec} \\
& \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{abs}} / \mathrm{sec}=1.60 \times 10^{-10} \text { einsteins } / \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

At this point we can again use equations 3.2 and 3.3 to determine n (diazene)/sec and $\Phi_{27 \mathrm{~d}}$ (quantum yield for the interconversion of 27d to the diazene $\mathbf{2 5 h}$ ) using the calculated $\mathrm{I}_{\text {abs }} / \mathrm{sec}$ from above; however, this time total volume $\mathrm{V}=3.665 \mathrm{~mL}$ and $\varepsilon_{25 \mathrm{~h}}=1.7 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. For this we require the plot of abs. at 547 nm vs. time for Run 3, shown in Figure 3.10. The final results are summarized in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1. Rate and quantum yield results for isomerization of an eight-membered ring 27d to the diazene $\mathbf{2 5 h}$.
RUN 3: $9.62 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ Toluene Solution.

|  | Trial 1 | Trial 2 | Average |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (mol/sec.) | $1.42 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ | 0.089 | 0.087 | 0.088 |

### 3.6 Mechanism of Isomerization

Having determined the quantum yield and order of the reaction we can begin to make some inferences as to the mechanism of the isomerization. First, an order of one suggests the transformation is intramolecular. To support this conclusion, the photolysis of the sixteen-membered ring was also investigated;


Figure 3.10. Plots of absorbance at 547 nm vs. time for $9.62 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ solution of 27 d in toluene for Run 3 (trials 1 and 2).
however, no color change was observed even after 3 hours of irradiation. Second, the quantum yield was determined to be 0.09 , which indicates that a radical chain process is unlikely. Usually radical chain processes have quantum yields in excess of $1.5^{55}$ In addition, Ignacio Vargas-Baca has performed DFT calculations to identify the molecular orbitals involved in the rearrangement and to determine the correlation diagram for the isomerization process (see Figure 3.11). ${ }^{34,56}$ These calculations indicate that the isomerization is symmetry-forbidden; however, if an electron is photoexcited to the LUMO (in the UV region) the process is allowed. The calculations also suggest that the pseudo transition state would involve considerable change in the geometry of $\mathbf{2 7 d}$, including flattening and compression of the ring, which brings two antipodal N atoms closer to each other. The proposed mechanism is shown in Scheme 3.2 and Figure 3.12, which depicts the formation of a $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}$ bond at the expense of the elongation of two $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ bonds. It should be noted that the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds are not fully broken since there are weak $\mathrm{S} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ interactions in the diazene. The bicyclic transition state is only a proposed intermediate and has not been isolated.

Another interesting feature of this isomerization is that it also proceeds in visible light when the eight-membered rings are heated to the melting point. When 27d is heated it presumably begins to vibrate faster. Two of the vibrational modes, i.e. those involved in compressing and flattening of the ring, bring it closer to the pseudo transition state (point $\mathbf{A}$ on Figure 3.11). In this way as the ring is distorted its frontier orbitals will also change in energy (point $\mathbf{B}$ on Figure 3.11) resulting in a lower HOMO-LUMO gap, which will allow
the excitation of an electron by lower energy visible light. This is qualitatively supported by an observed color change (from faint yellow to orange) of the ring as it is heated to approx. $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (m.p. $=196^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). In addition a melting point was performed in the dark which resulted in a decomposition of the eight-membered ring 27d with no formation of the diazene $\mathbf{2 5 h}$ (by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ).


Figure 3.11. Correlation diagram for the photoisomerization of $(H)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{H})_{2}$ into $(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{SN}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{H})=\mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{H}) .{ }^{34}$




Scheme 3.2. Proposed mechanism for the photoisomerization.


Figure 3.12. Sequence showing photoisomerization of $(\mathrm{H})_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{H})_{2}$ into $(H) S N=C(H) N=N C(H)=N S(H)$.

### 3.7 Conclusions

The photoisomerization described here represents an interesting and novel conversion of an inorganic ring system to an acyclic diazene. Kinetic studies have shown the reaction to be an intramolecular process which does not involve ring opening. The calculated quantum yield also excludes the possibility of a radical chain process. In addition, DFT calculations have revealed the process to be symmetry-forbidden; however, it is photochemically allowed. In view of the ease of this rearrangement, it is likely to be the forerunner of other unexpected molecular transformations of $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ heterocycles (see Chapter 4 for suggestions).

## CHAPTER FOUR

## Conclusions and Suggestions for Future Work

### 4.1 Conclusions

As stated in Chapter 1 the initial focus of this thesis was the elucidation of the conditions that would aid in increasing the yield of the novel sixteen-membered ring (4$\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{4} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4}(\mathrm{Ph})_{4}(28)$ from the reaction of 4- $\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with $\mathrm{PhSCl}^{36}$ To do this a series of reactions were performed in which the tested variables were: concentration, temperature and time. These experiments indicated that prolonged low temperature reaction conditions favor the formation of rings with the final optimized yield of 28 being $30 \%$. Elevated temperatures clearly favored the formation of the diazene $Z, E, Z-\mathrm{PhSNC}$ (4$\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSPh}(\mathbf{2 5 h})$ in quantitative yield.

In addition to the sixteen-membered ring, an eight-membered ring (4$\left.\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}(27 \mathrm{~d})$ was also isolated with an optimized yield of $80 \%$. The X-ray structure revealed the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ring (ie. 27d) to be in a boat-boat conformation with extensive $\pi$-bond delocalization. A routine melting point determination on this eightmembered ring revealed an unexpected formation of a purple stain which was attributed to the diazene $\mathbf{2 5 h}$. This observation led to an extensive kinetic study into this unusual
isomerization of an inorganic heterocycle to an acyclic diazene. These studies have shown the reaction to be an intramolecular process which does not involve ring opening. The calculated quantum yield also eliminated the possibility of a radical chain process. In addition, DFT calculations indicated the process to be symmetry-forbidden, but photochemically allowed, which was further supported by the observation that thermal isomerization does not occur in the dark. An investigation of a more electronegative substituent than Br (ie. $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ) on the phenyl ring attached to C resulted in the formation of another eight-membered ring $\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2}(27 \mathrm{a})$ as the major product in addition to the diazene $\mathrm{Z}, E, \mathrm{Z}$ - $\mathrm{PhSNC}\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSPh}$ (25h). The Xray structure of this heterocycle indicated structural features analogous to those found for 27d, as well as the similar formation of a purple stain when 27a is exposed to UV radiation. The study of these $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{S}$-diorgano dithiatetrazocines eventually became the main focus of this thesis.

The effect of changing the position of the substituent attached to the aryl group on the nature and distribution of products was also investigated. A reaction of 2$\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br})$ with PhSCl resulted in the isolation of a new geometrical isomer of the diazene of type 25 , namely $E, E$, $E-\mathrm{PhSN}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSPh}$ (30a), whose geometry was established by X -ray crystallography. A similar reaction with $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ resulted in an analogous red $E, E, E$ diazene $\mathbf{3 0 b}$, which indicates that these new diazenes are predominantly formed when the aryl group attached to C has an ortho substituent.

The possible isomerization of the geometrical isomers of the diazenes was also considered. To test this a platinum complex of $\mathbf{3 0 a}$ was synthesized. The metal complex $\mathrm{Pt}\left\{\mathrm{PhSNC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{NC}\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NSPh}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ (26b) exhibits the same structural features as were found previously for an analogous platinum complex of the diazene 25d. ${ }^{35}$ This suggests that interconversion between these diazene isomers is possible in the presence of a metal template; however, this transformation does not occur in boiling toluene in the absence of a metal centre.

To further explore the factors affecting the formation of eight-membered rings, an alternative synthetic route was considered. The outcome of the reaction of 4$\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with $\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CSCl}$ did not lead to the eight-membered ring; however, it did produce a five membered ring $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \overline{\mathrm{CNSC}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{N}}(33)$ through an interesting reaction which resulted in the loss of two Cl atoms from $\mathrm{CCl}_{3}$. The X -ray analysis of this fivemembered ring showed it to be planar with some indication of $\pi$-bond delocalization.

Finally several attempts to make the selenium analogs of the heterocycles 27a, 27d and 28 were carried out, but the exclusive product was a selenium-containing diazene of type 25 (based on UV-vis. data) regardless of the aryl substituent on $C$.

### 4.2 Future Work

In light of these results several suggestions for future work come to mind. In particular it would be of interest to elucidate the mechanism for the formation of the eight and sixteen-membered rings in order to be able to design a better synthetic route to these
interesting compounds. As was suggested in Scheme 2.2 the formation of these rings could proceed through a chain growth process. It should be possible to monitor the formation and disappearance of these acyclic intermediates by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ at low temperatures since the terminal aryl substituents would be in a different environment from the substituents in the middle of the chain. The reaction would have to be performed in the NMR tube in order to prevent temperature fluctuations during transfer. The mixture could then be monitored by low temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ at various time intervals (up to 3 days) for presence of chain species. An advantage of this particular experiment (when the aryl substituent on $\mathbf{C}$ is 4$\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4^{-}}$) is that all the products (total yield $100 \%$, with no unidentified side products) from the reaction have been identified and they all exhibit characteristic ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectra. This would enable a quick detection of new or transient species. In addition it was shown that when the aryl substituent on C is $4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ - the predominant product is the eight membered ring 27a. The presence of the $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ group introduces a new NMR active nucleus $\left({ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{I}=1 / 2,100 \%\right)$ with a larger chemical shift window which might make it easier to detect very similar chain species.

A second possibility, which relates to the statement made in the introduction regarding polymerization of these CNS containing rings, brings us to another interesting experiment. In was shown that the eight-membered rings (27a, 27d) are readily converted to the diazenes (25e, 25 h ) either photochemically or upon melting; in addition the rings and the diazenes both decompose at temperatures above $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and so polymerization via ring opening of $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{IV})$-containing heterocycles is not feasible. However, oxidation of the $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{IV})$
center in the ring to $S(V I)$ may provide more thermally stable rings, whose ring-opening polymerization properties could be studied (see Scheme 4.1). Ideally the $S(V I)$ centre should have a halogen substituted (e.g. $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Cl}$ ) since ring-opening polymerization usually proceeds by a cationic intermediate. ${ }^{5}$


Scheme 4.1. Proposed scheme for the formation of CNSN polymers.

Two recent attempts to make an S(IV) diazene of the type
$\mathrm{Ar}^{\prime} \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{Ar}) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{Ar}) \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Ar}^{\prime}$ have resulted in unexpected products. As indicated in Scheme 4.2 the introduction of a sulfinyl substituent into a trisilylated benzamidine followed by reaction with two equivalents of PhSCl produced S (II) diazene. ${ }^{33}$


Scheme 4.2. Attempted synthesis of a S(IV) diazene.

In a different approach, the attempted oxidation of the S (II) diazene to the corresponding S(IV) diazene results in an intramolecular redox cyclization to give the 1-thia-2,3,5-triazolene shown in Scheme 4.3. ${ }^{57}$


Scheme 4.3. Attempted oxidation of a S(II) diazene to a S(IV) diazene.

The discovery of the isomerization of the eight-membered $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ rings to the corresponding diazenes might provide an interesting route to the $S(I V)$ diazenes. It should be pointed out that, in addition to significant structural rearrangement during the isomerization, the $S(I V)$ centers in the rings (ie. 27a and 27d) are reduced to $S(I I)$ in the diazenes ( $\mathbf{2 5 e}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 h}$ ) while the formation of a $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}$ double bond occurs. By analogy, the photolysis of a $S(\mathrm{VI})$ might provide a convenient route to a $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{IV})$ diazene (see Scheme 4.4).


Scheme 4.4. Proposed photochemical route to S(IV) diazenes.

Furthermore it would be of interest to attempt the isomerization of the phosphorus analogues of the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ eight-membered rings (ie. the $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{S}^{\prime}$-diorgano 1,5$(\mathrm{Ph})_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4}(\mathrm{SR})\left(\mathrm{SR}^{\prime}\right)$ ring $)^{19}$ to determine if diazene formation is possible and if so which isomer is preferred.



Scheme 4.5. Proposed isomerization of the $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\left(\mathrm{SR}^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{SR}^{\prime}\right)\right.$ ring to a diazene.

Finally the product distribution, in the reaction of $\mathrm{ArCN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with Ar SCl as a function of the substituent at $S$ has not yet been studied. In view of the variety of products obtained by altering the aryl substituent attached to carbon it seems likely that the nature of the reagent Ar SCl will also have a marked influence on product distribution. As an example of the effect of the aryl substituent on S , it has been shown that $\mathrm{PhCN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SCCl}_{3}\right)_{3}$ in stable to $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; whereas $\mathrm{PhCN}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ decomposes to the diazene below room temperature. ${ }^{30}$

Clearly the research in this area is not complete, but has only begun especially in view of the new and unexpected chemistry discovered during the isomerization described in this thesis. Practical applications of the photochemical isomerization process are one possibility that should be considered further.
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## APPENDIX A

Table A.1. Time and absorbance data for Run 1.
RUN 1: 3.15×10 ${ }^{-5}$ M Toluene Solution.

| Intensity at 41.9\% |  | Intensity at 63.8\% |  | Intensity at 82.2\% |  | Intensity at 100\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & (\mathrm{min}) \end{aligned}$ | Abs. at 547 nm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & (\min ) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Abs. at } \\ & 547 \mathrm{~nm} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \text { (min) } \end{aligned}$ | Abs. at 547 nm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & (\text { min }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Abs. at } \\ & 547 \mathrm{~nm} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 0 | 0.00725 | 0 | 0.01375 | 0 | -0.00432 | 0 | 0.00371 |
| 4.5 | 0.00473 | 2.5 | 0.01039 | 2 | 0.0016 | 2 | 0.00217 |
| 10.5 | 0.01016 | 5 | 0.01332 | 4 | 0.00586 | 4 | 0.0072 |
| 15 | 0.01315 | 12 | 0.01741 | 6 | 0.0083 | 6 | 0.00531 |
| 17 | 0.01006 | 15 | 0.01942 | 9.5 | 0.01379 | 8 | 0.00786 |
| 20.5 | 0.01538 | 18.5 | 0.02544 | 12 | 0.01505 | 11 | 0.01675 |
| 22.5 | 0.01695 | 23 | 0.03577 | 14 | 0.02179 | 13 | 0.01758 |
| 28.5 | 0.02466 | 26 | 0.04015 | 20.5 | 0.02962 | 15 | 0.02237 |
| 33 | 0.02995 | 29.5 | 0.04507 | 25 | 0.04381 | 17 | 0.02696 |
| 40 | 0.0388 | 35 | 0.05983 | 29 | 0.05328 | 19 | 0.03319 |
| 49.5 | 0.05217 | 38.5 | 0.06755 | 35.5 | 0.07275 | 22 | 0.04207 |
| 56.5 | 0.06189 | 42.5 | 0.076 | 45 | 0.09468 | 31 | 0.07365 |
| 62 | 0.06802 | 49.5 | 0.09097 | 48 | 0.10396 | 33 | 0.08664 |
| 66.5 | 0.07603 | 56 | 0.10349 | 52 | 0.1129 | 35 | 0.09425 |
| 76 | 0.08672 | 61 | 0.11444 | 57 | 0.12378 | 38 | 0.10385 |
| 80 | 0.09227 | 65 | 0.12552 | 61.5 | 0.13583 | 41 | 0.11716 |
| 86.5 | 0.10219 | 73.5 | 0.13898 | 66.5 | 0.14279 | 43 | 0.11945 |
| 91 | 0.1073 | 78.5 | 0.14767 | 68.5 | 0.149 | 45 | 0.12488 |
| 94 | 0.11292 | 81 | 0.1503 | 71 | 0.15385 | 47 | 0.13255 |
| 96 | 0.11472 | 86.5 | 0.15778 | 74 | 0.15852 | 51 | 0.14293 |
| 97.5 | 0.11998 | 91 | 0.16396 | 79 | 0.16454 | 55 | 0.15227 |
|  |  | 94.5 | 0.16762 | 82.5 | 0.17088 | 60 | 0.16394 |
|  |  | 97.5 | 0.17215 | 84.5 | 0.17513 | 64 | 0.17178 |
|  |  | 100 | 0.17607 |  |  | 67 | 0.17476 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 73 | 0.18214 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 79 | 0.18736 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 82 | 0.19537 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 86 | 0.19432 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 89 | 0.19551 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 92 | 0.19617 |

Table A.2. Rate of reaction for Run 1.
RUN 1: 3.15 $\times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ Toluene Solution.

| Intensity (\% of max.) | Slope of abs. vs. time plots | Rate (mol/sec) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 41.9 | $0.00133 / \mathrm{min}$. | $4.77 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 63.8 | $0.00205 / \mathrm{min}$. | $7.36 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 82.2 | $0.00241 / \mathrm{min}$. | $8.65 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 100 | $0.00318 / \mathrm{min}$. | $1.14 \times 10^{-11}$ |

Table A.3. Time and absorbance data for Run 2.
RUN 2: 3.98x10 ${ }^{-5}$ M Toluene Solution.

| Intensity at 41.9\% |  | Intensity at 63.8\% |  | Intensity at 82.2\% |  | Intensity at 100\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \text { (min) } \end{aligned}$ | Abs. at 547 nm | Time (min) | Abs. at 547 nm | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Time } \\ (\mathrm{min}) \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Abs. at } \\ & 547 \mathrm{~nm} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Time (min) | Abs. at 547 nm |
| 0 | 0.01064 | 0 | -0.00192 | 0 | 0.00842 | 0 | 0.00356 |
| 15 | 0.01837 | 5.5 | 0.0069 | 4 | 0.01134 | 3 | 0.00778 |
| 25 | 0.02582 | 11 | 0.01358 | 8 | 0.01633 | 7 | 0.01132 |
| 35 | 0.04372 | 17 | 0.02757 | 13 | 0.02554 | 10 | 0.01939 |
| 47 | 0.05919 | 20.5 | 0.03506 | 17 | 0.0341 | 14 | 0.03256 |
| 53.5 | 0.0694 | 25 | 0.04356 | 24 | 0.05653 | 18 | 0.04446 |
| 56 | 0.07825 | 30 | 0.05563 | 28 | 0.07057 | 20 | 0.05003 |
| 63 | 0.09143 | 38 | 0.07762 | 34 | 0.09052 | 26 | 0.07565 |
| 67.5 | 0.09819 | 45 | 0.09703 | 40 | 0.10924 | 31 | 0.09715 |
| 77 | 0.11507 | 50 | 0.11478 | 45.5 | 0.12621 | 39 | 0.12508 |
| 86 | 0.12498 | 53 | 0.11946 | 49 | 0.13802 | 43 | 0.14636 |
| 95 | 0.13927 | 58 | 0.13422 | 53 | 0.1487 | 49 | 0.16718 |
| 105 | 0.15373 | 63 | 0.14859 | 58.5 | 0.16722 | 54 | 0.18234 |
| 108.5 | 0.15996 | 70 | 0.16693 | 64.5 | 0.17857 | 60.5 | 0.19943 |
| 119 | 0.17868 | 78 | 0.17905 | 70 | 0.19301 | 65.5 | 0.20969 |
|  |  | 88 | 0.19812 | 73 | 0.20264 | 68 | 0.21815 |
|  |  | 96.5 | 0.21719 | 76.5 | 0.20743 | 72.5 | 0.22507 |
|  |  | 101 | 0.22302 | 79 | 0.21515 |  |  |

Table A.4. Rate of reaction for Run 2.
RUN 2: 3.98x10 ${ }^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ Toluene Solution.

| Intensity (\% of max.) | Slope of abs. vs. time plots | Rate (mol/sec) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 41.9 | $0.00161 / \mathrm{min}$. | $5.78 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 63.8 | $0.00263 / \mathrm{min}$. | $9.44 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 82.2 | $0.0032 / \mathrm{min}$. | $1.15 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| 100 | $0.00393 / \mathrm{min}$. | $1.41 \times 10^{-11}$ |

Table A.5. Actinometry data for $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$-phenanthroline complex.

| Actinometer Data |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| Time <br> (min) | Abs. at |
| $\mathbf{5 1 0} \mathbf{~ n m}$ |  |
| 0 | 0.000076 |
| 11 | 0.02354 |
| 15.5 | 0.03526 |
| 20 | 0.0553 |

Table A.6. Time and absorbance data for Run 3.

| RUN 3: 9.62e-4M Toluene Solution. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trial 1 |  | Trial 2 |  |
| Intensity at 100\% |  | Intensity at 100\% |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \text { (min) } \end{aligned}$ | Abs. at 547 nm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & (\mathbf{m i n}) \end{aligned}$ | Abs. at 547 nm |
| 0 | 0.00288 | 0 | 0.01749 |
| 17 | 0.02014 | 18.5 | 0.04129 |
| 25 | 0.03331 | 22.5 | 0.05078 |
| 40 | 0.07265 | 24.5 | 0.05373 |
| 64 | 0.16896 | 26.5 | 0.06096 |
| 70 | 0.19006 | 34.5 | 0.08852 |
| 73 | 0.20349 | 44.5 | 0.10979 |
| 80 | 0.23775 | 58 | 0.17854 |
| 87 | 0.25722 | 64 | 0.20795 |
|  |  | 71 | 0.22993 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ The suffix -ocine refers specifically to an unsaturated eight-membered ring containing nitrogen atoms.

