THE UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY

Hormonal Physiology of Flowering in Xanthium strumarium.

.

.

by

Gregory F.W. Gocal

A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES

CALGARY, ALBERTA

JUNE, 1993

© Gregory F.W. Gocal 1993



National Library of Canada

Acquisitions and Bibliographic Services Branch

395 Wellington Street Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0N4 Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Direction des acquisitions et des services bibliographiques

395, rue Wellington Ottawa (Ontario) K1A 0N4

Your file Votre référence

Our lile Notre référence

The author has aranted an irrevocable non-exclusive licence allowing the National Library of Canada reproduce, to loan. distribute or sell copies of his/her thesis by any means and in any form or format, making this thesis available to interested persons.

L'auteur a accordé une licence irrévocable et non exclusive permettant Bibliothèque à la nationale du Canada de reproduire, prêter, distribuer ou vendre des copies de sa thèse de quelque manière et sous quelque forme que ce soit pour mettre des exemplaires de cette thèse disposition à des la personnes intéressées.

The author retains ownership of the copyright in his/her thesis. Neither the thesis nor substantial extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without his/her permission. L'auteur conserve la propriété du droit d'auteur qui protège sa thèse. Ni la thèse ni des extraits substantiels de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans son autorisation.

ISBN 0-315-88521-1



Greaorv Biological Sciences Name

Dissertation Abstracts International is arranged by broad, general subject categories. Please select the one subject which most nearly describes the content of your dissertation. Enter the corresponding four-digit code in the spaces provided.

Plant Physiolog

SUBJECT TERM

8 SUBJECT CODE

Subject Categories

THE HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

COMMUNICATIONS AND THE ARTS

Architecture	.0729
Art History	.0377
Cinema	.0900
Dance	.0378
Fine Arts	.0357
Information Science	.0723
Journalism	.0391
Library Science	.0399
Mass Communications	.0708
Music	.0413
Speech Communication	.0459
Theater	.0465

EDUCATION

General	05	15
Administration	05	14
Adult and Continuina	05	16
Agricultural	05	17
Ad	02	72
Bilingual and Multicultural	ñž	ัดวั
Business	22	00
Community College	200	75
Community College	24	27
Curriculum and Instruction	07	4
Early Childhood	05	18
Elementary	05	24
Finance	.02	77
Guidance and Counseling	.05	19
Health	.06	80
Higher	07	45
History of	05	20
Home Economics	02	7Ř
Industrial	05	2ĭ
Language and Literature	22	50
Language and Literature	202	0 0 0
Mainematics		20
Music	.05	22
Philosophy of	.09	78
Physical	.05	23

Psychology Secondary0533 Secondary 0533 Social Sciences 0534 Socialogy of 0340 Special 0529 Teacher Training 0530 Technology 0710 Tests and Measurements 0248 Vocreting 0747 Vocational0747

LANGUAGE, LITERATURE AND LINGUISTICS

Language	
General	0679
Ancient	.0289
Linquistics	0290
Modern	0201
Literaturo	
Concert	0401
General	
Classical	
Comparative	0295
Medieval	0297
Modern	0298
African	0316
American	0591
Asian	0305
Canadian (English)	0352
Canadian (French)	0355
Fnalish	0593
Germanic	0311
Latin American	0312
Attille Eastern	
wildale Easiern	
Komance	
Slavic and East European	0314

 Geology
 0370

 Geophysics
 0372

 Geophysics
 0373

 Hydrology
 0388

 Mineralogy
 0411

 Paleobotany
 0345

Paleocology 0426 Paleocology 0426 Paleocology 0426 Paleozology 0485 Palynology 0427 Physical Geography 0368 Physical Oceanography 0415

Environmental Sciences0768

HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL

PHILOSOPHY, RELIGION AND

Gocal

THEOLOGY	
Philosophy	0422
Religion	
General	0318
Biblical Studies	0321
Clergy	0319
History of	0320
Philosophy of	0322
Theology	0469
SOCIAL SCIENCES	
American Studies	0323
Anthropology	
Archaeology	0324
Cultural	0326
Physical	0327
Business Administration	
General	0310
Accounting	0272
Banking	0770
Management	0454
Marketing	0338
Canadian Studies	0365
Conoral	0501
Agricultural	0503
Commerce-Business	0505
Finance	0508
History	0509
Labor	0510
Theory	0511
Folklore	0358
Geography	0366
Gerontology	0351
History	0.070
General	05/8

Ancient	05	70
Madiaval	05	51
	020	21
Modern	020	SZ
Black	03	28
Atrican	.03	31
Asia, Australia and Oceania	03:	32
Canadian	03	37
Furopego	03	25
Lotin American	22	52
Attalle Sectors	23	20
Middle Eastern	03	33
United States	03.	3/
History of Science	05	85
Law	039	98
Political Science		
General	06	15
International Law and		
Polotions	04	1 4
Dublic Administration	20	12
Public Administration	VO.	22
Recreation	Ū8	14
Social Work	04:	52
Sociology		
General	06	26
Criminology and Penology	06	27
Demography	09	38
Ethnic and Pacial Studies	ň	žĭ
Individual and Family	000	
Studios and Family	n 2	00
	00	20
Industrial and Labor	~ ~	~~
Relations	06	29
Public and Social Wellare	.06	30
Social Structure and		
Development	070	00
Theory and Methods	03	44
Transportation	07	ήð
Liban and Regional Planning	ño	ŏó
Wanan's Studies	27	77
women's studies	.04	აა

PHYSICAL SCIENCES

Pure Sciences

0370

ure ociences	
Chemistry	
Genéral	.0485
Agricultural	.0749
Analytical	.0486
Biochemistry	0487
Inorganic	0488
Nuclear	0738
Organic	0490
Pharmaceutical	0491
Physical	0494
Polymer	0495
Radiation	0754
Anthematics	0/05
byeice	.0400
General	0405
Acoustics	A800
Actronomy and	.0700
Astronomy and	0404
Asirophysics	0400
Almospheric Science	0740
Flashania and Flashists	.0/40
Electronics and Electricity	.0007
Lieneniary Families and	0700
rign chergy	.0750
Fiuld and Plasma	.0/39
Molecular	.0009
Nuclear	.0010
Optics	.0/52
Radiation	.0/56
Solid State	.0611
statistics	.0463
Applied Sciences	
Applied Mechanics	0346
Computer Science	0084

Engineering	
General	0537
Aerospace	0538
Agricultural	0539
Automotive	0540
Biomedical	0541
Chemical	0542
Civil	0543
Electronics and Electrical	0544
Heat and Thermodynamics.	0348
Hydraulic	0545
Industrial	0546
Marine	0547
Materials Science	0794
Mechanical	.,0548
Metallurgy	0743
Mining	0551
Nuclear	0552
Packaging	0549
Petroleum	0765
Sanitary and Municipal	0554
System Science	0790
Geotéchnology	0428
Operations Research	0796
Plastics Technology	0795
Textile Technology	0994

PSYCHOLOGY

General	
Behavioral	
Clinical	
Developmental	
Experimental	
Industrial	
Personality	
Physiological	
Psychobiology	
Psychometrics	
Social	

Œ

THE SCIENCES AND ENGINEERING

Geodesy

SCIENCES

BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES Aariculture

General	0473
Agronomy	0285
Animal Culture and	
Nutrition	0475
Animal Pathology	0476
Food Science and	
Technology	0359
Forestry and Wildlite	0478
Plant Culture	04/9
Plant Pathology	0480
Plant Physiology	0817
Range Management	0777
Wood Technology	.0/46
Biology	
General	0306
Anatomy	0287
Biostatistics	0308
Botany	0309
Cell	03/9
Ecology	0329
Entomology	0353
Genetics	0369
Limnology	0/93
Microbiology	0410
Molecular	0307
Neuroscience	0317
Oceanography	0416
Physiology	0433
Radiation	0821
Veterinary Science	0//8
	04/2
Biophysics	070/
General	0780
Medical	0/60
EADTH COINCES	
EARID JUENCED	0425
biogeocnemistry	0423

Nom

Dissertation Abstracts International est organisé en catégories de sujets. Veuillez s.v.p. choisir le sujet qui décrit le mieux votre thèse et inscrivez le code numérique approprié dans l'espace réservé ci-dessous.

SUJET

Catégories par sujets

HUMANITÉS ET SCIENCES SOCIALES

COMMUNICATIONS ET LES ARTS

Architecture	0729
Beaux-arts	0357
Bibliothéconomie	.0399
Cinéma	0900
Communication verbale	.0459
Communications	.0708
Danse	.0378
Histoire de l'art	0377
louirnalisme	.0391
Musique	0413
Sciences de l'information	0723
Théâtre	.0465
Thouse internet in the second se	

ÉDUCATION

Generalites	
Administration	.0514
Art	.0273
Collèges communautaires	.027
Commerce	.0688
Économie domestique	.0278
Education permanente	.0516
Education préscolaire	0518
Education sanitaire	0680
Enseignement garicole	0517
Enseignement bilingue et	
multicultural	0281
Encolonement industrial	0521
Enseignement industriet	052
Enseignement primaire.	.0524
Enseignement professionnel	.0/4/
Enseignement religieux	.032/
Enseignement secondaire	.053
Enseignement special	.0529
Enseignement supérieur	.074
Evaluation	.0288
Finances	.0277
Formation des enseignants	.0530
Histoire de l'éducation	.0520
Lanaues et littérature	.0279

Lecture 0535 Mathématiques 0280 Musique 0522 Orientation et consultation 0519 Philosophie de l'éducation 0998 Physique 0523 Programmes d'études et 0523 enseignement 0727 Psychologie 0525 Sciences 0714 Sciences sociales 0534 Sociologie de l'éducation 0340 Technologie 0710

LANGUE, LITTÉRATURE ET LINGUISTIQUE

La

Lit

naves	
Généralités	.0679
Angionnos	้กัวต่
Anciennes	0207
Linguistique	0290
Modernes	.0291
térature	•
Généralités	.0401
Anciennes	0294
Companies	0205
Comparee	0275
Medievale	.029/
¹ Moderne	.0298
Africaine	.0316
Américaine	0591
Analaira	0.503
Angioise	00/0
Asiatique	.0303
Canadienne (Anglaise)	.0352
Canadienne (Francaise)	.0355
Germanique	0311
Latino-amóricaino	0312
Marian antentala	0216
Moyen-orientale	.0313
Komane	.0313
Slave et est-européenne	.0314

PHILOSOPHIE, RELIGION ET

[HEOLOGIE hilosophie	.0422
Religion Généralités Clergé Fudes bibliques	0318
Histoire des religions Philosophie de la religion Inéologie	0320
SCIENCES SOCIALES	
Archéologie	.0324

relle Physique0327 Histoire 0509 Histoire 0509 Théorie 0511 Études américaines 0323 Études canadiennes 0323 Études féministes 0453 Folklore 0358 Géógraphie 0356 Gérontologie 0351 Gestion des affaires 0350 Gestion des affaires 0310 Administration 0454 Banques 0770 Comptabilité 0272 Marketing 0338

Marketing0338

Histoire générale0578

Anciennie	057	79
Médiévale	058	31
Moderne	058	32
Histoire des noirs	032	28
Africaine	033	31
Canadienne	033	34
Etats-Unis	033	5/
Europeenne	033	50
Moyen-orientale	033	33
Latino-americaine	033	20
Histoire des ssienses	030	25
loisine des sciences	030	1
Planification urbaine et	001	4
régionale	099	90
Science politique		1
Généralités	061	5
Administration publique	061	Ž
Droit et relations		
internationales	061	6
Sociologie		
Généralités	062	26
Aide et bien àtre social	063	30
Criminologie et		
établissements	~ ~ ~	
penitentiaires	004	<u>''</u>
Demographie	093	50
de la famille	042	20
Études des relations	004	:0
interethniques et		
des relations raciales	063	21
Structure et développement	000	· ·
social	070)O
Théorie et méthodes.	034	14
Travail et relations		
industrielles	062	29
Transports	070)9
Travail social	045	52

CODE DE SUJET

SCIENCES ET INGÉNIERIE

SCIENCES BIOLOGIQUES

Ag	rıcu	Int	е	
v	Gé	néi	۰al	ite

Généralités	.0473
Aaronomie.	.0285
Alimentation et technologie	
alimentaire	0359
Culture	.0479
Élevage et alimentation	.0475
Exploitation des péturages	.0777
Pathologie animale	.0476
Pathologie végétale	.0480
Physiologie végétale	.0817
Sylviculture et faune	.0478
Téchnologie du bois	.0746
Biologie	
Généralités	,0306
Anatomie	.0287
Biologie (Statistiques)	.0308
Biologie moléculaire	.0307
Botanique	0309
Çellule	.0379
Écologie	.0329
Entomologie	.0353
Génétique	.0369
Limnologie	.0793
Microbiologie	.0410
Neurologie	.0317
Océanographie	.0416
Physiologie	.0433
Radiation	.0821
Science vétérinaire	.0778
Zoologie	.0472
Biophysique	
Généralités	.0786
Medicale	.0760

SCIENCES DE LA TERRE

Biogéochimie	0425
Géochimie	0996
Géodésie	0370
Géographie physique	0368

Géologie	037
Géophysique	037:
Hydrologie	038
Minéralogie	041
Océanoaraphie physique	041:
Paléobotanique	034
Paléoécologie	042
Paléontologie	041
Paléozoologie	098
Palynologie	042

SCIENCES DE LA SANTÉ ET DE L'ENVIRONNEMENT

Économie domestique Sciences de l'environnement	.0386
Sciences de la santé	0566
Administration des hipitaux.	.0769
Alimentation et nutrition	.0570
Chimiothérapie	.0300
Dentisterie	.0567
Développement humain	0758
Immunologie	.0982
Loisirs	.0575
thérapie	.0354
Médecine et chirurgie	.0564
Ophtalmologie	.0380
Orthophonie	.0460
Pathologie	.0571
Pharmacologie	.0419
Physiothérapie	.0382
Santé mentale	.0347
Santé publique	.0573
Soins infirmiers`	.0565

SCIENCES PHYSIQUES

Histoire

Chimie 0485 Genéralités 0485 Biochimie 487 Chimie agricole 0749 Chimie agricole 0486 Chimie agricole 0488 Chimie inderale 0488 Chimie nucléaire 0488 Chimie pharmaceutique 0490 Chimie pharmaceutique 0491 Physique 0494 PolymCres 0495 Radiation 0754 Mathématiques 0405 Physique 0605 Acsoustique 0866 Astronomie et astrophysique 0606 Electronique et électricité 0607 Fluides et plasma 0752 Particules (Physique 0752 Particules (Physique 0752 Particules (Physique 0798 Physique admique 0798 Physique admique 0748 Brytieue admique 0741
Genéralités
Biochimie 487 Chimie agricole 0749 Chimie analytique 0486 Chimie minérale 0488 Chimie organique 0490 Chimie pharmaceutique 0491 Physique 0494 PolymCres 0495 Radiation 0754 Mathématiques 0405 Physique 0405 Physique 0405 Acoustique 0986 Astronomie et 0506 astrophysique 0606 Electronique et électricité 0606 Optique 0759 Mátéorologie 0608 Optique 0752 Particules (Physique 0752 Particules (Physique 0752 Particules (Physique 0748 Physique atomique 0748 Physique de totatodiato of 041 0411
Chimie agricole
Chimie analytique 0486 Chimie minérale 0488 Chimie nucléaire 0738 Chimie platine undeaire 0490 Chimie pharmaceutique 0490 Chimie pharmaceutique 0491 Physique 0495 Radiation 0495 Radiation 0495 Radiation 0405 Physique 0405 Physique 0405 Actoustique 0405 Actoustique 0405 Actoustique 0405 Actoustique 0405 Actoustique 0405 Electronique et électricité 0606 Electronique et électricité 0607 Fluides et plasma 0759 Météorologie 0608 Optique 0752 Particules (Physique 0752 Particules (Physique 0748 Physique domique 0748
Chimie minérale
Chimie nucléaire
Chimie organique
Chimie pharmaceutique
Physique 0494 PolymCres 0495 Radiation 0754 Mathématiques 0405 Physique 0605 Généralités 0605 Acoustique 0986 Astronomie et 0606 Electronique et électricité 0607 Fluides et plasma 0759 Météorologie 0608 Optique 0752 Particules (Physique 0752 Particules (Physique 0798 Physique atomique 0748 Brysique atomique 0741
PolymCres 0495 Radiation 0754 Mathématiques 0405 Physique 0605 Acoustique 0605 Actoronmie et 0606 Electronique et électricité 0606 Electronique et électricité 0607 Fluides et plasma 0759 Météorologie 0608 Optique 0752 Particules (Physique 0752 Particules (Physique 0798 Physique atomique 0748 Physique atomique 0748
Radiation 0754 Mathématiques 0405 Physique 0605 Genéralités 0605 Acoustique 0986 Astronomie et 0506 astrophysique 0606 Electronique et électricité 0607 Fluides et plasma 0759 Météorologie 0608 Optique 0752 Particules (Physique 0752 Particules (Physique 0798 Physique adomique 0748 Brytique adomique 0741
Mathématiques 0405 Physique 0605 Généralités 0986 Astronomie et 0986 astrophysique 0606 Electronique et électricité 0607 Fluides et plasma 0759 Météorologie 0608 Optique 0752 Particules (Physique 0752 Particules (Physique 0798 Physique admirte de l'étert et l'd. 0748 Brotiere 0718
Physique Généralités 0605 Acoustique 0986 Astronomie et 0986 astrophysique 0606 Electronique et électricité 0607 Fluides et plasma 0759 Météorologie 0608 Optique 0752 Particules (Physique 0752 Particules (Physique 0798 Physique adomique 0748 Bysique adomique 0741
Gènéralités
Acoustique
Astronomie et astrophysique
astrophysique
Electronique et électricité0607 Fluides et plasma0759 Météorologie0608 Optique0752 Particules (Physique0798 nucléaire)0798 Physique atomique0748 Physique de l'étte atid.
Fluides et plasma
Météorologie
Optique0752 Particules (Physique nucléaire)
Particules (Physique nucléaire)
nucléaire)
Physique atomique
Dhuaianna da l'átataalida ()411 .
Filysique de l'eldi solide
Physique moléculaire
Physique nucléaire0610
Radiation0756
Statistiques0463
Sciences Appliqués Et
Technologie
Informatique 0984
Ingénierie
Généralités 0537
Agricole 0539
Automobile 0540

Biomédicale	054	1
Chaleur et ther		
modynamique	034	8
Conditionnement		
(Emballage)	054	9
Génie gérospatial	053	8
Génie chimique	054	ž
Génie civil	054	3
Génie électronique et	•• •	-
électrique	054	4
Génie industriel	054	Ġ.
Génie mécanique	054	ã
Génie nuclégire	055	5
Ingénierie des systämes	079	õ
Mécanique navale	054	ž
Métalluraie	074	З
Science des matériaux	079	ž
Technique du nétrole	076	5
Technique minière	055	ĭ
Techniques sanitaires et		•
municipales	055	4
Technologie hydraulique	054	5
Mécanique appliquée	034	ž
Géotechnologie	042	Ř
Matières plastiques	U -1.2	
(Technologie)	079	5
Recherche opérationnelle	079	6
Textiles et tissus (Technologie)	079	Ň
to and a more freemologicy in	•	
PSYCHOLOGIE		

PS) Généralités Personnalite 0020 Psychologie clinique 0349 Psychologie clinique 0622 Psychologie du comportement 0384 Psychologie du développement ...0620 Psychologie expérimentale 0623 Psychologie industrielle 0624 Psychologie physiologique 0989 Psychologie sociale 0451 Psychologie sociale 0451 æ

THE UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY

FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies for acceptance, a thesis entitled, "Hormonal Physiology of Flowering in <u>Xanthium strumarium</u>" submitted by Gregory F.W. Gocal in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science.

Supervisor, Dr. R.P. Pharis Department of Biological Sciences

eid 14

Dr. D.M. Reid

Department of Biological Sciences

Mkapor

Dr. M. Kapoor Department of Biological Sciences

fromas & Bank

Dr. T.G. Back Department of Chemistry

June 22

ABSTRACT

Hormonal physiology in <u>Xanthium strumarium</u> was studied in relation to floral evocation. The florigenic signal (from photoperiod induction through floral evocation) for this short-day plant (SDP) may involve a net change in the balance of floral-inhibitory and floral-promotive substances.

Endogenous Gibberellins (GAs) identified by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) in <u>Xanthium</u> included $GA_{1,3,4,8,9,19,20,29}$. Quantitation by GC-MS-selected ion monitoring, showed levels of GA_1 and 2 β -hydroxy GA_1 (GA₈) decreased in both leaf and apical region tissue following 16 hour (h) long night floral induction. Conversely, GA_4 levels increased in induced leaves and decreased more slowly in induced apical region tissue, both relative to these tissues from non-induced long-day (LD) plants.

In a <u>Xanthium</u> seedling flowering bioassay, chlorogenic acid and less-polar GAs, including $GA_{4/7/9}$ mixture >GA₇ >GA₄ >2,2-dimethyl GA₄ >GA₉ >GA₅, listed in order of decreasing efficacy, were all promotive of floral evocation when applied before a marginally-inductive long night (LN). The order of GA efficacy with regard to promoting epicotyl growth was similar. Application of two GA biosynthesis inhibitors reduced flowering response to 1 inductive 16 h LN, and one of these (uniconazole; 3 g/L) completely inhibited flowering with its inhibition reversed by the application of GA_{4/7/9}.

Applied indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC), and (s)-abscisic acid (ABA) all decreased the level of floral evocation induced by a single 16 h LN. In fact, 100 μ g/seedling of IAA and ACC totally inhibited flowering. Ethylene production by the apical region, 4 h after ACC application to the leaf, was 5-fold higher than that after IAA application, which in turn was 10- to 20-fold higher than that from the apical region of untreated (LD) control plants. Simultaneous application of silver thiosulfate prevented (or reversed) ACC-, but not IAA-induced inhibition of flowering.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my deep appreciation to the following: Dr. I.D. (Jim) Mukherjee for his vision and helpful discussion about the world of florigen in addition to the never ending field of seedlings he supplied to complete this study, Dr. David Pearce for his insight into the purification and analysis of plant hormones, Mr. Scott Finlayson for his assistance with the ethylene measurements, Mr. Neil Emery for his help with the statistical analyses, Mr. Roger Mandel for his help in preparing the figures, the many people within the Botany Division for their support and camaraderie during my studies at the University of Calgary and to my committee members, especially my supervisor Dr. R.P. Pharis for his support and guidance throughout my many years as a student in his laboratory, as well as for his tireless editing of this thesis.

I would also like to express my gratitude to my parents and brothers, Darren and Jeremy, for their substantial support and understanding throughout my studies. Without them I would not be where I am today.

Finally, I wish to thank Alaina for keeping my life together over the last two years, without her I don't think that this thesis would have been possible. Additionally I would like to thank her for her help in the preparation of this thesis.

Approv	val Pageii
Abstra	ctiii
Ackno	wledgmentsiv
Table of	of Contentsv
List of	Tablesvii
List of	Figures viii
Abbrev	viationsxviii
Chapte	
1	Introduction
2	Development of a Seedling Bioassay for Florigenic Substances
3	Gibberellins, Exogenously Applied and the Use of Applied Gibberellin
	Biosynthesis Inhibitors in Relation to Flowering and the Processes of
	Epicotyl and Petiole Elongation in Xanthium
4	Inhibitory Effect of Exogenously Applied Abscisic Acid, 1-Amino
	cyclopropane-1-Carboxylic Acid and Indole-3-Acetic Acid on
	Floral Evocation in <u>Xanthium</u>
5	Quantitation of Endogenous Gibberellins, Indole-3-Acetic Acid, and
	Abscisic Acid in Photoperiodically-Induced Leaf and Apical Tissue of
	Xanthium and Comparison of These Levels with the Effect of Exogenously
	Applied Gibberellins, Indole-3-Acetic Acid and Abscisic Acid
6	Metabolism of $[^{3}H]$ and $[^{2}H_{2}]$ Gibberellin A ₂₀ by Induced and
	Non-Induced Leaves of Xanthium
7	Conclusions 123
Refere	nces

TABLE OF CONTENTS

•

.

.

.

Appendix II	Effect of Exogenously Applied Gibberellins on Floral	••••••	172
	Evocation-Results of Three Replicate Experiments		

LIST OF TABLES

Table 5-1	HPLC columns and gradients for separation of GAs, ABA and IAA.	85
Table 5-2	Relative intensities of characteristic m/z ions after GC-MS-SIM for	92
	MeTMSi derivatives of GAs from 21-day-induced <u>Xanthium</u> leaves.	
Table 5-3.	Effect of several dilutions of an extract made from continually	108
	induced leaves on flowering of Xanthium seedlings maintained	
	under non-inductive LD photoperiod or induced with a single	
	marginally-inductive long night.	
Table 6-1	The effect of a single floral inductive 16 h long night on the	117
	metabolism of [³ H]GA ₂₀ to putative [³ H]GA ₁ , [³ H]GA ₂₉	
	and [³ H]GA ₈ in leaves from induced (16 h dark) and	
	non-induced (20 h light) plants.	
Table 6-2a	The relative intensities of characteristic diagnostic ions for	120
	[² H ₂]GA ₁ , [² H ₂]GA ₈ and [² H ₂]GA ₂₉ from non-induced	
	(LD) <u>Xanthium</u> leaves fed [² H ₂]GA _{20.}	
Table 6-2b	The relative intensities of characteristic diagnostic ions for	121
	$[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{1}$, $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{8}$ and $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{29}$ from induced [long	
	night (SD)] Xanthium leaves fed [² H ₂]GA ₂₀ .	

•

,

LIST OF FIGURES

.

.

.

Figure 2.1.	The Salisbury system of floral stages used in many studies with	11
	Xanthium strumarium	
Figure 2.2.	Floral stage as a function of night length in 2-week-old <u>Xanthium</u> <u>strumarium</u> (Mukherjee strain) seedlings.	14
Figure 3.1	Chemical structures of CCC, a plant growth retardant of the "Onium"-type and of several plant growth retardants of the pyrimidine and triazole types.	19
Figure 3.2	Principal steps involved in the biosynthetic formation of GA ₁ from mevalonic acid (MVA), showing points of inhibition by the various plant growth retardants.	20
Figure 3.3	Structures of the GAs applied in <u>Xanthium</u> in seeding bioassays.	25
Figure 3.4	Effect of GA biosynthesis inhibitors, CCC and uniconazole, on Salisbury floral stage upon dissection 9 days following a single 16 h inductive long night.	28
Figure 3.5	Effect of a single inductive long night (16 h D) and a single marginally-inductive 12 h long night on epicotyl length of <u>Xanthium</u> seedlings measured 9 days following induction.	31

٠

- Figure 3.6 The efficacy of 8 GAs compared in a single trial at a dose of 100 34 μ g/plant on stem elongation in <u>Xanthium</u> where floral induction was accomplished with a single marginally-inductive long night (11 h dark).
- Figure 3.7 Typical linear epicotyl growth response to an increasing log dose, 36 observed for exogenously applied GA_{3,4,5,9 or 4/7/9} mixture.
- Figure 3.8 Typical "burst" of epicotyl growth in response to an increasing log 37 dose, observed for exogenously applied GA7 and 2,2,-dimethyl GA4.
- Figure 3.9Effect of a single inductive long night (16 h dark) and a single39marginally-inductive long night (12 h dark) on petiole growth of39Xanthium seedlings measured 9 d following induction.
- Figure 3.10 The efficacy of 8 GAs compared in a single trial at a dose 40 of 100 μg/plant dose on petiole growth in <u>Xanthium</u> where floral induction was accomplished with a single marginally-inductive long night (11 h D).
- Figure 3.11 Typical linear petiole growth response to an increasing log dose, 42 observed for exogenously applied GA₃ and GA₉.
- Figure 3.12 Typical "burst" of petiole growth in response to an increasing 43 log dose, observed for exogenously applied GA₄, GA₇, GA_{4/7/9} mixture and 2,2-dimethyl GA₄.

Figure 3.13	The efficacy of 8 GAs compared in a single trial at a dose of 100 μ g/plant dose on floral evocation in <u>Xanthium</u> where floral induction was accomplished with a single marginally-inductive long night (11 h D).	46
Figure 3.14	Efficacy of $GA_{4/7/9}$ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay given a under marginally-inductive long night (12 h dark).	47
Figure 4.1	ACC inhibition of floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay.	54
Figure 4.2	Structures of ABA, ACC and IAA.	57
Figure 4.3	Structures of an IAA-oxidase cofactor (<i>p</i> -coumaric acid) and an IAA-oxidase competitive inhibitor (chlorogenic acid). Structure of GA ₃₂ , a highly florigenic GA in <u>Lolium</u> .	58
Figure 4.4	An example of IAA inhibition of floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay.	61
Figure 4.5	Effect of a dose of 100 μ g/plant of IAA or ACC applied to primary leaves of <u>Xanthium</u> seedlings, on ethylene evolution by apical tissue 21 h later.	63

Figure 4.6Effect of a dose of 100 μg/plant of IAA applied to primary leaves65of Xanthium seedlings, on ethylene evolution by apical tissue,
measured as a time course.65

Figure 4.7 Effect of a dose of 100 μg/plant of IAA or ACC applied to primary 66
 leaves of <u>Xanthium</u> seedlings, on ethylene evolution by apical tissue
 4 h later.

- Figure 4.8 Effect of STS (silver thiosulfate) on IAA- or ACC-induced inhibition 68 of floral evocation in <u>Xanthium</u> seedlings.
- Figure 4.9Effect of CGA on floral evocation of marginally-induced seedlings70(11 h dark) in the Xanthium seedling bioassay.
- Figure 4.10 Effect of *p*-coumaric acid on floral evocation of marginallyinduced seedlings (11 h dark) in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay.
- Figure 4.11 An example of ABA inhibition of floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> 75 seedling bioassay.
- Figure 5.1 Harvest times of tissue for analysis of endogenous GAs in leaf 79 and apical tissue of <u>Xanthium</u>.
- Figure 5.2 Chromatographic separation of free (acidic) plant hormones following 87 a 10-73% MeOH gradient-eluted reversed phase C₁₈-HPLC.

Figure 5.3 Structures and the early-13-hydroxylation GA biosynthetic (metabolic) pathway from GA₁₂-7-aldehyde to the C₁₉ GAs, as postulated for <u>Xanthium</u>.

Figure 5.4 Structures and the early-non-hydroxylation biosynthetic (metabolic) 94
 pathway from GA₁₂-7-aldehyde to the C₁₉ GAs, as postulated
 for <u>Xanthium</u>.

93

Figure 5.5 Gibberellin A_{1, 3, 4, 8 and 20} levels (ng/g d.w.) extracted from the 96 most rapidly expanding leaf of induced and non-induced <u>Xanthium</u> plants (6 weeks old).

Figure 5.6 Gibberellin A_{1, 4, 8 and 20} levels (ng/g d.w.) extracted from apical 103 tissue (12 buds per sample) of induced (16 h dark) and non-induced (20 h light) <u>Xanthium</u> plants (6 weeks old).

Figure 5.7 IAA and ABA levels (ng/g d.w.) extracted from leaf (most rapidly 105 expanding) and apical tissue (12 buds per sample) of induced and non-induced (20 h light) <u>Xanthium</u> plants (6 weeks old).

Figure 6.1 Chromatogram of radioactivity and bioactivity in the Tan-ginbozu 115 dwarf rice microdrop bioassay (e.g. elongation growth of a 1/100 aliquot) for fractions from a reversed-phase C₁₈ HPLC column loaded with the EtOAc-soluble fraction following a feed of [³H₂]GA₂₀ to the most rapidly expanding leaf of long-night induced (SD) plants.

Figure 6.2	Chromatogram of radioactivity and bioactivity in the Tan-ginbozu	116
	dwarf rice microdrop bioassay (e.g. elongation growth of a 1/100	
	aliquot) for fractions from a reversed-phase C_{18} HPLC column	
	loaded with the EtOAc-soluble fractions following a feed of	
	$[^{3}H_{2}]GA_{20}$ to the most rapidly expanding leaf of non-induced	
	(LD) plants.	
Figure A-1	Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log	148
	dose of GA3.	
Figure A-2	Enjcotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log	149
rigule A-2	dose of GA a	147
Figure A-3	Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log	150
	dose of GA ₃ .	
Figure A-4	Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log	151
	dose of GA ₄ .	
		1.50
Figure A-5	Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log	152
	dose of GA4.	
Figure A-6	Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log	153
	dose of GA ₄ .	
Figure A-7	Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log	154
	mbreecht wird berrote Die tim in teshowne to mi morenting 100	

.

.

.

.

<u>,</u>

dose of GA₅.

Figure A-8	Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of GA_5 .	155
Figure A-9	Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of GA_5 .	156
Figure A-10	Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of GA7.	157
Figure A-11	Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of GA7.	158
Figure A-12	Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of GA7.	159
Figure A-13	Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of GA ₉ .	160
Figure A-14	Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of GA9.	161
Figure A-15	Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of GA9.	162

,

.

Figure A-16	Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of $GA_{4/7/9}$.	163
Figure A-17	Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of $GA_{4/7/9}$.	164
Figure A-18	Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of $GA_{4/7/9}$.	165
Figure A-19	Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of 2,2-dimethyl GA_4 .	166
Figure A-20	Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of 2,2-dimethyl GA_4 .	167
Figure A-21	Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of 2,2-dimethyl GA_4 .	168
Figure A-22	Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of 2,2-dimethyl-epi- GA_4 .	169
Figure A-23	Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of 2,2-dimethyl-epi- GA_4 .	170
Figure A-24	Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of 2,2-dimethyl-epi- GA_4 .	171

.

•

Figure B-1	Efficacy of GA ₃ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay.	173
Figure B-2	Efficacy of GA_3 on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay	174
Figure B-3	Efficacy of GA ₃ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay	175
Figure B-4	Efficacy of GA_4 on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay.	176
Figure B-5	Efficacy of GA_4 on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay.	177
Figure B-6	Efficacy of GA_4 on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay.	178
Figure B-7	Efficacy of GA_5 on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay.	179
Figure B-8	Efficacy of GA ₅ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay.	180
Figure B-9	Efficacy of GA_5 on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay.	181

. .

xvi

•

Figure B-10	Efficacy of GA7 on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay.	182
Figure B-11	Efficacy of GA7 on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay.	183
Figure B-12	Efficacy of GA ₇ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay.	184
Figure B-13	Efficacy of GA9 on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay.	185
Figure B-14	Efficacy of GA9 on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay.	186
Figure B-15	Efficacy of GA ₉ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay.	187
Figure B-16	Efficacy of GA _{4/7/9} on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay.	188
Figure B-17	Efficacy of GA _{4/7/9} on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay.	189
Figure B-18	Efficacy of $GA_{4/7/9}$ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay.	190

,

.

.

xvii

ABBREVIATIONS

.

.

.

,

.

,

ABA	abscisic acid
ACC	1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid
ANOVA	analysis of variance
BSTFA	bis-(trimethyl-silyl) trifluoroacetamide
C ₁₈ -PC	C ₁₈ preparative column
CCC	2-chloroethyltrimethylammonium chloride
CGA	chlorogenic acid
d.w	dry weight
DMSO	dimethyl sulfoxide
EtOAc	. ethyl acetate
f.w	. fresh weight
GA	. gibberellin
GA _n	. gibberellin #
GC-MS-SIM	. gas chromatography-mass spectrometry-
	selected ion monitoring
HOAc	. acetic acid
HPLC	. high performance liquid chromatography
IAA	. indole-3-acetic acid
KRI	. Kovat's retention index
LD	. long day
LDP	. long-day plant(s)
Me	. methyl
MeOH	. methanol
MSD	. mass selective detector

NB	. night break
PAR	photosynthetically active radiation
R _t	retention time
SD	. short day
SDP	. short-day plant(s)
SiO ₂ -PC	silica gel partition column
SNK	. Student-Newman-Keuls statistical test
STS	. silver thiosulfate
TCMS	. trimethylchlorosilane
TIBA	. 2,3,5 triiodobenzoic acid

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

In higher plants a critical developmental event is the evocation of flowering, the transition of the apex from a vegetative to a reproductive state. This transition and subsequent seed development represents the pinnacle of the life of an angiosperm. Photoperiodic induction is the term used to refer to the inductive events occurring in the leaves, whereas, evocation is used to describe the transition of the apex from a vegetative to a reproductive state (Evans, 1971). Evocation is thus an obvious and important transition to study. In addition to its importance with regard to the propagation of plant species, success and failure in flowering of higher plants also heavily impacts the world economy due to the consumption of fruit and seeds, both directly and indirectly, in the human diet. Thus, studies probing the physiological, biochemical and molecular bases of flowering may have substantial consequence. In fact, basic research in these areas may permit manipulation and control of the reproductive process in higher plants. Such manipulations could result in increased crop yields with accompanying economic gains. Even weed control might be accomplished via manipulation of flowering.

Daylength is an environmental variable which enables plants to approximate, among other things, the number of days remaining in their growing season. Their ability to sense this variable is essential in environments with a growing season of finite length. Since temperatures may be unseasonable, it is more advantageous for plants to respond to an inaementally changing photoperiodic cycle, although there are many examples of low temperature being used as a flowering signal (e.g. vernalization). Annual plants which are unable to complete their life cycle (e.g. dispersal of desiccated seed) in a single growing season will fail to leave progeny to the next generation and would naturally be selected against. The further north or south a plant grows from the equator, the shorter is its growing season and thus the more important photoperiodic measurement becomes. Plants which must complete their growth prior to a dry-season are under similar pressure to utilize photoperiodic changes as a signal in flowering.

1.1 Photoperiodism.

Plants which flower under certain daylength conditions are said to be photoperiodic. Short-day plants (SDP) flower only when the photoperiod is less than some critical length (i.e., Xanthium strumarium (cocklebur) (Mukherjee, 1974) and Pharbitis nil (Japanese morning glory) (Nakayama, 1958). In actual fact, SDP measure night length, which must exceed a critical value to initiate the transition from a vegetative to a reproductive state (Zeevaart, 1978). For this reason SDP could be more appropriately termed long-night plants. Xanthium is a classic absolute SDP (Salisbury, 1982). Plants which flower when the daylength is greater than a certain length are termed long-day plants (LDP) (i.e., Nicotiana sylvestris (tobacco)) (Lang, 1965) and Lolium temulentum (rye grass) (Evans, 1969a). The requirements for SDP and LDP can be obligate or facultative (Zeevaart, 1978). Plants which are facultative will eventually flower even under unfavorable photoperiods, although much later in their development. Although SDP and LDP comprise the majority of photoperiodically inducible species, two additional sensitive classes exist: short-long-day plants (SLDP) (i.e., Coreopsis grandiflora) and long-short-day plants (LSDP) (i.e., Bryophyllum diagremontianum) (Zeevaart, 1978). These two classes require a sequence of short and long days to initiate flowering. Plants which lack photoperiodic sensitivity are termed day-neutral plants (DNP) (i.e., Zea mays (corn) and Glycine max (soybean)) (Bernier, 1988), although flowering in plants classed as DNP species may often be hastened by either SD or LD (Salisbury, 1982).

In the experiments discussed herein the obligate SDP <u>Xanthium strumarium</u> has been chosen as the experimental material. The obligatory SD (long night) requirement for flowering of this plant is the aspect which makes it so interesting as a system to test various treatments which might be promotive of floral evocation (Mukherjee, 1974). <u>Xanthium</u> is not "leaky" as are so many other photoperiodically inducible species (e.g. <u>Brassica spp.</u> and <u>Nicotiana sylvestris</u>). That is, no background flowering occurs in the absence of the inductive long night (Salisbury, 1985). An additional advantage of <u>Xanthium</u> with respect to floral evocation is its inducibility by a **single** long night (16 h dark), which creates an appropriate time zero. Few plants exhibit both these characteristics.

1.2 Phytochrome.

Perception of daylength occurs in the leaves via a photochemical reaction between photons and the phytochrome protein (P) (Nakayama, 1958). Simplistically, there are two interconvertible forms of phytochrome. One, P_{fr} absorbs far red light (FR) (725-735 nm) and becomes P_r , the red light (655-665 nm)-absorbing form of phytochrome. The P_{fr}/P_r ratio thus acts as a photoperiod perception system (Vince-Prue, 1975). In SD plants (such as <u>Xanthium</u>) which sense night length, the perception of the dark period is hypothesized to occur as follows: At day's end, when the dark period begins, a substantial portion of the total phytochrome is in the P_{fr} form (Smith and Whitelam, 1990). As the dark period progresses P_r is <u>de novo</u> synthesized while P_{fr} is degraded, thus causing the relative level of P_r to rise in the plant during the dark period (Smith and Whitelam, 1990). It appears that the synthesis and degradation pathways of P_r and P_{fr} stabilize soon after the dark period begins, thereby allowing the P_r level to rise in a linear fashion during the dark period (Smith and Whitelam, 1990). A critical level of P_r , or a critical P_r/P_{fr} ratio must be attained in order to engage the floral evocation sequence (Zeevaart, 1978). In <u>Xanthium</u>, the leaves are the unit of perception, and the apex is the unit of morphological change (Mukherjee, 1974).

1.3 Florigen.

For almost three decades plant physiologists have struggled in their attempt to determine the hormonal events responsible for evocation. The term florigen was coined in 1937 by Chailakhyan (1968) as a substance or complex of substances which could move through living tissue. Various experiments have shown florigen to be graft-transferable from an induced donor leaf to a non-induced host, thereby causing the non-induced host plant to flower (secondary induction). Throughout this thesis florigen, florigenic stimulus and flowering hormone will be used interchangeably. Various experiments have shown the ability of the florigenic stimulus to be transferred across a graft union, traveling at the same rate as sugar in phloem conduits, (Chailakhyan, 1968; King and Zeevaart, 1973; Lang, 1965; Ogawa and King, 1990). The florigenic stimulus is not only graft transferable within a class, within a species and between species, but also between certain LDP and SDP of unrelated families (ref. cited in Zeevaart, 1978). In an extreme example, a leaf from an induced SDP (Xanthium) grafted to a vegetative LDP (Silene armeria) caused the latter to flower, although these two species belong to unrelated dicot families (Lang et al., 1977). This type of experiment implies that the florigenic stimulus produced by both types of photoperiodic plants should be physiologically similar, if not chemically identical. The fact that the stimulus is transferred through living tissue suggests it might be hormonal in nature. By definition a hormone is an organic molecule, produced in minute amounts and transported to cause biochemical, physiological and/or morphological responses (Salisbury and Ross, 1978).

Numerous studies have attempted, unsuccessfully to date, to isolate and characterize a florigen. One cause of these failures may well have been the lack of a rapid and reliable bioassay. The development of such a flowering assay was one goal accomplished by this investigation. Once developed, this bioassay was used to detect and attempt characterization of the florigenic stimulus.

1.4 Previous gibberellin application studies.

There are many experiments in which plant hormones and related growth regulators have been applied (in a pharmacological approach) so as to assess the hormonal basis of floral evocation. Several examples for LDP are papers by Pharis et <u>al.</u> (1987) and Evans et <u>al.</u> (1990). These have not led to any conclusive evidence as to the identity of "florigen". However, for LDP (almost all of which respond to the GA class of molecules by flowering under non-inductive SD) GA structure:function experiments which vary the presence and location of A-ring double bonds, and location and number of hydroxyl groups in the C- and D-rings can certainly describe those GA structures which **might** be important in floral evocation of a LDP. This was accomplished, specifically in the Lolium temulentum system (Evans et <u>al.</u>, 1990 and 1993). Such experiments have also been conducted in the Pharbitis (SDP) system, although on a much-reduced scale (King et <u>al.</u>, 1987). Interestingly, of all the substances which have been applied to a wide variety of plants species under strictly non-inductive or marginally-inductive conditions, only the GAs have been generally shown to effectively promote floral induction, initiation and/or early floral developmental processes.

Thus in general, LDP, and many of those plant species with a cold requirement (the latter usually exhibit a rosette growth habit) bolt and flower in response to exogenous GAs (usually GA₃ was tested), while SDP are not usually (as a class) responsive or are even inhibited in their flowering by GAs (Cleland and Zeevaart, 1970; ref. cited in Pharis and King, 1985). There are exceptions, however, and some GAs and related compounds have been successful at enhancing the flowering response under non-inductive LD [e.g. Chrysanthemum and other Asteraceae (Pharis, 1972; and refs. cited in Pharis and King, 1985)] or under marginally-inductive long nights in SDP (King et al., 1987). Whether the GA is promotive or inhibitory in this system depends on GA type, dose, and time of application relative to the inductive long night, as well as on the plant variety (King et al., 1987).

One component of this study will analyze this "enhancing" response of several natural and synthetic exogenously applied GAs under marginally-inductive long night conditions (ca. 10-12 h dark periods). Separation of the events regulating floral evocation and early floral differentiation/development is not possible in such an experiment since the effect of exogenously applied GA is determined by dissection of the apex 9 days later. From here forward the evocation and/or early differentiation/development events will be referred to as **floral evocation**. In view of the large number of plants in which applied GAs promote floral evocation, it is logical to conclude that certain of the GAs may have a critical role in (at least) this process. However, of the 90 known GAs only a small number are **highly** bioactive in growth and/or floral induction assays (Pharis and King, 1985; Evans et <u>al.</u>, 1990).

For one Graminae species, <u>Lolium temulentum</u>, a LDP, an unusual relationship has often been observed between the ability of applied GA to induce flowering versus cause internode elongation (Evans et <u>al.</u>, 1990). The GAs most effective at inducing flowering under either totally non-inductive SD conditions or under partially-inductive (1 LD) conditions are often relatively ineffective at causing internode elongation (Evans et <u>al.</u>, 1990). Exceptions to this, however, would be GA₃, 15β-hydroxy GA₃ and 2,2-dimethyl GA₄ (Evans et <u>al.</u>, 1990) which are very good florigens, while very effectively promoting stem elongation. In this study, the GAs and other substances are applied to the base of the primary leaf blades. Thus, the relationship between floral evocation and petiole and internode growth can readily be assessed for this SDP (<u>Xanthium</u>) to see if this precedent for <u>Lolium</u> (highly florigenic GAs are poor promoters of internode growth) holds true for <u>Xanthium</u>.

The main objective of this research project was to examine the process of flowering from a hormone physiology perspective, with an aim toward better elucidating the florigenic stimulus that causes floral evocation in the apex. Thus, the process of floral evocation (initiation and/or early differentiation/development) will be examined through: (i) the analysis of endogenous GA levels in apical and leaf tissue following long night (16 h dark) floral induction in physiologically mature (6-week-old cocklebur plants), (ii) the analysis of deutero-GA₂₀ metabolism during floral evocation in physiologically mature plants, (iii) the exogenous application of partially purified extracts, of highly florigenic GAs and of highly florigenic substances utilized in other systems, and (iv) the application of known flowering inhibitors from other systems, including indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), abscisic acid (ABA) and 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) in a young-seedling <u>Xanthium</u> floral induction bioassay.

While this study will by no means provide a holistic view of the process of flowering, it will probe one of the many independent, yet coordinated aspects united in the process of floral induction and evocation.

CHAPTER 2

DEVELOPMENT OF A SEEDLING BIOASSAY FOR FLORIGENIC SUBSTANCES

Introduction

Many plants cannot be induced to flower until a certain size or age has been achieved, even if subjected to an inductive photoperiod. This phenomenon is termed juvenility and is (presumably) a means whereby plants prevent flowering until they are of a size large enough to adequately supply the developing reproductive tissues (floral buds, seeds and fruits) with assimilate (see ref. cited in Zimmerman et al., 1985 and Pharis et al., 1989). A mature (large) plant usually has an advantage in competition for light, thus size and not age is usually the determining factor in the duration of this juvenile phase, especially for herbaceous species (e.g. Xanthium). The duration of this juvenile phase can be as short as a few days or weeks in some herbaceous plants, to as long as 40+ years in some tree species (Lang, 1965 and Zimmerman et al., 1985). Pharbitis nil does not have a juvenile phase since its cotyledons are photoperiodically sensitive from germination (Nakayama, 1958), whereas, Xanthium cotyledons can not be induced, so this species does not become photoperiodically responsive until after the primary leaves develop (Mukherjee, 1974). Thus, in Xanthium, as in many other plants, the leaf is the organ type, which upon attaining "adult status," becomes capable of responding to inductive signals and producing the floral stimulus.

The development of a bioassay for florigenic substances was a necessary first step. towards the success of this study. The necessary qualities of such a bioassay are: (1) sensitivity, (2) reliability and (3) rapidity. All these goals were met by the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay for florigen which was developed in this study.

Methods

2.1 Growth of Seedlings

Plant material was germinated and grown as per Mukherjee (1974). Essentially burrs of an obligate photoperiodically sensitive cultivar of <u>Xanthium strumarium</u> (obtained from Drs. Frank Salisbury, Manfred Ruddat and I.D. Mukherjee) were soaked in water overnight at room temperature. Burrs were then cut carefully to remove the seeds, which were imbibed in water in a Petri-dish at room temperature for 16 h. The testa was then removed and the imbibed seeds were planted in rows in wet sterile vermiculite in perforated plastic trays (60 X 30 X 8 cm). The seedlings were grown under a 20 h LD photoperiod with illumination provided by high intensity mercury lamps (250µmol·m^{-2·}s⁻¹ of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) at plant height) in a growth chamber (Controlled Environment Systems model PGV 36LT Winnipeg, Man.). The day and night temperatures were 24 and 20°C respectively and the relative humidity (RH) 60%. Dates of 50% and 100% germination were recorded for each batch of seedlings to enable a comparison of the relative developmental time courses between experiments. Seedlings were watered with Peter's ProfessionalTM fertilizer every 3 days to field capacity throughout the experiment.

One week after planting the imbibed seeds (3 days post-germination), individual seedlings were transplanted into 2.5" pots containing 2:1 greenhouse mix:Terragreen[™].

In a preliminary trial, bare root <u>Xanthium</u> seedlings were transplanted into culture tubes containing either sterile vermiculite soaked with ¼ strength Hoagland's solution, or ¼ strength Hoagland's solution. However, in both cases severe nutrient deficiency resulted, despite the fact that this solution was exchanged every third day. In <u>Xanthium</u>, nutrient stress reduces photoperiodic induction and subsequent development of the floral apex (Naylor, 1941; Neidle, 1939; Wada and Totsuka, 1982). For this reason all subsequent bioassay attempts were performed with soil-grown seedlings watered twice weekly with Peter's Professional[™] fertilizer solution. This latter scheme successfully prevented nutrient deficiency from occurring during the growth period following transplanting.

To determine the optimal age for photoperiodic sensitivity a pilot study was performed. For each of five days after transplanting, groups of five seedlings were induced with a single long night (16 h dark period). The inductive dark period was provided by transferring plants at 1600 h for induction from the growth chamber to a dark room (23°C), then transferring them back to the LD (non-inductive) chamber at 0800 h the following day. Thereafter the induced seedlings were allowed to resume growth under 20 h LD photoperiod. Nine days post-induction (all under LD) the floral stage of each seedling was assessed by dissection of the shoot apex and scoring the terminal staminate flower according to Salisbury's (1955) flowering stage system (see figure 2.1).

This method of quantifying the flowering response divides the <u>Xanthium</u> floral development continuum into eight equally spaced stages (1 to 8). Salisbury's floral stage system was initially determined via the analysis of mature induced plants. In this study, where seedlings are being scored, floral development was found to lag behind that of the mature plants by 1 to 2 stages (i.e., maximum floral stage observed after induction, in the seedling assay after a single long night (SD) was stage 7). Salisbury showed that apices



Figure 2.1. The system of floral stages used in many studies with <u>Xanthium strumarium</u> (From Salisbury, 1955).

with a stage ≥ 3 can not revert back to the vegetative condition (Salisbury, 1955). At this stage a constriction has formed below the apex. Using this as the precedent, apices achieving stage 3 or greater were considered as being induced to flower. For consistency, the term floral evocation will be used throughout this thesis to describe the floral promotion observed in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay under marginally-inductive or fully-inductive long nights.

Following determination of the stage at which each seed source was ripe-toflower, seedlings (5 per treatment) were given dark periods ranging from 8 to 16 h. The dark period was given by transferring groups of five seedlings at the appropriate time to the dark room. Due to the extreme sensitivity of <u>Xanthium</u> seedlings to night break (NB) (i.e., threshold for NB being 10 times the illumination of the light of a full moon), extreme caution in eliminating **all** external lighting (with a series of black curtains) was imperative when transferring later groups (those after the first group) of seedlings to the dark room. All plants were taken out of the dark room at 0800 h the following morning. Thereafter the plants were allowed to resume their growth under a non-inductive 20 h LD photoperiod. Nine days later the degree of floral evocation was assessed by dissection (as above).

Results

During the course of this study the three <u>Xanthium</u> seed sources were employed, designated by the name of the scientist who donated them. As was stated by Habjorg (1978) sensitivity of plants to SD will change with latitude and altitude in different ecotypes. In this study each seed source differed slightly in its degree of juvenility as well as in its photoperiodic sensitivity. Length of the juvenile phase ranged from 9 days postplanting (5 days post-germination) in the Salisbury source to 14 days post-planting (10 days post-germination) in the Ruddat source. The juvenile stage of the Mukherjee source (11 days post planting) was intermediate in length. As mentioned above, <u>Xanthium</u> cotyledons are not photoperiodically inducible, but the primary leaves are. On day 9 the Salisbury source had two primary leaves, each with an area of 1 cm². By comparison, the less sensitive Mukherjee source only became sensitive when its primary leaves had fully expanded. The least sensitive Ruddat source required fully expanded primary leaves as well as an additional two leaves, each with an area of 1 cm², for full sensitivity. One reason for the difference in leaf area required for the various sources may be due to differences in synthesis of, or sensitivity to the floral stimulus. It could thus be postulated that the Ruddat source is less sensitive and thus requires a higher level of florigenic stimulus for induction than does the Salisbury source. Once attained, inducibility was retained for all older seedlings. All sources developed to stage 5-6 during the 9 day period after induction with a single 16 h long night.

Seed stocks also varied with respect to their critical night lengths, with the length of the critical long night being proportional to the photoperiodic sensitivity of each source. A typical induction profile for the Mukherjee source is shown in figure 2.2. The Salisbury source had the shortest critical long night at 11 h, whereas the Mukherjee and Ruddat sources had critical nights of 12 h and 12.5 h respectively. The critical night length of the seedlings was extended with respect to mature plants. For the Salisbury source mature, 6week-old plants have a 8.25 h critical dark period (Wareing and Phillips, 1986), however, the critical dark periods for mature plants ranges from 7.5 h in ecotypes from northern latitudes to 11 h in ecotypes from Mexico (Salisbury, 1985).



Figure 2.2. Floral stage as a function of night length in 2-week-old <u>Xanthium</u> strumarium (Mukherjee source) seedlings.
Discussion

The qualities of rapidity, reliability and sensitivity were all addressed in the design of the <u>Xanthium</u> bioassay. The brief juvenile phase in <u>Xanthium</u> is one aspect which makes it as useful as <u>Pharbitis</u> for a bioassay system. Both require 5 days postgermination to achieve maximum sensitivity (Wareing and Phillips, 1986). In addition, through exogenous application of highly florigenic GAs and extracts, as well as inhibitors of flowering (e.g. as shown from experiments with <u>Pharbitis</u> and other systems), the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay makes it possible to compare the nature of the florigenic stimulus between these two SDP systems (e.g. <u>Xanthium</u> and <u>Pharbitis</u>) as well as others. Such comparisons may possibly lead to the development of a general set of rules as to the nature of florigen within the angiosperms. Thus, <u>Xanthium</u> seedlings which had achieved maximum sensitivity (as detailed above) were used to assess the photoperiodic sensitivity of the assay, as well as to determine the effect of applied extracts and exogenous growth substances on floral evocation.

Two factors enhanced the repeatability of this bioassay. First, the use of young seedlings resulted in very uniform test plants. Such uniformity is not easily obtainable with fully-grown plants. Second, even though there were differences in sensitivity between seed sources, these differences were stable between experiments. In addition, results between repeat experiments, even using different seedling sources, always yielded comparable results.

The fact that batches of seedlings differed in the length of their juvenile phase, as well as in their critical night length, reinforces the fact that each seed source should be tested for its inducibility requirements prior to use in a bioassay. These differences in source sensitivity could also be useful as a window into the mechanisms of critical night length perception and juvenility. Such facets should be further explored from physiological, biochemical and molecular botanical standpoints.

There are several other advantages of the seedling bioassay for florigenic substances. Since seedlings can be grown in small pots, the seedling bioassay also allows for increased replication relative to the use of large plants. In addition, since a larger numbers of plants can be grown simultaneously, the efficacy of several test substances can be compared within a single experiment, rather than as cross comparisons between experiments. This may allow small differences in efficacy to be determined with greater certainty. Also seedlings, due to their reduced size (but comparable sensitivity) have the advantage of requiring a lower dose of test substance. This is highly desirable since many of the substances which are potentially florigenic are difficult to synthesize and/or extract and purify in large quantities. In this <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay experiment repeated 3 times over a large range of doses required <4 mg of test substance. It is obvious that the seedling bioassay system presents a number of useful advantages over that using larger plants.

CHAPTER 3

GIBBERELLINS, EXOGENOUSLY APPLIED, AND THE USE OF APPLIED GIBBERELLIN BIOSYNTHESIS INHIBITORS IN RELATION TO FLOWERING AND THE PROCESSES OF EPICOTYL AND PETIOLE ELONGATION IN <u>XANTHIUM</u>

Introduction

As summarized in the introductory chapter, GAs are strongly implicated in the initiation/early development events of floral evocation, with LDP being more responsive to exogenously applied GA than SDP. Since flowering in <u>Xanthium</u>, as well as in many other plants is accompanied by a decreased rate of epicotyl and petiole elongation, these parameters were measured throughout the application studies. Most of the GAs applied in the present study were chosen as a result of their high florigenicity in other systems including the SDP <u>Pharbitis nil</u>, as well as the LDP <u>Lolium temulentum</u> and <u>Brassica spp</u>. (Evans, 1969b; Evans et <u>al.</u>, 1990; King et <u>al.</u>, 1987; Mandel et <u>al.</u>, 1992; Pharis et <u>al.</u>, 1992).

If GAs control (promote or inhibit) the events of floral evocation in <u>Xanthium</u>, then inhibitors of their biosynthesis might be expected to modify long night-induced flowering; indeed there is evidence for this in the literature (Graebe and Ropers, 1978; Pharis and King, 1985). For this reason several such inhibitors were applied, first as a foliar spray and later as a soil drench.

Presently, three different groups of GA biosynthesis inhibitors exist. These include (1) "onium" compounds, (2) compounds which are N-containing heterocyclics and (3) acyclohexanetriones. In the present study, only compounds from the first two classes were utilized, e.g. CCC of the "onium" class, and ancymidol (a pyrimidine), uniconazole (a 4-pyridine) and BAS 111..W (a 4-pyridine) of the N-containing heterocyclic class (Figure 3.1).

"Onium" type compounds possess a positively charged quaternary ammonium, phosphonium or sulphonium moiety at physiological pH (6.5 to 7.0) (Rademacher, 1991). These compounds obtain their effect by interfering with biosynthetic steps directly before ent-kaurene, inhibiting the cyclization of geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate (GGPP) to ent-kaurene by the enzyme ent-kaurene synthase (see figure 3.2) (Hedden, 1990). It is suggested that the "onium" compounds, may mimic carbocationic high-energy isoprenoid intermediates during cyclization. Such transition state intermediates are expected to bind more tightly to the enzyme than the substrate and consequently some of the inhibitors have K_i values several orders of magnitude lower than the K_m for the substrate oxidosqualene (Hedden, 1990). Hedden (1990) concludes that, except at very high concentrations, the primary action of CCC is probably also via the GA biosynthetic pathway at steps directly before ent-kaurene (Figure 3.2).

By comparison, the N-containing heterocyclic class is structurally related to fungicides. These inhibit the oxidation reactions leading from <u>ent-kaurene</u> to <u>ent-kaurenoic</u> acid by way of the lone electron pair of the sp²-hybridized nitrogen binding to the protoheme of a cytochrome P-450, thus blocking the monoxygenase which catalyzes the oxidation of <u>ent-kaurene</u> (Rademacher et <u>al.</u>, 1984). Ancymidol treatment of plants has been shown to cause a dramatic decrease of extractable GA-like activity (Shive and Sisler, 1976; Coolbaugh and Hamilton, 1976), but its effect could be reversed by GA₃ (Coolbaugh and Hamilton, 1976). In the present study, reversal of the inhibitory action of uniconazole was attempted by the application of GA_{4/7/9} mixture (62:30:8 by weight respectively).

A
$$CH_3$$
 CH_3 $CI^ CH_2$ $-CH_2$ $-N^+$ $-CH_3$ $CI^ CH_3$ CI^-







Figure 3.1 Structures of : A; CCC, a plant growth retardant of the "onium"-type, and B; plant growth retardants of the pyrimidine and 4-pyridine types: B(i) ancymidol, B(ii) uniconazole and B(iii) BAS 111..W.



Figure 3.2 Principal steps involved in the biosynthetic formation of GA_1 from mevalonic acid (MVA) via the early C-13 α -hydroxylation pathway, showing points of inhibition by plant growth retardants (lower degree of activity indicated by thinner X). Adapted from Rademacher (1991). (Legend: IPP, isopentenyl-pyrophosphate; GPP geranyl-pyrophosphate; FPP, farnesyl-pyrophosphate; GGPP, geranylgeranylpyrophosphate; CPP, copalyl-pyrophosphate)

Methods

3.1 Gibberellin biosynthesis inhibitors

Seedlings were grown as described above (Methods 2.1). Two days following transplanting seedlings were treated with known GA biosynthesis inhibitors including uniconazole (20 mg/L), CCC (1 g/L active ingredient), BAS 111..W (4.5 mg/L) and ancymidol (132 ppm), all prepared as aqueous solutions with 0.1% ActivatorTM as the surfactant. Gibberellin inhibitors were initially applied twice, at an interval of one week, as a foliar spray. Induction with a single 16 h long night occurred two days subsequent to the final growth retardant treatment. The initial spray application experiments failed, e.g. seedlings were not dwarfed nor was the growth of their petioles reduced. Thus, only the two inhibitors (CCC and uniconazole) which tended to inhibit floral evocation were applied in subsequent experiments.

Hedden (1990) also noted that foliar application of GA biosynthesis inhibitors was less effective than root application. Therefore CCC was applied as a soil drench (50 mL/ seedling) at a rate of 10 g/L as was uniconazole at 3 g/L. These concentrations gave the desired morphological changes with few signs of apex necrosis. In a third trial, an application rate of 2 g/L (50 mL/plant) of uniconazole was used. Additionally reversal of the uniconazole (3 g/L) inhibition of flowering was attempted by applying $GA_{4/7/9}$ mixture (100 µg/seedling). Experiments where inhibition of flowering was obtained via use of GA biosynthesis inhibitors were repeated three times with similar results.

3.2 Pharmacological applications of GAs

Seedlings were grown as described above (Methods 2.1). For all exogenous applications, initial measurements of epicotyl (e.g. height above the primary leaves, the latter being the point of application) and petiole lengths (both primary leaves) of ripe-to-flower seedlings were recorded. All leaf-applied growth regulators were prepared as 95% EtOH solutions. For all experiments the dose range of GAs and all other substances was 1 to 100 μ g per seedling, with half of each dose being applied to the base of each primary leaf blade as a 10 μ L droplet. Within this range each growth substance was applied at the rate of 1, 3.3, 10, 33 and 100 μ g/plant. A dose range within the linear part of the overall response curve is considered most desirable.

For one trial an alternative solvent, DMSO, was used due to its more polar nature. This solvent made it easier to dissolve some of the applied substances (especially leaf extracts). However, application of growth substances in this solvent caused toxicity (e.g. necrotic lesions on the leaves and often death of the shoot apical meristem). Its use was thus abandoned.

Although the seedling bioassay system yields near-uniform plants, within each experiment control versus "to-be-treated" seedlings were carefully matched using the criteria of primary leaf size and seedling height. This was done to enable the inhibitory or "building" responses [e.g. ability of an applied substance under marginally-inductive photoperiod (10-12 h dark) to promote floral evocation] to be compared more precisely in Salisbury floral development stage between levels of a single growth regulator, between growth regulators and against the control (zero growth regulator). Each treatment (EtOH control or exogenously applied growth regulator) consisted of 5 ripe-to-flower seedlings (as described in chapter 2). Applications were always made at 1200 h prior to initiation of

the fully-inductive long night 1600 h or prior to marginal induction between 2000 and 2200 h that same day. This inductive or marginally-inductive photoperiod was provided in a dark room as described above (Chapter 2). Following this inductive dark period the plants were allowed to continue their growth under 20 h LD until dissection 9 days later. In my hands, the only stimulus which could evoke flowering was a fully-inductive photoperiod alone or a marginally-inductive photoperiod and exogenous growth regulator. Floral stages were scored and final epicotyl and petiole lengths measured as above, 9 days following treatment. All applications were repeated in at least three experiments with similar results.

In each experiment at least two types of standardized treatments were included: (1) vegetative seedlings held under a 20 h LD photoperiod and (2) photoperiodicallyinduced seedlings given a single 16 h dark period at 1600 h, post-growth regulator application, the day of the experiment. The 20 h LD control seedlings were required to guarantee that floral induction of the test plants resulted specifically from induction during the long night, rather than to growth chamber failure. The long night (SD)-induced seedlings were given 16 h of darkness to ensure that each seedling batch was indeed photoperiodically inducible. This latter SD treatment was also used to gauge the maximal level of **photoinduction** which could be achieved within each seedling batch. Additionally (when required), control seedlings were marginally-induced (10 to 12 h dark depending on the seedling source) to gauge the basal level of flowering resulting from partial photoinduction. Such a "control" enabled me to assess the floral apex-"building" effect of various florigenic substances. Seedlings used to assess the inhibitory nature of certain growth substances (such as IAA, ACC and ABA) were photo-induced with a single 16 h long night, whereas, seedlings used to assess promotory (floral apex building) substances (such as GAs) were photo-induced with a marginally-inductive long night. Use of these two regimes allowed for the effective assessment of inhibitory as well as promotory substances.

3.2 Pharmacological application of floral-promotive growth substances under marginally-inductive long night (SD)

Eight GAs were tested for their efficacies in (a) epicotyl and petiole growth and (b) flowering. These included GAs native to <u>Xanthium</u> such as $GA_{3,4}$ and 9, other natural GAs [including GA₅ and GA₇ and a $GA_{4/7/9}$ mixture (62:30:8)], as well as certain GA derivatives [2,2-dimethyl GA₄ and its 3 α -hydroxy epimer (see figure 3.3 for GA structures)]. All were C₁₉ GAs and were chosen by merit of their florigenicity in other systems.

In each study, GAs were tested in groups of three or four over a log range of five test doses. Since it was determined that optimal epicotyl and petiole growth, as well as floral evocation responses occurred at the dose of 100 μ g/plant (2,2-dimethyl GA₄ and GA₇ excepted), a final large experiment was used to directly compare the efficacy of each GA on these three parameters at the 100 μ g/plant dose. This single cumulative experiment employed 10 replicate seedlings for each GA dose.

Several preliminary experiments determined that none of the applied GAs (at any dose) could substitute for an inductive long night by evoking flowering (e.g. no GA could induce under LD 20 h daylength treatment). This was also the case for <u>Pharbitis nil</u> (King et <u>al.</u>, 1987). Therefore, all future applications were conducted using a single marginally-inductive long night, at 8-10 h following GA application at 1200 h. The inability (to date) of exogenously applied substances to negate the requirement for photoperiodic



Figure 3.3 Structures of the GAs applied in <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassays. Gibberellins identified from <u>Xanthium</u> leaves or apices are denoted with an asterisk.

_

induction is the most interesting characteristic of the <u>Xanthium</u> system. The lack of success at inducing flowering by any of the broad range of GAs which were applied, exemplifies just how strict the inductive requirement is in this species and also emphasizes the power of this system as a floral evocation bioassay tool. Due to a relatively low flowering stage in the marginally-inductive control treatment (generally between stages 1 and 3), the promotory effect of exogenously applied GAs on floral evocation could be readily assessed in seedlings under marginally-inductive photoperiod. Marginally-inductive photoperiods thus allow promotive effects of growth substances on floral evocation responses to be examined (Pharis et <u>al.</u>, 1990) in systems where it would otherwise be impossible.

3.3 Calculations.

For each seedling, petiole and epicotyl growth was calculated and the mean values of each treatment were subsequently compared between treatments by the Student Newman-Keuls (SNK) ANOVA using the <u>Statistical Analysis Software For Personal</u> <u>Computers program</u>. The Salisbury (1955) floral stages were averaged for each treatment and the percentage flowering (flowering quotient) was calculated. Graphs for each of the three measured parameters were prepared, and expressed as the mean \pm a 95% confidence interval.

Results and Discussion

Effect of GA biosynthesis inhibitors on floral evocation

If GAs are essential for any of the several events of evocation, then it would be expected that GA biosynthesis inhibitors should successfully repress this process. Inhibition of other processes, such as stem and petiole growth which are (presumably) controlled by GAs were previously studied using these inhibitors as tools (Graebe, 1987; Graebe and Ropers, 1978).

Of the GA biosynthesis inhibitors I tested, only CCC and uniconazole affected floral evocation, while BAS 111..W and ancymidol, at the concentrations tested, were ineffective at preventing or delaying this process. At a dose of 3 g/L (0.15 g/plant) uniconazole completely inhibited the transition from vegetative to floral development and at the 2 g/L dose (0.10 g/plant) slowed development by 4 floral stages (see figure 3.4). At 20 mg/L (1 mg/plant), a 2-stage inhibition of development was obtained. The GA_{4/7/9} mixture successfully reversed the uniconazole (3 g/L) inhibition of flowering. This suggests that, even at this high dose, the uniconazole-mediated inhibition of floral evocation resulted specifically from inhibition of GA biosynthesis.

In comparison, CCC did not completely inhibit floral development at either concentration tested, it simply slowed development (figure 3.4). At both doses of CCC, 1 g/L (0.05 g/plant) and 10 g/L (0.5 g/plant), floral development was inhibited by only a single floral stage, even though at 10 g/L of CCC quite severe dwarfing and even chlorosis was observed. Interestingly, the effect of CCC on floral evocation in other systems ranges



Figure 3.4 Effect of GA biosynthesis inhibitors, CCC (10 g/L) and uniconazole (2 g/L and 3 g/L), on Salisbury floral stage upon dissection 9 days following a single (16 h dark) inductive long night. Values are the mean of 10 seedlings. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

from promotion to absolute inhibition. However, these unique effects of CCC are strongly dependent on the application rate. When applied to Lolium (with GA₃) and Blitum (both LDP) CCC enhances floral evocation (Evans, 1964; Evans, 1969b; Jacques, 1970), possibly through its "black magic" effect (at low dose) of increasing rather than decreasing GA levels in some systems (ref. cited in Graebe and Ropers, 1978 and Pharis and King, 1985). Such a floral promotory effect of CCC on floral evocation was not observed in Xanthium at either dose tested. Nor did CCC alone promote Lolium flowering either (marginally-inductive LD was **not** tested) (Evans, 1964). The effect of CCC in my study was similar to that of AMO-1618 (a quaternary ammonium compound, as is CCC) in spinach where Gianfagna et <u>al</u> (1983) determined that AMO-1618 delayed, but did not prevent, inflorescence appearance under inductive (LD) conditions. However, CCC was an effective inhibitor of flowering in the LDP Samolus (Baldev and Lang, 1965). Although CCC delayed, but did not prevent floral evocation in Xanthium, it was an effective inhibitor of shoot elongation.

Differences in the effectiveness of the applied GA biosynthesis inhibitors may be explained by: (1) differences in the penetration and uptake of each inhibitor to the tissue, (2) biosynthetic step which is inhibited, and (3) ability of the plant to metabolize or detoxify the inhibitor. With regard to (2), it is known that the levels of GAs close to the point of inhibition are more reduced than those further away (Hedden, 1990) although the basis for this result is not clear. From results which will be presented later in this chapter (i.e., C_{19} GAs are florigenic), it would be expected that the N-containing-heterocyclic class of inhibitors should be more effective than the "onium" class (See figure 3.4). Indeed this was the case, with uniconazole being a more effective inhibitor of flowering than CCCC.

The fact that both CCC and uniconazole (at low dose) reduced, rather than completely inhibited, floral evocation implies, for this SD species at least, that (endogenous) GAs are involved in (and are probably essential for) either the floral apex initiation or the control of early floral apex differentiation/development events. However, based on their inability to induce flowering under strict non-inductive LD, it seems unlikely that GAs are the florigenic substance per se that is **induced** by long nights which travels from the leaf to the apex. This supposition is supported by the exogenous $GA_{4/7/9}$ reversal of the uniconazole-mediated (3 g/L) inhibition of floral evocation. Thus, some other factor(s) probably regulates the earliest events of floral induction, or under LD overrides the promotive aspects of endogenous (or applied) GAs. Further discussion of GAs in relation to floral evocation will be addressed as part of the effect of exogenously applied GAs on flowering.

Effect of exogenous GAs on epicotyl elongation

As described in the general introduction (Chapter 1), flowering in many SDP (e.g. <u>Pharbitis nil</u>), is accompanied by a reduced rate of epicotyl elongation. This is logical, since stem growth is nearly always reduced by SD (Salisbury, 1982). This precedent of reduced epicotyl growth under inductive SD (16 h dark) was confirmed for the <u>Xanthium</u> system, e.g. epicotyls of plants treated with a single long night were 20% shorter (P \leq 0.05) than either non-flowering seedlings grown throughout the entire experiment under continued 20 h LD, or flowering seedlings receiving a single marginally-inductive long night with subsequent development under LD (see figure 3.5). A decreased epicotyl growth response indicates either a reduced rate of synthesis of elongation "effector", an



Figure 3.5 Effect of a single inductive long night (16 h dark) and a single marginallyinductive (12 h) long night on epicotyl growth of <u>Xanthium</u> seedlings measured 9 days following induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings ($P \le 0.05$) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.

increased rate of inactivation of said effector, or an attenuation of the system by which the plant responds to the elongation effector.

Since GAs are proven effectors of shoot elongation growth in many higher plant species (Phinney et al., 1990), and it has been postulated that GAs have a role in floral evocation, then it might be hypothesized that the structural motifs important for each response should differ. The Lolium system seems to be a case where those GAs which are relatively ineffective at inducing stem elongation (i.e., 2,2-dimethyl-epi GA4, and low doses of GA₅ and GA₃₂) are the ones which are most effective at inducing flowering. By comparison, in Pharbitis the GA level necessary to promote flowering under marginal long nights is 10-100 fold lower than that required for stem elongation (King et al., 1987). In Pharbitis, when flowering is considered, there is a strong relationship between GA type and that dose range which actively promotes floral evocation. Gibberellins possessing a 13α -OH (i.e., GA₃ and GA₅) are highly active in promoting floral evocation at low dose, but become inhibitory at high dose (King et al., 1987). The GAs which possess a 3B-OH (e.g. GA₄ and 2,2-dimethyl GA₄) have intermediate activity promoting floral evocation, but are effective over a broad range of doses (King et <u>al.</u>, 1987). One GA with both 13α and 3B-OH, but no double bond in ring A, (e.g. GA1) promotes floral evocation only at quite high doses (King et al., 1987). Given these precedents, it was postulated that GA types and/or doses which are ineffective in stem elongation might be most effective in To assess this postulate, 8 GAs were exogenously applied in the floral evocation. Xanthium seedling assay.

In <u>Xanthium</u>, as was the case in the <u>Pharbitis</u> and <u>Lolium</u> systems (Evans et <u>al.</u>, 1990; King et <u>al.</u>, 1987), exogenously applied GAs caused varying degrees of stem elongation, depending on GA type and dose. With the exception of 2,2-dimethyl-epi-

GA₄, all applied GAs promoted epicotyl growth. It is likely that the 3 α -OH of 2,2dimethyl-epi-GA₄ is the basis for its inability to promote elongation since for several other GAs the α -hydroxy epimer is usually less effective than its 3B-OH form in elongation (Brian et <u>al</u>. 1967). For the remaining GAs response increased up to 100 µg/plant, except for GA₇ and 2,2-dimethyl GA₄ both of which yielded optimal growth promotion at 33 µg/plant (the 100 µg/plant dose was slightly inhibitory to epicotyl elongation). The order of efficacy for epicotyl elongation of <u>Xanthium</u> was: GA_{4/7/9}>GA₇>GA₉>GA₄>2,2dimethyl GA₄>GA₃>GA₅>2,2-dimethyl-epi-GA₄≈control (see figure 3.6 and top graphs of figures 1 to 24 in Appendix I).

Several trends can be discerned in these results. First, the GAs that were most effective in the epicotyl elongation response (GA_{4/7/9}, GA₇, GA₉, GA₄, and 2,2-dimethyl GA₄) have relatively non-polar structures, (e.g. GA₉ has no hydroxyl group, the others have only a single hydroxyl group at C-3B). The above GAs may either be effective in their promotion of epicotyl growth (as well as other processes) by merit of their primary structure, or as a result of their metabolism to more elongation-active GAs. There is good evidence that GA₁ and GA₃ are both potent effectors of elongation in many dicot and monocot systems [e.g. Pisum sativum (Reid, 1990), Pharbitis nil (King et al, 1987), maize (Murakami, 1972), Lolium telemulentum (Pharis et al., 1987) and Tan-ginbozu dwarf rice (Takahashi and Kobayashi, 1991)]. Thus, GA₁ could arise as a product of the metabolism of $GA_9 \rightarrow GA_4 \rightarrow GA_1$ (Sponsel, 1988) or $GA_9 \rightarrow GA_{20} \rightarrow GA_1$ (Sponsel and MacMillan, 1977) or $GA_{19} \rightarrow GA_{20} \rightarrow GA_1$ (Smith et <u>al.</u>, 1991; Kamiya and Kwak, 1991), whereas GA₃ could be produced in vivo by the metabolism of $GA_{20} \rightarrow GA_5 \rightarrow GA_3$ (Smith et al., 1991). It has also been postulated that GA4 and GA7 are effective at mediating the elongation response in the absence of their metabolism to the above mentioned effectors, although this point has not been unambiguously demonstrated (Nakayama et al., 1991;



Figure 3.6 Direct comparison of the efficacy of 8 GAs on epicotyl growth in <u>Xanthium</u>. Note that a dose of 100 µg/plant was applied at 1200 h, 9 h prior to floral induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 10 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings (P \leq 0.05) via the Student Newman-Keuls test. The abbreviation "DME" stands for dimethyl-C-3-epi-.

Takahashi and Kobayashi, 1991). Differential uptake may also be important in analyzing the effect of an exogenously applied GA on elongation and other processes.

For the exogenously applied GAs, two types of epicotyl elongation responses were observed. Gibberellins A3,4,5,9 and 4/7/9 mixture generally exhibited a log-linear growth response to increasing log dose of applied GA (example seen in figure 3.7 and top graph of figures 1 to 9 and 13 to 18 in Appendix I). However, a biphasic response was observed for GA7 and 2,2-dimethyl GA4 with a burst of epicotyl growth at 1µg, followed by a plateau or slight depression of growth over the intermediate concentrations (3.3 to 33 μ g/plant) and another promotion of growth at the highest dose tested (100 μ g/plant) (figure 3.8 and top graph of figures 10 to 12 and 19 to 21 in Appendix I). The difference in these response types could to be due to differences in the metabolism of the GAs. Gibberellins typifying a linear response type would be expected to be rapidly metabolized to an effector, and/or inactivated (by 2B-hydroxylation and/or conjugation), thus preventing a saturation of the elongation "response system". However, those GAs which exhibit a biphasic response type might be expected to be metabolized at a lower rate, with high doses, perhaps, leading to substrate-induced synthesis of GA glucosylating enzymes, or 2ß-hydroxylase enzymes. If the above hypothesis is correct, then the Xanthium 2ßhydroxylation enzyme system does not appear to be able to recognize and/or inactivate GA₇ and 2,2,-dimethyl GA₄ [or GA₃ for that matter, see top graphs (at high dose) in figures 1 to 3 in Appendix I], possibly due to the presence of the C-1,2 double bond in GA7 and GA3 and the two C-2 methyl groups in 2,2-dimethyl GA4. These two motifs should thus foster biological persistence and GAs possessing them presumably have a long biological half life. Enhanced activity of 2,2-dimethyl GA4 could also result from increased uptake and transport, as speculated by King et al. (1987), rather than from



Figure 3.7 Typical linear epicotyl growth response to an increasing log GA dose, observed for exogenously applied $GA_{3,4,5,9}$ or 4/7/9 mixture. The dose of $GA_{4/7/9}$ mixture was applied at 1200 h, 9.5 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (10.5 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings (P≤0.05) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure 3.8 Typical biphasic epicotyl growth response to an increasing log dose, observed for exogenously applied GA7 and 2,2,-dimethyl GA4. The dose of GA7 was applied at 1200 h, 9 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings (P \leq 0.05) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.

hindrance to 2β -hydroxylation. It must be noted that neither GA₇ nor 2,2-dimethyl GA₄ are known to be endogenous to <u>Xanthium</u>, and that fact might also explain the absence of an effective inactivation system.

Effect of exogenous GAs on petiole elongation

Just as inductive SD reduced epicotyl growth, petiole growth was also reduced for seedlings treated with a single fully-inductive 16 h long night (SD), or marginallyinductive 11 h long night, compared to seedlings maintained under 20 h LD (however, the differences between the three photoperiods are not statistically significant) (see figure 3.9). Although reduced petiole growth under SD was not as substantial as was epicotyl growth, this difference was observed in every experiment between SD and LD control seedlings. As mentioned above, this reduced growth could result from reduced GA synthesis, increased inactivation (conjugation or 2ß-hydroxylation), or even due to decreased sensitivity of the petiole extension "response system".

Analogous to the epicotyl elongation response, 2,2-dimethyl-epi-GA₄ did not significantly (P \leq 0.05) promote petiole elongation beyond that observed in the marginally-induced controls. This indicates that promotion of petiole elongation by a GA also requires the presence of a 3ß-hydroxyl. In addition, GA₅ did not significantly increase petiole growth, possibly due to its lack of hydroxylation at the C-3 position or poor metabolism to a 3ß-hydroxylated GA (e.g. GA₃). On average the effect of exogenous GA application (even for the most effective GAs) on petiole growth was much less noticeable than on epicotyl growth. The order of efficacy for the applied GAs on petiole growth was 2,2-dimethylGA₄>GA₇≈GA₄>GA₃≈GA₄/7/9>GA₉»GA₅≈2,2-dimethyl-epi-GA₄≈control



Figure 3.9 Effect of a single inductive long night (16 h dark) and a single marginallyinductive long night (12 h dark) on petiole growth of <u>Xanthium strumarium</u> seedlings measured 9 days following induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings ($P \le 0.05$) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure 3.10 Direct comparison of the efficacy of 8 GAs on petiole growth in <u>Xanthium</u>. A dose of 100 μ g/plant was applied at 1200 h, 9 h prior to floral induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 10 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings (P≤0.05) via the Student Newman-Keuls test. The abbreviation "DME" stands for dimethyl-C3-epi-.

(see figure 3-10 and bottom graphs in figures 1 to 24 in Appendix I). As was the case for epicotyl elongation, the GAs which were most effective in petiole elongation possessed a 3B-OH and were quite non-polar. Additionally, by comparison to epicotyl growth, the three most effective GAs in petiole elongation were those which may be the most difficult to inactivate by 2B-hydroxylation (2,2-dimethyl GA₄, GA₃ and GA₇). This reinforces the likelihood that <u>Xanthium</u> is unable to inactivate such GAs. Unlike the epicotyls, however, GA₉ and GA_{4/7/9} were of relatively low efficacy in the petiole elongation system. Petiole growth in <u>Xanthium</u> thus seems to have not only a strong requirement for a 3B-OH, but also for motifs (such as a double bond at C-1,2) which may foster biological persistence.

Also analogous to epicotyl elongation two curve types were observed. The first was a log dose:linear response over the entire range of doses tested. This was characteristic of GA3 and GA9 (see figure 3.11 and bottom graphs in figures 1 to 3 and 13 to 15 in Appendix I). The remaining GAs which promoted petiole growth (GA₄, GA7, GA4/7/9 and 2,2-dimethyl GA4) had a curve in which a burst of petiole growth was observed at 1 µg/plant followed by a plateau of elongation for all higher doses (figure 3.12 and bottom graphs in figures 4 to 12 and 16 to 24 in Appendix I). Thus the petiole elongation response may become saturated by the lowest dose of applied GA. Further, GA7, GA4/7/9 and 2,2-dimethyl GA4 all exhibited a depression of petiole elongation at 100 μ g/plant, relative to the elongation observed at 1 μ g/plant. For these GAs, at least, the petiole elongation response appears to become saturated at a 100-fold lower dose than does the epicotyl elongation response (compare figures 3.7 and 3.12). Given these results it is possible to speculate that the "receptor" for epicotyl elongation may be quite different from that for petiole elongation. It also seems possible that GA metabolism of less polar GAs by the petiole may be quite reduced, at least relative to the epicotyl.



Figure 3.11 Typical linear petiole growth response to an increasing log GA dose, observed for exogenously applied GA₃ and GA₉. Dose of GA₃ was applied at 1200 h, 8 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (12 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings (P \leq 0.05) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure 3.12 Typical "burst" of petiole growth in response to an increasing log GA dose, observed for exogenously applied GA₄, GA₇, GA_{4/7/9} and 2,2-dimethyl GA₄. Dose of GA₄ was applied at 1200 h, 9.5 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (10.5 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings ($P \le 0.05$) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.

Effect of exogenous GAs on flowering

All applied GAs exhibited at least a low level of promotion of floral evocation (or subsequent early floral differentiation/development) in marginally-induced Xanthium. However, depending on the level of marginal-induction (typified by the marginally-induced control seedlings) the order of efficacy of the applied GAs was variable. Thus, floral evocation in the Xanthium system was not as strongly promoted by GAs as it was in the SDP Pharbitis or the LDP Lolium. Unlike Pharbitis though, high doses of GA were not inhibitory to flowering in Xanthium (see figures 1 to 24 from Appendix 24). The order of efficacy of each applied GA was determined specifically by its effect on promotion of the process of evocation (the transition of the apex from a vegetative to a floral state). In determining this scale I weighted experiments in which controls were marginally-induced to a low floral stage (on average, Salisbury floral stage 1) more heavily than those induced to a more advanced stage of development (Salisbury floral stage 3 or 4). Comparison of GA efficacy in flowering was done primarily by a comparison of average Salisbury stage of flowering with more rigorous comparison by flowering quotient. Thus, for the process of floral evocation the order of efficacy for GAs was GA4/7/9>GA7≈GA4≈2,2-dimethyl GA₄>GA₉≈GA₅≈2,2-dimethyl-epi-GA₄>GA₃>control (figure 3.13 and figures 1 to 24 in Appendix II). Interestingly, the GA structures which were most effective at causing stem elongation (e.g. GA_{4/7/9}, GA₇ and GA₄) were also most effective at evoking flowering. The time of application (relative to the marginally-inductive long night) was not analyzed in this study, but was studied by Mukherjee who determined that optimum promotion of floral evocation in mature plants was achieved with applications of GA3 between 4 and 8 h prior to marginal-induction (unpublished results). Application after the marginallyinductive long night was ineffective at promoting floral evocation (Mukherjee,

unpublished results), and King et <u>al</u> (1987) noted for <u>Pharbitis</u> that exogenous application of GA after the marginally-inductive long night was actually inhibitory to floral evocation.

Floral evocation curves (for floral stage) for several applied GAs (e.g. GA₄, 2,2dimethyl-epi-GA₄, and GA_{4/7/9}) showed a weak promotion at low dose, with a plateau over the mid-range of doses tested (3.3 to 33 μ g/seedling), followed (usually) by a large increase in floral stage at 100 μ g/plant (see figure 3.14 and figures 4 to 6, 16 to 18 and 19 to 21 in Appendix II). One intriguing explanation for this increased promotion at the highest dose may be the possibility that highly florigenic contaminants are present within the applied GA. At the 100 μ g/plant dose, these may become significant enough to increase (synergize?) the flowering response promoted by the main GA.

One similarity between the GAs effective at promoting floral evocation in Lolium, <u>Pharbitis</u> and <u>Xanthium</u> is that they are all C_{19} GAs (however, in the present study C_{20} GAs were not tested). In addition it might be expected that a free carboxyl group at C-7 is required in <u>Xanthium</u> as it is in <u>Lolium</u> and <u>Pharbitis</u> (Evans et al., 1990; King et al., 1987). Although GA methyl esters were not tested in the present study on <u>Xanthium</u> they are inactive in <u>Lolium</u> (Evans et al., 1990). Past this point similarities break down and the efficacy order is very different between <u>Xanthium</u> and <u>Lolium</u> (especially) and <u>Xanthium</u> and <u>Pharbitis</u>. Thus, floral promotive features of GAs vary considerably depending on order, family, photoperiod or cold-requirement type.

For the <u>Xanthium</u> system, with few exceptions, those GAs which have a large promotory effect on floral apex development (Salisbury floral stage) are also those most effective for stem elongation. An almost parallel situation occurs for <u>Arabidopsis</u> (Goto and Pharis, 1993) and for <u>Pharbitis</u> (SDP), although in <u>Pharbitis</u> low doses of exogenously applied GA (which did not promote elongation) were much more efficacious at inducing



Figure 3.13 Direct comparison of the efficacy of 8 GAs on floral evocation in <u>Xanthium</u>. A dose of 100 µg/plant was applied at 1200 h, 8 h prior to induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (12 h dark). Values represent the mean of 10 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings (P \leq 0.05) via the Student Newman-Keuls test. The abbreviation "DME" stands for dimethyl-C3-epi-.



Figure 3.14 Efficacy of $GA_{4/7/9}$ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of $GA_{4/7/9}$ were applied at 1200 h, 9 h before induction with a single marginallyinductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury floral stages) dissected 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Numbers above the bars indicate flowering quotients.

flowering, whereas higher doses which significantly promoted stem elongation, actually inhibited flowering (King et al., 1987). Unlike Pharbitis, the highest dose (100 µg/seedling) was in all cases the most effective dose for stimulating both floral evocation and growth in Xanthium. Is the efficacy of elongation-promoting GAs on promotion of floral evocation in these two SDP (and Arabidopsis) a general characteristic for herbaceous dicots? Although GA efficacies for epicotyl growth and flowering are strongly correlated in both Pharbitis and Xanthium studies, the orders of structural efficacies cannot be directly compared. For instance, GA7 and GA4 were the most ineffective GAs at promoting evocation in Pharbitis at low doses (King et al., 1987), but in Xanthium these were two of the three best. Thus, when dose is taken into account the order of efficacies for flowering, (2,2-dimethyl GA₄ excepted), is reversed between Pharbitis and Xanthium. Xanthium seems to have a strict requirement for a 3ß-hydroxyl, but unlike Pharbitis where GA₃»GA₁, <u>Xanthium</u> does not appear to exhibit a preference for a double bond in ring A (e.g. witness the equal efficacy of GA₄ and GA₇ in figures 4 to 6 and 10 to 12 in Appendix II). There exists almost a parallel order of efficacy between Xanthium and the florigenicity of several GAs in some lines of <u>Brassica</u> (i.e., Westar, WW1033 and Glacier) (Mandel et al., 1992) and Arabidopsis thaliana (Pharis and Goto, 1993). In essence, order of efficacy is 2,2-dimethyl GA₄>GA₅>GA₃ in all three systems.

Strong differences in GAs effective in promoting flowering were also noted between <u>Xanthium</u> and the LDP <u>Lolium</u>. In LD-requiring plants, of which <u>Lolium</u> is a good model system, there is (generally) a very distinct structure/function relationship for GAs effective in elongation compared to GAs effective in floral induction. Evans et <u>al</u>. (1990) commented for <u>Lolium</u> that if there is a GA receptor involved in floral induction, it would seem to have different structural requirements than the GA receptor involved in stem elongation. Such a difference in "receptors" for elongation and flowering may also be evident in red clover, where GAs with a C-1,2 double bond (i.e., GA₃ and GA₇) or C-2,3 double bond (i.e., GA₅) are most effective in both flowering and stem elongation, whereas those lacking a double bond (i.e., GA₄) are only effective in the elongation response. In Lolium, GAs with low efficacy (at low dose) with respect to inducing stem elongation (GA5, C-12B-OH GA5, and 2,2-dimethyl-epi-GA4 to name a few) are often those which are most effective at inducing flowering (Evans et al., 1990; Evans et al., 1993). In Lolium, 3B-hydroxylation is actually a detrimental feature for flowering (Evans et al., 1993), even if a double bond is present (GA7). The most important structural features for <u>Lolium</u> are hydroxyls on rings C and/or D and the presence of a ring A double bond. By comparison, florigenic GAs in the Xanthium system (i.e., GA4/7/9 and GA7) seem to be those best able to promote epicotyl extension growth (at least under a marginally-inductive photoperiod), in contrast to the GA efficacies in Lolium. One argument which may reconcile this apparent anomaly is the fact that the marginallyinductive photoperiod, although it does enhance the degree of flowering, in Xanthium does not reduce the epicotyl growth relative to the LD controls (figure 3-5). This indicates that in Xanthium GA metabolism and/or response still differs between the marginally-inductive long night and the fully-inductive 16 h long night regimes. Marginally-inductive long night, although it may be an effective tool for preliminary screening of florigenic substances (since it partially activates transitional events sufficiently to induce flowering) may be insufficiently long enough to significantly alter GA metabolism and/or response (although see chapter 5 on endogenous GAs and chapter 6 on GA_{20} metabolism).

Conclusions

The results of this chapter provide evidence which suggests that it is unlikely that GAs alone mediate the total evocation response, although at least one component of floral evocation may be promoted by GAs (e.g. GAs are not florigen but may be a component of it). Thus, in <u>Xanthium</u>, GAs may act in exerting their building effect on a marginallyinduced apex by means of promoting later floral developmental processes, rather than early evocation processes. Evidence which supports this supposition is three-fold. First, none of the applied GAs were effective at inducing flowering under a totally non-inductive 20 h LD photoperiod. However, for most SDP, it is well known that GAs can rarely substitute for an inductive long night. Is this simply a question of sensitivity? The hypothesis that GAs are specifically involved in the floral development process is supported by the fact that many GA "deficient" mutants from several species including rice, maize (Pharis and King, 1985), Arabidopsis (Wilson et al., 1992; Goto and Pharis, 1993), pea and tomato (Nester and Zeevaart, 1988; Sekhar and Sawhney, 1990) all initiate flowering readily under normal growth conditions, but the flowers do not develop, or show various structural defects. This indicates that GAs may be involved in the steps which follow induction. Thus, delayed floral development results when GAs regulating the later steps in floral development are limiting. This is seen in a few GA "deficient" mutants including Arabidopsis thaliana (gal-6 mutant), red clover, Brassica rapa and Thlaspi arvense which do flower normally, though flowering is delayed (Jones and Thomas, 1993; Jones, 1989; Wilson et al., 1992). In "leaky" mutants or, as was determined in this study, with GA biosynthesis inhibitors which were less effective (CCC) or applied at lower doses (due to the less drastic reduction of endogenous GA level) floral evocation occurs, but is also delayed. Zeevaart (1971b) also determined for spinach that AMO-1618 delayed but did not inhibit floral development. It could be argued that all the
above mentioned mutants are too leaky to completely inhibit flowering. In this vein, the ga1-3 mutant of <u>Arabidopsis thaliana</u>, the most deficient mutant used by Wilson et al. (1992), did not flower under SD (but did eventually die) unless GA was exogenously applied. An analogous situation to the ga1-3 mutant may be seen in <u>Xanthium</u> plants treated with high levels of GA biosynthesis inhibitor. Thus, application of uniconazole (at 3 g/L) inhibited floral evocation, even under 16 h inductive nights, as was the case for the LDP <u>Samolus parviflorus</u> (Baldev and Lang, 1965), treated with AMO-1618 and held under inductive photoperiod. In each case, applied GA could reverse the inhibition, suggesting that the biosynthesis inhibitors were acting specifically by reducing the level of endogenous GAs. This implies that flowering may be inhibited when GAs reach a critical low level, a conclusion supported by the fact that applied GA could reverse the inhibition in both <u>Samolus</u> and <u>Xanthium</u>. However, since in <u>Xanthium</u>, applied GAs alone will not **induce** floral evocation, it seems likely that this (and other?) SDP require GAs for the later steps of floral evocation. The question which still remains is: Why can GAs promote the floral response in <u>Xanthium</u> and many other SDP, yet not evoke it?

CHAPTER 4

INHIBITORY EFFECT OF EXOGENOUSLY APPLIED ABSCISIC ACID, 1-AMINOCYCLOPROPANE-1-CARBOXYLIC ACID AND INDOLE-3-ACETIC ACID ON EVOCATION FLORAL IN <u>XANTHIUM</u>

Introduction

In several systems GAs and IAA have been shown to have antagonistic effects in growth and development processes, including flowering. For example, the differentiation of male flowers in several dicot species, including cucumber and <u>Xanthium</u>, is enhanced by exogenous GA (e.g. $GA_{4/7}$ and GA_3 respectively) and with femaleness being enhanced by exogenous IAA (Leonard et <u>al.</u>, 1980; Fuchs et <u>al</u>, 1977). This situation is reversed in conifers (see refs. cited in Pharis and Kuo, 1977; Ross et <u>al.</u>, 1983) where exogenously applied auxin in the presence of $GA_{4/7}$ disproportionately promotes the differentiation of male cone buds, whereas $GA_{4/7}$ alone mainly promotes the differentiation of female cone buds. The situation is similar in tomato where auxin promotes the differentiation of anthers and GA_3 promotes the differentiation of ovules (Singh et <u>al.</u>, 1992).

With respect to floral evocation, exogenously applied auxin usually inhibits flowering in SDP, including <u>Xanthium</u> (Hamner and Bonner, 1938; Bonner, 1949), <u>Chenopodium rubrum</u> (Pavlová and Krekule, 1990), <u>Kalanchöe</u> (Harder and van Senden, 1949), <u>Pharbitis nil</u> (Nakayama and Kikuchi, 1956), soybean (Hamner and Nanda, 1956) and petunia (Green and Fuller, 1948), while in LDP such as barley (Leopold and Thimann, 1949; Salisbury, 1955) and tobacco (Lozhnikova et <u>al.</u>, 1990) IAA hormone can promote floral initiation. Also, in pineapple auxin (Clark and Kerns, 1942; Cooper, 1942) or

ethylene (Lewcock, 1947) can promote flowering, and ethephon is commercially used for this purpose. Auxin, however, can also be inhibitory to floral initiation in LDP (e.g. <u>Lolium</u>) (Evans, 1964). In the present study I sought to repeat and extend the work of Hamner and Bonner (1938), who first determined that applied IAA was inhibitory to floral evocation in <u>Xanthium</u> when applied during the inductive period until the translocation of the floral inductive stimulus to the apex was complete (e.g. 44 h after induction) (Hamner, 1958). Bonner (1949) previously determined that auxin applied to the induced leaf during photoinduction inhibited induced plants (under SD) from exporting the stimulus by using a secondary induction experiment, e.g. a vegetative graft partner maintained under LD (Bonner, 1949). This indicates that auxin could exert its inhibition by preventing the synthesis and/or transport of the floral inductive stimulus to the apex (Pavlová and Krekule, 1990) or even by reducing the effectiveness of the light period preceding the inductive dark period (Hamner and Nanda, 1956). But since, inhibition by exogenously applied auxin occurs not only when applied to the leaves (Pavlová and Krekule, 1990), but also when applied to the apex (Krekule and Privratsky, 1973), the mechanism by which auxin inhibits floral evocation is unclear. The following set of experiments sought to gain additional insight into this Pandora's Box.

Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA, but also auxin in general) is known to stimulate ACC synthase activity (Yoshii and Imaseki, 1981; Yu, et <u>al.</u>, 1979). Therefore it was postulated that the IAA-mediated inhibition of floral evocation might actually be caused by ethylene production which was enhanced through the stimulation of ACC synthase activity by the IAA. To test this hypothesis ACC was applied to determine if this ethylene precursor could inhibit floral evocation. Indeed it did (see figure 4.1), and I thus postulated that ethylene, acting as a common secondary messenger, may be mediating the inhibition for both of applied IAA and ACC. Two approaches were then pursued: (1) ethylene



Figure 4.1 An example of ACC inhibition of floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. The doses of ACC were applied to leaves at 1200 h, 4 h before induction with a single fully-inductive 16 h long night. Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury floral stages) dissected 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Numbers above the bars indicate flowering quotients.

evolution following the application of each of IAA and ACC was assessed to determine if either or both substances, when applied to the leaf, cause an increased rate of ethylene production by the apex, and (2) STS, a known inhibitor of ethylene action (which possibly acts by competing with an iron atom at the active site of an ethylene receptor) (Beyer, 1976), was applied to the leaf prior to treatment with ACC or IAA to determine if the inhibition of floral evocation obtained by either or both IAA or ACC could be prevented (or reversed).

King and Evans (1977) and Evans, (1969a) determined that severe water stress could inhibit flowering in Lolium. Additionally, Aspinall and Husain (1970) reported that water stress inhibited long night-induced flowering of both <u>Xanthium</u> and <u>Pharbitis</u>. Such inhibition could be mediated through endogenous ABA, which in <u>Lolium</u> was found to increase 4- to 30-fold within 8 h (King and Evans, 1977). Also, when exogenously applied in several systems, ABA has been shown to inhibit floral bud initiation in <u>Kalanchöe</u>, <u>Pharbitis</u> and <u>Spinacia</u> (El-Antably and Wareing, 1966; Schwabe, 1972; Kamuro et al., 1990). In the <u>Pharbitis</u> system s-ABA (which is the natural form in higher plants) completely inhibited flower bud initiation, but the racemic mixture did not, even when applied at high doses (Kamuro et al., 1990). Given these precedents, it was postulated that s-ABA should also inhibit floral evocation in <u>Xanthium</u> and it was thus tested in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. However, it should be kept in mind that there is very little evidence that endogenous levels of ABA increase under normal (non-drought) circumstances enough to be a major determinant in the evocation of flowering (Bernier, 1988).

In both tobacco (Machácková et <u>al.</u>, 1988) and <u>Chenopodium rubrum</u> (Lozhnikova et <u>al.</u>, 1988) the endogenous level of IAA decreases during induction, due to the presence

of increased levels of IAA-oxidases (Zárský et <u>al.</u>, 1990). Based on their reasoning and on Japanese literature showing promotion of floral evocation by certain phenolics and flavenoids (Umemoto, 1971; Shonozaki et <u>al.</u>, 1987; Shonozaki et <u>al.</u>, 1988), a cofactor (*p*-coumaric acid) and a competitive inhibitor for the cofactor site (CGA) of the IAA oxidase class of enzymes (which degrade IAA by oxidation) were applied.

Methods

4.1 Application of flowering inhibitors

Seedlings were grown and applications of IAA, ACC, *p*-coumaric acid, CGA and s-ABA made as detailed in chapter 3 (3.2). The IAA, ACC, *p*-coumaric acid, CGA and s-ABA were dissolved in 95% EtOH (see figure 4.2 and 4.3 for structures), then applied at 1, 3.3, 10, 33 and 100 μ g per plant with half of each dose being applied to the base of each primary leaf blade as a 10 μ L droplet.

4.2 STS treatment of seedlings

The STS was prepared from fresh stock solutions of aqueous 2.5 mM AgNO₃ in 0.1% ActivatorTM (surfactant) and 10 mM Na₂S₂O₃ in each experiment. At 1200 h, 4 h prior to the inductive dark period, seedlings (entire epicotyl) were inverted, dipped in this solution for 30 s and subsequently allowed to dry. Control seedlings were dipped in a 0.1% ActivatorTM aqueous solution. Once seedlings were visibly dry (10 min later), the primary leaves were treated with either ACC, IAA or EtOH (control) as described above



)

Figure 4.2 Structures of s-abscisic acid, 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid, and indole-3-acetic acid.



GA₃₂ Figure 4.3 A. Structures of an IAA-oxidase cofactor (i) (*p*-coumaric acid) and an IAA-oxidase competitive inhibitor (ii) (CGA). B. Structure of GA₃₂, a highly florigenic GA in Lolium and Pharbitis.

and the plants placed back into the lighted growth chamber until the long night induction (16 h dark).

4.3 Quantitation of ethylene evolution following treatment with ACC or IAA

At time zero (1200 h, 4 h before the fully-inductive 16 h long night), the primary leaves of seedlings were treated with either IAA or ACC at a dose of 100 µg/plant. In all repeat experiments this dose was inhibitory to floral evocation. Ethylene evolution from the apices of treated plants was analyzed by the method of Finlayson et al. (1991). Essentially ethylene samples were obtained using a device made by connecting two syringes together with a 3-way valve. Both syringes were flushed with ambient air prior to sampling tissue. At times between 0 and 21 h following treatment, apical tissue (6 buds per sample) was cut and quickly placed into a 2.5 mL syringe (sample syringe) with the plunger adjusted to 1.4 mL. After precisely 10 min, a 1 mL gas sample was withdrawn from the sample syringe into a second gas-tight^{\mathbb{R}} syringe through the three-way value. A preliminary study had determined that wound-induced ethylene cannot be detected in this system for at least 30 min. The 1 mL gas sample was analyzed for ethylene using a Photovac 10S10 gas chromatograph with a 3.2 mm X 2.45 m 60/80 Carbopack B column (1.5% XE-60/1% H₃PO₄; Supelco Canada, Oakville. Ontario, Canada) by photoionization detection. Quantitation was performed against an external standard curve, subtracting for ethylene in the ambient air (by means of triplicate air samples collected during the sampling time). Bud fresh weights were quickly determined immediately after sampling. Each experiment was repeated at least twice, with four replicate bud samples being analyzed for ethylene evolution within each experiment.

Results and Discussion

4.1 Inhibition by IAA, ACC and ethylene

The results of this study (see figure 4.4) confirmed Hamner and Bonner's (1938) earlier work that IAA inhibits the process of floral evocation in <u>Xanthium</u>. Depending on the experiment, partial inhibition by IAA was apparent in the dose range of 3.3 and 10 μ g/plant, with complete inhibition of floral evocation occurring between 33 and 100 μ g/plant. In all repeats a 10-fold dose range between partial inhibition and total inhibition of floral evocation by IAA was evident (figure 4.4). The broad range of IAA doses resulting in total inhibition of flowering in the present study may in part be due to the fact that IAA was more inhibitory (i.e., had effects at lower levels) in younger seedlings (13 days after planting) than in older seedlings (21 days after planting). In <u>Xanthium</u>, IAA (at 100 μ g/seedling) only partially inhibits flowering in mature plants (I.D. Mukherjee, personal communication).

The effect of IAA on the gross morphology of the plant was striking. At the lower doses tested (1 and 3.3 μ g/plant) a slight bending of the petioles was apparent 21 h after application. However, at the higher doses (33 to 100 μ g/plant) the effects were more severe, yielding very epinastic plants with extremely thickened epicotyls and highly curled petioles. These morphological effects occurred at the same doses that inhibited flowering. However, none of IAA, ABA nor ACC significantly affected epicotyl or petiole elongation growth within the dose range tested.

Since IAA is known to stimulate ethylene synthesis by increasing ACC synthase activity, and acts in a dose-dependent manner (Yoshii and Imaseki, 1981; Yu et <u>al.</u>, 1979; Saki and Imaseki, 1971), it was hypothesized that the inhibition of the floral evocation



Figure 4.4 An example of IAA inhibition of floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of IAA were applied 1200 h, 4 h before a single inductive long night (16 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury floral stages) dissected 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Numbers above the bars indicate flowering quotients.

obtained by IAA treatment might be mediated via increased ethylene production. This postulated mechanism can also be used to explain the current model for apical dominance whereby high IAA acting via increased ethylene, prevents axillary bud development (Gocal et <u>al.</u>, 1991; Russell and Thimann, 1990). A mechanism connecting the processes of floral initiation and apical dominance is possible since one of the first signs of the induced state in <u>Xanthium</u> is decreased apical dominance (i.e., growth of axillary buds). In mango, decapitation of a main shoot causes flower initiation in the lateral branches which in the control trees remain vegetative (Reece et <u>al.</u>, 1946). This was the rationale for testing ACC on inhibition of floral evocation induced with a single long night (16 h dark).

Indeed ACC was found to inhibit floral evocation, with partial inhibition apparent at 10 μ g/plant, and complete inhibition occurring at 100 μ g/plant in all repeats (see figure 4.1). However, unlike the effect of IAA on seedling morphology, ACC did not elicit curling of the petioles nor thickening of the epicotyl. Seedlings treated with ACC appeared morphologically similar to the control seedlings. The absence of an effect of ACC on seedling morphology seems to indicate that although some IAA effects on seedling morphology may be mediated through ACC-derived ethylene, that this secondary messenger (ethylene) does not elicit all IAA-produced effects.

To further explore the hypothesis that IAA and ACC inhibition of flowering were not mediated by the same mechanism, two further experiments were conducted. In the first, the rate of ethylene evolution was measured from apical tissue upon termination of the inductive dark period, 21 h following application of each of ACC or IAA to the primary leaf bases. At this time, the rate of ethylene evolution by the apical tissue from plants treated with IAA was similar to that of the controls (figure 4.5). From this result it was postulated that the IAA-induced ethylene evolution was so transient that it became



Figure 4.5 Effect of a dose of 100 μ g/plant of IAA or ACC applied to primary leaf bases of <u>Xanthium</u> seedlings on ethylene evolution by apical tissue 21 h later. Growth substances were applied at 1200 h (4 h prior to the beginning of the long night) and ethylene evolution was measured at 0800 h the following day (21 h post-application) by GC with photoionization detection. Data represent the mean of four replicate samples (3 apical buds in each sample). Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

undetectable within 21 h of exogenous IAA application. In comparison, exogenous application of ACC caused a sustained production of ethylene over at least 21 h (see figure 4.5). In a subsequent experiment the effect of IAA on ethylene production was studied over a 15 h period following exogenous application of IAA. Here it was determined that exogenous application of IAA resulted in a transient increase of ethylene production by the apical tissue, which appeared to peak between 3 and 6 h after treatment (fig 4.6). The IAA-induced ethylene production had diminished to control level by the end of the 16 h inductive dark period.

For all future ethylene measurements the beginning of the dark period (4 h after hormone treatment) was chosen as a convenient sampling point. Both IAA or ACC application to the leaf blade 4 h earlier resulted in a high level of ethylene evolution at the beginning of the dark period by the apical tissue, relative to the control apical tissue (see figure 4.7). Thus, ACC-induced ethylene evolution was 5-fold higher than IAA-induced ethylene evolution, which in turn was 10-20-fold higher than ethylene evolution by untreated control seedlings (figure 4.7).

In a second experiment, seedlings were treated with STS 10 min prior to exogenous IAA or ACC application (which was at 1200 h, 4 h before the beginning of the long night). Flowering was scored 9 days later. Silver is one known inhibitor of ethylene action, possibly acting by competing for an iron atom at the active site of the ethylene receptor (Beyer, 1976). Although silver in the form of STS is quite mobile, and has fewer toxic side effects than other silver-containing inhibitors, in this study it did produce a few small necrotic lesions on the stems. This obvious wounding effect did not, however, inhibit floral evocation. The ACC-induced inhibition of floral evocation was prevented (or restored to the control level) for STS-pre-treated seedlings dosed with ACC (figure 4.8).



Figure 4.6 Effect of a dose of 100 μ g/plant of IAA, applied to the primary leaf bases of <u>Xanthium</u> seedlings, on ethylene evolution by apical tissue measured as a time course. Growth substances were applied at 1200 h and the inductive long night began at 1600 h. Ethylene evolution was measured at selected time points by GC with photoionization detection. Data represent the mean of four replicate samples (3 apical buds in each). Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 4.7 Effect of a dose of 100 μ g/plant of IAA or ACC applied to the primary leaf bases of <u>Xanthium</u> seedlings on ethylene evolution by apical tissue 4 h later. Growth substances were applied at 1200 h and ethylene evolution was measured at 1600 h (the time when the inductive long night would have begun) the same day by GC with photoionization detection. Data represent the mean of four replicate samples (3 apical buds in each). Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

However, the IAA-induced inhibition of floral evocation was not reversed by STS treatment (figure 4.8).

It would thus appear that the floral inhibitory mechanisms of IAA and ACC are not the same, and are likely mediated by separate mechanisms. Three results tend to corroborate this conclusion: (1) IAA inhibition of floral evocation is not prevented (or reversed) by the presence of STS (a known inhibitor of ethylene action) while the floral inhibition induced by ACC is prevented (or reversed) by STS. This indicates that although IAA inhibition of flowering may be in part due to enhanced ethylene biosynthesis, that there is more than this to the IAA story. (2) Although exogenous application of either ACC or IAA stimulates ethylene evolution, each substance yields a very different maximum rate. (3) IAA has a profound effect on seedling morphology, whereas ACC does not.

A similar conclusion (e.g. IAA inhibition of flowering is not completely ethylenemediated) was reached for <u>Chenopodium rubrum</u> (SDP) (Machácková et <u>al.</u>, 1988; Machácková et <u>al.</u>, 1989), tobacco (Zárský et <u>al.</u>, 1990), and <u>Pharbitis</u> (Halevy et <u>al.</u>, 1991). Thus another approach was taken to attempt to identify the mechanism of IAAmediated inhibition of floral evocation. As stated above, flowering in both <u>Chenopodium</u> <u>rubrum</u> and tobacco is inhibited by exogenously applied IAA. Endogenous IAA levels in these systems during induction were found decrease (Machácková et <u>al.</u>, 1988; Lozhnikova et <u>al.</u>, 1988), as determined by fluorimetric detection (a less definitive method) of IAA level from partially purified methanolic extracts (the values may not be accurate). The basis for this decrease in endogenous IAA in both tobacco and <u>Chenopodium rubrum</u> was concluded to be due to the presence of increased levels of IAA-oxidases (Zárský et <u>al.</u>, 1990), a class of enzymes which degrades IAA by oxidation.



Figure 4.8 Effect of STS on IAA- or ACC-induced inhibition of floral evocation in <u>Xanthium</u> seedlings. Seedlings were dipped into freshly prepared STS (2.5 mM AgNO₃/10 mM Na₂S₂O₃ in 0.1% ActivatorTM) 10 min prior to application of IAA or ACC at 100 μ g/seedling. The IAA and ACC were applied to the primary leaf bases. Data represent the mean of five seedlings. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Based on their reasoning and on Japanese literature showing promotion of floral evocation in <u>Pharbitis</u> under non-inductive LD by certain phenolics and flavenoids (Umemoto, 1971; Shonozaki et <u>al.</u>, 1987; Shonozaki et <u>al.</u>, 1988), an approach using exogenous application of a competitive inhibitor (chlorogenic acid; CGA), for the cofactor site of this enzyme, as well as a cofactor of IAA oxidases (*p*-coumaric acid) was attempted (see figure 4.3). In essence it was postulated that the putative inhibitor of IAA oxidases (CGA) might reduce the flowering response in marginally-induced plants, possibly by increasing the <u>in vivo</u> IAA level. By comparison, exogenous application of the cofactor (*p*-coumaric acid) might "build" the flowering response by reducing the endogenous IAA level.

Neither of CGA nor *p*-coumaric acid influenced epicotyl or petiole elongation, but CGA did affect flowering in an almost opposite (from expected) manner (compare figures 4.9 and 4.10). For plants given a marginally-inductive long night, CGA strongly promoted floral evocation (up to 4 stages, but on average 3 stages; see figure 4.9), whereas *p*-coumaric acid had no effect on flowering (figure 4.10). In fact, the CGA promotion of flowering was linear in response to a log dose up to 3.3 μ g/seedling. Above the 3.3 μ g/seedling dose, floral stage was only marginally promoted, but 100% of the plants flowered (figure 4.9). In all three repeat trials CGA continued to show a strong promotion of floral evocation which was generally in excess of that exhibited by the GA_{4/7/9} mixture (compare figure 4.9 and 3.14).

Given this unexpected result, an explanation is in order. A link between flowering and secondary metabolism has been reported by Zucker et <u>al</u>. (1965), Umemoto (1971), Taylor (1965) and Shonozaki et <u>al</u>. (1988). In <u>Pharbitis</u>, benzoic acid, L-phenylalanine and trans-cinnamic acid (the latter two being precursors of CGA) can replace a "high light followed by cold induction of flowering," photoperiod being non-inductive LD (Shonozaki



Figure 4.9 Effect of CGA on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of CGA were applied to the primary leaf bases at 1200 h, 8 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (12 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury floral stages) dissected 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Numbers above the bars indicate flowering quotients.



Figure 4.10 Effect of p-coumaric acid on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of p-coumaric acid were applied to the primary leaf bases at 1200 h, 8 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (12 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury floral stages) dissected 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Numbers above the bars indicate flowering quotients.

et <u>al.</u>, 1987). It is known that when long nights are used to induce SDP, a high light period following induction will stabilize the floral stimulus (Salisbury, 1982). In <u>Pharbitis</u>, aminooxyacetic acid (an inhibitor of the enzyme phenylalanine lyase) suppressed flowering (apparently) by depressing CGA synthesis during induction (Shonozaki et <u>al.</u>, 1987). Elevated levels of CGA are also associated with inhibition of flowering in tobacco (Zucker et <u>al.</u>, 1965). In <u>Xanthium</u>, CGA was found to be the major phenolic present in leaves (Taylor and Zucker, 1968). However, based on my review of the literature, CGA does not appear to have been tested for florigenicity in the <u>Xanthium</u> system. An additional piece of circumstantial evidence suggesting a role for CGA in floral evocation is the fact that CGA content in the young <u>Xanthium</u> leaves is 3 to 5-fold higher than in older tissue (Taylor, 1968). As mentioned in the general introduction, it is the most rapidly expanding leaf which seems to be most sensitive to induction (and/or is the most effective leaf at synthesizing flowering stimulus).

Another possible link between florigenicity and phenolics or flavenoids may be suggested for the LD <u>Lolium</u> system where the class of ring A didehydro polyhydroxylated GAs are highly active (e.g. GA_{32}) (Pharis et <u>al.</u>, 1990; Pharis et <u>al.</u> 1987; Evans et <u>al.</u>, 1990) (see figure 4.3). The florigenicity of these polyhydroxylated GAs may be due to some structural motifs which are similar to those of CGA (i.e., multiple hydroxylations and presence of a double bond) (compare A (ii) and B on figure 4.3).

Now, to come back to IAA-inhibition of floral evocation, it is apparent that further experiments must be conducted to better determine the mechanism of IAA inhibition of flowering. These might include the co-application of IAA and a known inhibitor of auxin action/transport such as 2,5-dichloroanisole or TIBA. Such inhibitors would be expected to offset the inhibiting effects of applied auxin on floral initiation (Bonner, 1949; Cooke, 1954 and refs. cited in Lang, 1965). In addition the effect of applied IAA on ACC synthesis and also of leaf-applied GAs and CGA on leaf and apex IAA synthesis (e.g. $GA_{4/7/9}$ mixture versus GA_{1}) (and vice-versa) should be further studied. To this end tissue for the analysis of IAA and ACC following application of $[^{2}H_{2}]$ -ACC and $[^{13}C_{6}]$ -IAA has been collected. Such applications and the study of endogenous hormone levels following exogenous applications of these growth substances may shed light on the nature of the auxin inhibition of flowering and how IAA inhibition of flowering relates to floral inhibition induced by ACC.

Ethylene production by intact Chenopodium rubrum plants was found to be under photocontrol (Machácková et al, 1988; Machácková et al, 1989), although its role in photoinduction of flowering remains obscure. In several systems, including tobacco (Kasperbauer and Hamilton, 1978), Chenopodium rubrum and Chenopodium murale (SDP) (Machácková et al., 1989), ethylene is known to have an inhibitory effect on flowering. However, its role was not (until now) examined in Xanthium. In the SDP Chenopodium rubrum, and SD and LD strains of tobacco, endogenous ethylene levels decrease during photoperiodic induction (Machácková, 1988; Lozhnikova et al., 1988). In addition, the his-1 mutant of Arabidopsis, which is deficient in ethylene synthesis, bolts (elongates the flower stem) earlier than its wildtype counterpart (Guzmán and Ecker, 1990). By comparison, the ein1-1 and ein2-1 mutants of Arabidopsis, which are ethylene insensitive are slightly delayed in their bolting responses (Guzmán and Ecker, 1990). Lastly, in Chenopodium rubrum, flowering is inhibited by the application of IAA or ethylene (applied in the form of ethephon) (Khatoon et al., 1973). All these results point to the possibility of ethylene levels playing a role in the regulation of photoperiod induction of flowering. Although flowering was not studied by Woodrow et al. (1989),

one possible mechanism for the inhibition of flowering in <u>Xanthium</u> by IAA and ACC (possibly mediated by ethylene) may be the fact that treatment with ethephon (ethylene), as shown by Woodrow et <u>al</u>. (1989), can cause an alteration in partitioning of current photosynthate away from shoot and toward root sinks.

Further experiments with the <u>Xanthium</u> system could include treatment of plants during long night induction with ethylene to determine exactly when the plants are most sensitive, as well as determining the threshold concentration of ethylene at which the floral evocation becomes inhibited. Additionally, the mechanism whereby ethylene inhibits floral evocation requires further study. Several avenues may be pursued in this regard. Pearce et <u>al</u>. (1991) showed that ethylene inhibits the metabolism of $[^{2}H]GA_{20} \rightarrow [^{2}H]GA_{1}$ (e.g. inhibits 3ß-hydroxylation). An increased endogenous level of ethylene caused by long night induction may explain the decreased elongation growth of petiole and epicotyls for such plants. It is also possible that the 3 β -hydroxylation of GA₉ \rightarrow GA₄ is also inhibited at the apex in Xanthium. Hence, exogenous ethylene might lower the endogenous GA4 level (by reducing its synthesis), thereby reducing the level of floral evocation under inductive One could speculate that under a marginally long night the effect of long night. endogenous ethylene on the 3B-hydroxylase of GA₂₀ may be greater than its effect on the 3 β -hydroxylase of GA₉. This assumes GA₁ might be floral inhibitory. These speculative hypotheses should be tested as time permits.

4.2 Inhibition by ABA

Application of s-ABA was significantly inhibitory to floral evocation (See figure 4.11), though the inhibition was only partial compared to that of IAA or ACC (compare figure 4.11 to figures 4.1 and 4.4). A maximum inhibition of 3 floral stages (and reduction



Figure 4.11 An example of s-ABA inhibition of floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Log doses of s-ABA were applied at 1200 h, 4 h before the beginning of the single inductive long night (16 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury floral stages) dissected 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Numbers above the bars indicate flowering quotients.

to 70% flowering) was obtained at either 10 or 100 μ g/seedling. At the intermediate 33 μ g/seedling dosage a slight promotion (NS) of flowering was observed compared to either the 10 or 100 μ g/plant dosages. In all repeat experiments a similar trend was observed both in average Salisbury stage of floral development as well as in floral quotient. This result is consistent with the inhibitory effect of exogenously applied ABA noted for Lolium, Kalanchöe and Pharbitis (Evans and King, 1985; El-Antably and Wareing, 1966; Schwabe, 1972; Kamuro et al., 1990). The degree of inhibition in the Pharbitis system by s-ABA was absolute. However, its effect on Xanthium was only partial. Characteristic differences in the response to exogenously applied hormones between these species (as detailed throughout chapters 3 and 4), may also account for this partial inhibition in Xanthium, relative to total inhibition in Pharbitis.

Conclusions

All of ABA, ACC and IAA significantly inhibited floral evocation in <u>Xanthium</u>, although the inhibition by s-ABA was only partial compared to that by ACC or IAA at the highest dose tested. Exogenously applied ACC inhibition of flowering appears to be mediated by ethylene, since exogenous application of ACC raised the rate of ethylene production 100-fold over that of the control plants. In addition, STS prevented (or reversed) the ACC-induced inhibition of floral evocation. The inhibition of flowering by IAA, however, appears to be distinct from that of ACC, since STS did not prevent (or reverse) this inhibition. Even so IAA inhibition may be mediated, in part, by ethylene by way of IAA-stimulated ACC synthesis (Yoshi and Imaseki, 1981; Yu et <u>al.</u>, 1979).

As demonstrated in the previous chapter, GAs promote floral evocation in marginally-induced <u>Xanthium</u>. In carnation flowers it has been recently demonstrated that

GA₃ treatment can reduce endogenous ACC content (Saks and Van Staden, 1993). While this is the only system which, to date, has been shown to behave in this way, one hypothesis of how applied GA "builds" a floral apex might be through an inhibition of ACC synthase. This assumes that high endogenous ethylene is a negative floral evocation factor in marginally-induced <u>Xanthium</u>.

A few investigators have tested auxin and several weak acids, which are known to inhibit photoperiodic response, and to also permeate cells thus acidifying the cytosol (Halevy et al., 1991; Friedman et al., 1990). This was done to make the general point that acidification of the cytosol might be the means by which auxin evokes its inhibition. Although a cytoplasmic effect on cytosol acidity by IAA and ACC cannot be ruled out in this study, it is unlikely that this is the mechanism whereby both elicit their effect. First, throughout the course of this thesis research, ABA, ACC, CGA, p-coumaric acid, IAA, and GAs (all weak acids) were exogenously applied and found to have substantially differing effects. Some were significantly promotory of floral evocation under marginallyinductive long nights, whilst others were significantly inhibitory under 16 h fully-inductive long nights. From these results it seems likely that these exogenously applied substances must gain their effect by merit of their structure, rather than simply by means of acidifying the cytosol. It must also be noted that the dose of weak acids (GAs, IAA, etc.) tested in the present study was 10 to 100-fold lower than those used by Halevy et al., (1991) and Friedman et al. (1990). Additionally, Halevy et al. (1991) determined the maximum inhibition by the non-hormonal weak acids, when compared with auxin, was at best 30% of the auxin inhibition. In conclusion, inhibition of floral evocation by IAA, ACC and ABA are likely not by way of acidification of the cytoplasmic pH, though this can not be ruled out.

CHAPTER 5

QUANTITATION OF ENDOGENOUS GIBBERELLINS, INDOLE-3-ACETIC ACID, AND ABSCISIC ACID IN PHOTOPERIODICALLY-INDUCED LEAF AND APICAL TISSUE OF <u>XANTHIUM STRUMARIUM</u> AND RELATION OF THESE LEVELS TO THE EFFECT OF EXOGENOUSLY APPLIED GIBBERELLINS, INDOLE-3-ACETIC ACID AND ABSCISIC ACID

Introduction

Hormonal physiology can be researched by three distinct, yet overlapping methods: (1) studies comparing biological activities of exogenously applied compounds, (2) quantitative analyses of endogenous hormones, and (3) hormone metabolism studies. Through the exogenous application of ABA, ACC (an immediate precursor of ethylene), several GAs and IAA, (cf. chapters 3 and 4) I obtained results indicating that hormone metabolism may change during the long night inductive period and subsequent to it. For this reason, and also since the endogenous GAs in Xanthium remain uncharacterized, the profile of endogenous GAs was studied at selected times for plants given two consecutive inductive 16 h long nights, and also for parallel LD control plants. Additionally, the endogenous IAA and ABA levels were analyzed at these same selected times. The GA profiles were obtained for both leaf and apical region tissue since (a) the leaf is the unit of perception of the long night inductive stimulus, and (b) the apex is the unit of morphological change (Mukherjee, 1974). Harvest times for tissue included, (i) immediately prior to the first long night at 1600 h, (ii) at dawn after the first long night (0800 h), (iii) just prior to the second long night (1600 h) and (iv) at dawn after the second long night (0800 h) (see figure 5.1).



Figure 5.1 Harvest times of tissue (1-7) for analysis of endogenous GAs in leaf and apical tissue of <u>Xanthium</u>.

It has been previously reported, by several investigators, that the application of extracts from continuously induced leaves of <u>Xanthium</u> could cause flowering under completely non-inductive conditions (Hodson and Hamner, 1970; Lincoln et <u>al.</u>, 1964; Roberts, 1951), although most attempts to repeat this work have ended in total or partial failure (Salisbury, 1982). In the present study, several experiments were conducted in attempt to repeat this work.

Methods

5.1 Plant material for extraction

Tissue for quantitative analysis by GC-MS was germinated as above (chapter 2, methods), however, after 9 days the seedlings were transplanted into 4" pots to eliminate all possibility of nutrient deficiency during the experiment. Prior to photoperiodic treatment the plants were grown for 6 weeks. Treatments were of seven types:

(1) LD (20 h light/ 4 h dark period) time 0 control; plants harvested prior to long night (SD) treatment.

(2) long night (SD) treatment with tissue harvested at dawn immediately following the first single long night (e.g., 16 h dark→harvest).

(3) LD control as in (1), but with tissue harvested parallel to above treatment (2).

(4) long night (SD) treatment with a single long night and a subsequent 8 h photoperiod; tissue harvested at dusk immediately following the 8 h photoperiod (16h dark \rightarrow 8 h light \rightarrow harvest).

(5) LD treatment, this is a 3rd control harvest, parallel to treatment (4).

(6) Two inductive long nights (SD), tissue harvested at dawn immediately following the second long night (16 h dark \rightarrow 8 h light \rightarrow 16 h dark \rightarrow harvest).

(7) LD treatment, this is a 4th control harvest parallel to treatment (6) above.

Figure 5.1 is a schematic diagram illustrating the harvest times. These harvest times were chosen to enable quantitation of changes in GA levels and metabolism following photoperiodic induction. While a single long night is known to be sufficient for absolute induction, a second long night will "firm-up" the inductive signal (Salisbury, 1963). All treatments (e.g. harvested tissue) consisted of 12 plants each, replicated three times. Prior to treatment, the plants were defoliated to the single most rapidly expanding leaf (generally the third visible leaf from the apex) the day prior to inductive treatment. The inductive dark period was provided by moving plants for induction to a dark room maintained at 23°C at 1600 h, and then transferring them back to the LD chamber at 0800 h the next day. At the specified treatment time (figure 5.1) the shoot tip (apical tissue), petioles and leaf blades were harvested and quickly frozen in liquid N₂. Shoot tips had all visible leaf primordia removed during harvest. Tissue was stored at -70° C prior to freezedrying. Thereafter, lyophilized tissue was stored at -20° C.

5.2 Extraction of shoot tips

Apical region tissue (termed apex, hereafter) for hormone analysis was extracted utilizing the methods of Koshioka et <u>al</u>. (1983). The lyophilized shoot tips (2-3 mg dry weight) were ground with liquid N₂ in a glass homogenizer and extracted in 5 mL of cold 80% aqueous methanol (MeOH) at 3 to 5°C. This solution was filtered under vacuum through Whatman No. 1 paper and the tissue residue re-extracted with another 5 mL of 80% aqueous MeOH two more times. The pooled extracts (30 mL) were then adjusted to pH 6.5. At this point, 5 ng of $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{1,3,5,8,20}$, 20 ng of $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{4,7,9}$, 50 ng of $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{19}$, 100 ng of $[^{2}H_{2}]$ kaurenoic acid (KA) and $[^{2}H_{2}]$ kaurene (K), and 20 ng of each of $[^{13}C_{6}]IAA$ and $[^{2}H_{6}]ABA$ were added as quantitative internal standards for gas chromatography-mass spectrometry-single ion monitoring (GC-MS-SIM). In addition, 100,000 dpm (1670 Bq) of $[^{3}H]GA_{1}$ and $[^{3}H]GA_{4}$ and 20,000 dpm (333 Bq) of $[^{3}H]IAA$ and ABA, respectively were added for determination of recovery efficiency during the purification process and to help locate various fractions after HPLC.

5.3 Extraction of leaves

Lyophilized leaf tissue (0.5 g per sample, single leaf) was ground with liquid N₂ in a mortar with a pestle and extracted in 10 mL of 80% aqueous MeOH at 3 to 5°C. As above, the solution was filtered and the tissue residue re-extracted two more times with another 10 mL of 80% MeOH. The pooled extracts (30 mL) were then adjusted to pH 6.5. At this point, 100 ng of $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{1,3,4,5,7,8,9,19}$ and 20, 100 ng of $[^{2}H_{2}]KA$ and $[^{2}H_{2}]K$, and 100 ng of each of $[^{13}C_{6}]IAA$ and $[^{2}H_{6}]ABA$ were added as quantitative internal standards for GC-MS-SIM. In addition, 100,000 dpm (1670 Bq) of $[^{3}H]GA_{1}$, [³H]GA₄, [³H]IAA and [³H]ABA were added for determination of recovery efficiency during the purification process.

5.4 **Purification of shoot tip extract**

The methanolic hormone extract was passed through a syringe barrel column (1.5 cm i.d. X 10 cm) packed with 1.0 g of preparative grade C_{18} matrix (55-105 µm particle size, Prepak 500/ C_{18}^{TM} , Waters Scientific). This column was termed ' C_{18} -PC' (Koshioka et al., 1983). It was first equilibrated by elution with 3 X 20 mL of 100% MeOH, then equilibrated by elution with 3 X 20 mL of pH 6.5 80% aqueous MeOH. The MeOH extract for GA analysis was then passed through the C_{18} -PC and the eluate diluted to 20 mL with an aqueous MeOH rinse. Plant pigments and non-polar substances (i.e., K and KA) are retained on this column and are eluted subsequently with a 100% MeOH wash. This MeOH wash was stored at -70°C for subsequent "GA precursor" analysis. These were, however, not examined in this study. Duplicate aliquots of 0.25 mL were taken from the total eluate as well as from the MeOH wash for liquid scintillation counting to determine the recovery of the radioactive internal standards. Then the C_{18} -PC eluate was taken to dryness in vacuo on a rotary flash evaporator at 35°C.

5.5 **Purification of leaf extract**

The leaf extract was also purified using a C_{18} -PC. However, the syringe barrel column (3 cm i.d. X 11 cm) was packed with 2.0 g of preparative grade matrix. This column was equilibrated with 3 X 25 mL of 100% MeOH, followed by 3 X 25 mL of pH 6.5 80% aqueous MeOH. Thereafter, the MeOH extract for GA analysis was passed

through the C₁₈-PC and the eluate diluted to 40 mL with an aqueous MeOH rinse. Nonpolar substances, retained by the column, were eluted with a 100 mL 100% MeOH wash. Duplicate aliquots of 0.5 mL were taken from the total eluate as well as for the MeOH wash for liquid scintillation counting to determine the recovery of the radioactive internal standards. Both the 80% aqueous MeOH eluate and the 100% MeOH wash were taken to dryness in vacuo on a rotary flash evaporator at 35°C.

The dried 80% aqueous MeOH eluates for the leaf extracts were subjected to a further purification step prior to HPLC chromatography. A short SiO₂ partition column (5 g silica gel deactivated with water) was prepared as a slurry in 95:5 (v/v) hexane:ethyl acetate (EtOAc) (both 0.5 M formate saturated). Then the leaf sample, which had been dried on 1.0 g Celite, was layered over the silica gel. Elution with 80 mL of 95:5 (v/v) EtOAc:0.5 M formate-saturated hexane yielded free GAs and some of the less polar GA-glucosyl esters. Putative GA glucosyl ether conjugates and polyhydroxylated GAs (i.e., GA₃₂) were eluted from the column with a subsequent 100 mL of a 100% MeOH wash. Again duplicate 1 mL aliquots were taken from all fractions to establish radioactive internal standard recovery. Both the free GA fraction and the fraction which contained most GA glucosyl conjugates were taken to dryness in vacuo on a rotary flash evaporator at 35°C prior to reversed phase C₁₈-high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).

A single reversed-phase C_{18} -HPLC run was then used to separate free GAs and GA glucosyl conjugates (Koshioka et <u>al.</u>, 1983). Separation of these two classes will not be absolute. The dried eluate from the silica gel partition column was then chromatographed on a 10-73% MeOH gradient-eluted Waters Associates (Mississauga, Ontario) reversed-phase μ -Bondapak C_{18} column (3.9 mm i.d. X 300 mm) at a flow rate of 2 mL per min (Table 5-1). The separation of GAs using this column was generally on the basis of polarity (degree of hydroxylation and location of the hydroxyl(s)). Two min

Table 5-1HPLC columns and gradients for separation of GAs, ABA and IAA.

Column	Solvents	Time	Flow rate	Volume
		(min)	(mL/min)	(mL/fraction)
C ₁₈ -µ-Bondapak	10% MeOH⇒	10	2	4
	10% MeOH → 73% MeOH	30	2	4
	73% MeOH →	10	2	4
	100% MeOH→	10	2	4
Nucleosil	99.9% MeOH	50	1	2
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	0.1% HOAc	(Isocratic)		······································

.

· .

.

.

(4 mL) fractions were collected and aliquots withdrawn to locate the radioactive internal standards. A separate C₁₈-HPLC run was also performed using 20,000 dpm (333 Bq) of each of $[^{3}H]GA_{8,1,4,5,9}$ standards (termed external standard retention time (R_t)).

The HPLC fractions from the shoot tip extract were bulked into four groups based on internal and external [3 H]GA R_t (see figure 5.2):

- i) GA_8 (fr. 11-13)
- ii) IAA (fr. 18-21)
- iii) GA_{1/3} (fr. 22-26)
- iv) GA_{5/20}, ABA and JA (fr. 29-33)
- v) GA_{4/7/9} (fr. 34-41)

The leaf HPLC fractions were bulked into four groups based on internal and external $[^{3}H]GA$ retention times (R_t) (figure 5.2):

- i) GA₈ (fr. 11-13)
- ii) IAA/GA_{1/3} (fr. 18-26)
- iii) GA_{5/20}, ABA and JA (fr. 29-33)
- iv) GA_{4/7/9} (fr. 34-41)


Figure 5.2 Chromatographic separation of free (acidic) plant hormones following 10-73% MeOH gradient-eluted reversed phase C_{18} -HPLC. The R_ts were determined by combined use of [³H] external and internal standards, Tan-ginbozu dwarf rice bioassay (GA) and detection by GC-MS-SIM. Horizontal bars represent regions that were grouped for further purification on an isocratic-eluted Nucleosil HPLC.

Each of the four HPLC groupings of leaf samples and shoot tip groupings ii, iii and iv were then chromatographed on a Nucleosil $[N(CH_3)_2]$ HPLC column (4.6 mm i.d. X 150 mm) packed with 5 µm particles (Alltech Associates Inc., Deerfield, IL) using an isocratic gradient of 99.9% MeOH and 0.1% acetic acid at a flow rate of 1 mL per min (Takahashi et <u>al.</u>, 1986) (Table 5-1). One min fractions were collected and aliquots taken to locate the radioactive internal standards by liquid scintillation spectrometry. Due to the substantial drift of R_ts on Nucleosil HPLC, separate runs were also performed prior to and subsequent to each group of natural samples using 20,000 dpm (333 Bq) of [³H]GA₈ or [³H]GA_{1,3} or [³H]GA_{5,20} or [³H]GA_{4,7} and 9 as external standards respectively. The Nucleosil HPLC purification step separated GAs in relation to functional groups (methyl, delta lactone, aldehyde, carboxyl and hydroxyl(s)) and was used immediately before GC-MS-SIM analysis.

5.6 Bioassay

After each round of chromatography the residues of all HPLC fractions were analyzed using a modified Tan-ginbozu dwarf rice microdrop bioassay to localize bioactive GAs (Murakami, 1972). <u>Oryza sativa</u> L., cv. Tan-ginbozu seeds were soaked for 24 h in a 1 ppm solution of technical grade Uniconazole (S-3307) (Nishijima and Katsura, 1989) at room temperature. A further 24 h soaking of the seed was carried out in running water at 32°C. Germinated seeds were planted in 1% water agar media and incubated for 48 h at 32°C, at nearly 100% RH under continuous light. Aliquots of post-C₁₈ and post-Nucleosil HPLC samples were applied to the growing leaf of the rice seedlings in 0.5 μ L or 1.0 μ L of 95% EtOH. The seedlings were incubated for a further 48 h at 32°C under high humidity. Following this incubation the elongation of the second leaf sheath was measured. The elongation of the second leaf sheath is a semi-quantitative measure for bioactive GAs present in a sample. Although relative levels of such GA bioactivity may be determined by relating elongation from test fractions to GA₃ external standards, the bioassay in this case was used only to locate bioactive GA fractions (which were composed of endogenous GAs, or endogenous GAs plus the [$^{2}H_{2}$]GA internal standards). These fractions were then quantified by GC-MS-SIM (see below).

5.7 Quantitation of endogenous levels of gibberellins

Approximate groupings from the Nucleosil HPLC fractions containing the GAs for GC-MS-SIM analysis were made using a combination of external standard Rt, the rice bioassay data and relative Rt data for these GAs (Takahashi et al., 1986). Fractions for GC-MS were transferred into 1 mL Reacti[™]-vials and dried under a flow of N₂ at room temperature. Fractions were then dissolved in 10 μ L of 100% MeOH and 100 μ L of ethereal diazomethane (CH2N2), sealed and allowed to react for 30 min at room temperature. The methylated samples were subsequently dried with N_2 and silvlated with 50:50 pyridine:BSTFA with 1% TCMS (Pierce Chemical Co.). Derivatization was carried out at 70°C for 30 min. Samples were concentrated to 10 µL with a gentle flow of N_2 and a 1 μL sample injected into a Hewlett-Packard model 5890 Series II GC fitted with a DB-1 15N capillary column (0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 µm film thickness; J and W Scientific) directly interfaced to a model 5970A Series MSD. The GC temperature was programmed from 60°C to 195°C at 15°C min, immediately followed by a 5°C ramp to 275°C. Each GA was analyzed by SIM of three characteristic ion pairs. Endogenous GA levels were quantitated using the ratio of these ion pairs, correcting for the contribution of [²H]ions to [¹H]ions and vice versa. Data analysis was via the integration functions of the Hewlett-Packard 5970 MS Chemstation.

The IAA- and ABA- containing fractions were collected separately, as determined from radioassay of Nucleosil fractions from groupings (ii) and (iv). These regions were methylated as described above, dried under a gentle flow of N₂ with hand warming (very important for IAA methyl ester which readily sublimes) and then dissolved in 10 μ L of <u>n</u>hexane. Of this, a 1 μ L aliquot was injected into a DB-1701 15N column (0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 μ m, film thickness; J and W Scientific) fitted on the same GC and MSD described above. The GC temperature was programmed from 60°C to 165°C at 20°C/min, immediately followed by a 5°C ramp to 230°C. Endogenous levels of IAA and ABA also were analyzed by comparison of three ion pairs, as described above for the GA samples, correcting for the contribution of heavy isotope to natural isotope and vice versa.

5.8 <u>Xanthium</u> leaf extract applications

A methanolic extract (80% MeOH in water) was prepared, as described above (5.3), from 0.5 g of 3 month old continuously induced, by 21 long nights, lyophilized <u>Xanthium</u> leaves (one leaf). This extract was dried under reduced pressure on a rotary flash evaporator. The residue was subsequently dissolved in an appropriate amount of EtOH to achieve extract doses of 1/100, 1/200 and 1/400 (e.g. fraction of leaf dry weight to be applied to the seedlings). Ten μ L of each of these dilutions was applied to groups of 10 seedlings. Groups of 5 seedlings from each application were subjected to two different photoperiods: (1) non-inductive photoperiod (20 h light/ 4 h dark period), and (2) a single 12 h marginally-inductive dark period followed by growth under non-inductive photoperiod (20 h light/ 4 h dark period) until dissection. Nine days following marginal-

induction, apices were dissected and scored according to the Salisbury floral stage system (1955).

Since the application of this extract failed to induce flowering under non-inductive conditions several other methods were used in further attempts to induce flowering under non-inductive conditions. These included: (i) application of the extract in DMSO, (ii) injection of the appropriate induced leaf extract dilution and (iii) uptake of the extract as an aqueous solution by a stem-flap.

Results and Discussion

5.1 Endogenous GAs to <u>Xanthium</u>

Characterization of the endogenous GAs in <u>Xanthium</u>, was an important first step since these had not previously been assessed. Using the methods described above for the analysis of free GAs, GA₁, GA₄, GA₈, GA₉ and GA₂₀, by GC-MS-SIM were found to be endogenous to <u>Xanthium</u> apical tissue with GA₁, GA₃, GA₄, GA₈, GA₁₉, GA₂₀ and GA₂₉ being endogenous in leaves (see Table 5.2). Although analyses of the level of GA₃ and GA₉ were attempted, in most cases their levels were too low for quantitation. In all but one case, GA₅ was non-detectable as was GA₇ in all analyses. The fact that these GAs were found to be endogenous to the <u>Xanthium</u> system suggests that both the early-13-hydroxylation pathway and the early-non-hydroxylation pathways are present in leaf tissue (see figures 5.3 and 5.4), but since GA₁₉ was absent from apices, only the earlynon-hydroxylation pathway may be present in apical region tissue (figures 5.4). Table 5-2Relative intensities of characteristic m/z ions after GC-MS-SIM forMeTMSi derivatives of GAs from 21-day-induced Xanthium leaves.

Identified	Kovat's retention	Kovat's retention		Diagnostic ions (m/z) with % abundance				
compound	index (KRI)		in samp	in sample				
GA ₁		Ion	357	376	448	491	506	
	2674		4.2	15.0	16.8	9.7	100	
GA3		Ion	387	431	445	489	504	
	2696		24.2	7.8	8.4	28.1	100	
GA ₈		Ion	379	448	504	579	594	
	2819		18.5	26.0	2.0	6.4	100	
GA ₁₉		Ion	374	402	434	462	481	
	2610		53.5	50.8	100	6.1	11.9	
GA ₂₀	<u> </u>	Ion	343	359	375	403	418	
	2461		1.3	15.2	74.1	7.8	100	
GA ₂₉		Ion	447	465	477	491	506	
	2692		13.1	4.5	10.9	16.1	100	

.

.

,



Figure 5.3 Structures and the early- 13α -hydroxylation GA biosynthetic (metabolic) pathway from GA₁₂-7-aldehyde to the C₁₉ GAs for <u>Xanthium</u> based on known endogenous GAs, feeding experiments and known pathways from other species. Endogenous GAs to the <u>Xanthium</u> system are denoted by a *.



Structures and proposed early-non-hydroxylation biosynthetic (metabolic) Figure 5.4 pathway from GA_{12} -7-aldehyde to the C_{19} GAs for <u>Xanthium</u> based on known endogenous GAs, feeding experiments and known pathways from other species. Endogenous GAs to the Xanthium system are denoted by a *.

5.2 Endogenous levels of GAs in leaf tissue

In several systems induced to flower by either photoperiod or vernalization [e.g., spinach (Zeevaart, 1971b), Agrostemma (Jones and Zeevaart, 1980a; Jones and Zeevaart, 1980b), Lolium (Pharis et al., 1987) and Thlaspi (Metzger and Dusbabek, 1991), changes in endogenous GAs or GA-like substances have been found to occur. In the present study, the relative levels of endogenous GAs in leaves correlate roughly (e.g. GA4 is highest) with the efficacy rank of exogenously applied GAs on floral evocation, as well as on epicotyl growth. Of the endogenous GAs to the Xanthium system, GA1, GA4 and GA₈ show the most appreciable difference between long night (SD-induced) and LD (control) leaves (see figure 5.5). Additionally, GA1, GA3 and GA8 tend to follow a rhythm (which may have a period greater than the 48 h sampling period), in that their levels were lower at dawn (after the dark period) than at dusk (after the light period) (figure 5.5). Increased inactivation (2B-hydroxylation and/or conjugation), or increased degradation and/or decreased GA synthesis during the dark period, compared to that in the light, may account for this rhythm. Or, the apparent rhythm may result from the events associated with leaf development (expansion) since the leaves analyzed were those which were most rapidly expanding.

Key changes in GA levels in leaves under various photoperiod treatments, are thus superimposed on changes in GA levels associated with leaf development. These include a GA₁ decline in long night (SD) induced leaves, compared the LD control leaves (figure 5.5). At dawn after the first long dark period, the level of GA₁ is approximately equal to that of the LD control (this dawn similarity is a general rule for all GAs which were analyzed). However, during the light period (8 h) before the second inductive long night, the level of GA₁ is even lower (significantly lower than that of the LD controls) and



Hours after beginning long night treatment Figure 5.5 Gibberellin A₁, 3, 4, 8 and 20 levels (ng/g d.w.) extracted from the most rapidly expanding leaf of induced (two 16 h dark periods within the 40 h) and non-induced (20 h LD) <u>Xanthium</u> plants (6 weeks old). Values represent results of a single experiment typifying these changes quantitated by GC-MS-SIM. Note the different y-axis scales for the GAs.

remains lower thereafter. The same general trend was observed for endogenous GA₈ (GA₈ is a 2 β -hydroxylation inactivation product of GA₁) levels in induced leaves (figure 5.5). However, during the light period (8 h) GA₈ levels in LD leaves increased, whereas GA₈ in SD leaves decreased. At dawn the second day, the level of GA₈ in the SD leaves remained much lower than that of the LD plants. Hence, a sequence of 2 long nights appreciably reduced the levels of both GA₁ and GA₈.

Since internode and petiole growth were also significantly reduced in SD (long night) plants compared to in the LD controls (see chapter 4 results), it might well be expected that the levels of GA_1 and/or GA_3 in leaves might be reduced after long nights (SD), compared to LD controls. Indeed, the GA_1 results fit well with such a thesis. The level of GA_3 (which could also be an "effector" of elongation growth) in young leaves is 10-fold lower than that of GA_1 . Thus, the predominant effect on elongation of the epicotyl and petiole organs is likely to be mediated by GA_1 (assuming it to be the endogenous effector) (fig 5.5). However, the level of GA_3 decreases more rapidly than the level of GA_1 in the long night (SD)-induced leaves than in the LD leaves. At dawn on days 1 and 2, the level of GA_3 is much lower in the SD leaves (not detectable) than in the LD leaves.

From the early-13-hydroxylation pathway, GA_{20} (by 3ß-hydroxylation) is the precursor of GA_1 , whereas for the non-hydroxylation pathway, GA_4 or GA_{20} via GA_9 its precursor (by 13 α -hydroxylation) (see figures 5.3 and 5.4). Since GA_1 levels from SD leaves are lower than that from LD leaves, it might be expected that the levels of its possible precursors (GA_{20} and GA_4) might also change with photoperiod. This seems to be the case for both potential precursors of GA_1 , GA_4 and GA_{20} (figure 5.5). In all repeat samples, the levels of GA_4 in SD and LD leaves is the same at dawn the first day.

Thereafter, the level of GA_4 extracted from the induced leaves increased by 50% (from 10 to 15 ng/g d.w.) and remained relatively higher level to the last sampling time. The level of GA_4 in the LD control samples was stable at 10 ng/g d.w. at all sample times.

The levels of leaf GA₄ may thus be under photoperiod regulation, and the increase in GA₄ in leaves after the long night could (speculatively) be involved in the promotion of floral evocation. Long night may thus be speculated to reduce 13α -hydroxylation of GA₄ (and/or 2β-hydroxylation to GA₃₄ and/or conjugation), and/or increase the synthesis of GA₄ from GA₉. This is an especially attractive hypothesis, since GA₄ applied to the leaf blade is very active in promoting floral evocation under a marginally-inductive long night. In addition, its precursor from the non-hydroxylation pathway (GA₉) and GA₇, a molecule structurally similar to GA₄ (both GA₇ and GA₄ are 3β-hydroxylated) were also potent promoters of floral evocation in plants given a marginally-inductive long night (see figure 3.13).

In comparison to GA_4 , GA_{20} was not stable under either LD or long night (SD) conditions throughout this study. In leaves from both SD and LD plants, the level of GA_{20} increased 5-fold, with the GA_{20} profile being similar under both photoperiods (figure 5.5), however, it must be noted that the GA_{20} level, at the same point when long night (SD) GA_1 and GA_8 levels diverge from those of the LD controls, is significantly lower in the SD leaves as compared to leaves of plants under continuous LD. Thus, GA_1 , GA_3 and GA_8 levels are decreased under SD, whilst the levels of GA_4 and GA_{20} are increased. This suggests that there is photoperiodic control of GA metabolism in these <u>Xanthium</u> leaves, and that shortly after long night induction said changes may occur at both the 13α -hydroxylation step and the 3\beta-hydroxylation step. Although GA_{19} levels were not quantitated in this study, the $GA_{19} \rightarrow GA_{20}$ step could also be a photoperiodically controlled step, as was determined to be the case for the LDP, spinach

(Zeevaart, 1971b) and <u>Brassica</u> <u>spp</u>. (Zanewich, 1993), and also for the LSDP <u>Bryophyllum</u> (ref. in Zeevaart, 1978). Hypotheses of the photoperiodic control of GA_{20} metabolism will be discussed in the chapter to follow. A study of GA_4 metabolism could also increase our understanding of how levels of this hormone are controlled by photoperiod.

As alluded to above, the exogenous application results, epicotyl growth response to long night, and trends for the endogenous GAs correspond fairly well. Gibberellin A1 and GA₈ levels decrease after a single SD (16 h dark/8 h light), and GA₃ levels decrease even more rapidly under SD. Thus, there is a strong correlation between endogenous GA trends and the reduced epicotyl growth of the long night (SD)-induced plants. Concomitantly the levels of GA₄, a potent promoter of floral evocation in this system increase by 50% after a single or several long nights. However, given the lag period between the end of the long night and the occurrence of the detectable changes in hormone levels (dusk before the second long night), it would seem that the difference in leaf GA4 will not be involved causally in the initial floral evocation events (which take place during or immediately after the inductive dark period) (Salisbury, 1982). The fact that the levels of GA₄ increase during the intervening light period following induction by a single long night suggests, however, that this GA may be part of a broad floral evocation stimulus. Evidence for this is two-fold: Firstly, Maksymowych and Erickson, (1977) and Erickson and Meichenheimer (1977) noted that the change in phyllotaxy induced by exogenous application of GA₃ was the same as that which occurred naturally in the transition from the vegetative to the reproductive state. The increase in GA₄ levels proximal to the end of the first SD cycle (1600 h) might explain the change in phyllotaxis which occurs under long night (SD), though GA₄ has not been tested in this regard. Additional evidence that the observed changes in GA1 and GA4 may be important in floral

evocation arises from defoliation experiments at times following induction in <u>Xanthium</u>. Essentially flowering is reduced (in Salisbury floral stage) if the induced leaf is defoliated up to 44 h following induction. However, maximal flowering occurs if the induced leaf is removed thereafter (Bernier, 1988; Salisbury and Ross, 1978; Vince-Prue, 1975; Salisbury, 1990). Changes in GA₁ and GA₄ levels may form the hormonal basis for this observation, since changes in their levels are apparent by 2 days after induction. Although GA₂₀ could be detected at two harvest times in the apical tissue of LD plants it was not found in apical tissue after any long night (SD) treatment. Odén and Heide (1989) also determined that the level of GA₂₀ was not strongly correlated with long night induction in <u>Begonia</u> another SDP.

In several LD plants, including <u>Agrostemma</u>, <u>Spinacia</u>, <u>Thlaspi</u>, and <u>Lolium</u>, GA levels and levels of GA-like substances are higher after LD treatments than under continuous SD (Zeevaart and Gage, 1993; Jones and Zeevaart, 1980a; Jones and Zeevaart, 1980b; Talon and Zeevaart, 1990; Zeevaart, 1971b; Metzger, 1985; Metzger and Zeevaart, 1982; Pharis et <u>al.</u>, 1987). In LDP grown under inductive LD conditions there is usually a correlation between growth rate and rate of GA metabolism. However, this correlation has never been substantiated definitively by GC-MS-SIM for any SDP grown under LD conditions. Odén and Heide (1988) did determine, by use of the Tanginbozu dwarf rice bioassay, that the level of GA-like substances decrease in <u>Begonia</u> following induction (under SD and/or low temperature). In contrast to the results following induction for <u>Begonia</u>, where levels of GA9 and GA4 (determined by GC-MS-SIM) decrease significantly within 2 to 4 days of long night induction (GA₁ level was too low to quantitate accurately) (Odén and Heide, 1989), I observed an increase in GA₄ for <u>Xanthium</u>.

The relationship of endogenous GA levels in <u>Xanthium</u> relative to their efficacy of promoting floral evocation in marginally-induced <u>Xanthium</u> is similar to the changes noted in the Pinaceae family of conifers, where the less-polar GAs (GA₄ and GA₉) are potent inducers of flowering and cultural treatments known to promote flowering, increase less-polar endogenous GAs for several species (Pharis et <u>al.</u>, 1989 and 1992). In Sitka spruce there is indirect evidence that metabolism of GA₄ to GA₁ may be blocked (e.g. in poorflowering clones GA₁ and GA₃ are detectable, whereas, in good-flowering clones only GA₄ and GA₉ are found) (Moritz et <u>al.</u>, 1990). Evans et <u>al.</u> (1993) also noted, using an acyclohexanedione (which blocks 3β-hydroxylation), a synergistic promotion of flowering by this inhibitor with 1 LD (a marginally inductive treatment) in <u>Lolium</u>. Both results provide evidence for inhibition of late stage hydroxylations being associated with promotion of flowering. The story in <u>Xanthium</u> may thus be similar.

5.3 Endogenous levels of GAs in apical tissue

In the apical region tissue the levels of GA₄ (e.g. 100 to 700 ng/g d.w.) were always far in excess of the levels of other GAs which were present (figure 5.6). Of the remaining GAs, GA₁ (a 3 β -hydroxylation product of GA₂₀ and/or a 13 α -hydroxylation product of GA₄) was present at about 1/10 the level of GA₄. The level of GA₈ (an inactive 2 β -hydroxylation product of GA₁) was ca 1/10 the level of GA₁. Finally, the level of GA₂₀ was nil or trace in long night-induced (SD) apex tissue, but was detectable in LD apex tissue (only at 1600 h, days 1 and 2) (fig 5.6). Levels of endogenous GA₃, GA₅, GA₇ and GA₉ were either nil or too low for them to be quantified.

The changes in levels of GA₁ and GA₄ in apical tissue roughly parallel changes in these GAs extracted from the corresponding leaf samples, e.g. the level of GA₁ tends to be slightly lower in long night-induced (SD) apex tissue than in the LD control apex tissue (figure 5.6). The difference in GA₁ level between photoperiod treatments is more pronounced at dawn [long night-induced (SD) approximately 20% less than LD] than at dusk (long night-induced and LD are equal). For GA4 the level declined steeply at all harvest times after time-zero (1600 h), even in the LD-treated apices. However, since the leaf tissue supplying these apices is undergoing rapid growth, this decline in GA₄ may reflect ontogenetic changes, and photoperiod effects are minor when superimposed on such a large decline. Nonetheless, the decline was less pronounced for long night-induced apices, and at 1600 h on day 1 GA₄ level was 4-fold higher than in the LD, non-induced apices (figure 5.6). Gibberellin A4 was present at all harvest times in long night-induced apices (figure 5.6), but was undetectable in LD control apices after dawn of day 1. Gibberellin A₄ can promote flowering the next year when sprayed on apple spur leaves in heavy crop years (Looney et al., 1985). It is also abundant in various reproductive tissues including rice anthers, maize tassels, immature Brassica spp. siliques and induced Lolium apices (Kobayashi et al., 1988; Murofushi et al., 1991; Zanewich, 1993; Takagi et al., 1993), although no definitive role has yet to be assigned for GA₄ in these tissues. The levels of GAg in the LD and long night-induced apical samples are approximately equal with the exception of the harvest following the initial inductive long night (0800 h) where the LD GA₈ level is 3.5-fold higher than the GA₈ level in the long night-induced apices (figure 5.6). The level of a wide range of bioactive endogenous GA-like substances is also higher just after an inductive LD in Lolium apices (Pharis et al., 1987).

Apparent rhythms (dusk/dawn/dusk/dawn) of endogenous GAs are less pronounced in the apical samples than they are in the leaves. However, a fluctuation in



Figure 5.6 Gibberellin A_{1, 4, 8 and 20} levels (ng/g d.w.) in apical tissue (12 buds per sample) of induced (two 16 h long nights) and non-induced (20 h light) <u>Xanthium</u> plants (6 weeks old). Values represent results of a single experiment typifying these changes quantitated by GC-MS-SIM. Note the different y-axis scales for the GAs.

 GA_1 , and to a lesser extent GA_8 , is detectable, levels of these GAs being higher at dawn than at dusk (figure 5.6). Furthermore this rhythm is stronger in the LD apices than in the long night-induced apices, possibly because the rhythm is stabilized in the LD plants, but had not yet had time to stabilize in plants induced with two long nights. The fact that these rhythms are not diurnal may indicate that the period is longer than 48 h, or conversely the rhythm may be affected by developmental (rapidly growing primary leaves) fluctuations of hormone levels.

5.4 Endogenous levels of IAA and ABA

In agreement with Zeevaart (1978) there was no clear trend relating endogenous levels of ABA or IAA in leaves to flowering. In all analyses leaves of the long nightinduced plants demonstrated a noticeable diurnal fluctuation, with peaks of IAA occurring at dawn after both inductive long nights (see figure 5.7). At dawn the first day (after one long night) the level of IAA in leaves is 3-fold higher than in leaves from the LD plants, but by dusk the situation is reversed (figure 5.7). Surprisingly, the endogenous IAA of leaves from LD plants shows a sigmoidal increase over all sampling points. Again, this may relate to ontogenetic developmental changes in leaf growth. If there is a rhythmic cycle for IAA level, it is longer than 24 h. A peak in endogenous IAA is also observed in the apex samples at dusk after the first long night (SD) cycle. At this point the level of IAA in the induced apices is significantly greater (almost double) than that in the noninduced apices. It is interesting that these changes in endogenous IAA levels occur concomitantly with the significant changes in GA metabolism. Are these changes related to the events of floral evocation? It is also unclear whether the "valley" in endogenous

105



Figure 5.7 Levels of IAA and ABA (ng/g d.w.) in the leaf (most rapidly expanding) and apical tissue (12 buds per sample) of induced (two 16 h long nights) and non-induced (20 h light) <u>Xanthium</u> plants (6 weeks old). Values represent results of a single experiment typifying these changes quantitated by GC-MS-SIM. Note the different y-axis scales for the GAs.

IAA in induced leaves at 1600 h of the first day is related to the peak which occurs at this time later in the apices (it does follow an 0800 h peak in induced leaves).

Although exogenously applied IAA was quite inhibitory to floral evocation, this effect may be pharmacological. That is, if the endogenous levels of this hormone are causal to the inhibition of flowering under LD (as was speculated based on results from exogenous applications of IAA), the IAA level should have fallen in leaves, and especially in apices of the induced plants. This was obviously not the case. Keeping this in mind, it is possible that there is an optimum range of IAA required for induction, with inhibition of floral evocation resulting at levels of IAA above or below this optimum. Such a result was obtained in <u>Sinapis</u>, where low doses of exogenous IAA were correlated with successful induction (even promotion of flowering) and high doses of IAA were also correlated with the absence of flowering (Bodson, 1985).

The curve shapes are similar for endogenous ABA levels from both apices and leaves under either 20 h LD or after long night induction (SD) (figure 5.7). Peaks in ABA level are observed at dawn after both long nights. This similarity in curve shape and peak times indicates that ABA levels are mainly responding to a factor(s) other than photoperiod, perhaps to a developmental factor such as rapid leaf growth (see above re IAA and GA₄). The endogenous level of ABA shows a diurnal fluctuation for the first 24 h, then (perhaps) the "developmental influence" takes over and ABA level skyrockets in both the leaves and apices. In Spinacia, no causal relationship was observed between endogenous ABA in leaf tissue and flowering (Zeevaart, 1971a).

The apparent hormonal rhythm is (roughly) reversed for leaf ABA and leaf IAA compared to that seen for the endogenous GAs. This could indicate that IAA and ABA in the leaves are: (i) photo-oxidized., (ii) undergo increased conjugation in the light, or (iii)

undergo decreased synthesis in the light. No significant difference in ABA level with photoperiod treatment is observed at any sample point in both leaves and apices. Thus, ABA seems unlikely to play a significant role in floral evocation.

5.4 Effect of an extract from continually induced leaves on flowering

The partially purified extract from continually induced leaves was **not** florigenic at any dose tested under completely non-inductive 20 h LD conditions, regardless of the method of application used (See Table 5-3). There was, however, significant promotion of floral evocation, both in stage and in flowering quotient (compared to the ethanol controls) when extract-treated plants were given a single marginally-inductive long night (12 h dark). This may indicate either that the extract does not contain the primary inductive signal, or that the highest dose of extract applied did not contain enough primary inductive signal to initiate the vegetative to floral state transition. The latter hypothesis was tested by applying more concentrated doses of the extract to another batch of <u>Xanthium</u> plants. However, this resulted in toxicity and the apical buds were killed. It might also be hypothesized that the florigenicity of the extract may result from endogenous GAs, potentially GA₄, since this GA (when applied exogenously to marginally-induced plants) had the highest florigenicity of the all the known endogenous GAs. Unfortunately, an extract of non-induced LD leaves was not prepared to act as a parallel control treatment.

In addition to the fact that the leaf extract promoted floral evocation, it is interesting that the extract's effect on floral stage and flowering quotient weakened with dilution. Such a dilution of the stimulus indicates that even if the extract does not contain Table 5-3. Effect of several dilutions of a crude extract made from continually-induced leaves (given 21 long nights of 16 h) on flowering of <u>Xanthium</u> seedlings maintained under non-inductive 20 h LD photoperiod (-) or induced with a single marginally-inductive long night (12 h dark) (+). The extract was applied 8 h prior to the beginning of the 12 h dark period.

Extract	Single Long	Average Flowering Quotient (# pla	
dilution [⊗]	Night (+/-)	Floral Stage	flowering out of 5 or 10)
EtOH	-	0	0/10
	+	1.3	3/10
1/100	-	0	0/5
	+	3.00	4/5
1/200	-	0	0/5
	+	2.60	3/5
1/400	·_	0 -	0/5
,.	+	0.75	1/5

to convert dilution of extract applied to portion of an extracted leaf applied,
multiply by ten (e.g. 1/100 represents 1/10 of a leaf).

the primary stimulus, it certainly contains a stimulus important in the vegetative to floral state transition pathway.

Conclusions

To summarize, the levels of GA_{20} (at dusk the first day), GA_1 and GA_8 decreased in extracts of leaves from plants given 2 inductive long nights, compared to the LD controls. This trend began after the first complete (24 h) SD cycle. The level of GA_1 also decreased in SD apices compared to the non-induced apices. Further, in LD apices, GA_8 (inactive 2 β -hydroxylation product of GA_1) and GA_{20} (precursor to GA_1) levels were higher than those of the induced apices. Concomitant with the decrease in GA_1 , the level of GA_4 in leaves increased after a single inductive long night (SD) cycle. The GA_4 level also decreased less rapidly in induced apex tissue than in non-induced LD apices. The decreased level of GA_1 in leaves of the SD plants is well correlated with the shorter petioles and epicotyls seen for these plants. It is speculated that reduced GA_1 and increased GA_4 may form a part of the floral evocation stimulus, since these levels change at the same time as translocation of the floral stimulus is occurring. Long night induction did not affect the IAA or ABA levels and curve shapes of ABA were roughly similar under both SD and LD conditions. However, the level of IAA in the leaves was lower at dusk the first day, while the level in the apices increased at this sampling time.

CHAPTER 6

METABOLISM OF $[^{3}H]$ and $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{20}$ by Induced and Non-Induced Leaves of <u>Xanthium</u> <u>Strumarium</u>

Introduction

The results of chapter 3 show that seedlings induced with a single long night had significantly shorter epicotyls and petioles than those organs in the LD control seedlings. In part this altered phenotype may be explained by reduced levels of GA_1 (and GA_3) following the long night (see chapter 5). The spectrum of GAs found in <u>Xanthium</u> suggests that both the early C-13 hydroxylation and non-hydroxylation pathways may be present in leaves of this plant.

In the early C-13 hydroxylation pathway GA_{20} (likely not in itself bioactive in either elongation or flowering) is the immediate precursor of GA_1 (the presumed elongation effector). Another metabolite of GA_{20} is its inactive 2 β -hydroxylation product GA_{29} . Further, GA_1 can be metabolized via 2 β -hydroxylation to GA_8 , which is relatively inactive (at least as an effector of elongation in most plants). The present study restricts the analysis of GA_{20} metabolism to these latter two steps. As alluded to above, the mechanism underlying reduced epicotyl growth in the long night (SD)-induced <u>Xanthium</u> plants possibly involves a decline in the levels of GA_1 (putative elongation effector). The purpose of performing this metabolism study was to determine whether the decline in GA_1 was due to decreased rate of metabolism of $GA_{20} \rightarrow GA_1$, or whether the change was due to conjugation and/or inactivation (by 2 β -hydroxylation to GA_{29}) of the GA_{20} precursor. Investigations of GA metabolism can be an important tool in the study of hormonal physiology, since by its very nature it measures fluxes rather than static levels of hormones. Thus, it was through the analysis of GA_{20} metabolism that I attempted to assess whether there was a correlation between reduced epicotyl growth in the SD-induced plants and endogenous GA physiology.

Preliminary hormone analyses had determined that GA_{20} was endogenous in <u>Xanthium</u> leaves (see Table 5-1). Therefore, it seemed logical to study its metabolism using exogenously applied labeled GA_{20} . An additional reason for conducting this study was to investigate the possibility that GA_{20} might be converted to GA_5 (Phinney et <u>al.</u>, 1991), since GA_5 was a "fairly florigenic" GA in <u>Pharbitis</u> (King et <u>al.</u>, 1987), and somewhat florigenic in the <u>Xanthium</u> system. Gibberellin A₅ is also a precursor to GA_3 .

Methods

6.1 Effect of Exogenously Applied [²H]GA₂₀ on Induction

Since $[^{2}H]GA_{20}$, or for that matter GA_{20} had never been applied previously it was important to assess the florigenicity of $[^{2}H]GA_{20}$, so as to be absolutely certain that the LD controls were not induced by GA_{20} application (e.g. that they remained vegetative and application of this GA did not interfere with SD induction). Parallel with the above applications, 10 µg of $[^{2}H]GA_{20}$ was applied alone to each of 6 LD control plants and 6 long night-induced plants ($[^{2}H]GA_{20}$ was applied 5 min prior to the beginning of a 16 h long night at 1600 h). At 0800 h the following morning the long-night plants were transferred from the darkroom back to the LD chamber where they were allowed to resume their growth until dissection 9 days later to assess their floral response.

6.2 Isotope dilution analysis of metabolism

For metabolism study exogenous application of 10 μ g of [²H]GA₂₀ and 1,000,000 dpm (16 700 Bq) of $[^{3}H]GA_{20}$ per plant was made in 10 µL of 95% EtOH to the base of the most rapidly expanding leaf blade of 6-week-old physiologically mature plants grown in 4" pots and maintained under LD photoperiod prior to treatment. These plants were defoliated to the single most rapidly expanding leaf (generally the third visible leaf from the apex) the day prior to GA application. Immediately following the application (1600 h; within 5 min), half the treated plants were induced by transferring them to the darkroom to receive a fully-inductive 16 h long night. The remaining plants (controls) were returned to the LD chamber immediately following treatment. Both treatments consisted of 5 plants each. Immediately following photoperiodic treatment (at 0800 h), the single most rapidly expanding leaf was rinsed with methanol and shoot tips, petioles and leaf blades of induced plants were harvested into liquid N2. Both LD and SD photoperiods coterminated, so that the LD control plants and long night plants were harvested simultaneously. Shoot tips had all visible leaf primordia removed during harvest. Tissue was subsequently stored at -70°C prior to freeze-drying. Thereafter, lyophilized tissue was stored at -20°C. Through these harvest times perturbation of [²H]GA₂₀ metabolism due to the inductive long night was studied in the harvested leaf tissue. Shoot tips were not analyzed for this thesis.

The lyophilized leaf tissue was extracted (5.3), purified (5.5) and $[^{2}H_{2}]GA$ metabolites quantified (5.6 and 5.7) as above (Chapter 5 Methods). The experiment was repeated twice, with results exhibiting similar trends.

Results and Discussion

6.1 Effect of exogenously applied $[^{2}H]GA_{20}$ on induction

Exogenously applied $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{20}$ did not interfere with SD induction, nor did it induce the LD plants to flower. This was not unexpected, and is yet another example of an exogenously applied GA which was unable to induce a flowering response in <u>Xanthium</u> plants maintained under non-inductive LD.

6.2 Metabolism of $[{}^{3}H]/[{}^{2}H]GA_{20}$ in <u>Xanthium</u> leaves

Analyses of leaf tissue were made for the three main radioactive products of GA_{20} metabolism, specifically GA_1 (3β-hydroxylated GA_{20}), GA_8 (2β, 3β-dihydroxylated GA_{20}) and GA_{29} (2β-hydroxylated GA_{20}). Gibberellin A_3 and GA_5 were not found as major metabolite peaks. Although other possible GA_{20} metabolites could exist in this system, mainly GA_6 , epi- GA_1 (3α-hydroxy GA_1)(Graebe, 1987; MacMillan, 1990), various catabolites and glucosyl conjugates, these were not investigated in this study since they were not observed as major metabolite peaks in the [³H] HPLC profiles (figures 6.1 and 6.2)

Between 30 and 40% of the applied radioactivity was extracted. Less than 1% of the extracted radioactivity eluted in the non-polar (precursor) fraction (this non-polar fraction was retained by the C_{18} -PC and subsequently eluted with 100% MeOH) for both induced and non-induced plants. This was known since all the expected hydroxylated metabolites of GA_{20} are more polar in structure than GA_{20} [C/D rearranged GA_{20}

excepted] (see figure 5.3 for structures of GA_{20} metabolites). By normal-phase chromatography using a short SiO₂ partition column, putative conjugates (EtOAc insolubles) were separated from free GAs. Glucosyl esters or glucosyl ethers are common metabolites of exogenously applied GAs (Graebe, 1987; MacMillan, 1990). This step revealed that leaves of induced plants conjugated (on average) less [³H]GA₂₀ (and its metabolites) than their LD counterparts (4% and 6% respectively). In cotyledons of <u>Pharbitis nil</u>, Takeno and Cleland (1990), also determined that the levels of GA conjugates were approximately equal in induced and non-induced plants.

Following C₁₈-HPLC, radioassay and bioassay of these fractions, [results presented in figures 6.1 (LD control) and 6.2 (SD induced)], by comparison with the R_{ts} of external standards the major identified metabolites of [³H]GA₂₀ were putative [³H]GA₁, [³H]GA₈, and [³H]GA₂₉.

Of the free GA radioactivity recovered from C_{18} -HPLC for the long night (SD) extract, 87,600 dpm (27%) was putative [³H]GA₂₀, 2,848 dpm (1%) was putative [³H]GA₁, 3,080 dpm (1%) was putative [³H]GA₂₉ and 2,760 dpm (1%) was putative [³H]GA₈. Of the free GA radioactivity recovered from C_{18} -HPLC for the LD extract, 166,760 dpm (23%) was putative [³H]GA₂₀, 35,320 dpm (5%) was putative [³H]GA₁, 4,480 dpm (1%) was putative [³H]GA₂₉ and 30,960 dpm (4%) was putative [³H]GA₈. The above results (Table 6-1) probably represent an underestimate of the actual metabolism occurring due to the positioning of the tritium atoms in ring A. The [³H]GA₂₀ used in this study was labeled at the C-2 and C-3 positions, which are sites of substitution in the GA pathway (Murofushi et <u>al</u>., 1977). However, if one assumes (correctly or not) that there were proportional losses of labile [³H] in each of the LD and SD treatments, differences in radioactivity recovered may be compared. The results indicate that metabolism of GA₂₀ to GA₁ is significantly faster in the plants maintained



Figure 6.1 Metabolism of $[{}^{3}H]GA_{20}$ in the most rapidly expanding leaf from a long night (SD)-induced <u>Xanthium</u> plant. Chromatogram of radioactivity (solid line) and bioactivity (dashed line) in the Tan-ginbozu dwarf rice microdrop bioassay (e.g. elongation growth in rice of a 1/100 fraction aliquot) for fractions from a reversed-phase C₁₈-HPLC column loaded with the EtOAc-soluble fraction following a feed of $[{}^{3}H]GA_{20}$. Peak I may be putative C/D rearranged GA₂₀, peak II may be a putative GA₂₉ catabolite and the unknown peak may represent a GA₂₀ catabolite.



Figure 6.2 Metabolism of $[{}^{3}H]GA_{20}$ in the most rapidly expanding leaf from a noninduced <u>Xanthium</u> plant. Chromatogram of radioactivity (solid line) and bioactivity (dashed line) in the Tan-ginbozu dwarf rice microdrop bioassay (e.g. elongation growth in rice of a 1/100 fraction aliquot) for fractions from a reversed-phase C₁₈-HPLC column loaded with the EtOAc-soluble fraction following a feed of $[{}^{3}H]GA_{20}$. Peak I may be putative C/D rearranged GA₂₀ and peak II may be a putative GA₂₉ catabolite.

Table 6-1 The effect of a single inductive 16 h long night (SD) on the metabolism of $[^{3}H]GA_{20}$ (applied at 1600 h) to putative $[^{3}H]GA_{1}$, $[^{3}H]GA_{29}$ and $[^{3}H]GA_{8}$. Extractions were made from long night-induced (8 h light/ 16 h dark) and non-induced (20 h light/ 4 h dark) leaves harvested at 0800 h the next day following induction. Values are the means of two samples.

Treatment	Radioactivity	Radioa	Radioactivity as a percentage of total acidic			
	extracted as a %	eluting from C ₁₈ -HPLC				
	of [³ H]GA ₂₀					
	applied*	at GA ₂₀ R _t †	at GA _{1 Rt} †	at GA _{8 Rt} †	at GA ₂₉ R _t †	
SD	44.6±0.1	26.9±0.1	0.84±0.52	0.82±0.41	0.66±0.31	
LD	37.4±0.6	23.3±0.4	4.91±1.28	4.33±0.69	0.91±0.56	

* for this column, the sum of all extractable, acidic, EtOAc soluble radioactivity was taken as a % total of the [³H]GA₂₀ exogenously applied to the most rapidly expanding leaf.

[†] for these columns, the value is a % of the total radioactivity eluting from the C_{18} -HPLC. Leaves were washed with MeOH prior to harvest.

under LD, relative to those plants receiving the inductive long night (SD). Furthermore, the increased rate of putative $[{}^{3}H]GA_{1}$ synthesis from $[{}^{3}H]GA_{20}$ in plants maintained under LD is accompanied by an increased rate of $[{}^{3}H]GA_{8}$ synthesis (e.g. $GA_{20} \rightarrow GA_{1} \rightarrow$ GA_{8}). The metabolism of GA_{20} to GA_{29} was not affected by the inductive long night, being only 1% of the total radioactivity eluted from C₁₈-HPLC for both photoperiod treatments.

Taken together, the increased level of conjugation, the increased biosynthesis of GA_1 (and of GA_8 from GA_{20} via GA_1) confirms the precedent that LD results in an overall increase in GA biosynthesis (Odén and Heide, 1988; Pharis et <u>al.</u>, 1990; Metzger and Zeevaart, 1982; Zeevaart, 1971b), thus increasing the levels of elongation-active GAs (GA₁ in this case). It is also quite interesting that even a single long night could result in a decreased rate of synthesis of GA₁, as well as decreased conjugation of GA₂₀ (and potentially GA₁ as well). Also, the reduced synthesis of GA₁ is presumably maintained even after induced plants are returned to LD conditions since, as detailed in chapter 3, induced plants have significantly shorter internodes and petioles than those maintained under LD from germination. In spinach, more rapid growth is also seen in petioles maintained under LD (inductive) than under SD conditions (Zeevaart, 1971b).

In spinach (LDP), a 2-fold increase in the rate of metabolism of $[{}^{3}H]GA_{20}$ was recorded following induction under continuous LD, with the majority of the exogenous $[{}^{3}H]GA_{20}$ being directed towards $[{}^{3}H]GA_{29}$ (Metzger and Zeevaart, 1982). In the present experiment, long night induction in <u>Xanthium</u> resulted in GA₁ as the only $[{}^{3}H]$ metabolite of $[{}^{3}H]GA_{20}$ (figure 6.1), whereas in LD leaves putative $[{}^{3}H]GA_{1}$ and putative $[{}^{3}H]GA_{8}$ are present (figure 6.2). Based on radioactivity profiles, there was a 5fold lower rate of synthesis in leaves from long night (SD)-induced plants than in the LD controls. A lower rate of synthesis of GA_8 (via GA_1) in the long night (SD) leaves compared to the LD controls was also seen in <u>Xanthium</u> (compare figure 6.1 with 6.2, R_t 5-10 min). In spinach GA_{29} was also found to accumulate to a higher level under LD than under SD. This is in contrast to the result in <u>Xanthium</u>, where no appreciable change in [³H]GA₂₉ synthesis was noted.

In addition, fractions from the radioactive regions were grouped (by R_{ts} based on tritiated-external standards) and run on GC-MS-SIM to confirm the presence of these metabolites in deutero form (Table 6.2a and 6.2b; LD and SD respectively). The GA₂₀ region was chromatographed by Nucleosil [N(CH₃)₂] HPLC in order to separate GA₂₀ from GA₅ (another potential metabolite of GA₂₀). Fractions determined to be in the GA₅ region were subsequently analyzed for [²H₂]GA₅ on GC-MS-SIM. However, no [²H₂]GA₅ was detected. This confirms the fact that GA₅ is not likely an endogenous GA in <u>Xanthium</u> leaves. Likewise, [²H₂]GA₃ was also not detected by GC-MS-SIM following analysis of appropriate fractions purified by Nucleosil [N(CH₃)₂] HPLC of the [³H]GA₁/GA₃ region. The conversion of [²H₂]GA₂₀ to [²H₂]GA₁ and [²H₂]GA₈, and of [²H₂]GA₂₀ to [²H₂]GA₂₀ to [²H₂]GA₂₀ was thus confirmed (Tables 6.2a and 6.2b).

Large differences in M^+ peak area occurred for $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{1}$ (3-fold higher), $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{8}$ (2-fold higher) and $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{29}$ (3-fold higher) between the non-induced leaves and the long night-induced leaves respectively (Tables 6.2a and 6.2b). Approximately equal aliquots of each fraction were injected and assuming equal work-up losses, these results corroborate the $[^{3}H]$ metabolism trends.

In each of the SD and LD samples, a large peak of radioactivity was found to the right of the $[{}^{3}H]GA_{20}$ peak (less polar than GA_{20}) (see figures 6.1 and 6.2). However, in both cases its identity remains unknown by GC-MS. This peak may represent alkylated

Table 6-2a The relative intensities of characteristic diagnostic ions for $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{1}$, $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{8}$ and $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{29}$ from non-induced (LD) <u>Xanthium</u> leaves fed $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{20}$ compared with those of authentic GA standards. The latter are normalized to the peak are counts at m/z 508 of the putative $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{1}$ metabolite, at m/z 596 of the putative $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{8}$ and at m/z 508 $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{29}$. The relative intensity, expressed as a percentage of the M⁺ ion is shown in ().

Treatment		Ratio at m/z ion	
	508 (M ⁺)	493	449
Putative Metabolite, [² H ₂]GA ₁	6,519,825 (100)	680,551 (10.4)	717,731 (11.0)
Authentic $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{1}$	6,519,825 (100)	697,445 (10.7)	687,806 (10.5)
**************************************	596 (M ⁺)	450	381
Putative Metabolite, [² H ₂]GA ₈	797,187 (100)	159,179 (20.0)	134,602 (16.8)
Authentic [² H ₂]GA ₈	797,187 (100)	163,398 (20.4)	104,702 (13.1)
	508 (M ⁺)	493	377
Putative Metabolite, [² H ₂]GA ₂₉	9 4,662,423 (100)	555,908 (11.9)	987,289 (21.2)
Authentic [² H ₂]GA ₂₉	4,662,423 (100)	581,793 (12.4)	762,551 (16.4)

Table 6-2b The relative intensities of characteristic diagnostic ions for $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{1}$, $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{8}$ and $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{29}$ from 16 h long night-induced (SD) <u>Xanthium</u> leaves fed $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{20}$ compared with those of authentic GA standards. The latter are normalized to the peak are counts at m/z 508 of the putative $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{1}$ metabolite, at m/z 596 of the putative $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{8}$ and at m/z 508 $[^{2}H_{2}]GA_{29}$. The relative intensity, expressed as a percentage of the M⁺ ion is shown in ().

Treatment	Ratio at m/z ion			
	508 (M ⁺)	493	449	
Putative Metabolite, [² H ₂]GA ₁	1,834,972 (100)	191,290 (10.4)	210,213 (11.5)	
Authentic [² H ₂]GA ₁	1,834,972 (100)	196,292 (10.7)	193,579 (10.5)	
	596 (M ⁺)	450	381	
Putative Metabolite, [² H ₂]GA ₈	469,086 (100)	90,889 (19.4)	69,007 (14.7)	
Authentic [² H ₂]GA ₈	469,086 (100)	96,148 (20.4)	61,609 (13.1)	
	508 (M ⁺)	493	377	
Putative Metabolite, [² H ₂]GA ₂₉	9 1,810,763 (100)	208,096 (11.5)	226,772 (12.5)	
Authentic [² H ₂]GA ₂₉	1,810,763 (100)	225,953 (12.4)	296,155 (16.4)	

 $[^{3}H]GA_{20}$ (via the C-7 carboxyl) or conversely $[^{3}H]GA_{20}$ adducted or covalently linked (via the C-7 carboxyl or the 13 α -OH) to an unknown less-polar compound. It is interesting that this unknown peak represents 1/3 of the $[^{3}H]GA_{20}$ for the SD samples, while it only represents 1/4 of the $[^{3}H]GA_{20}$ for the LD samples. Therefore it is possible that this peak is associated with the events of floral evocation. Further characterization of this peak will be attempted.

As King et <u>al</u>. (1987) suggested, pulse changes in GA metabolism (or applied GA) may be one factor leading to floral initiation. The photoperiod-induced changes in GA_{20} metabolism of the <u>Xanthium</u> system support this idea.
CHAPTER 7

CONCLUSIONS

Many plant processes occur as a result of interactions between positive and negative stimuli. Organ formation and male female sex determination are examples and floral evocation also seems to fall into this category. The results of several earlier investigators have been extended by extensive experimentation in this research, thus indicating that analytical technology has advanced sufficiently to allow additional progress to be made toward the characterization of the florigenic stimulus, florigen. Earlier failures in the characterization of florigen may not only have resulted from inadequate analytical technology, but possibly also from the lack of a rapid, reliable and sensitive bioassay for flowering. Establishing such an assay was one objective which the present study accomplished.

Flowering seems to be controlled by a complex series of switches. If enough of these are flipped (i.e., more promoters and/or less inhibitors) then flowering results. However, if the critical number of switches are not triggered, then the apical meristem remains vegetative. Even with advances in technology, a general understanding of the partial processes which control floral evocation is essential to understanding the process as a whole. That is, the most effective manipulations of flowering can best occur when research has led to a thorough understanding of the flowering process. My thesis research has sought to come to grips with several of these partial processes, including those that may be influenced or controlled by ABA, ACC, ethylene, GAs and IAA, and by substances of the flavenoid/phenolic class.

Through flowering bioassay trials I examined three substances which could inhibit a 16 h long night-induced floral evocation. These were ABA, ACC and IAA. While ABA was only partially inhibitory, both IAA and ACC caused total inhibition of floral evocation at a high dose (100 μ g/plant). Inhibition by ACC appeared to result from elevated levels of ethylene (as supported by STS prevention [or reversal] of ACC inhibition). Inhibition by IAA did not appear to be completely due to an IAA-enhanced ethylene production.

Gibberellins as a general rule were promotive of floral evocation under marginallyinductive long nights (SD), with those GAs of the non-hydroxylation pathway (e.g., GA_4 , GA_7 , GA_9 and a derivative of GA_4 , 2,2-dimethyl GA_4) being highly promotive. Chlorogenic acid, one of the phenolics tested in this experiment, was also highly florigenic under marginally-inductive long night conditions.

Analysis of endogenous hormones showed that GA_1 levels decreased in both leaves and apices after a single long night (SD) cycle. This decrease appeared to be, at least in part due to decreased GA_1 synthesis from GA_{20} . Additionally, the amount of GA_8 , the 2ß-hydroxylated inactivation product of GA_1 , decreased in leaves after long nights (SD). In apices levels of both GA_8 and GA_{20} were substantially lower under after long nights (SD) than under LD. Biosynthesis of $[^3H]GA_1$ from $[^3H]GA_{20}$ and $[^3H]GA_8$ through $[^3H]GA_1$ was also enhanced under LD in leaves. These results indicate that the reduced petiole and epicotyl growth under SD may be caused by a decreased level of GA_1 . Additionally, the level of GA_4 in leaves and apices was increased substantially after a single long night (SD) cycle, relative to LD. Since applied GA_4 and related GA_5 are the most florigenic (under marginally inductive long nights) of this hormone class, it is possible that endogenous GA_4 may be a part of the floral evocation stimulus, although it is not a florigen per se under totally non-inductive LD. Work with GA biosynthesis inhibitors resulted in either partial inhibition of floral evocation e.g. delayed floral development (2 g/L uniconazole and CCC at all concentrations), total inhibition of this process (3 g/L uniconazole) or no inhibition at all (BAS 111..W and ancymidol). These effects might suggest that GA levels must decrease below a critical level to completely inhibit floral initiation. This is supported by the fact that uniconazole-induced inhibition (3 g/L) was reversed by the application of GA_{4/7/9} (62:30:8) mixture. The GA biosynthesis inhibitor results thus confirm the conclusion that GAs are important components in floral evocation, but shed no light on the question of whether GAs are florigen(s) per se for this SD plant.

Future research will be needed in order to optimize the interactions of these partial processes. In the author's opinion the final composition of a florigen for SDP such as <u>Xanthium</u> may include: (1) a component which causes a reduction in the levels of elongation-promoting GAs, (2) a component which is involved in initially activating (inducing) the floral evocation process, (3) a component which transiently reduces the level of IAA (and thus ethylene) in <u>situ</u> to reduce apical dominance in the induced plant, and finally (4) a component which is important in the continued development of the floral apex. Components 1 and 4 may be related by simple changes in GA metabolism. That is, a decrease in the level of GA₁ may simply result from the decreased activity of the GA₂₀ C-3 β -hydroxylase, with a concomitant increase in the level of GA₄ (either through enhanced 3 β -hydroxylation of GA₉, reduced 2 β -hydroxylation to GA₃₄, reduced conjugation of GA₄ or a combination of all of these). The increased level of GA₄ may be important in the early development of the floral apex.

Aspinall, D and Husain, I (1970) The inhibition of flowering by water stress. Australian Journal of Biological Science 23: 925-936

Baldev, B and Lang, A (1965) Control of flower formation by growth retardants and gibberellin in <u>Samolus parviflorus</u>, a long day plant. American Journal of Botany **52**: 408-417

Bernier, G (1988) The control of floral evocation and morphogenesis. Annual Review of Plant Physiology **39**: 175-219

Beyer, EM Jr (1976) A potent inhibitor of ethylene action in plants. Plant Physiology 58: 268-271

Bodson, M (1985) Sinapis alba. In: Handbook of Flowering, Vol IV, Halevy, AH (Ed.), CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida pp 336-354

Bonner, J (1949) Further experiments on flowering in <u>Xanthium</u>. Botanical Gazette 110: 625-627

Brian, PW, Groves, JF and Mulholland (1967) Relationships between structure and growth-promoting activity of the gibberellins and some allied compounds in four test systems. Phytochemistry 6: 1475-1499

Chailakhyan, MKh. (1968) Internal factors of plant flowering. Annual Review of Plant Physiology 19: 1-36

Clark, HF and Kerns, KR (1942) Control of flowering with phytohormones. Science 95: 536-537

Cleland, CF and Zeevaart, JAD (1970) Gibberellins in relation to flowering and stem elongation in the long-day plant Silene armeria. Plant Physiology **46**: 392-400

Cooke, AR (1954) Changes in free auxin content during the photoinduction of short-day plants. Plant Physiology 29: 440-444

Coolbaugh, RC and Hamilton, R (1976) Inhibition of <u>ent</u>-kaurene oxidation and growth by α -(*p*-methoxyphenyl)-5-pyrimidine methyl alcohol. Plant Physiology 57: 245-248

Cooper, WC (1942) Effect of growth substances on flowering of pineapple under Florida conditions. Proceedings of the American Society of Horticultural Science **41**: 93-98

El-Antably, HMM and Wareing, PF (1966) Stimulation of flowering in certain short-day plants by abscisin. Nature (London) **210**: 328-329

Erickson, RO and Meicenheimer, RD (1977) Photoperiod induced change in phyllotaxis in <u>Xanthium</u>. American Journal of Botany **64**: 981-988

Evans, LT (1964) Inflorescence initiation in <u>Lolium temulentum</u> L. V The role of auxins and gibberellins. Australian Journal of Biological Sciences **17**: 10-23

Evans, LT (1969a) A short history of the physiology of flowering. In: The Induction of Flowering Some Case Histories. Evans, LT (Ed.), Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York, pp 1-13

Evans, LT (1969b) Inflorescence initiation in <u>Lolium temulentum</u> L. XIII. The role of gibberellins. Australian Journal of Biological Sciences 22: 773-786

Evans, LT (1971) Flower induction and the flower concept. Annual Review of Plant Physiology 22: 365-394

Evans, LT and King, RW (1985) <u>Lolium temulentum</u>. *In*: Handbook of Flowering, Vol III, Halevy, AH (Ed.), CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, pp 306-323

Evans, LT, King, RW, Chu, A, Mander, LN and Pharis, RP (1990) Gibberellin structure and florigenic activity in <u>Lolium temulentum</u>, a long-day plant. Planta **182**: 97-106

Evans, LT, King, RW, Mander, LN and Pharis, RP (1993) The relative significance for stem growth and flowering in <u>Lolium temulentum</u> of 3ß-hydroxylation of gibberellins. Planta (in press)

Finlayson, SA, Foster, KR and Reid, DM (1991) Transport and metabolism of 1aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid in sunflower (<u>Helianthus annuus</u> L.) seedlings. Plant Physiology **96**: 1360-1367

Friedman, H, Spiegelstein, H, Goldschmidt, EE and Halevy, AH (1990) Flowering response of <u>Pharbitis nil</u> to agents affecting cytoplasmic pH. Plant Physiology **94**: 114-119

Fuchs, E; Atsmon, D and Halevy, AH (1977) Adventitious staminate flower formation in gibberellin treated gynoecious cucumber plants. Plant and Cell Physiology 18: 1193-1201

Gianfagna, T, Zeevaart, JAD and Lusk, WJ (1983) Effect of photoperiod on the metabolism of deuterium-labeled gibberellin A₅₃ in spinach. Plant Physiology **72**: 86-89

Gocal, GFW, Pharis, RP, Yeung, EC and Pearce, DW (1991) Changes after decapitation in concentrations of indole-3-acetic acid and abscisic acid in the larger axillary bud of <u>Phaseolus vulgaris L. cv. Tender green. Plant Physiology</u> **95**: 344-350

Goto, N, and Pharis, RPP (1993) Gibberellin is essential for development of floral organs in the ga1 mutant of <u>Arabidopsis thaliana</u>. Abstract for 5th International Conference on <u>Arabidopsis</u> Research

Graebe, JE (1987) Gibberellin biosynthesis and control. Annual Review of Plant Physiology 38: 419-465

Graebe, JE and Ropers, HJ (1978) Gibberellins. In: Phytohomones and Relate Compounds—A Comprehensive Treatise, Volume I, Letham, DS, Goodwin, PB and Higgins, TJV (Eds.), Elsevier/North-Holland Biomedical Press, New York, New York, pp 107-188 -

Green, M and Fuller, HJ (1948) Indole-3-acetic acid and flowering. Science 108: 415-416

Guzmán, P and Ecker, JR (1990) Exploiting the triple response of <u>Arabidopsis</u> to identify ethylene-related mutants. The Plant Cell 2: 513-523

Habjorg, A (1978) Photoperiodic ecotypes in Scandinavian trees and shrubs. Norges Landbrukshogskole Meld. 57: 2-20

Halevy, AH, Spiegelstein, H and Goldschmidt, EE (1991) Auxin inhibition of flower induction of <u>Pharbitis</u> is not mediated by ethylene. Plant Physiology **95**: 652-654

Hamner, KC (1958) The mechanism of photoperiodism in plants. *In*: Photobiology Proceedings of the Nineteenth Annual Biology Colloquium, Oregon State College, Eugene, Oregon, pp 7-16

Hamner, KC and Bonner, J (1938) Photoperiodism in relation to hormones as factors in floral initiation and development. Botanical Gazette 100: 338-431

Hamner, KC and Nanda, KK (1956) A relationship between applications of indole-3acetic acid and the high-intensity-light reaction of photoperiodism. Botanical Gazette **118**: 13-18

Harder, R and van Senden, H (1949) Antagonistische wirkung von wuchsstoff and "blühhormon". Naturwiss 36: 348-350

Hedden, P (1990) The action of plant growth retardants at the biochemical level. *In*: Plant Growth Substances 1988, Pharis, RP and Rood, SB (Eds.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 322-332 Heide, OM (1968) Auxin level and regeneration of Begonia leaves. Planta 81: 153-159

Hodson, HK and Hamner, KC (1970) Floral inducing extract of <u>Xanthium</u>. Science 167: 384-385

Jacques, M (1970) Action du CCC sur le comportement des <u>Blitum</u>: Modalités nouvelles d'èlongation et de floraison. CR Academie de Science Paris **270**: 346-349

Jones, TWA (1989) A gibberellin-sensitive flowering mutant of red clover. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry 27: 905-911

Jones, TWA and Thomas, AM (1993) Flowering and gibberellins in a mutant red clover (<u>Trifolium pratense L.</u>) Plant Growth Regulation 12: 11-16

Jones, TWA and Zeevaart, JAD (1980a) Gibberellins and the photoperiodic control of stem elongation in the long day plant <u>Agrostemma githago</u> L. Plant **149**: 269-273

Jones, TWA and Zeevaart, JAD (1980b) Effect of photoperiod on the levels of seven endogenous gibberellins in the long day plant <u>Agrostemma githago</u> L. Plant **149**: 274-279

Kasperbauer, MJ and Hamilton, JL (1978) Ethylene regulation of tobacco seedling size, floral induction and subsequent growth and development. Agronomy Journal 70: 363-366

Kamiya, Y and Kwak, SS (1991) Partial characterization of the gibberellin 3ß-hydroxylase from immature seeds of <u>Phaseolus vulgaris</u>. *In*: Gibberellins. Takahashi, N, Phinney, BO and MacMillan, J (Eds.), Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, pp 72-82

Kamuro, Y, Yotsumoto, K Lee, SK and Marumo, S (1990) Marked inhibition of floral bud initation by optically active abscisic acid in <u>Pharbitis nil</u>. Agricultural and Biological Chemistry 54: 3363-3365

Khatoon, S, Seiflová, F and Krekule, J (1973) Time dependence of auxin and ethrel effects on flowering in <u>Chenopodium rubrum</u> L. Biologia Plantarum 15: 361-363

King, RW and Evans, LT (1977) Inhibition of flowering in <u>Lolium temulentum</u> L. by water stress: a role for abscisic acid. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology 4: 225-233

King, RW and Evans, LT (1991) Shoot apex sugars in relation to long-day induction of flowering in <u>Lolium temulentum</u> L. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology **18**: 121-135

King, RW, Pharis, RP and Mander, LN (1987) Gibberellins in relation to growth and flowering in <u>Pharbitis nil</u>. Plant Physiology 84: 1126-1131

King, RW and Zeevaart, JAD (1973) Floral stimulus movement in <u>Perilla</u> and flower inhibition caused by non-induced leaves. Plant Physiology 51: 727-738

Kobayashi, M, Yamaguchi, I, Murofushi, N, Ota, Y and Takahashi, N (1988) Fluctuation and localization of endogenous gibberellins in rice. Agricultural and Biological Chemistry 52: 1189-1194 Koshioka, M, Harada, J, Tokena, K, Noma, M, Sassa, T, Ogiyama, K, Taylor, JS, Rood, SB, Legge, RI and Pharis, RP (1983) Reversed-phase C₁₈ high performance liquid chromatography of acidic and conjugated gibberellins. Journal of Chromatography **256**: 101-115

Krekule, J and Prívratsky, J (1973) The shoot apex as the site of an inhibitory effect of applied auxin on photoperiodic induction of flowering in the short-day plant <u>Chenopodium</u> <u>rubrum</u> L. Journal of Plant Physiology 71: 345-348

Lang, A (1965) Physiology of flower initiation. In: Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology. Ruhland, W (Ed.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin. pp 1380-1536

Lang, A, Chailakhyan, MK and Frolova, LA (1977) Promotion and inhibition of flower formation in a day-neutral plant in grafts with a short-day plant and a long-day plant. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science U.S.A. 74: 2412-2416

Leonard, M, Kinet, JM, Bodson, M, Havelange, A, Jacqmard, A and Bernier, G (1980) Flowering in <u>Xanthium strumarium</u>. Initiation and development in female inflorescence and sex expression. Plant Physiology **67**: 1245-1249

Leopold, AC and Thimann, KV (1949) The control of tillering in grass by auxin. American Journal of Botany **36**: 437-440

Lewcock, HK (1947) Acetylene to induce flowering in pineapple. Queensland Agricultural Journal 48: 532-543

Lincoln, RG, Cunningham, A and Hamner, KC (1964) Evidence for a florigenic acid. Nature 202: 559-561

Looney, NE, Pharis, RPP and Noma, M (1985) Promotion of flowering in apple trees with gibberellin A₄ and C-3 epi-gibberellin A₄. Planta **165**: 292-294

Lozhnikova, VN, Rakitin, VI, Krekule, J, Machácková, I and Chailakhyan, MKh. (1988) Ethylene formation in leaves of short- and long-day tobacco during transition to flowering. Biologia Plantarum (Praha) **30**: 422-426

Lozhnikova, VN, Nachackova, I, Eder, J, Dudko, N, Krekule, J and Chailakhyan, MKh. (1990) Changes in free IAA level in the leaves of short- and long-day tobacco during flowering and the effect of applied IAA on the transition to flowering. Biologia Plantarum (Praha) **32**: 339-345

Machácková, I, Ullmann, J, Krekule, J and Stock, M (1988) Ethylene production and metabolism of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid in <u>Chenopodium rubrum</u> L. as influenced by photoperiodic flower induction. Journal of Plant Growth Regulation 7: 241-247

Machácková, I, Ullmann, J, Krekule, J and Stock, M (1989) Ethylene production and metabolism of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid in a long-day plant, <u>Chenopodium</u> <u>murale</u> L., as influenced by photoperiodic flower induction. Journal of Plant Growth Regulation 8: 175-179

MacMillan, J (1990) Metabolism of gibberellin A₂₀ and 9 in plants: Pathways and enzymology. *In*: Plant Growth Substances 1988, Pharis, RP and Rood, SB (Eds.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 307-313

Maksymowych, R and Erickson, RO (1977) Phyllotactic change induced by gibberellic acid in <u>Xanthium</u> shoot apices. American Journal of Botany **64**: 33-44

Mandel, RM, Rood, SB and Pharis, RP (1992) Bolting and floral induction in annual and cold-requiring biennial <u>Brassica</u> spp.: Effects of photoperiod and exogenous gibberellin. *In*: Plant Growth Regulation, Karssen, CM, Van Loon, LC and Vreugdenhil, D (Eds.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands, pp 371-379

Metzger, JD (1985) Roles of gibberellins in the environmental control of stem growth in <u>Thalaspi arvense</u> L. Plant Physiology **78**: 8-13

Metzger, JD and Dusbabek, K (1991) Determination of the cellular mechanisms regulating thermo-induced stem groth in <u>Thlaspi arvense</u> L. Plant Physiology **97**: 630-637

Metzger, JD and Zeevaart, JAD (1982) Photoperiodic control of gibberellin metabolism in spinach. Plant Physiology **69**: 287-291

Moritz, T, Philipson, JJ and Odén, PC (1990) Quantitation of gibberellins A₁, A₃, A₄, A₉ and an A₉-conjugate in good- and poor-flowering clones of Sitka spruce (<u>Picea sitchensis</u>) during the period of flower-bud differentiation. Planta **181**: 538-542 Mukherjee, I (1969) Effect of sucrose and gibberellic acid on floral induction of Xanthium. Physiologia Plantarum 22: 694-700

Mukherjee, I (1974) Photoperiodic sensitivity of the cotyledons and first true leaf of Xanthium. Plant and Cell Physiology 15: 855-860

Murakami, Y (1972) Dwarfing genes in rice and their relation to gibberellin biosynthesis. *In*: Plant Growth Substances, Carr, DJ, (Ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York, New York, p 837

Murofushi, N, Durley, RC and Pharis, RP (1977) Preparation of radioactive GA₂₀, GA₂₅ and GA₈. Agricultural and Biological Chemistry **43**: 1793-1794

Murofushi, N, Honda, I, Hirasawa, Yamaguchi, I, Takahashi, N and Phinney, BO (1991) Gibberellins from the seed, tassel, cob and silk of maize. Agricultural and Biological Chemistry 55: 435-439

Nakayama, Sh (1958) Studies on the dark process in the photoperiodic response of <u>Pharbitis</u> seedlings. The Science Reports of the Tohoku University, 4th series, Biology **24**: 137-183

Nakayama, Sh and Kikuchi (1956) The effect of auxin application on flower formation. Miyazaki University Bulletin 1: 154-164 Nakayama, M, Yamane, H, Murofushi, N, Takahashi, N, Mander, LN and Seto, H (1991) Gibberellin biosynthetic pathway and the physiologically active gibberellin in the shoot of <u>Cucumis sativus</u> L. Journal of Plant Growth Regulation **10**: 115-119

Naylor, AW (1941) Effect of nutrition and age upon rate of development of terminal staminate inflorescences of <u>Xanthium pensylvanicum</u>. Botanical Gazette **103**: 342-353

Neidle, EK (1939) Nitrogen nutrition in relation to photoperiodism in <u>Xanthium</u> pensylvanicum. Botanical Gazette **100**: 607-618

Nester, JA and Zeevaart, JAD (1988) Flower development in normal tomato and a gibberellin-deficient (ga-2) mutant. American Journal of Botany 75: 45-55

Nishijima, T and Katsura, N (1989) A modified micro-drop bioassay using dwarf rice for detection of femtomol quantities of gibberellins. Plant and Cell Physiology **30**: 623-627

Odén, PC and Heide, OM (1988) Detection and identification of gibberellins in extracts of <u>Begonia</u> leaves by bioassay, radioimmunoassay and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. Physiology Plantarum 73: 445-450

Odén, PC and Heide, OM (1989) Quantitation of gibberellins and indole-3-acetic acid in <u>Begonia</u> leaves: Relationship with environment, regeneration and flowering. Physiology Plantarum 76: 500-506

Ogawa, Y and King, RW (1990) The inhibition of flowering by non-induced cotyledons of <u>Pharbitis nil</u>. Plant Cell Physiology **31**: 129-135

Pavlová, L and Krekule, J (1990) The Effect of IAA application on endogenous rhythm of flowering in <u>Chenopodium ruburm</u> L. Biologia Plantarum (Praha) **32**: 277-287

Pearce, DW, Reid, DM and Pharis, RP (1991) Ethylene-mediated regulation of gibberellin content and growth in <u>Helianthus annuus</u> L. Plant Physiology **95**: 1197-1202

Pharis, RP (1972) Flowering of <u>Chrysanthemum</u> under non-inductive long days by gibberellins and N⁶-benzyladenine. Planta **105**: 205-212

Pharis, RP (1991) Physiology of gibberellins in relation to floral initiation and early floral differentiation. *In*: Gibberellins. Takahashi, N, Phinney, BO and MacMillan, J (Eds.), Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, pp 166-178

Pharis, RP, Evans, LT, King, RW and Mander, LN (1987) Gibberellins, endogenous and applied, in relation to flower induction in the long-day plant <u>Lolium temulentum</u>. Plant Physiology **84**: 1132-1138

Pharis, RP, Evans, LT, King, RW and Mander, LN (1989) Gibberellins and flowering in higher plants: differing structures yield highly specific effects. *In*: From Floral Induction to Pollination, Lord, E and Bernier, G (Eds.), The American Society of Plant Physiologists Symposium Series, Vol I., pp 29-41

Pharis, RP, Evans, LT, King, RW and Mander, LN (1990) Gibberellins and flower initiation/early differentiation—evidence for differences in structure and dose, relative to efficacy in shoot elongation. *In*: Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Plant Growth Regulator Society of America, pp 94-106

Pharis, RP and King, RW (1985) Gibberellins and reproductive development in seed plants. Annual Review of Plant Physiology **36**: 517-568

Pharis, RP and Kuo, CG (1977) Physiology of gibberellins in conifers. Canadian Journal of Forestry Research 7: 299-325

Pharis, RP, Ruichuan, Z, Jiang, IBJ, Dancik, BP and Yeh, FC (1992) Differential efficacy of gibberellins in flowering and vegetative shoot growth, including heterosis and inherently rapid growth. *In*: Plant Growth Regulation, Karssen, CM, Van Loon, LC and Vreugdenhil, D (Eds.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands, pp 13-27

Phinney, BO and Spray, CR (1990Dwarf mutants of Maize—Research tools for the analysis of growth. *In*: Plant Growth Substances 1988, Pharis, RP and Rood, SB (Eds.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 65-73

Phinney, BO, Spray, CR, Suzuki, Y and Gaskin, P (1991) Gibberellin Metabolism in Maize: Tissue Specificity. *In*: Gibberellins. Takahashi, N, Phinney, BO and MacMillan, J (Eds.) Springer-Verlag, New York, New York, pp 29-30

Rademacher, W (1991) Inhibitors of gibberellin biosynthesis: Applications in agriculture and horticulture. *In*: Gibberellins. Takahashi, N, Phinney, BO and MacMillan, J (Eds.) Springer-Verlag, New York, New York. pp 296-310 Rademacher, W, Jung, J, and Graebe, JE (1984) On the mode of action of tetracyclacis and triazole growth retardants. *In*: Biochemical Aspects of Synthetic and Naturally Occurring Plant Growth Regulators. Menhenett, R and Lawrence, DK (Eds.) Wantage: British Plant Growth Regulator Group, Monograph No. 11, pp 1-11

Reece, PC, Furr, JR and Cooper, WC (1946) The inhibiting effect of the terminal bud on flower formation in the axillary buds of Haden mango (<u>Mangifera indica L.</u>). American Journal of Botany **33**: 209-210

Reid, JB (1990) Gibberellin synthesis and sensitivity mutants in <u>Pisum</u>. In: Plant Growth Substances 1988, Pharis, RP and Rood, SB (Eds.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 74-83

Roberts, RH (1951) Induction and blossoming in Xanthium. Science 113: 726-728

Ross, SD, Pharis, RP and WD Binder (1983) Growth regulators and conifers: Their physiology and potential uses in forestry. *In*: Plant Growth Regulating Chemicals, Vol II, Nickell, LG (Ed.), CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida. pp 35-78

Russell, W and Thimann, KV (1990) The second messenger in apical dominance controlled by auxin. *In*: Plant Growth Substances 1988, Pharis, RP and Rood, SB (Eds.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 419-427

Saki, S and Imaseki, H (1971) Auxin-induced ethylene production by mung bean hypocotyl segments. Plant and Cell Physiology 12: 349-359

Saks, Y and Van Staden, J (1993) Evidence for the involvement of gibberellins in developmental phenomena associated with carnation flower secescence. Plant Growth Regulation 12: 105-110

Salisbury, FB (1955) The dual role of auxin in flowering. Plant Physiology 30: 327-334

Salisbury, FB (1963) Biological timing and hormone synthesis in flowering of <u>Xanthium</u>. Planta **59**: 518-534

Salisbury, FB (1982) Photoperiodism. *In*: Horticultural Reviews, Vol IV, AVI Publishing Company, Utah State University, Logan, Utah, pp 66-105

Salisbury, FB (1985) <u>Xanthium strumarium</u>. *In*: CRC Handbook of Flowering, Vol IV, Halevy, AH (Ed.) CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, pp 473-522

Salisbury, FB (1990) The use of <u>Xanthium</u> in flowering research. *In*: Analysis of Growth and Development in <u>Xanthium</u>. Maksymowych, R (Ed.), Cambridge University Press, Great Britain, pp 153-194

Salisbury, FB and Ross, CW (1978) Plant Physiology, second Ed., Wadsworth Publishing Company, Belmont, California

Sawhney, VK (1992) Floral mutants in tomato: development, physiology, and evolutionary implications. Canadian Journal of Botany 70: 701-707

Schwabe, WW (1972) Flower inhibition in <u>Kalanchöe blossfeldiana</u>. Bioassay of an endogenous long-day inhibitor and inhibition by (±) abscisic acid and xanthoxin. Planta **103**: 18-23

Sekhar, KNC and Sawhney, VK (1990) Regulation of the fusion of floral organs by temperature and gibberellic acid in the normal and solanifolia mutant of tomato (Lycopersicon esculenetum). Canadian Journal of Botany **68**: 713-718

Shive, JB and Sisler, HD (1976) Effects of ancymidol (a growth retardant) and triarimol (a fungicide) on growth, sterols, and gibberellins of <u>Phaseolus vulgaris</u> L. Plant Physiology 57: 640-644

Shonozaki, M, Asada, K and Takimoto, A (1988) Correlation between chlorogenic acid content in cotyledons and flowering in <u>Pharbitis</u> seedlings under poor nutrition. Plant and Cell Physiology **29**: 605-609

Shonozaki, M, Hamaoka, Y, Takimoto, A, Murofushi, N and Takahashi, N (1987) Unidentified substance(s) accumulated during the flower-inducing process under continuous light in <u>Pharbitis nil</u>. A short day plant. Flowering Newsletter **3**: 9-11

Singh, S, Sawhney, VK and Pearce DW (1992) Temperature effects on endogenous indole-3-acetic acid levels in leaves and stamens of the normal and male sterile 'stamenless-2' mutant of tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.) Plant, Cell and Envirionment 15: 373-377

Smith, H and Whitelam, GC (1990) Phytochrome, a family of photoreceptors with multiple physiological roles. Plant, Cell and Environment 13: 695-707

Smith, VA, Albone, KS and MacMillan, J (1991) Enzymatic 3ß-hydroxylation of Gibberellins A₂₀ and A₅. *In*: Gibberellins. Takahashi, N, Phinney, BO and MacMillan, J (Eds.), Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, pp 62-71

Sponsel, VM (1988) Gibberellin biosynthesis and metabolism. *In*: Plant Hormones and Their Role in Plant Growth and Development. Davies, PJ (Ed.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, New York, New York, pp 43-75

Sponsel, VM and MacMillan, J (1977) Further studies on the metabolism of gibberellins (GAs) A9, A20 and A29 in immature seeds of <u>Pisum sativum</u> cv. Progress No. 9. Planta **153**: 129-136

Takagi, M, Kim, S-K, Pharis, RP, Pearce, DW, King, RW, Evans, LT and Mander, LN (1993) Endogenous gibberellins and gibberellin-like substances from apex and subapical tissue of <u>Lolium temulentum</u> L. under non-inductive ashort day and after 1 inductive long day (Abstract).

Takahashi N and Kobayashi, M (1991) Organ-specific gibberellins in rice: Roles and biosynthesis. *In*: Gibberellins. Takahashi, N, Phinney, BO and MacMillan, J (Eds.), Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, pp 9-21

Takahashi N, I Yamaguchi, and H Yamane (1986) Gibberellins. *In*: Chemistry of Plant Hormones. Ed. N Takahashi. CRC Press Inc. Boca Raton, Florida, pp 57-151 Takeno, K and Cleland, CF (1990) Comparison of gibberellin-like substances from cotyledons and phloem exudate collected from cotyledons of <u>Pharbitis nil</u> in relation to photoperiodic flowering. Plant Growth Regulation 9: 227-235

Talon, M and Zeevaart, JAD (1990) Gibberellins and stem growth as related to photoperiod in <u>Silene armeria</u> L. Plant Physiology **92**: 1094-1100

Taylor, AO (1965) Some effects of photoperiod on the biosynthesis of phenylpropane derivatives in <u>Xanthium</u>. Plant Physiology **40**: 273-280

Taylor, AO and Zucker, M (1966) Turnover and metabolism of chlorogenic acid in Xanthium leaves and potato tubers. Plant Physiology 41: 1350-1359

Taylor, AO and Zucker, M (1968) Effect of photoperiod on the turnover of chlorogenic and isochlorogenic acids in <u>Xanthium</u>. Journal of Plant Physiology **59**: 103-105

Umemoto, T (1971) Effect of chlorogenic acid on flower production in long-day duckweed, Lemna gibba 3. Plant and Cell Physiology 12: 165-169

Vince-Prue, D (1975) Photoperiodism in plants. McGraw-Hill, London

Wada, K and Totsuka, T (1982) Long-day flowering of <u>Perilla</u> plants cultured in nitrogenpoor media. Plant and Cell Physiology 23: 977-985 Wareing, PF and Phillips, IJD (1986) The physiology of flowering - I. photoperiodism. In: Growth and Differentiation in Plants, 3rd Edition, Pergamon Press, Willowdale, Ontario, pp 217-238

Wilson, RN, Heckman, JW and Somerville, CR (1992) Gibberellin is required for flowering in <u>Arabidopsis thaliana</u> under short days. Plant Physiology **100**: 403-408

Woodrow, L, Jiao, J, Tsujita, MJ and Grodzinski, B (1989) Whole plant and leaf steady state gas exchange during ethylene exposure in <u>Xanthium strumarium</u> L. Plant Physiology **90**: 85-90

Yoshii, H and Imaseki, H (1981) Biosynthesis of auxin-induced ethylene. Effects of indole-3-acetic acid, benzyladenine and abscisic acid on endogenous levels of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) and ACC synthase. Plant and Cell Physiology 22: 369-379

Yu, Y-B, Adams, DO and Yang, SF (1979) Regulation of auxin-induced ethylene production in mung bean hypocotyls: Role of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid. Plant Physiology 48: 86-90

Zanewich, KP (1993) Vernalization and gibberelling physiology of winter canola. M.Sc. thesis, The University of Lethbridge

Zárský, V, Pavlová, L, Eder, J and Machácková, I (1990) Higher flower bud formation in haploid tobacco is connected with higher peroxidase/IAA-oxidase activity, lower IAA content and ethylene production. Biologia Plantarum (Praha) 21: 288-293

Zeevaart, JAD (1971a) (+)-Abscisic acid content of spinach in relation to photoperiod and water stress. Plant Physiology 79: 86-90

Zeevaart, JAD (1971b) Effects of photoperiod on growth rate and endogenous gibberellins in the long-day rosette plant spinach. Plant Physiology 47: 821-827

Zeevaart, JAD (1978) Phytohormones and flower formation. In: Phytohormones and Related Compounds - A Comprehensive Treatise, Volume II. Letham, G and Higgins (Eds.), Elsevier/North-Holland Biomedical Press, pp 291-327

Zeevaart, JAD and Gage, DA (1993) <u>ent</u>-Kaurene biosynthesis is enhanced by long photoperiods in the long-day plants <u>Spinacia oleracea</u> L. and <u>Agrostemma githago</u> L. Plant Physiology **101**: 25-29

Zimmerman, RH, Hackett, WP and Pharis, RP (1985) Hormonal aspects of phase change and precocious flowering. *In*: Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology, Vol. 11 (N.S.), Hormonal Regulation of Development III, Role of Environmental Factors. Pharis, RP, and Reid, DM (Eds.), Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, pp 79-115

Zucker, M, Nitsch, C and Nitsch, JP (1965) The induction of flowering in <u>Nicotiana</u> II. Photoperiodic alteration of the chlorogenic acid concentration. American Journal of Botany **52**: 271-277

APPENDIX I

.

.

EFFECT OF A LOG DOSE OF EXOGENOUSLY-APPLIED GAS ON PETIOLE AND EPICOTYL GROWTH OF <u>XANTHIUM STRUMARIUM</u>—Results of Three Replicate Experiments

,



Figure A-1 Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of GA₃ applied at 1200 h, 8 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (12 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings ($P \le 0.05$) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure A-2 Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of GA_3 applied at 1200 h, 8 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (12 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings (P \leq 0.05) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure A-3 Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of GA₃ applied at 1200 h, 9 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings ($P \le 0.05$) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure A-4 Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of GA_4 applied at 1200 h, 9.5 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (10.5 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings (P \leq 0.05) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure A-5 Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of GA₄ applied at 1200 h, 9 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings ($P \le 0.05$) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure A-6 Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of GA_4 applied at 1200 h, 9.5 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (10.5 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings (P \leq 0.05) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure A-7 Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of GA₅ applied at 1200 h, 8 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (12 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings ($P \le 0.05$) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure A-8 Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of GA₅ applied at 1200 h, 9 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings ($P \le 0.05$) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure A-9 Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of GA₅ applied at 1200 h, 8 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (12 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings ($P \le 0.05$) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure A-10 Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of GA₇ applied at 1200 h, 9 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings ($P \le 0.05$) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure A-11 Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of GA₇ applied at 1200 h, 9 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings ($P \le 0.05$) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.


Figure A-12 Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of GA7 applied at 1200 h, 9.5 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (10.5 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings (P \leq 0.05) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure A-13 Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of GA₉ applied at 1200 h, 9 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings (P \leq 0.05) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure A-14 Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of GA9 applied at 1200 h, 9 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings ($P \le 0.05$) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure A-15 Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of GA₉ applied at 1200 h, 9 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings ($P \le 0.05$) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure A-16 Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of $GA_{4/7/9}$ (62:30:8) applied at 1200 h, 9.5 h before induction with a single marginallyinductive long night (10.5 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings (P \leq 0.05) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure A-17 Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of $GA_{4/7/9}$ (62:30:8) applied at 1200 h, 9.5 h before induction with a single marginallyinductive long night (10.5 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings (P \leq 0.05) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure A-18 Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of $GA_{4/7/9}$ (62:30:8) applied at 1200 h, 9 h before induction with a single marginallyinductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings (P≤0.05) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure A-19 Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of 2,2dimethyl GA₄ applied at 1200 h, 9.5 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (10.5 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days postinduction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings (P \leq 0.05) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure A-20 Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of 2,2dimethyl GA₄ applied at 1200 h, 9 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days postinduction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings (P \leq 0.05) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure A-21 Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of 2,2dimethyl GA₄ applied at 1200 h, 9.5 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (10.5 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days postinduction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings (P \leq 0.05) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure A-22 Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of 2,2dimethyl-epi-GA₄ applied at 1200 h, 9.5 h before induction with a single marginallyinductive long night (10.5 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings (P≤0.05) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure A-23 Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of 2,2dimethyl-epi-GA₄ applied at 1200 h, 9.5 h before induction with a single marginallyinductive long night (10.5 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings (P \leq 0.05) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.



Figure A-24 Epicotyl and petiole growth in response to an increasing log dose of 2,2dimethyl-epi-GA₄ applied at 1200 h, 9 h before induction with a single marginallyinductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings measured 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Letters above the bars indicate significance groupings (P ≤ 0.05) via the Student Newman-Keuls test.

APPENDIX II

EFFECT OF A LOG DOSE OF EXOGENOUSLY-APPLIED GAS ON FLORAL EVOCATION IN <u>XANTHIUM STRUMARIUM</u>—RESULTS OF THREE REPLICATE EXPERIMENTS

.

.

.



Figure B-1 Efficacy of GA₃ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of GA₃ were applied at 1200 h, 8 h before induction with a single marginallyinductive long night (12 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury Floral stages) scored 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Flowering quotients are indicated above the error bars.



Figure B-2 Efficacy of GA₃ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of GA₃ were applied at 1200 h, 8 h before induction with a single marginallyinductive long night (12 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury Floral stages) scored 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Flowering quotients are indicated above the error bars.



Figure B-3 Efficacy of GA₃ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of GA₃ were applied at 1200 h, 9 h before induction with a single marginallyinductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury Floral stages) scored 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Flowering quotients are indicated above the error bars.



Figure B-4 Efficacy of GA₄ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of GA₄ were applied at 1200 h, 9.5 h before induction with a single marginallyinductive long night (10.5 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury Floral stages) scored 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Flowering quotients are indicated above the error bars.



Figure B-5 Efficacy of GA_4 on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of GA_4 were applied at 1200 h, 9 h before induction with a single marginallyinductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury Floral stages) scored 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Flowering quotients are indicated above the error bars.



Figure B-6 Efficacy of GA_4 on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of GA_4 were applied at 1200 h, 9.5 h before induction with a single marginallyinductive long night (10.5 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury Floral stages) scored 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Flowering quotients are indicated above the error bars.



Figure B-7 Efficacy of GA₅ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of GA₅ were applied at 1200 h, 8 h before induction with a single marginallyinductive long night (12 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury Floral stages) scored 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Flowering quotients are indicated above the error bars.



Figure B-8 Efficacy of GA₅ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of GA₅ were applied at 1200 h, 9 h before induction with a single marginallyinductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury Floral stages) scored 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Flowering quotients are indicated above the error bars.



Figure B-9 Efficacy of GA₅ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of GA₅ were applied at 1200 h, 8 h before induction with a single marginallyinductive long night (12 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury Floral stages) scored 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Flowering quotients are indicated above the error bars.



Figure B-10 Efficacy of GA₇ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of GA₇ were applied at 1200 h, 9 h before induction with a single marginallyinductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury Floral stages) scored 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Flowering quotients are indicated above the error bars.



Figure B-11 Efficacy of GA₇ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of GA₇ were applied at 1200 h, 9 h before induction with a single marginallyinductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury Floral stages) scored 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Flowering quotients are indicated above the error bars.



Figure B-12 Efficacy of GA₇ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of GA₇ were applied at 1200 h, 9.5 h before induction with a single marginallyinductive long night (10.5 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury Floral stages) scored 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Flowering quotients are indicated above the error bars.



Figure B-13 Efficacy of GA₉ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of GA₉ were applied at 1200 h, 9 h before induction with a single marginallyinductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury Floral stages) scored 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Flowering quotients are indicated above the error bars.



Figure B-14 Efficacy of GA₉ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of GA₉ were applied at 1200 h, 9 h before induction with a single marginallyinductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury Floral stages) scored 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Flowering quotients are indicated above the error bars.



Figure B-15 Efficacy of GA₉ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of GA₉ were applied at 1200 h, 9 h before induction with a single marginallyinductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury Floral stages) scored 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Flowering quotients are indicated above the error bars.



Figure B-16 Efficacy of $GA_{4/7/9}$ (62:30:8) on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of $GA_{4/7/9}$ were applied at 1200 h, 9.5 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (10.5 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury Floral stages) scored 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Flowering quotients are indicated above the error bars.



Figure B-17 Efficacy of $GA_{4/7/9}$ (62:30:8) on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of $GA_{4/7/9}$ were applied at 1200 h, 9.5 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (10.5 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury Floral stages) scored 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Flowering quotients are indicated above the error bars.



Figure B-18 Efficacy of $GA_{4/7/9}$ (62:30:8) on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of $GA_{4/7/9}$ were applied at 1200 h, 9 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury Floral stages) scored 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Flowering quotients are indicated above the error bars.



Figure B-19 Efficacy of 2,2-dimethyl GA₄ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of 2,2-dimethyl GA₄ were applied at 1200 h, 9.5 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (10.5 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury Floral stages) scored 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Flowering quotients are indicated above the error bars.



Figure B-20 Efficacy of 2,2-dimethyl GA₄ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of 2,2-dimethyl GA₄ were applied at 1200 h, 9 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury Floral stages) scored 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Flowering quotients are indicated above the error bars.



Figure B-21 Efficacy of 2,2-dimethyl GA₄ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of 2,2-dimethyl GA₄ were applied at 1200 h, 9.5 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (10.5 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury Floral stages) scored 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Flowering quotients are indicated above the error bars.



Figure B-22 Efficacy of 2,2-dimethyl-epi-GA₄ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of 2,2-dimethyl-epi-GA₄ were applied at 1200 h, 9.5 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (10.5 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury Floral stages) scored 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Flowering quotients are indicated above the error bars.


Figure B-23 Efficacy of 2,2-dimethyl-epi-GA₄ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of 2,2-dimethyl-epi-GA₄ were applied at 1200 h, 9.5 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (10.5 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury Floral stages) scored 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Flowering quotients are indicated above the error bars.



Figure B-24 Efficacy of 2,2-dimethyl-epi-GA₄ on floral evocation in the <u>Xanthium</u> seedling bioassay. Doses of 2,2-dimethyl-epi-GA₄ were applied at 1200 h, 9 h before induction with a single marginally-inductive long night (11 h dark). Values represent the mean of 5 seedlings (Salisbury Floral stages) scored 9 days post-induction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Flowering quotients are indicated above the error bars.