Telemedicine as an Alternative to Improving Health Care Services to Youth in State Custody

2005 Educational and Information Program of Med-e-Tel

Effects and Benefits of eHealth:

Lessons Learned on Four Continents

Luxembourg

April 6, 2005

Karen C. Fox, Ph.D.
Assistant Dean, College of Medicine
Executive Director, Outreach Center
The University of Tennessee
901.448.HEAL

Background

Tennessee Juvenile Justice System

Challenges to delivering adequate medical care

Consent decrees

Youth Development Centers





Purpose of the Study

- The purpose of this study is to examine the effectiveness of a telemedicine program in improving services delivered to youth in State custody
- The purpose is addressed by focusing on three areas:
 - •Access to Care
 - Timeliness of Care
 - Cost of Care

Telemedicine in Prisons

- Adult prison telemedicine is the third largest use of telemedicine in the country (Corrections Forum 1998, 2001)
 - Prisons who implement telemedicine save
 approximately \$102 per patient encounter (Prison Health Services, 2002; Proctor 2000, Stryhorn 1999)
- To date, no studies evaluating telemedicine in juvenile facilities

Research Questions

- Does the Telemedicine program improve access to care?
 - Hypotheses:
 - The telemedicine program increases utilization of outpatient specialty services as compared to preimplementation.
 - The telemedicine program decreases emergency room use as compared to pre-implementation
 - The telemedicine program decreases inpatient hospital use as compared to pre-implementation

Research Questions

Does the Telemedicine program improve timeliness of care?

- •Hypothesis:
 - The telemedicine program will reduce time from referral to specialty consult as compared to pre-implementation.

Research Questions

- Does the Telemedicine program reduce overall costs?
 - Hypothesis:
 - The telemedicine program will reduce overall cost per student month as compared to pre-implementation.

Study Overview and Data Collection

- This is a pre/post implementation quasiexperimental study design
- Data were gathered for the year prior to telemedicine intervention and the first year of intervention
- Data collection focused on existing data sources

- Access to Care
 - Dependent variables
 - rates per YDC per month
 - Outpatient visits, ER visits, inpatient visits
 - Independent variables
 - •YDC, month, treatment
 - ■Other explanatory variables age, sex, race
 - Negative binomial regression

- **Timeliness**
 - Dependent variable time to treatment
 - Independent variables YDC, treatment
 - Behavioral health visits only
 - Survival analysis
 - Cox Proportional hazards model

Cost

- Dependent variables (per student per month)
 - Total costs
 - transportation costs
 - average medical costs
- Independent variables YDC, treatment, months

- Cost
 - Other variables of interest
 - Medical cost per encounter
 - Transportation cost per encounter
 - Outpatient cost per center per month
 - ER cost per center per month
 - Inpatient cost per center per month
 - Generalized linear model –
 multivariate analysis of variance

Results

- Research Questions
 - Does the Telemedicine program improve access to care?
 - Does the Telemedicine program improve timeliness of care?
 - Does the Telemedicine program reduce overall costs?

Table IV.3 Effect of Treatment Intervention on Outcome Measures

Outcomes Measure	Coefficient	Odds Ratios	P - Value	
Outpatient Visits				
WH	0.74	2.10	0.002	
T	0.017	1.02	0.79	
MV	0.33	1.39	0.01	
W	0.79	2.20	0.0002	

Table IV.3 Effect of Treatment Intervention on Outcome Measure(continued)

Outcome Measure	Coefficient	Odds Ratios	P- Value	
Emergency Room Visits WH	s 0.12	1.13	0.66	
T	0.12	1.13	0.47	
MV	0.53	1.70	0.11	
W	0.98	2.66	0.21	

Table IV.3 Effect of Treatment Intervention on Outcome Measures (continued)

Outcome Measure	Coefficient	Odds Ratios	P- Value
Inpatient Visits		0.20	0.06
WH T	-1.61 0.68	0.20 1.97	$\begin{array}{c} 0.06 \\ 0.53 \end{array}$
$\overline{ ext{MV}}$	unestimateable	1,7/	
\mathbf{W}	unestimateable	4 8	

Table IV.4 Effect of Treatment Intervention on Access: Level of Telemedicine Implementation

Access Measure	Cofficient	95% Conf. Limits	P-value	
ER Visits	-0.07	-0.11, -0.04	< 0.0001	
Inpatient Visits	-0.01	-0.08, 0.05	0.736	
Outpatient Visits	0.01	0.001, 0.03	< 0.0365	

Results

- Research Questions
 - Does the Telemedicine program improve access to care?
 - Does the Telemedicine program improve timeliness of care?
 - Does the Telemedicine program reduce overall costs?

Table IV.5 Time from Referral toTreatment by YDC

YDC Hazard Ratio		Inverse	P-value	Percent Decrease Associated with Treatmen	
WH	3.50	0.29	<.0001	74.42	
\mathbf{T}	1.09	0.92	0.6805	8.26	
MV	1.71	0.58	0.04	41.52	
W	1.48	0.67	0.13	32.43	

Results

- Research Questions
 - Does the Telemedicine program improve access to care?
 - Does the Telemedicine program improve timeliness of care?
 - Does the Telemedicine program reduce overall costs?

Table IV.6 Effect of Treatment Intervention on Cost Measures: Level of Telemedicine Implementation

Cost Measure	N	YDC	Comparison Treatment Parameter	Standard Error	P Value	Marginal Impact Estimate
Outpatient Cost	48	WH	-1.57	1.075	0.186	?\$59.74
Per center/		${f T}$	-7.18	1.306	0.0003	?\$489.72
Per month		MV	-3.59	1.887	0.09	?\$446.79
		W	2.58	0.727	0.005	?\$73.72
ER Cost	48	WH	0.811	2.685	0.77	?\$9.76
Per center/		T	-2.46	1.976	0.24	?\$130.54
Per month		MV	-2.17	10.853	0.85	?\$30.92
		W	-3.53	2.77	0.23	?\$0.68
					201	
Inpatient Cost	48	WH	-3.53	3.923	0.39	?\$0.23
Per center		T	-2.68	7.028	0.71	?\$0.14
Per month		MV	-3.16	8.03	0.70	?\$0.05
		\mathbf{W}	0.35	1.983	0.86	?\$0.01

Table IV.6 Effect of Treatment Intervention on Cost Measures: Level of Telemedicine Implementation (continued)

Cost Measure	N	YDC	Comparison Treatment Parameter	Standard Error	P- Value	Marginal Impact Estimate
Med Cost	48	WH	-0.35	0.369	0.37	?\$1.42
Per student/		T	-3.37	0.856	0.003	?\$10.26
Per month		MV	-1.61	2.079	0.46	?\$5.77
		W	-0.90	0.387	0.04	?\$1.50
Transportation	48	WH	0.02	0.038	0.60	?\$0.02
Cost Per		${ m T}$	-0.07	0.283	0.80	?\$0.10
student/Per		MV	-0.32	0.484	0.52	?\$0.19
month		W	-0.14	0.211	0.51	?\$0.06

Table IV.6 Effect of Treatment Intervention on Cost Measures: Level of Telemedicine Implementation (continued)

Cost Measure	N	YDC	Comparison Treatment Parameter	Standard Error	P - Value	Marginal Impact
Average Med	48	WH	-0.734	0.468	0.15	?\$0.40
Cost Per student/		T	-5.13	1.148	0.001	?\$6.42
Per month		MV	-4.01	1.998	0.07	?\$4.96
		W	1.11	0.512	0.05	?\$0.42
Transportation	48	WH	-0.09	0.279	0.75	?\$0.02
Cost Per student		T	-4.40	0.857	0.0004	?\$1.09
/Per month		MV	-1.29	0.849	0.150	?\$0.17
		W	-0.63	0.301	0.06	?\$0.03
Total Cost	48	WH	-0.59	0.345	0.19	?\$0.45
Per student/		T	-4.93	0.977	0.0005	?\$7.55
Per month		MV	-3.65	1.76	0.06	?\$5.06
		W	0.74	0.44	0.13	?\$0.34

Conclusions

- Access
 - Outpatient utilization increased 40- 50% among YDCs
 - With each 1% increase in the use of telemedicine visits, ER visits decreased 7%
- Timeliness overall wait times from referral to treatment reduced 38% overall

Conclusions

Cost

- Total cost of care increased significantly for three
 of the eight cost measures
- As the level of telemedicine implementation went up,
 - Average outpatient costs per month decreased in 2 of
 4 centers
 - Medical cost per encounter decreased in 2 of 4 centers

Conclusions

- 11.3% decrease in spending on pharmaceuticals per student per month
- Pharmaceuticals per patient
 - 4 months pre-telehealth implementation \$135.22
 - 4 months post-telehealth implementation \$119.96
- Change in medications to those more appropriate to typical adolescent psychiatric diagnoses.
- Reduction in poly-pharmacy
 - Average of 1.94 meds per student pre-implementation
 - Average of 1.43 meds per student post-implementation