## THE UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY

An Interpretation of Māṇikkavācakar's "Tiruvempāvai"

BY

Deborah L. Waldock

### A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES

IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE

DEGREE OF MASTER OF ARTS

DEPARTMENT OF RELIGIOUS STUDIES

CALGARY, ALBERTA

July, 1987

© Deborah L. Waldock

Permission has been granted to the National Library of Canada to microfilm this thesis and to lend or sell copies of the film.

The author (copyright owner) has reserved other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without his/her written permission.

L'autorisation a été accordée à la Bibliothèque nationale du Canada de microfilmer cette thèse et de prêter ou de vendre des exemplaires du film.

L'auteur (titulaire du droit d'auteur) se réserve les autres droits de publication; ni la thèse ni de longs extraits de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans son autorisation écrite.

ISBN 0-315-38089-6

#### THE UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY

### FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies for acceptance, a thesis entitled "An Interpretation of Mānikkavācakar's 'Tiruvempāvai'," submitted by Deborah L. Waldock in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts in full.

> Chairman, Dr. H.G. Coward Department of Religious Studies

Department of Religious Studies

Dr. R. Radhakrishnan

Department of Linguistics

#### ABSTRACT

This thesis represents an effort to interpret Mānikkavācakar's "Tiruvempāvai" through an appeal to descriptive and figurative elements in the poem. "Tiruvempavai" is a poem which is about an encounter between a group of girls and their friend. The poem can be understood to present a dilemma regarding the condition of the girl when her group of friends arrive at her house. The study will attempt to demonstrate several things. Firstly it will suggest that the poem can be interpreted as presenting three mutually exclusive possibilities that, when considered, point to an unidentified state which the girl was in even prior to the group's coming through the street singing their praises. Secondly, it will suggest that a possible explanation for the girl's condition can be posited by an appeal to certain descriptive and figurative elements in the poem. The classical Tamil literary tradition and the myth of Siva as the coti linga will be drawn on in order to facilitate the interpretation of some of the descriptive and figurative elements of "Tiruvempavai". Thirdly through the process of identifying the condition of the girl by drawing on the descriptive and figurative elements, a world-view emerges. That world-view includes both the experiences of the girl and those of the members of the group in its conception of religious life.

Chapter one consists of introductory comments.

Chapter two is a translation of the poem with notes. In chapter three the dilemma regarding the condition of the girl as well as two other related dilemmas are discussed. The central dilemma, the confusion regarding the girl's condition, is resolved into a single unidentified state in this chapter. Various descriptive and figurative elements are considered in chapter four which, it is suggested, indicate the direction for an identification of the condition of the girl. In chapter five the condition is identified and the world-view that emerges through the resolutions of the dilemmas is discussed.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

There have been many people who have, knowingly or unknowingly, influenced this study. I am grateful to all of them. However, specifically, I would like to thank my advisor, Dr. Coward, firstly for making this study possible and secondly for his guidance, encouragement and patience. I would also like to express my gratitude to Dr. Neufeldt and Dr. Radhakrishnan for their willingness to be on my committee and for their helpful suggestions regarding this study. In addition, I am very grateful to Dr. Radhakrishnan for the many hours he spent with me reading through "Tiruvempavai" line by line and for his effort to teach me some of the basics of Tamil grammar. This translation was only possible with his help. I would also like to extend my appreciation to Dr. Janaki for showing me how to look into the poem, for sharing her insights with me and for answering my endless questions on Saivism and other matters. Lastly, I would like to thank M. Dubeau for the long hours spent on proof-reading. of these individuals have tried to steer me away from the path of error; any errors or shortcomings which remain in this text are of course mine alone.

## Preface

In this study, the Tamil script has been romanized according to the system found in A. H. Arden's A Progressive Grammar of the Tamil Language with the exception that the letter, \$\overline{\Phi}\$ , has been transcribed as 1. The few Sanskrit words in this study have been transliterated according to the system found in W. D. Whitney's Sanskrit Grammar with the exception that the palatal silibant has been transliterated as \$\frac{\pi}{\pi}\$ rather than as \$\frac{\pi}{\pi}\$. In quotations which include non-English words, those words have been left as the author who is quoted has transliterated them.

# CONTENTS

	PAGE
ABSTRACT	iii v vi vii
1. INTRODUCTION	1 1 5 10
Notes to Chapter 1	14 101
The Dilemmas	111 111 119 129
Notes to Chapter 3	132
Descriptive Elements	132 137 143 164 170 171 190
The World-View	200 204
6. CONCLUSION	209 214
APPENDIX A  Discussion of the Occurrence of First Person  Plural Pronouns in "Tiruvempavai"	· 215 215
Table	226 228
APPENDIX B  I. Ornamentation  II. Flowers  III. Colours  IV. Qualities  APPENDIX C  The English Translation of "Tiruvempāvai"	229 229 230 231 232 233
(Without the Tamil or the Notes)	233

#### CHAPTER ONE

#### INTRODUCTION

Māṇikkavācakar is one of the Śaiva and Vaiṣṇava poet-saints who were essential contributors in the revival of Hinduism and the upsurge of bhakti in South India between the sixth and ninth centuries AD.¹ In the Śaiva Siddhānta tradition which emerged out of this movement² Māṇikkavācakar holds a significant position. He, along with three other saints, are regarded as the "founders of religion" for that tradition. Each one of them is believed to exemplify one of the four Śaiva paths. Māṇikkavācakar is the model for the highest path, jñāna mārqa. He is believed to have attained the highest religious goal, release from rebirth and union with Śiva.³

Māṇikkavācakar's status as an important religious figure was established early. Evidence dating from the twelfth century indicates that land was set aside at that time for a pūjā for Māṇikkavācakar, and other saints. Even today his image is seen in Saiva temples in Tamil Nadu. Māṇikkavācakar has been and continues to be a significant religious figure among the Tamil Saivas.

There is some controversy regarding the date of Mānikkavācakar. He has been dated variously from the third

century AD<sup>6</sup> to the second half of the tenth century. Most modern scholars place him in the mid-ninth century.<sup>7</sup>

Mānikkavācakar's two works are the Tiruvācakam and the Tirukkovaiyar. Both of his works are included in the Saiva Siddhanta Canon. The Tiruvacakam is a collection of fifty-one poems. The poems, in the main, consist of expressions of the love of devotees or a devotee for Siva, indications of different states of devotion, praises to and descriptions of Siva, and Siva's response to devotees. The poems are filled with emotion. As the eminent Tamil scholar, K. Zvelebil, says: "Religious emotion achieves, in these poems, a strength and fullness hardly achieved anywhere else."8 He places the Tiruvacakam in the bhakti movement in South India in the following way: "The whole of the Tiruvacakam is the culmination of the Saiva bhakti hymnic literature, and at the same time, the beginning of the specific system of Saiva Siddhanta philosophy."9 The Tirukkovaiyar is modelled after the classical Tamil genre of akam poetry. It is not explicitly religious in that the work is concerned with human love. However, traditionally, it is sometimes interpreted allegorically, as a description of a relationship between a devotee and Siva. 10 The Tiruvacakam has a more important role in the daily lives of Saiva devotees than does the Tirukkovaiyar. The hymns of the Tiruvacakam are sung daily in temple worship and in

homes throughout Tamil Nadu. 11 That is perhaps a result of its more explicit religious nature.

Commentaries have been written on both of Māṇikkavācakar's works. 12 The Tirukkōvaiyār was commented on in the thirteenth century. 13 The Tiruvācakam was not commented on until modern times. The first commentary was apparently written in the second half of the nineteenth century. 14 The Śaiva hymns in general were considered too sacred to be the subject of a commentary. 15 Another Tamil scholar, Glenn Yocum, argues that the modern commentators tend to interpret the Tiruvācakam in terms of Śaiva Siddhānta principles. His objection to that practice is that the "crystalization" of Śaiva Siddhānta thought did not occur until after Māṇikkavācakar's time. Yocum notes that there is a tendency to try to find parallels between the text and Western literature or the Upanisads. 16

Various translations and studies have been done on the <u>Tiruvācakam</u>. To this writer's knowledge there are three full translations of the <u>Tiruvācakam</u> available in English. They are those of G.U. Pope, G. Vanmikanathan, and K.M. Balasubramaniam. 17 Pope's version is mainly a translation. He does not really give any commentary on the poems, although some of the poems have a short introduction and corresponding notes. Preceding Pope's translation are a series of appendices in which he provides a context for

the translation based on Saiva Siddhānta texts. His intent in the translation appears to be to make available in English what he considers to be a worthwhile Tamil text. Vanmikanathan's translation is self-admittedly interpretive. He understands the Tiruvācakam to be a handbook of mystical theology. With the exception of the first four poems, he interprets the sequence of poems to reflect, chronologically, Mānikkavācakar's spiritual development. As of the writing of this thesis

K.M. Balasubramaniam's full translation of the Tiruvācakam is still unavailable to me. However, I have been fortunate to have obtained a copy of his "Tiruvempāvai". There is also a translation of the Tiruvācakam into German by Schomerus. 20

Two major studies on the <u>Tiruvācakam</u> have been done in English. These are by Glenn Yocum and Ratna Navaratnam. 21 Yocum's approach is essentially thematic. Navaratnam, a scholar and a Saiva, focuses on the <u>Tiruvācakam</u> as great poetry.

None of those translations and studies deal with "Tiruvempavai" in an in-depth manner. As "Tiruvempavai" is the seventh hymn of the <u>Tiruvacakam</u> and the subject of this thesis, only studies and translations of that text will be considered. Pope gives a short introduction to the poem and attempts to give some focus to ambiguous parts in his

notes. He does not give any in-depth consideration of the poem. Yocum's study does not specifically deal with "Tiruvempāvai". He does consider some of the verses as they contribute to an understanding of the themes that he is investigating. Both Vanmikanathan and Navaratnam offer interpretations of "Tiruvempāvai". However, they do not make explicit the connections between the interpretation and the poem. Their studies of the poem are perhaps restricted by the fact that each is attempting to understand the Tiruvācakam as a whole and therefore, they are not trying to give a detailed analysis of any one poem. Balasubramaniam's translation of "Tiruvempāvai" gives a few notes which reflect his interpretation of certain ambiguous points in the poem. To my knowledge there is no detailed study of "Tiruvempāvai" in English.

# "Tiruvempavai"

"Tiruvempāvai" is a poem in which a group of girls are on their way to engage in certain religious observances. 22 They proceed through the street singing praises to Śiva. When they arrive at their friend's house they find her still in bed. In verses 2 to 8 they attempt to prod her into participating. In verses 9, the group addresses Śiva. There is some controversy regarding who is the speaker in

verse 10. Verses 11 to 20 (except 16 and 19), invoke the setting of a pond where the group bathes, or will bathe while they praise Siva. It is not explicitly indicated whether the group is successful in encouraging the girl to participate or not.

The poem can be interpreted as presenting a dilemma regarding the condition of the girl when her friends arrive at her house, and for the rest of the first half of the poem. She is either asleep, indifferent to their praise and the events of the morning, or overwhelmed by intense emotion as a result hearing the group's praises of Siva. Three different interpretations take, as their point of departure, one of these states.

The traditional understandings<sup>23</sup> seem to take as the point of departure for their interpretations the position that the girl is asleep. In general, the poem is traditionally interpreted on a level other than the level of the activities of the girls. That is, in one view, the awakening attempts in the first 8 verses symbolize the awakening of the human soul out of its spiritual darkness at the time of creation and engage it in the cleansing activites of life. It is through life that spiritual purification occurs.<sup>24</sup> A variation of that view is that the awakening that occurs in the first 8 verses refers to the

awakening of different  $\underline{\pm aktis}$  that are instrumental in the evolution of the cosmos.  $^{25}$ 

Navaratnam's interpretation is based on the notion that the girl is indifferent. In her view, the girl in the house represents Māṇikkavācakar and the poem relates Māṇikkavācakar's recovery from a state of spiritual despondency. Thus, Māṇikkavācakar's despondency is represented by the girl's non-response to the group's praise and events of the morning. Perhaps, she also interprets the emotional description as a manifestation of the depth of his despair. In this interpretation the group of girls represent devotees who are more spiritually advanced than Māṇikkavācakar. 26

A third interpretation is offered by Vanmikanathan. He interprets the girl in the house to be in a swoon state as a result of hearing the group's praise. He describes this state as one in which one becomes "beside oneself with joy." The group represents devotees who are spiritually less developed than the girl. 28

All three of the interpretations take at least one of the possibilites which can be understood to be presented in the poem as the point of departure for their interpretation. If the girl can be inferred to be in three mutually exclusive states the question that emerges is:

Can the poem be interpreted as offering a resolution to the dilemma it presents regarding the girl's condition?

This study will attempt to suggest three things.

Firstly it will suggest that the three mutually exclusive possibilities for the condition of the girl inferred from the poem, when considered, point to an unidentified state which the girl was in even prior to the group's coming through the street singing their praises. Secondly it will suggest that the girl's condition can be identified by appealing to certain descriptive and figurative elements in the poem. Thirdly through the process of identifying the condition of the girl by drawing on the descriptive and figurative elements, a world-view emerges. That world-view includes both the experiences of the girl and those of the members of the group in its conception of religious life.

These three points will be demonstrated in the following way. Chapter two is a free translation 29 of the poem with the romanized Tamil script for each verse and notes. "Tiruvempāvai" has been translated differently in some places by Vanmikanathan, Pope and Balasubramaniam. The notes accompanying the translation will point out some of the differences, especially in Vanmikanathan's and Pope's translation and to a lesser extent Balasubramaniam's. A translation has been included in order to show how "Tiruvempāvai" has been understood in

this study in the face of the different possibilities suggested by the other translators. In chapter three the dilemma regarding the condition of the girl as well as two other related dilemmas are discussed. The central dilemma is resolved into a single unidentified state in this chapter. In other words, it is suggested that the condition of the girl before the group came through the street singing praises of Siva was not any of the three possibilities suggested by the group when they arrive at her house, but another condition altogether. Various descriptive and figurative elements are considered in chapter four which point the direction to an identification of the condition of the girl prior to hearing the praise of the group in the street. In chapter five the condition is identified and the world-view that emerges through the resolutions of the dilemmas are discussed. identification requires a consideration of the symbolic. motif of the feet, and a consideration of certain concepts related to the feet.

The central idea which will be suggested is that the mutually exclusive possibilities inferred for the condition of the girl point to her experience of another condition.

That state is a spiritually significant state.

## Notes to the Chapter One

- 1K.A. Nilakanta Sastri, Development of Religion in South
  India (Bombay: Orient Longmans, 1963), pp. 35-48.
- <sup>2</sup>Śaiva Siddhānta receives its main inspiration from the Śaiva poet-saints. Śaiva Siddhānta as a religious group receives its specific identity with the articulation of its philosophy in the śāstras. This process began in the early thirteenth century and was completed in the fourteenth century. The principal commentaries were written between the fourteenth and eighteenth centuries. K. Sivaraman, Śaivism in Philosophical Perspective: A Study of the Formative Concepts, Problems and Methods of Śaiva Siddhānta (Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1973), pp. 31-39.
- 3M. Arunachalam, <u>Outlines of Saivism</u> (Tiruchitrambalam Mayuram: Gandhi Vidyalayam, 1978), pp. 32-33, 39; T.B. Siddalingaiah, "<u>Origin and Development of Saiva Siddhanta Upto 14th Century</u>" (Madurai: Madurai Kamaraj University, 1979), pp. 22-24. The four paths are <u>carya mārqa</u>, <u>kriyā mārqa</u>, <u>yoqa mārqa</u>, and <u>jñāna mārqa</u>.
- 4K.V. Zvelebil, <u>Tamil</u> <u>Literature</u> (Leiden: E.J. Brill, 1975), p. 143, n. 92.
- <sup>5</sup>Glenn E. Yocum, <u>Hymns to the Dancing Siva: A Study of Manikkavacakar's Tiruvacakam</u> (Columbia, Missouri: South Asia Books, 1982), p. 10; p. 47.
- 6G. Vanmikanathan, Pathway to God Through Tamil Literature: I Though the Thiruvaachakam (New Delhi: The Delhi Tamil Sangam, 1971), p. 6. Vanmikanathan indicates that this is the date for Māṇikkavācakar suggested by Maraimalai Adigal.
- <sup>7</sup>Yocum, p. 47; p. 63, n. l. Yocum discusses some of the factors favouring the ninth century dating of Māṇikkavācakar and some that are difficult for that position. C.V. Narayana Ayyar in Origin and Early History of Saivism in South India (1939; rpt. Madras: University of Madras, 1974) pp. 398-430, discusses the issues for dating Māṇikkavācakar earlier than the ninth century.
- 8Kamil Zvelebil, The Smile of Murugan: On Tamil
  Literature of South India (Leiden: E.J. Brill, 1973),
  p. 204.

- 9<sub>Zvelebil</sub>, Smile, p. 206.
- 10<sub>Yocum</sub>, p. 55; p. 63, n. 28.
- 11<sub>M.</sub> Dhavamony, <u>Love of God According to Saiva Siddhānta</u> (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1971) p. 158. See also Arunachalam, p. 28.
- 12 I know of no commentary of the <u>Tiruvācakam</u> which has been translated into English.
- 13 Zvelebil, Smile, p256; Yocum, p. 59.
- 14 Yocum, p. 59; p. 64, n. 43.
- <sup>15</sup>Zvelebil, Smile, p. 248, n. l.
- 16 Yocum, p. 59. This criticism may not be completely correct. The Saiva Agamic thought predates the Saiva Siddhanta thought. There are prima facie, striking resemblances between basic concepts of Agamic and Saiva Siddhanta thought. See Dhavamony, pp. 118-121 and pp. 202ff for a brief statement of the main Agamic principles and for a summary of the Saiva Siddhānta Sāstras. See also Sivaraman, pp. 31-39, for a statement about the Saiva Agamic traditions in relation to the formulation of Saiva Siddhanta philosophy. Mānikkavācakar actually mentions the Āgamas in the Tiruvācakam (poem 2 lines 10 and 18). Thus, it is possible that Agamic ideas are reflected in Mānikkavācakar's poetry and that, therefore, it may not be misguided to apply the Saiva Siddhānta framework in interpreting it. The critical editing and printing of the Agamas has only recently been undertaken. See Arunachalam, p. 26 and Dhavamony, p. 117 as well as n. 2 and n. 3 on that page. Studies comparing ideas in the Agamas and in Saiva Siddhanta, and comparing ideas in Mānikkavācakar's poetry and the Agamas based on critically edited Agamic texts can only be in their infancy.
- 17G.U. Pope, trans., introd., notes, prefix, The

  Tiruvacagam or "Sacred Utterances" of the Tamil Poet,

  Saint, and Sage Manikka-Vacagar (Oxford: Clarendon

  Press, 1900); For the full reference to Vanmikanathan's

  translation see n. 6. K.M. Balasubramaniam,

  Tiruvachakam of Saint Manikkavachakar (Madras: 1958).

<sup>18</sup> Vanmikanathan, pp. xxii, 26-29.

- 19<sub>K.M.</sub> Balasubramaniam, trans., <u>Tiruvembavai in Tamil by Saint Manikkavachaqar</u> (Madras: The South India Saiva Siddhanta Works Publishing Society, Tinnevelly Ltd., 1954).
- 20<sub>H.W.</sub> Schomerus, <u>Die Hymen des Manika-Vasaqa (Tiruvasaqa)</u> (Jena, 1923).
- 21 For Yocum's study see the reference above.

  R. Navaratnam, Tiruvachakam: The Hindu Testament of Love (Bombay: Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, 1963). This book seems to be a modified version of her book A New Approach to Tiruvacagam, 2nd ed. (Annamalianagar: Annamalai University, 1971).
- 22 See chapter 3, pp. 111-13 for details.
- 23 I have not had direct access to a traditional interpretation of the poem in English. What is said about the traditional interpretations is based on the brief references in the summaries provided by Vanmikanathan, Balasubramaniam and Navaratnam. The essential point that I want to draw attention to is that these interpretations seem to understand the girl to be asleep.
- 24 Navaratnam, Hindu Testament, p. 138; Navaratnam, A New Appraoch, pp. 193-194. For a discussion of the Saiva Siddhanta view of the states of the soul see Sivaraman.
- 25 Balasubramaniam sumarizes this version in the following way (p. 3): "There has also been in vogue a traditional and mystic interpretation of this Tiruvembavai. Including the first maid who goes to wake up others in turn, nine maids are spoken of herein. The first one wakes up another, that a third and so on it goes, till the ninth one wakes up from her slumber. These nine maids are identified with nine Saktis of Siva, who among themselves, setting in motion one another in turn, operate on the Mayic matter, which unfolds itself into the thirtysix tatwas from Prakriti upwards and generates the universe." See also Vanmikanathan, pp. 223-4.
- 26<sub>For Navaratnam's interpretation of "Tiruvempāvai" see Hindu Testament, pp. 139-40; A New Approach, pp. 194-7.</sub>
- <sup>27</sup>Vanmikanathan, p. 49.
- 28<sub>Vanmikanathan</sub>, pp. 48-50.

This translation was only possible with the help of Dr. Radhakrishnan. He patiently went through the entire poem with me line by line. In addition, he tried to show me some of the basics of Tamil grammar. Any errors found in the translation and the corresponding notes are mine alone. The Tamil verses of "Tiruvempāvai" used in this study are Irāmanāta Pillai's edition in Tiruvācakam (Madras: The South India Saiva Siddhanta Publishing Works Society, Tinnevelly, Ltd., 1976), Pope's version, and Balasubramaniam"s version. Irāmanāta Pillai's version of the Tiruvācakam is accompanied by a commentary in Tamil.

#### CHAPTER TWO

## TRANSLATION AND NOTES

#### TIRUVEMPAVAI

Ι

ātiyum antamum illā arum peruñ
cotiyai yām pāṭak kēṭṭēyum vāṭṭaṭaṅkaṇ
mātē vaḷarutiyo vaṇceviyo niṇcevitān
mātēvaṇ vārkaḷalkaḷ vāḷttiya vāḷttolipōy
vitivāyk kēṭṭalumē vimmi vimmi meymmarantu
potār amaḷiyin mēṇinrum puraṇṭinṅan
ētēnum ākāḷ kiṭantāḷ eṇnē eṇnē
itē entoli paricēl or em pāvāy

Without Beginning or End

the Precious Great Effulgence<sup>1</sup>

we sang about;

Oh Bright Wide-Eyed Maiden,

you heard, and

yet you sleep?

Are your ears hard?<sup>2</sup>

Upon hearing the loud sounds,

the praise of Mātēvan's anklets

with leather girts<sup>3</sup>

in the street:

on the bed filled with flowers<sup>4</sup>

exclaiming overwhelmedly<sup>5</sup>

forgetting herself<sup>6</sup>

directionless, in this way,<sup>7</sup>

tossing and turning<sup>8</sup>

she lay.

Ah! Ah!

Is this, indeed, the state of our friend?<sup>9</sup>
Oh our Lady!<sup>10</sup>

## Notes

- 1. Effulgence is  $\underline{c\overline{o}ti}$ .  $\underline{C\overline{o}ti}$  will be rendered as Efflugence throughout the translation.
- 2. <u>Vanceviyonincevitan</u> is literally, "are the ears hard, your ears themselves?". "Hard" refers to hard like stone. The implication is that her ears are like stone. They do not hear. Vanmikanathan: 2 "...are your ears hard of hearing?" Pope: 3 "...is thine ear dull...?"
- 3.  $\underline{\text{Var}}$  has various meanings as a verb and a noun. The most appropriate meaning for this context is that it is a leather strap. As a leather strap,  $\underline{\text{var}}$  may be the band which connects the anklets to the feet.<sup>4</sup>

- In the Sanskrit literary tradition the bed filled with flowers is conventionally understood to provide a cooling effect for intense feelings. Sighing heavily (note 5) and forgetting oneself are outward manifestations of intense love. 5 In the Tamil culture as it is reflected in literature, flowers, also, are used for their cooling effect. They symbolically convey coolness. 6 Flower symbolism in Sanskrit and Tamil literature is rich and varied. A full understanding of such symbolism would require a separate study. possibility that the flowers are used to symbolized the need for a cooling device is perhaps one way of understanding what the significance of the flower-filled bed is in this verse. Also, in the Tamil akam genre of poetry, the heroine does not express her feelings of love explicitly. Such feelings are to be inferred. 7
  - 5. <u>vimmi vimmi</u>. <u>Vimmi</u> is a verbal participle of the verb, <u>vimmu</u>. It designates the utterance of a long noise with a hiatus. It is a releasing in an outburst a full emotion that has been contained. So it conveys that the individual is overwhelmed by some emotion. The repetition of a verbal particle twice indicates that the action recurs, is alternating or prolonged. 9

Vanmikanathan: "Sob after sob tearing her frame".

Pope: "muttering".

- 6. meymmarantu. This phrase conveys the sense that one is overwhelmed by emotion. The individual is so completely immersed in that emotion that they become insensitive to their body. 10 Vanmikanathan: "her body in a trance". Pope: "in forgetful sleep". Pope carries forward the notion of sleep into the description in the last half of the verse. Vanmikanathan understands this description of the girl as indicating that she is in a trance.
- 7. <u>innan ētēnum ākāl</u>. Literally, <u>ētēnum ākāl</u> means:
  "She was not becoming for any thing or state". The
  expression is somewhat idiomatic. It indicates that the
  girl is restless and not able to do anything under her
  own volition. <u>ll innan</u> is an archaic form of <u>inkam</u>, which
  means "in this way", "in this manner". <u>l</u>2
- 8. <u>purantu</u>. I have taken this to mean that she is rolling restlessly. Vanmikanathan: "has rolled off her flower-strewn bedstead". Pope: "turns".
- 9. ennē ennē ītē entōli paricu. Ennē could be either an interogative, in which case the phrase is a question, or it can be an exclamation. If it is an exclamation it

is one of amazement, surprise, pity, doubt or contempt. 13 Vanmikanathan: "What, what is this condition, Oh my chum?" Pope: "Why thus, why thus? doth this our friend beseem?"

<u>ēl</u> <u>or</u> <u>empāvāy</u>. The phrase recurs at the end of each verse throughout the poem. It has been referred to here as the refrain. Vanmikanathan omits the phrase from each verse. In his opinion the refrain may be an appeal to the pāvai worshipped by the girls, or it is a call to the girl in the house to pay attention. Otherwise, he suggests that the phrase has no special significance. 14 Pope translates the refrain as: "Our Lady Fair, Arise". He gives an alternative meaning as: "Arise (or ponder), O Our Lady".  $^{15}$   $\overline{\underline{\mathtt{El}}}$  and  $\overline{\mathtt{Or}}$  are sometimes traditionally taken as sound fillers. 16 In the rendering here that tradition has been followed. However, or can also be taken as the imperative form of the root, or, which means to ponder or consider.  $^{17}$   $\overline{\underline{\mathtt{El}}}$  can be taken as the imperative form of the root,  $\overline{\underline{el}}$ . It can mean be fit, be able, be competent, receive, allow or approve. 18 Pāvāy is the vocative form of pavai.

pācam parañ cōtik kenpāy irāp pakanām
pēcum pōteppōtippōtār amaļikkē
nēcamum vaittanaiyō nērilaiyāy nērilaiyīr
cīci ivaiyuñ cilavō viļaiyāṭi
ēcum iṭam ītō viṇṇōrkal ēttutarkuk
kūcu malarppātan tantaruļa vantaruļun
tēcan civalōkan rillaic cirrampalattul
īcanārk kanpāryām ār ēl ōr em pāvāy

You would<sup>2</sup> express [your] love

for the Supreme Effulgence,

whether night or day

whenever we spoke.

Now, you have given your affection to the bed filled with flowers, 3 itself?

Oh Adorned One!

Oh Adorned Ones!<sup>4</sup>
Shame!<sup>5</sup>

Is it that:6

this is the place to playfully reproach? Are not we ones who have affection 6 for the Lord in Tillai's sacred hall, 9 the Lord of Sivaloka, 10

the possessor of immanent light  $^{11}$  who graces

coming to give

bestowing

the foot [adorned] with blossoms

that withdraws from the

praising of the Gods? 12

Oh Our Lady!

#### Notes

1. There is some controversy regarding the number of segments in the dialogue preceding the refrain.

Iramanata Pillai divides the verse into three parts.

Vanmikanathan divides the verse into two parts. It is unclear to me from Pope's translation how he divides the verse. All agree that the first division is the group's address to the girl which ends with "O Adorned One" (nērilaiyāy). Vanmikanathan attributes the remainder of the verse, excluding the refrain, to the girl. 19

Irāmanāta Pillai attributes from "O Adorned Ones" (nērilaiyīr) to "reproach" (ito) to the girl, and the remaining portion of the verse he attributes to the group. 20 I have followed Irāmanāta Pillai. My reason for doing so rests primarily on the presence of yām in

- line 8. See Appendix A, verse 2 for a discussion of this point.
- 2. Enpay is the second person of the future tense of the verb, en, which means to say. In Tamil the future tense can be used to convey a general statement or a habitual state of affairs. Those statements can refer to something that happened in the past.<sup>21</sup>
- 3. Ar amali means "filled bed". From verse 1 it is known that the bed is filled with flowers.
- 4. The same epithet, <u>nerilai</u>, is applied both to the girl by the group and to the group by the girl. In the first case it is in the singular and in the second it is in the plural.
- 5. <u>Cici</u> is an expression of disapproval or contempt.<sup>22</sup> It is used in informal situations.<sup>23</sup>
- 6. <u>Ivaiyuñ cilavō</u> means literally "are these things a few?". The question has the sense of "could these be so?". The question marker, "o", is being understood to function suppositionally in this situation. <sup>24</sup>
  Colloquially, the plural is not used. The colloquial expression would be: "could this be such?". The expression is a way of introducing a topic and then

explaining it. The expression conveys a sense of wonder at what is to follow.<sup>25</sup> However, in this verse the topic (the inappropriateness of the group's chiding of the girl) does not seem to be explained. Vanmikanathan does not explicitly translate the phrase. Pope translates it as: "...are these things trifles too?".

- 7. The question is designated by the marker, "ō". Here it is understood to convey the speaker's negative attitude towards the contents of the question. <sup>26</sup> The phrase is being understood to convey that in this situation the girl does not think it is appropriate that they should reproach her.
- 8. <u>icanārkkanpāryāmār</u>. See the discussion in note 12 and Appendix A, verse 2. Vanmikanathan: "...Who are we to say that we are filled with love?" Pope: "Who are His lovers all?"
- 9. The word translated here as "sacred hall" is <a href="mailto:cirrampalam">cirrampalam</a>. It means literally "small space" or "small public space". 27 Tillai is Citamparam where the Nataraja temple is. The Lord in Tillai's <a href="mailto:cirramapalam">cirramapalam</a> refers to Nataraja in that temple. Vanmikanathan: "dance hall". Pope: "porch".

- 10. Sivaloka is the residence of devotees. It is wherever true devotees are. 28
- 11. Tecan is the Tamil masculine singular form of tejas. 29 Tejas is a Sanskrit word which means, among other things, heat, glow, lustre, brilliance or splendour. 30
- 12. vinnorkal ēttutarkukkūcu malarppātam.

Vanmikanathan: "the blossom feet which shies away from the praise of the heavenly ones." Pope: "foot-flower, shame-fast angels praise?". Literally this phrase (vinnorkal - patam) means "the blossom foot that withdraws for the praising of the heavenly ones". Ettukarku is in the dative case which has been crudely translated as "for the praising". The main "meaning of the Dative case is that of an oblique object, to which the action is directed or for the sake of which it is performed". 31 Here the "withdrawing" is directed towards the praising of the gods. In English, the word "from" is used. The word for foot (patam) is in the singular. However, the neuter nouns can function semantically either as a plural or as a singular. 32 Since Manikkavacakar uses both singular and plural forms (<u>kalalkal</u> - 1, 20, <u>atikal</u> - 20, <u>ponmalarkal</u> - 20, and centalirkal - 20) in this translation the singular and

plural forms have been rendered respectively as the English singular and plural forms. Pope seems to have taken the "withdrawing (shame-fast - kūcu) to be an adjective of vinnorkal. Normally, the adjective precedes what it modifies. 33 If kūcu was to modify vinnorkal it should precede vinnorkal not follow ettutarku. In the structure given in the poem, kūcu positionally modifies malarppātam.

III

mūttannavennakaiyāy munvantetir elunten attan ānantan amutan enralļūrit tittikkappēcuvāy vantunkataitiravāy pattutaiyīr īcan pala atiyīr pānkutaiyīr puttatiyōm punmai tīrttātkontār pollātō ettō nin anputaimai ellom ariyōmō cittam alakiyār pātārō nancivanai ittanaiyum vēntum emakkēl ōr em pāvāy

Oh One with the white smile like a pearl!

Coming previously,

appearing in [our] presence,

sweetly, mouth wateringly,

you would say:

"My Father, Blissful One, Ambrosial One". 1
Come and open your door!

Oh Bhaktas!

Ancient Devotees of the Lord!

Oh Beautiful Ones! 2

Is it wrong to accept those and forgive the faults of us who are new devotees?<sup>3</sup>
Would you mislead [us]?<sup>4</sup>

Do not we all know your affection?

Should not those with beautiful hearts sing to our Siva?

All of this is necessary for us. 5
Oh Our Lady!

### Notes

1. enru - pēcuvāy. Vanmikanathan: "...who used to come forward in the past and talk sweetly till your mouth drooled of Aththan, Aanandan, Amudhan..."

Vanmikanathan does not take the enru as a quotative. He also omits the en (my) in en attan (my Father). The inclusion of en makes the use of quotations for the epithets more appropriate. Pope: "...present thyself before the Sire, the blissful One, the Ambrosial, And with o'erflowing sweetness speak!" Pope takes pēcuvāy

as an imperative rather than the future form. The imperative form of  $\underline{p\bar{e}cu}$  (speak) should be  $\underline{p\bar{e}c\bar{a}y}$ . <sup>34</sup> For the use of the future see verse 2 note 2. Pope also does not take the  $\underline{enru}$  as a quotative and he omits the  $\underline{en}$  in his translation.

puttutaiyir - pankutaiyir. Vanmikanathan: devotion-filled Ones! O Eesan's ancient devotees! decorous ones!". Pope: "Ye men devout, the Ruler's ancient saints, ye reverend men". The plural second person address (indicated by  $\overline{-ir}$ ) does not distinguish Thus those addressed could be male or female. In order to interpret this as an address that is directed towards men rather than the group of girls, Pope has indicated that a change of setting has taken place. His note, which immediately precedes the translation of this line, is: "She joins them. enter the temple-porch". Nothing in the verse itself nor anything in the preceding verses nor anything in the following few verses seems to support the change of setting that Pope offers. In verse 11, a change of location is suggested by the reference to bathing in a tank. Most of the remaining verses support this change of location by references to playing in a pond or playing in water.

<u>Pānku</u> suggests an outward manifestation of an inner quality. It has meanings such as beauty, propriety, agreeableness, suitableness, manners and carriage. 35 The word indicates appropriateness in attitude and action.

Ativir is a second person plural personal noun of the noun, ati (foot). It means "You who are at the feet". The personal nouns of ati are used to refer to devotees in the context of bhakti. Visvanatha Pillai gives the following definitions for ativar, the third person plural personal noun of ati,: "slaves, servants, as applied to devotees in reference to their deity, and used by persons of themselves in addressing superiors, to show respect, obedience or submission".

3. puttatiyōm - pollātō. This line is somewhat ambiguous. This is reflected in the different renderings. Vanmikanathan: "Is it wrong if the Lord enslaves new devotees, ridding them of their baseness?" Pope: "...Will't be amiss if ye our weakness aid, us novices admit?" Vanmikanathan brings in "the Lord" to explain the removing of blemishes (punmai tirttu). There is some support for this addition in the poem. The removing of blemishes is associated with Siva. Although the phrase elsewhere is kōtāttum or kōtātti (5, and 17 respectively). Also the two words, āl and kol

are associated elsewhere with Siva (5, 6, 11, 15, 20). However in those cases the context makes it quite clear that Siva or some feature of Siva is the one being modified by the phrase. The phrase does not seem to apply to Siva in its use here. It is part of a rhetorical question addressed to pattutaivir. As such it is inferred that it is those addressed as pattutaiyir to whom the phrase, <u>ātkontāl</u> applies. <u>Āl</u> and <u>kol</u> have been translated differently in their use in this verse than in their occurrence in the other places in the In this verse,  $\overline{\underline{a}}\underline{l}$  has been taken as "people" and rendered as "those". Kol has been taken as "accept". See chapter 5 for a discussion of  $\overline{al}$  and  $\underline{kol}$  as they apply to Siva and for the justification of the renderings of the verbs in those occurrences of them. Tīrttu can mean leave, quit, finish, complete, perfect, destroy, kill, cure, and so on.  $^{36}$  Pope takes  $\overline{\text{tirttu}}$  in the sense of "cure" or "perfect". The rendering suggested here takes <u>tirttu</u> in the extended sense of "leaving" or "dismissing".

Puttu is from putu<sup>37</sup> and it is related to putumai.

Putumai conveys as sense of newness, novelty, freshness, abundance, excess and intensity.<sup>38</sup>

- 4.  $\underline{\text{etto}}$ . Vanmikanathan omits an explicit translation of the phrase. Pope: "No cheat is this".
- 5. <u>nañcivanai</u> <u>emakku</u>. Vanmikanathan: "This is all we want of you." Pope: "Our Civan's form ev'n so we yearn to see." Vanmikanathan takes the <u>nañcivanai</u> with the previous sentence as has been done in this translation. Pope takes the phrase with the last sentence. Vanmikanathan also supplies "of you". Pope supplies "to see". Pope and Vanmikanathan take <u>vēntum</u> to mean "yearn" and "want", respectively. Those are meanings of the verb. The sentence, perhaps, suggests that she is troublesome to them.<sup>39</sup>

IV

onnittil nakaiyāy innam pularntinrē vannakkilimoliyār ellārum vantārō ennikkotullavācollukom avvalavun kannaittuyinravamē kālattaipokkātē vinnukkorumaruntai vētavilupporulaik kannukkiniyānaippātikkacintullam unnekkuninruruka yāmāttō niyēvan tennikkuraiyirruyil ēl ōr em pāvāy

Oh One smiling like a luminous pearl!

Has it not dawned yet?

Not all those whose speech

is beautiful like a parrot's

have come, have they?1

After counting  $^2$  we will tell you the way that it is.

Don't waste your time sleeping!

Singing

to the one Medicine for Heaven,

to the Excellent Sense of the Vedas, 3

to the Person who is agreeable to the eyes,

heart melting,

mind dissolving,

while dissolving,

we won't do [it].

You come and count!

If it is deficient,

[go back] to sleep.4

Oh Our Lady!

# <u>Notes</u>

1. The question is designated by the marker "ō". See verse 2, notes 6 and 7 for the meaning of the marker. Vanmikanathan: 'Have all my companions of colourful parrot-like speech come?" Pope: "have not the

sweet-voiced come, like parrots many-hued?" Pope seems to attributes this statement to the group. He notes at the beginning of his translation of this verse that:
"They all henceforth sing their morning song to the goddess, imploring HER to arise in grace." The question suggests that the speaker does (speakers do) not think everyone has arrived yet. Pope interprets the question in the affirmative. That is he understands it to be saying that everyone has arrived.

- 2. <u>Enni</u> is a verbal participle for the root, <u>ennu</u>. The verb can mean "to count" or "to consider". 40 Vanmikanathan: "We shall count and tell you the true tally". Pope: "Thus thinking, as is meet, we speak".
- 3. <u>vēta viluporulai</u>. <u>Porul</u> can mean either a concrete object or meaning. 41 <u>Vilu</u> means great or excellent. 42 So the phrase can have two meanings: that 1) Siva is the excellent sense of the Vedas; or that 2) Siva is the object that the Vedas extol. Vanmikanathan: "the Subject <u>par excellence</u> of the Vedaas". Pope: "the Veda's precious Sense".
- 4.  $\underline{ni}$   $\underline{ruyil}$ . Vanmikanathan: "Come yourself and count, and if the number falls short, go back and

sleep!" Pope: "...nor deem thou shoulds't remain
forever thus asleep."

V

māl ariyā nānmukanunkānā malaiyinai nām pol arivom enruļļa pokkankalēpēcum pāl ūru tēn vāyppatirī kataitiravāy nālamē viņņē piravē arivariyān kolamunammai ātkontarulikkotāttun cīlamum pātic civaņē civaņē en rolam itinum unarāy unarāy kān ēlakkulaliparicēl or em pāvāy

# Deceitful One!

whose honied mouth flows with milk that speaks, indeed, real falsehoods, laying:

"That Mountain

unknown by Mal<sup>2</sup>

unseen by even the Four-Faced One<sup>3</sup>

we can know,

others like us can know."<sup>4</sup>

 is unknown by the knowledge of the world, the heavens and others, about the characteristics that remove blemishes gracing taking and ruling

us:

even if [we]<sup>6</sup> cry unrestrainedly "Siva" "Siva":

You do not know! You do not know!

See that nature!

Oh Fragrant-locked One!<sup>7</sup>

Oh Our Lady!

## Notes

l. Palūru means "flows with milk". It can also refer to a young child who is not yet weaned. This may bring in the notion of innocence. Also a sweet talking child is one who is unblemished. 43 In the Cilappatikāram, the hero uses "honey" as an epithet to describe the heroine, Kannaki. Honey, in that context, is said to refer to her speech. It is filled with honey and pleasing to the ear. 44 The Cilappatikāram, in its present form, was not composed before the fifth or sixth centuries AD. Zvelebil suggests the poem is based on an old legend. 45 Vāy has a variety of meanings such as mouth, lip, place,

word, doorway, etc. 46 Vanmikanathan: "tongue". Pope: "mouth".

- 2. Māl is Visņu.<sup>47</sup>
- 3. The Four-Faced-One is Brahmā. 48
- 4. <a href="mailto:n\overline">n\overline</a> <a href="mailto:n\over

The phrase can be understood to have two components

indicated by the <u>-um</u> attached to each one. <sup>50</sup> The first phrase is <u>nālamē</u> to <u>kōlamum</u>. The second phrase is <u>nammai</u> to <u>cīlamum</u>. The whole phrase is then: singing about the beauty of him (etc.) and about the characteristics (etc.). <u>Nālamē</u> to <u>arivariyān</u> modify <u>kōlamum</u>. <u>Nammai</u> to <u>āttum</u> modify <u>cīlamum</u>.

Vanmikanathan: "...singing of the form of Him whom the earth, the heavens and all the rest do not know, and of His nobleness in ridding us of our faults and graciously enslaving us". Pope: "He Whom earth, heaven and other realms know not, In glory makes us His, cleanses our souls in grace. His goodness sing!" Pope associates <u>kōlam</u> (glory or beauty) with the acts of gracing, etc.,

and takes <u>cilam</u> (goodness or qualities) separately, in contrast to Vanmikanathan and as it is in the translation here.

- 6. cilamum pāti itinum. The phrase civanē itinum is part of the same sentence as cilamum pāti. The finite verb which completes the sentence is unarāy. From the context of the poem established in verse 1, it is known that the group of girls have been singing. Thus it seems appropriate to supply the word, "we" in this phrase. Vanmikanathan: "Even if we yell...."

  Pope: "they cry". Pope also takes this phrase as separate from cilamum pātic. Pope: "His goodness sing!
  'O Civan, Civan'! hark! they cry."
- 7. <u>kān</u> <u>paricu</u>. <u>ēla</u> can refer to a substance for perfuming the hair, or a cardomon plant, among other things. 51 <u>Kulal</u> is one of the five modes of doing the hair. 52 Vanmikanathan: "Oh you with cardomom scented tresses." Pope: So's she with perfumed locks". Vanmikanathan omits <u>paricu</u>. Pope takes <u>paricu</u> with <u>ēlakkulali</u>. Vanmikanathan and Pope also omit <u>kān</u>. In the translation here <u>kān</u> <u>ēlakkulali</u> <u>paricu</u> is taken as a unit.

Mānē nīnennalai nāļaivantunkaļai
nānē eluppuvan enralunānāmē
ponaticai pakarāy innam pularntinro
vānē nilanē piravē arivariyān
tānēvantemmaittalai alittātkontaruļum
vānvārkalal pāti vantorkkun vāytiravāy
ūnē urukāy unakkē urum emakkum
ēnorkkuntankonaippātēl or em pāvāy

#### Oh Doe!

Yesterday you said:

"Tomorrow I will come and awaken you."

Unashamedly, that [promise] has gone.

Explain what has happened.<sup>2</sup>

Has it not dawned?

Open the door!<sup>3</sup>

for those who come singing about

the beautiful banded-anklet

that graces:

[of Him who]

comes,

kindly looks

[and]

takes and rules

us;

who is:

unknown to the knowledge of those
in heaven,
on earth,
and others.

Your body does not melt.

Only you can feel this way. $^4$  Sing to our King

for us

for others like us. 5

Oh Our Lady!

## Notes

- 1. <u>Nālai</u> means tomorrow. <u>Nāl</u> means day, early, dawn, forenoon. 53 Vanmikanathan: "tomorrow". Pope: "at dawn".
- 2. nānāmē pakarāy. nānāmē, "without shame", can be taken in two ways. 54 The first is as modifying ticai pakarāy. In that case, the sense is that she should explain, without feeling shameful, why she did not wake them up. In the second case, the phrase modifies pōna. It indicates that she acted immodestly by not carrying

out her promise. Vanmikanathan: "tell us where those words have gone shamelessly". Pope: "but now, all unabashed Tell us, what quarter didst thou seek?" Pope follows the first interpretation. Vanmikanathan follows the second.

- 3. <u>vāytiravāy</u>. See verse 5, note 4 for <u>vāy</u>. This phrase can be taken as an imperative or as a negative in the second person singular. Vanmikanathan: "You do not open your mouth". Vanmikanathan takes a similar structure, <u>kataitiravāy</u>, in verses 3 and 5 as an imperative. Pope: "Speak thou". In both cases the implication is that she is not speaking to them.
- 4. <u>une</u> <u>urum</u>. <u>Urukāy</u> can be either a negative second person singular or an imperative second person singular. Vanmikanathan: "...you do not melt all over your body". Pope: "In rapture melt". Pope seems to omit <u>unakkē</u> <u>urum</u> in his translation.
- 5. <a href="marked-norm">emakkum pātu</a>. Vanmikanathan: "For us and the rest (of the world) it befits to sing of our King."

  Pope: "The King of thee, of us extol; of all the worlds!" <a href="marked-norm">Enor</a> means "such others". 55

annē ivaiyuncilavo pala amarar
unnarkariyan oruvan irunciran
cinnankal kētpac civan enre vāytirappāy
tennā ennāmunnan ticēr melukoppāy
ennānai en araiyan in amutenrellomun
connonkēl vevvērāy innan tuyilutiyo
vannencappētaiyarpol vālākitattiyāl
ennētuyilin paricēl or em pāvāy

Mother! 1

Could it be that:<sup>2</sup>

You would open your mouth

crying: "Siva",

upon hearing of the emblems of  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

who is

without equal

unknowable to the

conceptualization

of the gods,

You would be like wax before a fire [upon hearing] "O Southern One"; 4
Listen!

all of us

without exception

individually

have cried:5

"My Lord, My King, Sweet Ambrosia!":

and still you sleep?

You lie down

indifferent

inactive

like one who is naive

with a hard heart.6

What blissful sleep this is! 7

Oh Our Lady!

## Notes

- 1. Annē is a vocative of annai which means "mother". It is quite common for girls to address their friends by annē. 56 In the classical context the address can refer to any kinswoman. 57
- 2. <u>ivaiyuñ cilavō</u>. See verse 2, note 6.
  Vanmikanathan: "are these the acts of a handmaiden of the Lord?" Pope: "are these too trifles?"
- 3.  $\underline{\text{cinnankal}}$  - $\underline{\text{vaytirappay}}$ .  $\underline{\text{Kētpa}}$  is an archaic form of the root  $\underline{\text{kēl}}$ . The form is equivalent to the

infinitive. 58 It means to hear or listen. 59 Tirappay is the future second singular of the root tira. It means to open. 60 See verse 2, note 2 for the use of the future. Vanmikanathan: "...you would be the first to open your mouth saying: 'Lo, there is Civan coming'". Vanmikanathan supplies "the first", "Lo, there is", and "coming". However, his translation, with the additions, conveys the girl's immediate recognition of the emblems of Siva. Pope: "Hearing His signals, ope thy mouth, and 'Civan' cry.... Pope seems to take the phrase as: vay + tirappu + ay. In this case, vay means "mouth"; tirappu is a verbal noun meaning "open"; and ay is a verbal participle of <u>āku</u> meaning "becoming". Literally, this would mean: "becoming with an open mouth". Pope appears to take  $\underline{\text{tenn}}$   $\underline{\text{enn}}$  as the end of the sentence (see note 4). In light of how he interprets tenna enna (as the end of the sentence) his rendering of the verbal participles enru and  $\underline{\tilde{a}}y$  seems to be appropriate. 61 The major difference between the interpretation of Pope and the interpretations of Vanmikanathan, Balasubramaniam and the rendering here is that Pope understands the group to be commanding the girl whereas the other three translations understand this section to be a description of the girl's past behaviour.

tennā - oppāy. Tennā is an abbreviatd vocative form of either tennatu (southern country) or tennatutaiyan (He who possesses the Southern country). $^{62}$  Enna can be taken as either: 1) a verbal participle ennā;63 or as 2) a single imperative with the particle  $\overline{\underline{a}}$  (ennu +  $\overline{\underline{a}}$ ); or as 3) a negative third person plural indicative; or as 4) a negative participle (enna); or as 5) a negative verbal participle (enna). 64 Oppay can be taken as the future second singular of the root o, or as the verbal noun of o (oppu +  $\overline{a}y$ ). 65 Vanmikanathan: "Before one could say: 'O Southerner', You would become like wax fallen into a fire." Pope: "Hearing His signals, ope thy mouth, and 'Civan' cry, Cry 'O Southern One.' Like wax before the fire Melting, -- 'My own, My King, Ambrosia', we all have sung." Vanmikanathan's translation takes  $enn\bar{a}$  as a verbal participle and  $opp\bar{a}y$ as the future second singular of the verb  $\underline{o}$ . His rendering conveys the rapidity of response. Pope's translation takes ennā as ennu +  $\bar{a}$  (an imperative).  $\tilde{a}$  is not taken as part of the negative form of  $\underline{en}$ , then it can be taken as a sound filler. 66 As can be seen, Pope associates the melting (being like wax before a fire) as being a description of the girls in the group. That interpretation is supported by the fact that in

verse 4 they are described as melting. He appears to omit munnam.

Siva is considered by the Saivas of Tamil Nadu to be the Lord of the Southern land (their land).  $^{67}$ 

- 5. enru tuyilutiyō. Vanmikanathan: "Listen! now all of us are severally saying: 'My Beloved! My King! My delicious Ambrosia!". There is no "my" before "sweet Ambrosia" in the Tamil. Pope: "...'My own, My King, Ambrosia', we all have sung! Hear thou! apart from us yet thou dost sleep?" In these words the placement of vevvērāy is difficult. In order to take it adverbially as Vanmikanathan has done and as done in the translation here, the position of it must be disregarded. Taken in this way it can either modify the group or what they say. 68 The use of en (my) supports this first interpretation. Pope's interpretation takes account of the position. He takes it as describing the girl. Perhaps it emphasizes her separateness from the group.
- 6. <u>vannenca</u> <u>vālākitattivāl</u>. Vanmikanathan: "Since you lie inert like a hard-hearted scatter-brains, what kind of sleep is this?" Pope: "Dost thou yet speechless lie, like the hard-hearted silly ones?" <u>Pētai</u> is a girl of 5 to 7 years of age. It can also mean one who is naive. 69 The sense here seems to be that

she is ignorant out of naivete (not knowing - see verse 5), not that she is scatter-brained or silly. Vala can mean silently, quietly, indifferently, or vainly. 70 "Indifferent" and "inactive" have been used to try convey these two senses. 71 The verse as a whole seems to be suggesting that she seems to be sleeping like those would who are too young to appreciate the events of the morning.

7. ennētuvilin paricu. Vanmikanathan: "what kind of sleep is this?" Pope: "What grace is in this sleep?"

Paricu means: quality, nature, manner, way and method. 72 It can also mean gift, donation and boon. 73

"Blissful" has been included to emphasize the sarcastic nature of the question.

· VIII

koli cilampac cilampun kurukenkum
elil iyampa iyampum vencankenkum
kelil paran coti kelil paran karunai
kelil vilup porulkal patinonkettilaiyo
vali itenna urakkamo vay tiravay
aliyan anputaimai yamarum ivvaro

ulimutalvanay ninra oruvanai
elapankalanaiye patel or em pavay

Everywhere

the rooster crows the birds chirp.

Everywhere

in seven notes music sounds l
the white conch shells resound.

We have sung about:

the noble things<sup>2</sup> without compare,
the supreme Grace beyond compare,
the supreme Effulgence without compare.

Did you not hear?

Bless you! 3

What is this? Is it sleep?

Open your door! 4

Is this, indeed, the manner

in which you show your affection to He who is the sea [of grace]?<sup>5</sup>

Sing to Him

who is without equal,

who stands

underlying the cosmic rest, $^6$  who is part woman $^7$ 

Oh Our Lady!

## Note

- 1. <u>Elil</u> means "in seven". The expression, <u>el</u> <u>ticai</u> (seven notes), is found often in literature. On the basis of that convention one can say that this word here refers to music. The music of an instrument which is somewhat similar to a clarinet. Vanmikanathan: "sounding of the seven scale music pipes". Pope: "trumpet sounds"
- 2. See verse 4, note 3 for the meaning of vilu porul.

  Vanmikanathan: "of peerless subjects par excellence,".

  Pope: "the Being great without compare". The grace,

  Effulgence and vilupporulkal can be considered as three separate items as Vanmikanathan and Pope have taken them. Alternatively, the grace and Effulgence can be understood to be what the vilupporulkal are.
- 3.  $\underline{\text{Vāli}}$  is a blessing. I have followed Vanmikanathan and Pope in the translation of the word.
- 4. <u>vay tiravay</u>. See verse 6, note 3. Vanmikanathan: "Open your lips". Pope: "Thou openest not thy mouth?" The Tamil does not seem to indicate a question here.

Pope appears to have taken  $\underline{\text{tiravay}}$  previously as in verse 3, 5, and 6 as an imperative.

- 5. <u>āliyān</u> <u>ivvārō</u>. Vanmikanathan: "Is this the way to become love-filled towards the sea (of grace)?"

  Pope: "is such the recompense for our King's love we bring?" <u>Āliyān</u> means one who possesses the sea. <u>Āli</u> can also mean circle, discus, or king's power as it is symbolized by a wheel. 76 <u>Āliyān</u> as king would be more appropriate if the poem were about Viṣnu as he is the one who carries the discus. "Of grace" is supplied in Vanmikanathan's translation and in the translation here.
- 6. <u>ulimutalvanāy</u> <u>oruvanai</u>. Vanmikanathan: "him Who stood as first in every aeon" Pope: "Th' Eternal, First of Beings; Him Who 'bides the Only-One;" <u>ulimutalvanāy</u> modifies <u>oruvanai</u>. <u>uli</u> is the periodic termination of the age. The age the who is head, the first one, principle". Ninra is the relative participle of <u>nil</u> which means to remain, stand, continue. The case relationship between <u>uli</u> and <u>mutalvan</u> is not specified explicitly. "Eternal" might be suitable for <u>uli</u> <u>ninra</u> but as not as the meaning for <u>uli</u>, as <u>uli</u> has a beginning and an end. Likewise, <u>mutalvanāy</u> in an extended sense could be understood as "First of Beings". Alternatively, "First of Beings" or "Eternal" could be

somewhat extended meaning of <u>ulimutalvanay</u>. However,

Pope's rendering of <u>ulimutalvanay</u> as "Th' Eternal, First
of Beings" does not seem appropriate.

7. <u>Elaipankālanai</u>. <u>Elai</u> at the time of the poem meant "woman". 80 In current dictionary use it also means "poor" and "helpless". 81 Vanmikanathan: "Partner of the frail One". Pope: "Lady's Partner".

IX

munnaip palamporutku munnaip palamporulē pinnaip putumaikkum pērttumapperriyanē unnaip pirānākap perra un cīr atiyom un atiyar tāl paṇivom ānkavarkkēpānkāvom annavarē enkaṇavar āvār avar ukantu conna paricē tolumpāyp paṇicēyvom inna vakaiyē emakkenkonalkutiyēl enna kuraiyum ilom ēl or em pāvāy

Oh Ancient of ancient things!

Oh Newness of new things!

We who have attained you as Lord are at your holy feet.

We bow to the feet of your devotees.

We, there, are companions to them alone.

They, only will be our husbands.

We will serve

obediently doing what they desiringly say. 2

If you grant 3 to us thus,

Oh our King,

We will be without want.

Oh Our Lady!

## Notes

- 1. I thank Dr. Radhakrishnan for the rendering of these lines. 82 Vanmikanathan: "Oh earliest ancient Thing of all earliest ancient things! To all latest novelties, Oh You Who are in turn of same nature!" Pope: "Ancient of days, existing ere the ancient world! Whose nature shares the newness of created things!"
- 2. The verbs, panivom, avom, and ceyvom, are in the future tense. Considering the context of the poem, a festival for obtaining husbands, it seems best to render them in the future (as Pope and Vanmikanathan have done) rather than taking them as indicative of a general action.

3. <u>inna</u> - <u>nalkutiyēl</u>. Vanmikanathan: "In this manner, if You, our King would bestow grace on us...." Pope: "Thus, if Thou grant to us this boon, our King...." Vanmikanathan supplies "grace" and Pope supplies "this boon". These additions are implied in the context.

Х

pātāļam ēlinunkīl corkalivu pātamalar
pōtār punai mutiyum ellāp poruņmutivē
pētai orupār rirumēni onrallan
vētamutal viņnōrumaņnuntutittālum
ōta ulavā orutōlan rontar ulan
kōtil kulattaran ran kōyir piņāppilļaikāl
ētavan ūr ētavanpēr ār urrār ār ayalār
ētavanaip pātum paricēl ōr em pāvāy

His foot-blossom1

surpassing words

lies below the seven netherworlds.

His crown

filled with flowers

alone is the end to all things. 2

His form

is not only the holy form

which is part woman.<sup>3</sup>

He is to his devotees

the one friend

who cannot be contained by the praise of the Vedas, gods, and humans. $^4$ 

Siva is, indeed,

of the faultless lineage.

Oh Young Girls of the temple! 5

What is his village?

What is his name?

Who are his relatives?

Who are not his relatives?

How can [one] sing about his nature?<sup>6</sup>

Oh Our Lady!

#### Notes

- malar in patamalar is in the singular.
   Vanmikanathan: "feet". Pope: "foot-flower".
   Vanmikanathan omits the malar (flower or blossom).
- 2. potar mutive. Vanmikanathan: "His flower-laden crown is all knowledge's end." Pope: "with flowers adorned His crown of all the universe is crown!" For the meanings of porul see verse 4, note 3. Vanmikanathan's translation brings out the notion of

porul as "meaning". Pope's rendering and the translation here bring out the notion of things (objects). Both meanings should be considered. Thus Siva is not only the end of all things (<u>ulimutalvanay</u> ninra in verse 8) but he is also the end of all knowledge.

- 3. pētai onrallan. Vanmikanathan: "A lady by Him is His form, but not the only one". Pope: "The Lady's at His side! -- His sacred form dwells not alone!"

  Onrallan means "he is not one". The verse speaks about ineffability. It seems reasonable to say he is not only the concretized form of half-man and half-woman.
- 4. vēta rontarulan. Vanmikanathan: "Though the Vedaas, the heaven-dwellers and all the world sing His praise, praises fall far short of Him, our unique Companion--Dweller in His devotees. Pope: "The Vedam, heavenly ones, and earth, praise Him, and yet He's our one Friend, Whose praise ne'er dies; within His saints He dwells." Vētamutal can be understood in two ways:

  1) as beginning with the Vedas; or 2) as the principal of the Vedas (that which is to be praised). 83 Ulavā is the negative participle of the root, ula. It means to become diminished, wasted, to be devoid of life or terminate. 84 Ulavā positionally modifies orutōlan (the

one friend), not the praise. It is an adjective and is in an adjectival position to <a href="orutolan">orutolan</a>. <sup>85</sup> The infinitive, <a href="ota">ota</a>, suggests that there is a causal relationship between the phrase that it governs and the following phrase. <sup>86</sup> The negative (in <a href="ulava">ulava</a>) negates the causal relationship. So, the whole phrase conveys the sense that praising Siva cannot cause him to be diminished. This taken together with the notion of ineffability expressed in line 1 (<a href="corkalivu patamalar">corkalivu patamalar</a>) suggests that praise cannot contain Siva. In other words he cannot be fully grasped by praise.

The exact relationship between tontar and ulan in tontarulan is not specified. It could, therefore, be:

"He who is in the servers" as Vanmikanathan and Pope understand the phrase. Alternatively, it could be: "He who is to the servers...."

5. <u>kōtil</u> - <u>pillaikāl</u>. Vanmikanathan: "Therefore, Oh you damsels of blameless lineage, handmaidens of Haran's shrine." Pope: "...pure He sustains the 'clan'; ye temple-ladies, say". Vanmikanathan takes <u>kōtil</u> <u>kulattu</u> (faultless lineage) as modifying the girls. Pope takes it as modifying Śiva. The relationship between <u>kulattu</u> and <u>aran</u> is not explicitly specified.

The reference to the temple may be taken figuratively rather than as referring to a concrete building. That is, the temple is considered to be wherever one sincerely worships. Thus the girls may be temple girls in the sense that they worship Śiva sincerely. The epithet need not necessarily mean that the girls are employed at the temple.<sup>87</sup>

6. <u>etavanai</u> - <u>paricu</u>. Vanmikanathan: "how sing we His praise?" Pope: "And how sing we His praise?" Two ideas may be associated with this phrase. The first one may be that the speaker (speakers) would like to know how to praise Siva. She (they) are lacking in knowledge and want to know more. 88 The second possibility is that if Siva is ineffable how can one sing about him? 89

There is some disagreement regarding who says this verse and who is addressed in this verse. The plural vocative address suggests that the verse is addressed to the group by either the girl inside or by a member of the group. The girl has been enjoined to sing to the form of Siva which is half-man and half-woman (8). In this verse it is said that that form is not Siva's only form and that it is not possible to fully describe Siva by praise. The verse seems to suggest that Siva cannot be grasped by praise and that praising and singing about

Siva is futile for that purpose. It would be inconsistent with the behaviour of the group to suddenly suggest that singing praises of Siva is futile. members of the group have been praising Siva at the start of the poem in the street and during the poem up to this point. They continue to praise Siva in the remainder of the poem. 90 Their action suggests that praising Siva is not a futile activity. In addition, if the last two lines are interpreted as a request for more knowledge rather than as rhetorical questions about Siva's ineffability it still would be inconsistent with the group's behaviour for them to say these lines. They seem to have a good conceptual grasp of Siva and his role in human life. A lot of what emerges of the world-view is based on statements made by the group. 91 In addition, the group has praised Siva with great variety in the poem. They do not seem to be lacking in knowledge regarding how to praise Siva. Thus it seems inappropriate that they should ask: "How can Siva be praised?" and therefore it does not seem likely that the group says this verse. It therefore seems likely that the verse is said by the girl. Vanmikanathan also suggests that the verse is said by girl, 92 however, Navaratnam and Balasubramaniam hold the view that the verse is said by the group. 93

moyyar tatam poykai pukku muker ennak kaiyar kutaintu kutaintun kalal päti aiya vali atiyom valnton kan ar alalpor ceyya vennirati celva cirumarunkul mai artatankan matantaimanavala aiya ni atkontarulum vilaiyattin uyvarkal uyyum vakai ellam uyntolintom eyyamar kappay emai el or em pavay

Entering the wide tank

swarming with bees,

scooping and scooping with [our] hands,

sounding "muker",

2

singing about the anklet:

Oh Father, see!

That is how we who have been your devotees for generations have lived.

O Red One

like a full flame!

O Rich One<sup>4</sup>

smeared with sacred white ash!

O Husband of the woman

with wide blackened eyes

and a small waist! 5

#### O Father!

We have been saved

by all of the redemptive ways

of those who are saved

by your 6 sport

that graces

taking and ruling.

Without failing in strength,
You will protect us. 7
O Our Lady!

#### Notes

1. moyyār. Vanmikanathan: "hovered over by bumble bees". Pope: "frequented". Both moy and ār have a variety of meanings. Among other things, moy can mean a bee-like creature and a crowd or throng. 94 Ār means: fullness, completeness, or combine with, among other things. 95 The meanings could then be: 1)"full of bees; or 2) "full (or combined) with a crowd". "Frequented" could be a derived meaning. Bees and beetles, in the classical poetry, are "almost always associated with flowers from which they collect nectar." 96 Given that

convention and that in the following verses the pond is said to have flowers (12, 13, 15, 17, 18) and bees around the hair of the members of the group (12, 14) it seems appropriate to interpret this as a reference to bees.

- 2. <u>mukēr</u> <u>kutaintu</u>. Vanmikanathan: "Diving noisely into the wide tank...and churning the water with our hands (as we swim about)". Pope: "...with joyful cries, and hands outstretched, we plunge and plunge".

  <u>Mukēr</u> is an onomatopoeic word. Grammatically, it can refer to the cries or to the sound made when scooping the water. <sup>97</sup> Dr. Janaki suggests that it refers to the sound made when scooping the water. <sup>98</sup> <u>Kutaintu</u> is a verbal participle of the root, <u>kutai</u>. It can mean to hollow, to bore, and to scoop out water. <sup>99</sup> It can also mean to bathe in water. <sup>100</sup> Pope takes <u>kutaintu</u> as "plunge". This seems to make sense in the context. See verse 1 note 5 for the significance of the repetition of the verbal participle.
- 3.  $\underline{\text{vali}} \underline{\text{kan}}$ . Vanmikanathan: "...we, your servitors since generations past, have lived...." Pope: "Thy faithful worshippers are blest!"  $\underline{\text{Valntom}}$  is the first person plural past form of the verb,  $\underline{\text{val}}$ . It can mean

- to "live" or "flourish". 101 Vanmikanathan takes the verb as "live" and Pope takes it in the sense of "flourish".
- 4. celvā. Vanmikanathan: "Oh Treasure". Pope:
  "Blessed One". Celvan means a "wealthy man" or a
  "lord". 102 Derivatively it could mean "blessed" or
  "treasure". Vanmikanathan and Pope also seem to take
  the word separately from vennīrāti. That is possible if
  ati is taken as a poetic contraction of āti (a vocative
  form). 103
- 5. <u>Cirumarunkul</u> means "small waist". In Tamil literature it is common to compare the waist of a woman to lightning. The comparison is used in order to convey the waist's narrowness<sup>104</sup> and nimbleness.<sup>105</sup> In the Tamil context "lithe" would be appropriate.
- 6. <u>vilaiyāttin</u> <u>uyvārkal</u>. Vanmikanathan: "In Your game...those who would be redeemed...." Pope: "In this our sport, What those who would be saved...." The issue is whether the sport is Śiva's or the group's.

  <u>Vilaiyāttu</u> is sport or sporting. The particle, <u>-in</u>, is a marker for the ablative or genitive in classical Tamil grammar. 106 If it is a genetive it should refer to either <u>uyvārkal</u> or what <u>uyvārkal</u> modifies, which is the group (<u>uyntolintōm</u>). There are several problems with

taking -in as a genetive marker. Firstly, the phrase atkontarulum is in an appropriate form and position to modify  $\underline{\text{vilaiyattu}}$ . Secondly,  $\underline{\overline{\text{ni}}}$  is in a position to modify vilaivattu also. It is in the nominative form. The nominative form can function in a number of ways, one of which is as a possesive. 107 Thus  $n\bar{i}$  can be taken as "of your". The person referred to in "of your" is the person addressed as "O Father" (Siva). Thirdly, the phrase, <u>ātkontarulum</u> is used elsewhere only in reference to Siva. In verses 6 and 20 it is used in reference to his feet (see chapter 5 on the feet). In verse 5, the phrase <u>atkontaruli</u> is used in reference to Siva's characteristics. The combination of  $\overline{al}$  kol and arul is not used any where else in the poem in reference to anyone else, so it seems that in this verse also it refers to Siva. Fourthly, the concept of Siva's sport is important. $^{108}$  Finally, sport is mentioned in the following verse as being Siva's and there it is defined. If the particle, -in, is taken as an ablative marker, then the sport can be associated with Siva and all the conditions just mentioned would then be satisfied. of the uses of the ablative is that it can express the cause of an action. 109 Thus, the saving action is directed from Siva's sport.

7. eyyāmar - emai. Vanmikanathan: "Do, therefore, save us that we may not get wearied". Pope: "Guard that we weary not!". Eyyāmar is a negative verbal particle of ey, which means "to fail in strength". 110 Kāppāy does not seem to be in an imperative nor an optative form. 111 It appears to have been taken in that way by Vanmikanathan and Pope. Kāppāy is the future second person singular of the root, kā, which means to preserve, shelter and guard. 112 Thus the whole phrase could be understood as a general statement. Siva is the one who guards them and his strength is not diminished by that action.

XII

ārtta piravittuyarkeṭanām ārttāṭun
tīrttan narrillai cirrampalattē tī āṭum
kūttan ivvānunkuvalayamum ellōmun
kāttum paṭaittun karantum vilaiyāṭi
vārttaiyum pēci vaļaicilampa vārkalaikaļ
ārpparavan ceyya aṇikulanmēl vaṇṭārppap
pūttikalum poykai kuṭaintuṭaiyān poṛpātam
ētti irun cuṇai nīrāṭēl ōr em pāvāy

Speaking, indeed, words about the sporting,

the preserving, creating and concealing<sup>2</sup> of us, the world, and the heavens

by the Dancer who dances with fire  $\qquad \qquad \text{there in good Tillai's sacred hall,}^3 \\ \text{of He who is the holy water}^4$ 

about whom we sing while we bathe  $^{5}$  to deteriorate

the suffering of fated birth;

scooping in the pond

resplendent with flowers,

while bees buzz

around [our] pretty hair, 6

while waistlets $^7$ 

loudly jangle,

while bangles

tinkle;

praising

the golden foot

of He who possesses; 8

play

in the waters

of the great hill tank!
O Our Lady!

#### Notes

- 1. <u>vārttaiyum pēci</u>. Vanmikanathan: "Speaking words (of praise about Him)". Pope: "Thou say'st the word!"
- 2. <u>karantu</u> is a verbal participle of <u>kara</u>. It means to conceal, steal, hide and lie hidden. 113 Vanmikanathan: "withdraws". Pope: "enshroud". <u>Karantu</u>, probably, conveys the sense of the third of the three functions, destruction. Concealing "us, the world, and the heavens" makes them non-distinguishable (non-differentiated), which is effectively what the cosmic dissolution does.
- 3. <u>tī</u> <u>kūttan</u>. Vanmikanathan: "He is the Dancer Who dances with fire in his hand." Pope: "Dancer..."Midst waving fire!" The relationship between <u>tī</u> (fire) and <u>āttum</u>, (who dances), is not specified exactly. It could be "with" which gives Vanmikanathan's interpretation. Alternatively, it could be "in" which leads to Pope's interpretation. Both renderings are appropriate as they correspond to the iconography of Naṭarāja, the dancer at Tillai. Naṭarāja is pictured as dancing encircled by fire and as holding fire in one of his hands. 114 This image of Naṭarāja is associated with Siva's five cosmic functions (creation, preservation, destruction, concealment and the bestowal of grace). 115

A. K. Coomaraswamy describes the Nataraja image at Citamparam and he explains its symbolism based primarily on Saiva Siddhanta texts. 116 "Concealment" in terms of the five cosmic functions is not the same as "concealment" referred to in note 3 above. In note 3, "concealment" is equivalent to "destruction" in the framework of the five cosmic functions. "Concealment", as one of the five cosmic functions in the Saiva Siddhanta context refers to the spiritual development which occurs through the life process and which prepares one for the fifth cosmic function, the bestowal of grace. In other words, souls are subject to births (the life process) in order that their spiritual impurities may be prepared for removal. Concealment is the designation for this type of spiritual development. Creation, preservation and destruction of the universe are the framework in which the life process occurs. fifth cosmic function, the bestowal of grace, is what effectively removes the soul's spiritual impurity and leads to liberation. The five cosmic functions of Siva represent two kinds of grace. The grace of the first four of the five functions (creation, preservation, destruction and concealment) enables the souls to develop spiritually so that their spiritual impurities

which is the bestowal of grace, removes the spiritual impurities. 117 Thus, concealment and the bestowal of grace represent two different kinds of spiritual devlopment that individuals undergo. Concealment occurs within the general flow of creation, preservation and destruction. The second type of development, occurs within the context of creation, preservation and destruction but not as part of the general flow of those processes. The bestowal of grace is what enables one to break out of the cycles of rebirth that occur in the framework of Śiva's creation, preservation and destruction of the universe. The five cosmic functions also appear to be in the Śaiva Āgamas as well. 118

- 4. I have understood <u>tirttan</u> to mean that Siva is the holy water rather than that he possesses the holy water. 119 The equation seems appropriate in light of the simile that follows in verse 13.
- 5. nam arttatun. Vanmikanathan: "in which we bathe with ardour". Pope: "where we...acclaiming bathe" Vanmikanathan takes arttu as "ardour" while Pope interprets it as "acclaiming". Both interpret atum as "bathe". Atum has a variety of meanings such as play, dance and bathe. 120 In the context "bathe" seems most

appropriate. Arttu as a verbal participle of ar means to shout, roar, vilify, and so on. 121 Pope's "acclaim" is appropriate. The meanings also suggest intensity from which "ardour" can be derived. In addition the root, arttu means: to become full (etc.) 122 for which "ardour" would be an appropriate expression. "Sing" has been used in the translation here. That would be a derived meaning of "shout" based on the context (they have been singing).

- 6. Ani can mean, as a verb, to be beautiful, to be an ornament, to adorn or to be a decoration; or as a noun, a decoration, an ornament or beauty. 123 Vanmikanathan: "jewelled". Pope: "adorned". It would seem that, given the convention of bees and flowers (see verse ll note 1) that the hair is adorned with flowers. Also in verse 14 it is said that their hair has flowers.
- 7. <u>vārkalaikal</u>. Vanmikanathan: "jewels". Pope: "jewels". <u>Vār</u> is a girdle, band or leatherband. 124

  <u>Kalai</u> has been specifically defined as "a woman's exterior girdle consisting of 7 strings of jewels", among other things. 125 It has been translated here as "waistlets".

8. <u>utaiyan porpatam</u>. Vanmikanathan: "the golden feet of our Owner". Pope: "the Master's golden Foot". What is possessed is not specified in the verse. See <u>utaiyal</u> in verse 16 line 1.

#### XIII

painkuvalaik kärmalarār cenkamalap paimpotāl ankankurukinattār pinnum aravattāl tankanmalankaluvu vārvantu cārtalināl enkal pirāttiyum enkonum ponricainta ponku matuvirpukappāyntu pāyntu nan cankancilampac cilampu kalantārppak konkaikal ponkak kutaiyum punalponkap pankayappūmpunal pāyntātēl or em pāvāy

With the flowers of the beautiful blue water-lily with the beautiful full buds of the red lotus,

with flocks of birds
with woven sounds

[and]

because of those

who have arrived

coming to wash off  ${\footnotesize \quad \text{their blemishes}}^2$ 

springing, springing to enter
the swelling pond
resembling our King and Lady
together

our bangles jangle and anklets tinkle filled with happiness

our breasts rise  $\begin{array}{c} \text{fomenting the stirred waters}^3 \\ \text{leaping into the waters}^3 \end{array}$ 

with lotus flowers,

play!

O Our Lady!

# Notes

1. Line 2 of the text (rendered as "with flocks of birds, with woven sounds) could also be translated as follows: "With a collection of braclets on her arms, with entwined snakes". The Lady is suggested by the bracelets. The King is evoked by entwined snakes. Various depictions of Siva show snakes entwined on his body. 126 The words in this line have double meanings. For a further discussion of the comparison of the pond with Siva and Sakti see chapter 4. Vanmikanathan: "by

the fresh blue lotus's dark flowers, by the blossoms of the fresh red lotus, by the families of beautiful (water) birds, by the snakes besides...." Pai can mean fresh as well as beautiful. 127 There is an issue whether kuvalai is a lotus or a waterlily. 128 Pope: "There burn the dark crimson flowers of kuvalai, here the red lotus blooms; there the bright race of small birds utters songs;" It is unclear to me how Pope arrives at "there burn", "crimson" and "here". In the context of dawn, potu (buds ready to bloom) could be rendered as "blooms". Also, given the flock of birds, it is contextually possible to take pinnum aravam as "utters songs". That is, since the birds are present "woven sounds" can be understood to refer to the songs of the birds.

- 2. <u>Malam</u> can mean any kind of impurity, physical or spiritual. 129 <u>Malam</u> is a technical term in Śaiva Siddhānta. It refers to the impurities of the soul. They are <u>anava</u>, <u>karma</u> and <u>māyā</u>. 130 It also appears to be used in the Śaiva Āgamas. 131 Vanmikanathan: "malam (dirt)". Pope: "sin".
- 3. <u>Punal</u> can mean water, flood and river. 132 The term recurs in verse 14 (line 3), 15, 17, and 18 (line 8). Pope translates it as "flood" (14, 15, 17) and "stream"

(18). Vanmikanathan translates it as water (13, 14, 15, 17, 18) and pool (13 line 7).

VIX

kātār kulai āṭap paim pūn kalan āṭak kōtaikulal āṭa vaṇṭinkulām āṭac citappunal āṭic cirrampalam pāṭi vētap porulpāṭi apporul ām āpāṭic coti tiram pāṭic cūlkonrait tārpāṭi āti tiram pāṭi antam ām āpāṭip pēṭittu nammai valartteṭutta peyvalaitan pāṭattiram pāṭi āṭēl ōr em pāvāy

While

pretty earrings wave,

beautiful adorning ornaments sway, 1

flower-adorned locks wave

swarms of bees sway,

moving<sup>2</sup> the cool waters

singing of the sacred  $hall^3$ 

singing of the substance of the Vedas,

singing of the essence of

that substance, 4

singing about the nature 5a of the Effulgence

singing about the wreath of konrai flowers singing of the nature  $^{5b}$  of the beginning,  $^{6}$ 

singing the way which is the end,  $^7$  singing of the  $\mathrm{\acute{n}ature^{5C}}$  of the foot  $^8$ 

of the bangle-wearer, herself,

who separates and

fosters

us,9

play!9

O Our Lady!

# Notes

- 1. <u>paimpunkalan</u>. Vanmikanathan: "pure gold ornaments". Pope: "golden jewels". Gold does not seem to be a meaning for any of these three words. 133
- 2. <u>citappunalāti</u>. Vanmikanathan: "bathing in the cool water". Pope: "Bathe in the cool flood". In describing the action up to this point in the verse the same verb (<u>ātu</u>) is used. In the first two lines (the first four descriptions) the verb is in the infinitive, in this last description it is a verbal participle. Since the phrase follows the description of the motion of the earrings, ornaments, hair, and bees, and uses the

same verb, it has been rendered as "moving" rather than "bathe" or "play.

- 3. <u>cirrampalam</u>. See verse 2 note 9. Vanmikanathan:
  "the dance hall (in Thillai)". Pope: "sacred court".
  There is no explicit reference to Nataraja or Tillai in this verse. However, the reference to the dance in verse 12 (see verse 12, note 3) and the reference to Tillai's <u>cirrampalam</u> in verse 2, make Vanmikanathan's clarification appropriate.
- 4. vēta pāti. Vanmikanathan: "singing of the Vedaa's Content, singing how the Content He becomes". Pope: "sing the mystic Vedas, sing their inner sense". See verse 4 note 3 for the meaning of porul. A is a poetic contraction of aru. It can mean road, way, path, manner and method. 134 Literally, the phrase, apporulāmāpātic is: "Sing the means which is that substance". The phrase "the means which is" is taken by Pope as "inner sense" and in the translation here as "essence".
- 5. Tiram appears in three places. It is a rich word.

  Its meanings when taken together give a depth of meaning to the word not conveyed by only one of the English terms. The following is a list of meanings which are

relevant in the context: quality, state, nature, means, method, strength, power, goodness, and excellence. 135

Vanmikanathan: 5a-"glory"; 5b-"manner", 5c-"qualities".

Pope: 5a-"glory"; 5b-"power"; 5c-"glory".

- 6.  $\underline{\overline{a}ti}$ . Vanmikanathan: "the source of all things". Pope: "the First".
- 7. <u>antam pāti</u>. Vanmikanathan: "singing of how he becomes the end to:". Pope: "sing Him the Last".
- 8. <u>patattiram</u>. Vanmikanathan: "qualities of the feet". Pope: "glory of Her Foot".
- "separating us (from the common herd), cherished and brought us up". Pope: "Whose guardian care we own". Pope appears to omit the notion of separation, unless he intends that it be implied in "guardian". However, the verse makes the notion explicit. From what the group is separated is not explicitly stated. It could be "from the common herd" as Vanmikanathan suggests. Perhaps it could also be from malam as verse 13 (in conjunction with verse 16 where Sakti is said to be not separated from Siva) suggests.

10. Pope omits <u>ātu</u> in his rendering of the last line of the verse.

XV

ororukāl emperumān enrenrē namperumān
cīr orukāl vāy ovāl cittankalikūra
nīr orukāl ovā netuntārai kan panippap
pār orukāl vantanaiyāl vinnorait tān paniyāl
pēr araiyarkinnanē pittoruvar ām ārum
ār oruvar ivvannam ātkolļum vittakartāl
vāruruvappūnmulaiyīr vāy āra nām pāṭi
ēruruvappūmpunal pāyntātēl or em pāvāy

She would speak unceasingly

sometimes

about the glories of our Lord sometimes

repeatedly crying: "Our Lord".1

She,

sometimes

would be on the ground
 eyes wet with long streams [of tears];
sometimes

due to the happiness in [her] heart

the water would not stop;
would not show respect to the gods, themselves.<sup>2</sup>
[The] manner is of such a person

who is maddened in this way for the great King. 3

Who is such a person? Let us,

singing with a full mouth

O Ones whose breasts are adorned with an embossed band!

of the foot of the Knowing One

who takes and rules

in this way! 4

leaping into the waters with beautiful flowers, play!

O Our Lady!

### Notes

This is a difficult verse. The basis for the divisions here is the notion that the finite verb forms in this verse indicate the termination of a sentence and that non-finite forms indicate sub-phrases of the sentences.

1. <u>ororukāl</u> - <u>ovāl</u>. <u>ovāl</u> is a finite negative form of the root,  $\overline{\mathtt{o}\mathtt{v}\mathtt{u}}$ . The sentence has two sub-phrases. They are signalled by ororukal and orukal, and by the use of em and nam. The two orukals divide how she used to speak into two categories. The first category is that she used to call "our Lord", repeatedly. The repetitive action is indicated by the appearance of enru twice. The other category is that she would speak about the glories of  $\acute{\text{S}}$ iva.  $\underline{\text{Em}}$  is the form of the possessive which excludes the person addressed. It forms part of the quotative. That is appropriate because if her cries are addressed to Siva (peruman) then it would be inappropriate to include him in the address by using the inclusive form nam. The use of nam here suggests that the phrase nam peruman does not fall within the quote, but that it is spoken by someone (or some people) to another one (or others) where it is appropriate to include the person addressed. 136 (See Appendix A verse 15). Vanmikanathan: "Now and then crying: 'Our Lord! Our Lord!' she will never cease speaking about the glories of our Lord." Pope: "Once on a time 'our Peruman', full oft cried she." Pope seems to take enrenre as an indication of repetition and at the same time one of them functions finitely in order that a full sentence can terminate at this point. The reference to "glories" is taken with the next section by Pope.

cittan - paniyal. This section can be divided into two parts: cittan - anaiyal and vinnorai - paniyal. If the two sections are taken as part of one sentence, the finite verb is panival. The two main sub-parts of the sentence are indicated by the infinite forms vantu and ova (if ova is taken as a negative verbal participle) and the two orurkals. The infinitive (panippa) governed by  $\underline{\text{vantu}}$  indicates simultaneity of action. 137 That is while she is "being on the ground" her eyes are wet with long streams. The infinitive governed by ova indicates a causal relationship. 138 That is, due to the happiness  $(k\overline{u}ra)$  in her heart, the water (tears) does not stop The two orukāls in this sentence are each preceded by one of the verbal particles (orukalova, orukalvantu). This suggests a kind of symmetry in the sentence.

The sentence can either end with <u>anaiyal</u> (if it is taken as the symbolic verb meaning resemble) or with <u>paniyal</u> (if <u>anai</u> is taken as a substantive meaning "such a one)". 139 <u>Anaiyal</u> has been rendered as "She" in the translation here.

Lines 1 through 4 are taken as being in the past tense, even though the negative verbal forms do not indicate tense explicitly. 140 The "sometimes" indicates the recurrent action and at least all but the current action must be in the past.

Vanmikanathan: "With her mind brimming with joy, and her eyes wet with an unceasing long stream of tears, she resembles Her Who comes down to earth from time to time". It is possible to render par - vantu as "coming to earth from time to time". The relationship between vantu and par is not specified explicitly. He also takes anaiyal as the finite form of the symbolic verb meaning "to resemble". The sense of the rest of Vanmikanathan's translation of this verse basically corresponds to the translation given here.

Pope: "His glory any time to speak she ceased not With gladsome mind, while tears in ceaseless stream flowed forth." Pope appears to take the infinite forms (kūra and panippa) that follow the finite form (ovāl) as part of the sentence terminated by ovāl. The result is that the modifying phrases (of which kūra and panippa are a part) follow what they modify. This goes against Tamil syntax in two ways. The first is that a finite verb terminates a sentence while any infinite forms included in the sentence precede that finite form.

Secondly, modifiers generally precede what they modify. 141 However, as Arden indicates: "conditions of meter and emphasis in poetical Tamil often justify the neglect of these rules in verse. "142

- 3. per arum. Pope: "Once upon a time, this woman came to earth, nor bowed Before the heavenly ones, --by the great King with frenzy filled." Pope seems to have taken orukal paniyal with per arum. The finite verb, paniyal, appears in the midst of his sentence, unless he takes per arum as a separate sentence in Tamil but when rendering them into English combines them into one sentence in order to facilitate expressing the meaning in English.
- 4. <u>ar</u> <u>pati</u>. Pope: "Who like to her? Of this mysterious One, O lovely damsels, sing the Foot...."

  The issue here, is does <u>ar</u> or uvar refer to <u>pittoruvar</u> or to <u>vittakar</u>. All three of these are in the same plural form which is also the honorific singular. A clue to resolving this problem lies in the modifier of <u>vittakar</u>, <u>ivvannam</u> <u>atkollum</u>. In the other uses of <u>atkol</u> (with the exception of its use in verse 3) it describes Siva in some way. (See the discussion in chapter 5). It would seem that <u>vittakar</u> is a reference to Siva. 143 It is clear from the poem so far that King also refers to

Siva. 144 Since King refers to Siva, then pittoruvar and vittakar cannot refer to the same person. That is if the pittoruvar is filled with madness for the King (or by the King in Pope's rendering) it does not make sense to say that he is filled with madness by or for himself. Pope, however, equates pittoruvar and vittakar in his phrases: "Who like to her? Of this mysterious one...." He also seems to omit ivvannamātkollum and vāy āra.

"Lovely damsels" is an interpretive translation of var - mulaivir.

 $xvI^1$ 

munnikkatalaic curukki eluntutaiyal
ennat tikalntemmai alutaiyal ittitaiyin
minnip polintempiratti tiru atimer
pon ancilampir cilampit tiruppuruvam
ennac cilaikulavi nantammai alutaiyal
tannir pirivila enkoman anparkku
munni avanamakkumun curakkum in arule
ennap poliyay malai el or em pavay

Advancing compressing the sea<sup>2</sup> arising

being visible

like she who possesses $^3$ 

appearing

flashing

like the small waist of she

who possesses and rules us; 4

sounding

like the beautiful gold anklets

on the holy feet of our Lady; 5

bending a bow

like the holy brows; 6

like the sweet grace of her

having advanced

that flows first

for the devotees of the King

who is inseparable

from Her who

possesses us,

for us also;

Pour [your rain]

o Cloud! 7

O Our Lady!

#### <u>Notes</u>

- The verse is a comparison between a cloud and Sakti. This is known from the various points of comparison throughout the verse and the vocative "O Cloud" that occurs just before the final refrain. The whole verse is linked together by a series of verbal participles with the finite verb poliyay (pour) occurring in the last line. Vanmikanathan brings the subject of the comparison to the foreground by putting the vocative "O Cloud" at the beginning of his translation. incorporates the sense of the imperative in the linking verbal participles ("come forward and shrink", "rise up", "break out"). Pope attributes a past sense to the verbal participles leading up to the imperative ("didst glisten", "didst bend", "didst...diminish", "didst...sound"). He probably derives the past sense from  $\underline{\text{munikkatalai}}$  where  $\underline{\text{mun}}$  means before, and the " $\underline{\text{i}}$ " is associated with katalai making it "this sea". The version cited here has munnikatalai. Munni is a verbal participle of munnu rendered here as "advancing".
- 2. <u>Curukki</u> is a verbal participle of <u>curukku</u>.
  Vanmikanathan: "shrink". Pope: "diminish". The verb
  has meanings like to curtail, reduce, lessen, compress,

- furl, closed and epitomize. As a noun it means contraction, reduction, wrinkle, fold and crease.  $^{145}$
- utaiyal tikalntu. Vanmikanathan: "parading in the hue of Her Who owns us". Pope: "rising like the Queen". Utaiyal is a feminine form which means one who possesses, a owner. 146 Enna is a particle of comparison. Tikalntu is a verbal participle of tikal. It can mean glimmer, appearance, visibility and brilliancy. 147 The "us" is supplied. There is no object of utaival given in this phrase. It is given in subsequent lines as "us". "Hue" is not given either. It is, however, what is being compared in this section. "Parading" gives the sense of visibility. Pope takes tikalntu with the following point of comparison. Pope's division leads to the first point of comparison being "arising". Following the grammatical rules for comparisons outlined by Arden, it seems that the point of comparison should be "being visible". 148 Pope renders utaiyal as Queen.
  - 4. emmai polintu. Vanmikanathan: "break out in lightning flashes like the slender waist of Her Who has sway over us." Pope: "didst glisten like Her slender waist Who rules my soul" (tikalntu minni). Polintu is the verbal participle of poli. It can mean enlarge, extend, appear, grow full, bloom and shine. 149 It is

rendered "break out" by Vanmikanathan, and "appearing" here. In the divisions followed by Vanmikanathan and those followed in the translation here, there are two verbal participles minni and polintu. Both have been understood to apply to the cloud. Pope seems to take the two verbal participles to be tikalntu and minni, ascribing one to the cloud and one to Sakti. The point of comparison is the "flashing". Pope also takes emmai which is the exclusive first person plural pronoun as "my soul". The comparison of lightning to the small waist is a reversal of the usual comparison of a waist to lightning. See verse 11, note 5.

- 5. <u>em cilampi</u>. Vanmikanathan: "Reverbrate like the golden <u>cilambu</u> on the holy feet of our Mistress". Pope: "Didst like the golden anklets sound that on Her sacred foot in beauty gleam". Pope applies <u>polintu</u> (see note 4) to the golden feet. From this point on, Pope's divisions correspond to those of Vanmikanathan and those here. Pope translates <u>pirātti</u> as "Her". Vanmikanathan translates it as "Mistress".
- 6. <u>tiruppuruvam</u> <u>kulavi</u>. Vanmikanathan: "display a bow like her eyebrows". Pope: "didst bend like to Her sacred brow". Two of the meanings relevant for <u>kulavu</u> (<u>kulavi</u> is the verbal participle of <u>kulavu</u>) are: to be

conspicuous (shine, glitter) and to bend. 150 Pope and the rendering here use "bend" and Vanmikanathan uses "display".

7. nantammai - malai. Vanmikanathan: "and, like the very grace which She Who lords over us pours on the devotees of our King Who is never disjunct from Her pour as rain." Pope: "As she, mindful of those who love our King, who like herself, our Mistress, never quit His side; Mindful of us too, as our Queen, pours forth sweet grace, even so pour down, O cloud!" Vanmikanathan seems to omit in (sweet), munni (having advance or having considered) and namakku (for us). He supplies "as rain" but this is implied. Pope takes munni as "mindful". He translated nantammai ālutaiyāl as Our Mistress. He omits em (our) in enkomān. He supplies "as our Queen" for aval.

## IIVX

cenkan avan par ricaimukanpar revarkalpal enkum ilatatorinpanampalatak konkunkarunkulalinantammaikkotatti inkunammillankatorum eluntarulic cenkamalap porpatantantarulun cevakanai

ankan aracai atiyonkatkar amutai
nankal perumanaip pati nalantikalap
pankayap pum punal payntatel or em pavay

With the Red-Eyed One, 1 with the Four-Faced-One, 2 with the gods, that one delight

is not anywhere;

it is with us

O One with the Fragrant Black Locks!<sup>3</sup>

when [He]

removes our blemishes and rises, graciously,

bestows the red-lotus golden foot.<sup>4</sup>

About that guardian<sup>5</sup> who thereby graces,

about the King with beautiful eyes,

about the best Ambrosia for we who are devotees,

about our Lord,

in each of our homes

singing

so that well-being will flourish, 6 springing into the waters

beautiful with lotus flowers;

play!

## O Our Lady!

### Notes

- 1. <u>cenkanavan</u>. Vanmikanathan: "Visnu". Pope:
  "red-eye one". Pope indicates that the red-eyed one is
  Visnu in his note. 151
- 2. <u>ticaimukan</u>. Vanmikanathan: "Brahmaa". Pope: "He Whose face turns to each point". Pope indicates in his note that this is a reference to Brahmā. 152 Literally the phrase means "direction-faced". Visvanatha Pillai gives the following meaning for the word: "He whose four faces are turned towards the four points. 153
- 3. <u>końkunkaruńkulali</u>. Vanmikanathan: "O damsel of fragrance-impregnated dark tresses!". Pope: "Thou of the fragrant locks didst make our beings pure; and here in grace didst rise in every home of ours". Pope attributes <u>nantammai</u> <u>aruli</u> to <u>końkunkarunkulali</u>. The phrase <u>końkun</u> <u>kulali</u> is a second person address. He omits <u>karum</u>, black. In order to make the division that he does, Pope must be taking <u>aruli</u> as a second person singular form of the verb. Pope attributes the removal of blemishes, a divine act, to the one with black fragrant hair. He is then understanding her to be Śakti. However, those whose blemishes are removed

includes the person addressed, the Black Fragrant Haired One (see Appendix A, verse 17). It seems inappropriate that Sakti would have blemishes that need removing.

Aruli can be taken as a verbal participle of arul, to grace. The phrase nantammai - aruli can be understood to form part of the adjectival phrase modifying cevakanai. The adjectival phrase, then, has three components: nantammai - atti; inku - eluntaruli; and cenkamala - arulum.

- 4. <u>cenkamalapporpatam</u>. The red-lotus smells sweet and is tender. 154
- 5. <u>cevakanai</u>. Vanmikanathan: "Knight". Pope: "Warrior".
- 6. nalantikala. Vanmikanathan: "that prosperity may
  flourish in us". Pope: "His gift".

#### XVIII

annāmalaiyān atikkamalañcenriraincum vinnōr mutiyin manit tokaivīrarrārpōl kannār iravikatir vantu kārkarappat tannār oļimaļunkit tārakaikatām akalap pennāki ānāy aliyāyp pirankolicēr

vinnāki mannāki ittanaiyum vērākik
kannār amutamumāy ninrān kalal pātip
pennē ippūmpunal pāyntātēl or em pāvāy

Like the foot-lotus of Him in Annāmalail
before which

gods go, bowing,

the diamonds on their crowns lose their lustre;

the sun's rays<sup>2</sup>

having come

pervade the space

conceal the darkness and dull the cool light

of the yielding stars. $^3$ 

He remained

becoming female, male and neuter, 4 becoming the sky flourishing with light, becoming the earth,

becoming differentiated [into] so much; 5 and [in addition]

becoming space-filling Ambrosia; 6

singing of His anklet, 7

O Girl!

leaping into the flower-filled waters

play!

# O Our Lady!

### Notes

- 1. <u>annāmalaiyān atikkamalam</u>. Vanmikanathan: "the lotus feet of Him abiding in Annaamalai". Pope: "Annāmalai His form, His lotus foot...."
- 2. <u>kannar</u> <u>katir</u>. Vanmikanathan: "the eye-dazzling sun's rays coming up". Pope: "bright-eyed sun" <u>Kan</u> can mean eye, aperture, orifice, place and site. 155 <u>Ar</u> means fullness. <u>Kannar</u> can be rendered "filling the eye" or "filling the place". <u>Iravi</u> means sun. <u>Katir</u> means ray of light, beam, sun. 156
- 3. <u>Kannar</u> <u>akala</u>. Vanmikanathan: "on the eye-dazzling sun's rays coming up and dispelling the darkness, the stars, their cool sharp brilliance becoming dulled, have faded away." Pope: "So when the bright-eyed sun the darkness drives away, the cool moon's rays are paled, the stars themselves depart." Pope takes <u>oli</u> as moon, and Vanmikanathan takes it as brilliance. <u>Oli</u> can mean moon or brilliance. 157
- 4. <u>aliyay</u>. Vanmikanathan: "neuter". Pope: "Neither one".

- 5. <u>ittanaiyum vērākik</u>. Vanmikanathan: "something apart from all these" Pope: "was all the rest." <u>Vēru</u> has meanings like other, that which is different, that which is separated, and so on. 158 <u>Āki</u> is a verbal particle of <u>āku</u> which means "to become". Pope and Vanmikanathan seem to take the phrase as one more of the things already listed. It has been understood here as encompassing those five things and anything omitted from the list. The notion of "becoming other" <u>vērāki</u>, can be understood in contrast to "not becoming other" (being undifferentiated). 159
- 6. <u>kannār amutamumāy</u>. Vanmikanathan: "and eye-satisfying ambrosia as well". Pope: "Ambrosia manifest". Pope omits <u>kannār</u> in <u>kannār amutamumāy</u>. See note 2 for a discussion of <u>kannār</u>.
- 7. <u>kalal</u>. Vanmikanathan: "anklet-girt feet"; Pope: "jewell'd foot". <u>Kalal</u> is a singular form which means anklet. "Feet" and "foot" are supplied although they are implied. See verse 2, note 12 regarding the singular and plural.

unkaiyir pillai unakke ataikkalam enrankap palancorputukkum em maccattal enkal peruman unakkonruraippon kel enkonkai ninnanpar allartol cerarka enkai unakkalla teppaniyun ceyyarka kankul pakalenkan marronrunkanarka inkip parice emakkenkonalkutiyel enkelil ennayiremakkel or em pavay

"The youth is in your hands.

She is [given] in trust to you only."1
Because of our fear

which is renewed by that old saying; we will say one thing to you our Lord. <sup>2</sup> Listen!

Let not our breasts join the shoulders of those who are not your devotees.

Let not our hands do any service which is not for you.

Let not our eyes see anything else night and day. 3

If you grant, our King, to us this status, here what does it matter to us

where the sun rises?<sup>4</sup>
O Our Lady!

#### Notes

- 1. unkaivir kalam. Vanmikanathan: "The child in your arms is Your own protege". Pope: "The children of Thy hand are we; our Refuge Thou". Pope supplies "are we" and "our". Vanmikanathan interprets ataikkalam as protege. Kalam means "vessel". 160 Atai means delivering, conveying, resort or refuge. 161 The phrase is said at the time of marriage to the groom's parents by the bride's parents during the ceremony. 162 "She" has been substituted for "vessel", in the translation here.
- 2. anka uraippom. Vanmikanathan: "In our fear, we say afresh that (well known) adage...Our great Lord, we will tell You something". Pope: "thus that old word we say anew; in this our dread Our Lord, to thee one prayer we make". The word which is taken as "anew" or "afresh" by Pope and Vanmikanathan is putukkum. They appear to have understood putukkum to modify how the group says the old saying. Putukkum is a future relative participle of putukku which means to renew. Putukkum positionally modifies em maccattal (because of our fear). Putukkum is in turn modified by appalan col, (an

old saying). The sense conveyed by this interpretation of the phrase is that the group's fear (em maccam) is renewed (putukkum) by the old saying (appalañ col) which is the marriage vow (unkaiyir - enru). Putukkum (renewed) does not seem to modify enru (the quote directly) or uraippom (we will say). If putukkum did modify enru or uraippom, then it would be modifying how the group says the vow. That is, they say the vow again. As the saying is a pledge that the bride's parents make it seems inappropriate that the group would make it rather than just quote it in order to indicate that it is the old saying which causes their fear to be renewed.

- 3. <u>kankul</u> <u>arka</u>. Vanmikanathan supplies "but You" and Pope supplies "but Thee". Those additions are implied by the context.
- 4. <u>inku</u> <u>emakku</u>. Vanmikanathan: "If, here and now, this boon You would grant us, what does it matter to us where the sun rises?" Pope: "Our King, if here this boon Thou grant, to us the sun in perfect beauty shines!" Vanmikanathan omits <u>enkon</u> which means our king. <u>Ipparicu</u> has been translated by Pope and Vanmikanathan as "this boon". I have followed Dr. Radhakrishnan's suggestion and translated it as

"this status". $^{163}$  The request is basically for a marriage in which the members of the group will be allowed to continue to worship Siva to the degree that they do now. After marriage, the girl is generally expected to conform to the practices of the husband's family. That conformation may have the effect that their religious beliefs and practices must also conform. 164 The "old adage" is a recollection of the ceremonial process when this change occurs in the girl's The word "status" suggests an on-going state. is hoped that it conveys the desire of the girl to obtain a life-long status that does not compromise their beliefs. The phrase enkelil - emakku has been translated by Vanmikanathan as "what does it matter to us where the sun rises?" and by Pope as "to us the sun in perfect beauty shines!". Elil can mean "beauty" or it can be the conditional form of  $\underline{elu}$  which means to rise. Using "beauty" it is possible to derive Pope's meaning. Using the verb "to rise", Vanmikanathan's meaning emerges. Pope adds the nuance of "perfect". also adds "shine", although this is to be expected. Vanmikanathan's and the translation here, "does it matter" is supplied, as with Pope's "shine" it is implied in the context.

porri aruluka ninnatiyam patamalar
porri aruluka nin nantamancentalirkal
porri ella uyirkkuntorram am porpatam
porri ella uyirkkum pokam am punkalalkal
porri ella uyirkkum iram inai atikal
porri mananmukanunkanatapuntarikam
porri yam uyya atkontarulum ponmalarkal
porri yamarkaliniratel or em pavay

Praise be to you!

Let us be graced with your foot-flower which is the beginning. 1

Praise be to you!

Let us be graced with your red sprouts<sup>2</sup> which are the end.

Praise be to you!

[Your] golden foot is the basis to all life.<sup>3</sup>
Praise be to You!

[Your] flowery anklets<sup>4</sup> are happiness<sup>5</sup> to all that lives.

Praise be to You!

[Your] two feet are the end to all life. 6
Praise be to You!

[Whose] white lotus  $^7$  was not seen, indeed, by Mal or the Four-Faced-One.  $^8$ 

Praise be to You!

[Whose] golden flowers that grace, taking and ruling, 9 redeem us.

Praise be to You!

Let us play in the water [during] Mārkali. 10
O Our Lady!

# Notes

1. porri - patamalar. Vanmikanathan: "Obeisance to You! graciously bestow on us Your feet-blossom--- the beginning (of all things):". Pope: "Be gracious Thou! to the foot's flower be praise!" Pope renders porri as "be praise". It is the foot's flower that is to be praise. Porri appears in each of the 8 lines in the verse. Most of those lines have references to the feet or foot in some manner. Each time Pope indicates that it is the reference to the feet that is to be praised. In the final line he associates the praise with the bathing activity, and thereby takes it as a verbal participle. Aruluka is rendered by Pope as "be gracious". He isolates this phrase from the others on the line. Aruluka appears only in the first two lines

of the verse. Vanmikanathan renders <u>porri</u> as "Obeisance to You". In the first two lines and the eighth he isolates it from the rest of the words and attibutes it to the references to the feet or foot. <u>Aruluka</u> is translated as "graciously bestow on us" by Vanmikanathan. The feet or foot is what is to be bestowed. In each case in the translation here <u>porri</u> has been rendered as "Praise be to You!". 165

- 2. <u>centalirkal</u>. Vanmikanathan: "pink leaf shoots".
  Pope: "Thy rosy beauteous feet". The meaning for <u>talir</u> as a noun are sprout, tender shoot, or bud. 166
  "Beauteous feet", then, is an interpretation for <u>talirkal</u>.
- 3. <u>uyirkkum-porpātam</u>. Vanmikanathan: "Obeisance to the golden feet--(source of) manifestation to all beings!" Pope: "The golden feet, the source of all that live". <u>Torram</u> can mean appearance, splendour, origin, birth creation, among other things. 167 <u>Pātam</u> means foot. It is in the singular form. See also verse 2, note 12.
- 4. <u>punkalalkal</u>. Vanmikanathan: "flowery feet". Pope: "flow'ry feet". <u>Kalal</u> means "anklet". It is in the plural.

- 5. pokam. Vanmikanathan: "savour". Pope: "bliss".
- 6. ella uyirkkum iru. Vanmikanathan: "the end to all beings". Pope: "Goal and End of every life". "Goal and" is added by Pope, although it may be implied in the context of the whole poem. See chapter 5 for a discussion of the two feet as the end.
- 7. <a href="mailto:puntarikam">puntarikam</a>. <a href="Puntarikam">Puntarikam</a> is equivalent to <a href="puntarikam">puntarikam</a>. <a href="puntarikam">168</a> <a href="puntarikam">puntarikam</a> among other things, is a <a href="white lotus"</a> or just "lotus". <a href="https://doi.org/169">169</a> It is also in the singular.
- 8. <u>Māl</u> is Visnu. See verse 5, note 1. The Four-Faced-One is Brahmā. See verse 5, note 2.
- 9. <u>yam</u> <u>arulum</u>. Vanmikanathan: "which enslave us that we may be redeemed". Pope: "that saving made us His".
- 10. yam atu. Vanmikanathan: "We will now have our Maarghazhi bath, O Paavaai!". Pope: "In Margari-month we bathing praise!" Atu is the single imperative that has appeared just before the refrain in verses 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, and 20. The yam seems to make the atu plural in this use. Yam is also the exclusive

pronomial form. See Appendix A, verse 20.

Vanmikanathan brings in pavai here. He omitted them previously (with explanation).

# Notes to Chapter Two

- <sup>1</sup>Discussion with Dr. R. Radhakrishnan, Professor of Linguistics, University of Calgary, 17 October, 1986.
- <sup>2</sup>G. Vanmikanathan, <u>Pathway to God Through Tamil</u>
  <u>Literature: I Through the Thiruvaachakam</u> (New Delhi: The Delhi Tamil Sangam, 1971). Vanmikanathan's translation of "Tiruvempāvai" is found on pages 229-239. The references to his translation in the discussion will be made by referring to his name. References to other parts of his book will include page numbers.
- <sup>3</sup>G.U. Pope, trans., introd., notes, prefix, <u>The Tiruvacagam or "Sacred Utterances" of the Tamil Poet, Saint, and Sage Mānikka-Vācagar (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1900). Pope's translation of "Tiruvempāvai" is found on pages 104-116. All references to his translation will be by reference to his name. Any other references to his book will include page numbers.</u>
- <sup>4</sup>Discussions with Dr. S.S. Janaki, Director of The Kuppuswami Sastri Research Institute, Madras, India (at the University of Calgary), 13 March, 1987.
- <sup>5</sup>Discussions with Dr. Janaki, 11 March, 1987.
- 6D. Nadarajah, "The Tamil Ideals of Female Beauty," Proc. of The Second International Conference Seminar of Tamil Studies, Jan. 1968, (Madras: The International Association of Tamil Research, 1971, Vol. II), p. 35.
- 7 See chapter 4 for a discussion of akam poetry.
- 8Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 29 October 1986.
- <sup>9</sup>M. Andronov, <u>A Standard Grammar of Modern and Classical Tamil</u> Foreward S.K. Chatterji and T.P. Meenakshisundaran, USSR Academy of Sciences Institute of Oriental Studies (Madras: New Century Book House Pvt. Ltd., 1969), p. 183.
- 10 Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 29 October, 1986.
- 11 Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 29 October, 1986.
- 12Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 29 October, 1986.

- 13Lifco Tamil-Tamil-English Dictionary, (1966; rpt.
  Madras: The Little Flower Co., 1968).
- 14 Vanmikanathan, pp. 225-6.
- 15 Pope. See the end of each verse, and n. 4, p. 104.
- 16Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 7 January, 1987.
- 17<sub>V</sub>. Visvanatha Pillai, <u>A Tamil-English Dictionary</u>, 9th ed. (Madras: The Madras School Book and Literature Society, 1984).
- 18 Visvanatha Pillai.
- 19 See verse 1, note 10 regarding Vanmikanathan's view on who says the refrain.
- 20Discussions with Dr. Janaki, 13 March, 1987. She translated from Irāmanāta Pillai's commentary. See also chapter 1, n. 29.
- 21 Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, fall, 1986.
  A.H. Arden, <u>A Progressive Grammar of the Tamil Language</u>,
  Revised by A.C. Clayton, 5th ed. (1942; rpt. Madras:
  The Christian Literature Society, 1969), p. 266.
- 22Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, fall, 1986.
- 23Discussions with Dr. Janaki, 24 March, 1987.
- $^{24}$ For the various uses of the marker "o", see Andronov, p. 255.
- 25Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 9 January, 1987.
- 26<sub>Andronov</sub>, p. 255.
- 27 See T. Burrow and M.B. Emeneau, <u>A Dravidian Etymological Dictionary</u>, 2nd ed. (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1984), no. 1594 for <u>cirru</u> and no. 173 for <u>ampalam</u>.
- 28 Discussions with Dr. Janaki, 11 March, 1987.
- 29Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, fall, 1986.
- 30 Prin. Vaman Shivaram Apte, <u>The Practical</u>
  Sanskrit-English <u>Dictionary</u>, (Poona, 1957; rpt. Kyoto: Rinsen Book Co., 1978).

- 31 Andronov, p. 81.
- 32 Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 19 June, 1987.
- 33<sub>Andronov, p. 126; Arden, p. 108, no. 189.</sub>
- 34 Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 3 June, 1987.
- . <sup>35</sup>Visvanatha Pillai; Burrow, n. 4053.
  - 36 Burrow, no. 3278.
  - 37 Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, fall, 1986.
  - <sup>38</sup>Visyanatha Pillai.
  - 39<sub>Discussions</sub> with Dr. Janaki, 13 March, 1987.
  - 40 Janert, Klaus Ludwig, ed., Miron Winslow Tamil-English Dictionary, (Madras, 1862; rpt. Wiesbaden: Steiner, 1977).
  - 41 Janert; Lifco.
  - 42 Janert; Lifco.
  - 43 Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 22 December, 1986.
  - <sup>44</sup>Nadarajah, p. 34.
  - 45 Kamil Zvelebil, The Smile of Murugan: On Tamil Literature of South India (Leiden: E.J. Brill, 1973), p. 173.
  - 46 Burrow, no. 5352. Visvanatha Pillai.
  - 47 Visvanatha Pillai; Vanmikanathan uses Visnu in his translation rather than Māl.
  - $^{48} \text{Visvanatha Pillai; Vanmikanathan uses Brahma in his translation.}$
  - <sup>49</sup>Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 6 January, 1987.
  - $^{50}$ For the use of -um see Andronov, p. 249-51.
  - 51 Burrow, no. 907.
  - 52Janert.

- <sup>53</sup>Burrow, no. 3656.
- <sup>54</sup>Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 7 January, 1987.
- 55Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 7 January, 1987.
- 56 Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 8 January, 1987.
- 57A.K. Ramanujan, selected and trans., <u>Poems of Love and War from the Eight Anthologies and the Ten Long Poems of Classical Tamil</u> (New York: Columbia University Press, 1985), p. 232.
- <sup>58</sup>Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 8 January, 1987. Andronov, p. 239.
- <sup>59</sup>Burrow; no. 2017.
- 60 Burrow, no. 3259.
- 61 For the use of verbal participles see Andronov, pp. 182-85.
- 62Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 8 January, 1987.
- 63<sub>Andronov</sub>, pp. 180, no. 161; p. 182, no. 163; p. 227.
- 64 Andronov, pp. 226-8.
- 65Burrow, no. 924.
- $^{66} \text{The particle, } \overline{\underline{a}}\text{, is sometimes taken by traditional commentators as a sound filler. Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 8 January, 1987.$
- 67 Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 8 January, 1987.
- 68Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 9 January, 1987.
- 69<sub>Visvanatha Pillai</sub>. Burrow, no. 4437.
- 70 Burrow, no. 5310; Visvanatha Pillai.
- 71Dr. Radhakrishnan suggested that the term conveys a sense of inactivity. Discussions, 9 January, 1987.
- <sup>72</sup>Burrow, no. 3968.
- 73<sub>Burrow</sub>, no. 3970.

- 74Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 12 January, 1987.
  - 75 This was told to me by Dr. Janaki based on her reading of Irāmanāta Pillai's commentary. Discussions, 17 March, 1987.
  - 76 Janert; Lifco; Burrow, no. 396 and no. 398.
  - 77 Visvanatha Pillai.
  - <sup>78</sup>Visvanatha Pillai.
  - <sup>79</sup>Burrow, no. 3675.
  - 80 Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 12 January, 1987.
  - <sup>81</sup>visyanatha Pillai.
  - 82Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 13 January, 1987.
  - 83Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 15 January, 1987.
  - 84Burrow, no. 671.
  - 85 For the use of negative participles see Andronov, p. 179, no. 160; p. 209, no. 202.
  - 86 Infinitives can be used to indicate causally related or simultaneously related actions. George L.Hart, III, The Poems of Ancient Tamil: Their Milieu and Their Sanskrit Counterparts (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1975), p. 182.
  - $87_{\hbox{Discussions}}$  with Dr. Janaki, 24 March 1987.
  - 88 This interpretation is suggested by K.M. Balasubramaniam, trans., <u>Tiruvembavai in Tamil by Saint Manikkavachaqar</u>, (Madras: The South India Saiva Siddhanta Works Publishing Society, Tinnevelly Ltd., 1954), p. 18, n. 10; and Ratna Navaratnam, <u>Tiruvachakam: The Hindu Testament of Love</u>, (Bombay: Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, 1963), p. 136.
  - 89<sub>This</sub> interpretation is suggested by Vanmikanathan (p. 55).
  - $90_{\hbox{For a description of the group's behaviour see chapter} 3.$
  - 91See chapters 4 and 5 for a discussion of the nature of

the group's religious experience and of the world-view. Much of the conceptual understanding of Siva, the description the feet and the content of the world-view that emerges is based on the group's utterances. See chapter 5 and note the verses which support the discussion. Those verses are mainly said by the group. What little of these is known from the girl's statements come from her past utterance in verse 5 and from her utterance in verse 10.

<sup>92</sup> Vanmikanathan, p. 227.

<sup>93&</sup>lt;sub>Navaratnam</sub>, <u>Hindu</u> <u>Testament</u>, p. 136; Balasubramaniam, p. 18, n. 10.

<sup>94</sup> Burrow, no. 5030; Visvanatha Pillai; Lifco.

<sup>95&</sup>lt;sub>Burrow</sub>, no. 368.

<sup>96&</sup>lt;sub>M.</sub> Varadarajan, <u>The Treatment of Nature in Sangam</u>
<u>Literature (Ancient Tamil Literature)</u> 2nd ed. (Madras: The South India Saiva Siddhantha Works Publishing Society, Tinnevelly, Ltd., 1969), p. 313.

<sup>97</sup> Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 15 January, 1987.

<sup>98&</sup>lt;sub>Discussions</sub> with Dr. Janaki, 18 March, 1987.

<sup>99&</sup>lt;sub>Burrow, no. 1660.</sub>

<sup>100</sup> Visvanatha Pillai.

<sup>101</sup> Visvanatha Pillai.

<sup>102&</sup>lt;sub>Burrow</sub>, no. 2786.

<sup>103</sup>Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 15 January, 1987.

<sup>104</sup> Nadarajah, pp. 37-38.

 $<sup>105</sup>_{
m Discussions}$  with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 24 February, 1987.

<sup>106</sup> Andronov, p. 82.

<sup>107&</sup>lt;sub>Andronov</sub>, p. 77.

There is a Tamil text called the <u>Tiruvālavāvutaiyār</u>

<u>Tirvilaiyātal Purānam</u>. The work relates the 64 sports
of Siva. It is dafed not earlier than 850 AD and not
later than 1227-8 AD. Zvelebil suggests that some of

- the contents which have been incorporated into the text are very old and some includes pre-Aryan matter. The 64 sports are associated with Siva at the Maturai temple, Minatci-Cuntarecuvarar temple. K.V. Zvelebil, Tamil Literature (Leiden: E.J. Brill, 1975), pp. 220-1; p. 220, n. 6.
- 109<sub>Andronov</sub>, p. 82.
- 110<sub>Burrow</sub>, no. 807.
- 112<sub>Burrow</sub>, no.1416.
- 113<sub>Burrow</sub>, no. 1258.
- 114 Anne-Maire Gaston, <u>Siva in Dance, Myth and Iconography</u>, (Delhi: Oxford University Press, 1982), p. 47.
- 115Heinrich Zimmer, Myth and Symbol in Indian Art and Civilization, Bollingen Series VI, ed. Joseph Campbell, (1946; rpt. New York: Bollingen Foundation, 1963), pp. 152-155. In the specifically Saiva Siddhanta context see M. Arunachalam, Peeps into Tamil Culture 2: Outlines of Saivism (Tiruchitrambalam Mayuram: Gandhi Vidyalayam, 1978), pp. 46-7, 83-5.
- 116 Ananda K. Coomaraswamy, "The Dance of Shiva" in The Dance of Shiva: Fourteen Indian Essays (Revised Ed. New Delhi: Sagar Publications, 1976), pp. 68-79.
- 117K. Sivaraman, <u>Saivism in Philosophical Perspective: A</u>

  <u>Study of the Formative Concepts, Problems and Methods of Saiva Siddhanta</u> (Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1973),
  pp. 132-37.
- 118<sub>Mariasusai</sub> Dhavamony, <u>Love of God According to Saiva Siddhanta: A Study in the Mysticism and Theology of Saivism</u> (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1971), pp. 118-20.
- 119 Based on discussions with Dr. Janaki, 18 March, 1987.
- 120<sub>Burrow</sub>, no. 347.
- 121<sub>Burrow</sub>, no. 367.
- 122<sub>Burrow</sub>, no. 368.

- 123 Burrow, no. 116.
- 124 Visvanatha Pillai.
- 125<sub>Visvanatha Pillai.</sub>
- 126 Discussions with Dr. Janaki, 19 March, 1987.
- 127<sub>Burrow</sub>, no. 3821.
- 128 In Burrow (no. 1894) the <u>kuvalai</u> is said to be a blue nelumbo which closes by day. According to <u>The Random House College Dictionary</u>, revised ed., (1975; rpt. New York: Random House Inc., 1974), a nelumbo is a lotus. Visvanatha Pillai says that a nelumbo is a waterlily (ponterderia).
- 129<sub>Visyanatha Pillai.</sub>
- 130 Arunachalam, pp. 81.
- 131<sub>Dhavamony</sub>, pp. 118-120.
- 132<sub>Burrow</sub>, no. 4338.
- $^{133}$ See verse 13, note 4 for <u>pai</u> and Visvanatha Pillai for  $^{}$  <u>pūn</u> and <u>kalan</u>.
- 134 Burrow, no. 405.
- 135 Burrow, no. 3260. Dr. Radhakrishnan emphasized the richness of the word. Discussions, 12 February, 1987.
- 136<sub>Dr.</sub> Janaki suggested that this verse could be said by a member of the group to the other members of the group. Discussions, 25 March, 1987.
- $^{137}$ The grammatical basis for this statement is made by Hart (p. 182).
- 138 The grammatical basis for this statement is made by Hart (p. 182).
- 139 Burrow no. 1 gives for the masculine form, <u>anaiyan</u>, the meaning "such a one". In the translation the feminine form, <u>anaiyal</u>, has been understood as meaning "such a one" but has been rendered as "She".
- 140 Andronov, p. 202, no. 188.

- 141<sub>Arden, pp. 179-82, nos. 343-5; p. 269 I and II.</sub>
- 142<sub>Arden</sub>, p. 179.
- 143 <u>Vittakan</u> is given by Visvanatha Pillai as a reference to Siva as Bhairava. He also indicates that the word means a knowing one. <u>Vittakar</u> is an honourific form of vittakan.
- 144See the references given for the use of "King" in "Tiruvempavai" listed in n. 2 of chapter 5.
- 145 Burrow, no. 2687.
- 146<sub>Burrow</sub>, no. 593.
- 147 Visvanatha Pillai; Burrow, no. 3200; Dr. Radhakrishnan indicated that "shine" is a derived meaning of the word. Its primary meaning is "to be seen explicitly" or "to be obvious". Discussions, 24 February, 1987.
- 148 Arden, p. 179, no. 345 i; p. 381, no. 345 vii and viii.
- 149 Janert; Visvanatha Pillai; Burrow, nos. 4550 and 4551.
- 150 Janert; Burrow, no. 2136.
- <sup>151</sup>Pope, p. 114, no. 2.
- <sup>152</sup>Pope, p. 114, n. 3.
- 153<sub>Visvanatha Pillai.</sub>
- 154 Letter received from Dr. S.S. Janaki, 10 June, 1987.
- $155_{\mathrm{Burrow}}$ , no. 1159 and no. 1160.
- 156<sub>Visvanatha Pillai.</sub>
- 157<sub>Burrow</sub>, no. 1016.
- 158 Burrow, no. 5548.
- 159 This interpretation in general was suggested by Dr. Radhakrishnan. Discussions, 26 February, 1987.
- 160<sub>Burrow</sub>, no. 1305.
- 161<sub>Burrow</sub>, no. 79.

- 162Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 2 March, 1987. See
  also Balasubramaniam, p. 19, n. 19.
- 163 Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 2 March, 1987.
- 164 Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 2 March, 1987.
- 165This rendering was suggested by Dr. Radhakrishnan.
   Discussions, 2 March, 1987.
- 166<sub>Burrow</sub>, no. 3131.
- <sup>167</sup>Burrow, p. 3566.
- 168 Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 2 March, 1987.
- 169<sub>Visvanatha Pillai.</sub>

### CHAPTER THREE

#### THE DILEMMAS

"Tiruvempāvai" can be understood to present three dilemmas. The first two concern the girl. There appears to be some uncertainty among the group regarding what her condition is and why she is behaving as she is. These two dilemmas can be deduced from two contrasts found in the poem: that of the girl's and the group's behaviour, and that of the girl's past and present behaviour. Those contrasts are presented within the framework of a dialogue. The third dilemma concerns the identity of pāvai. That difficulty is suggested by the refrain.

The general description of the group is of devotees acting and responding appropriately in a festival situation. Their appropriate activities and responses are not merely compliance with given expectations.

Theirs is a joyful and voluntary participation which is apparently fulfilling for them. They are devotees doing happily and enthusiastically what devotees are supposed to do (11).

In general the group is well adorned, possesses beauty and propriety (2, 3). They are described by the

girl inside as possessing devotion (3) and as being ancient devotees of the Lord (3). They refer to themselves as devotees (9,17), as those who have been devotees for generations (11), and as those who possess affection towards the Lord (2).

A variety of jubilant activity is associated with the group. They sing about and praise Siva, Sakti and Śiva-Śakti in various ways (1,2,4,5,6,7,8,9, 11,12,13,14,15,17,18,20). They arose at dawn, have come through the street praising and have arrived at the girl's house (1). They chide and prod her to participate and to join them in their play in the pond. They may be describing activity that is transpiring when they enjoin the girl to join them in the pond. If they are, then they are jubilantly playing in the pond (12,13,14,15,17, 18, 20). If not, then they are describing action in which they will be engaged shortly. Their playing involves entering the pond, leaping into and scooping the water, praising and singing. In their praise they refer to Siva intimately. 2 They describe themselves as melting and dissolving while they sing (4). They make requests to Siva and the cloud. ask Śiva for suitable husbands to whom they vow to respond appropriately (9). They ask Siva for suitable husbands who will allow them to continue in their

devotion as they are doing now (19). They ask the cloud to pour rain as Sakti bestows her grace, generously (16).

The group's activity evokes a specific festival context. All the actions of the group are appropriate for the festival. The cultural event which serves as the backdrop for the poem is sometimes referred to as pavai nonpu. 3 In the month of Markali (roughly mid-December to mid-January) unmarried girls partake in certain observances. Those observances occur at the beginning of the marriage season. The hope is to obtain suitable husbands. 4 The girls arise at dawn. They go from house to house waking their friends and singing the praises of their Lord. The observances involve the taking of baths, making an image out of sand and worshipping it, and taking a vow. 5 Pāvai, in the context of the festival, is a technical term referring to the image that is made. 6 V. Raghavan suggests that the festival goes back to the Sangam days. In those days it was "in honour of Devi" and the concern of the festival was for "rains, fertility and plenty". 7 In any event the festival is a tradition in the Tamil culture.

The girl is presented in the poem in two ways; as she was in the past and as she is in the present. Both descriptions are conveyed mainly by the group as they

perceived her previously and as they perceive her to be at the time of the poem. Their perception of her present condition is uncertain. That confusion is manifested through the suggestion of conflicting possibilities.

The first dilemma concerns the girl's present condition. It can be inferred initially from the references in verse 1 and then from the references in the next 7 verses. In verse 1, it is suggested that she either is asleep, or that she hears the praise but does not respond, or that she is in some way out of her senses as a result of hearing the group's praise, as the last half of the verse suggests. References to the possibility that she is asleep also recur (4, 7, 8). Her non-response to their praise is reiterated in verse 5 ("You do not know! You do not know!"), and 6 (You do not melt), and 8 ("did you not hear?"). The clearest statement made regarding the possibility that she is indifferent is in verse 7: "you lie down indifferent inactive, like one who is naive, with a hard heart." The last option, that she may be emotionally overwhelmed, may also be presented in verse 6 through the comparison of her to petai.8

The girl, herself, in her responses in verses 2, 3, 4, and 10, offers no explicit explanation for her

condition. She tells the group that they should not chide her (2). She tells them that they should forgive the shortcomings of one who is a new devotee like herself (3). She simply asks if all of them have arrived yet (4). Her response in verse 10 reflects her view that Siva is ineffable. The only clear statement about herself is that she is a new devotee. The girl's own words, then, give no clear indication about what her condition is.

There is a sharp contrast between the girl's past behaviour and her present behaviour as it is perceived by the group. She used to express her affection for the Supreme Effulgence, but now it appears that she prefers her bed (2). Whenever she and the members of the group would speak to each other (whether night or day), she would praise Siva (2); now when she and the group speak, she does not praise at all. She would call out Siva's names sweetly (3). In her responses she does not call out Siva's names (2, 3, 4, 10). She does not refer to Siva at all in verses 2 and 4. She refers to Siva as ican, not in an attitude of praise but only as a way of describing the group (as devotees of  $\overline{ican}$ -3). mentions Siva, again not in an attitude of praise, but in order to say that praise cannot describe Siva (10). She used to respond to hearing praise about Siva (7) but now she does not (1, 7, and implied in 2, 5, 6). She said that she and the group and people like them could know the mountain that Māl and the Four-Faced-One could not, but now there is no indication that she knows (5). She would melt previously (7) but now she does not (6). In general then she does not praise Siva as she used to nor does she respond to the praises sung about Siva as she had in the past.

There is a remarkable similarity between the group's current behaviour and the girl's past behaviour. She would express her affection for the Supreme Effulgence. They praise the Great and Rare Effulgence Without Beginning and End (1). That praising indicates that they also hold such affection towards the Effulgence. She would respond to praise of Śiva by uttering "Śiva" and by melting in ecstasy (7). They also respond to such praise by melting and dissolving (4). She had planned to wake them up, and they have come to awaken her (6).

The difference between the girl's present and past behaviour, and the similarity between the group's present behaviour and the girl's past behaviour suggests that there is a second dilemma. If the group's present behaviour is appropriate for the festival, and if this behaviour is similar to the girl's past behaviour but is

different from her present behaviour, then the girl at the time of the poem is not acting appropriately in the festival context. The dilemma is: Why is she behaving inappropriately? The group has the expectation that she would act suitably because she had expressed her intention to participate by telling them that she would awaken them (6). Also, it would be consistent for her to continue to behave during the festival as she had in the past since that past behaviour is suitable for the festival.

A question which is related to both the first and second dilemma is: What is the girl's status as a devotee? She designates the group as "old" devotees and herself as a "new" devotee (3). Since the behaviour of the group is so similar to the girl's past behaviour, the designation of them as "old" devotees applies to her also as she conducted herself in the past. How can she be a "new" devotee when she is already an old devotee? The resolution of this difficulty involves determing what her condition is and why she therefore behaves as she does.

The third dilemma that can be understood to be presented in the poem concerns the identity of <u>pāvai</u>. The title of the poem, "Tiruvempāvai", and the refrain <u>pāvay</u>, focus attention on <u>pāvai</u>. The title

means "Our Sacred Lady" or "Our Honorable Lady". The refrain keeps the notion of pavai in the forefront. refrain is repeated in the last half of each line of each stanza in the poem. It has been translated here as "Oh Our Lady". 10 Vanmikanathan suggests that it can be either the girl inside or Parvathi. That is, he says that pavai is Parvathi, but in "Tiruvempavai", the girl inside plays Parvathi. 11 It is possible that Parvathi can be identified with pavai given the context of the festival, the associated goddess worship, and given that Siva is the god extolled in the poem. Considering the basic meaning of pavai as "doll" or "image" it is also possible that pavai could be the girl inside. 12 The girl is described as unresponsive (1, 7), and being without volition and self-awareness. 13 These descriptions also apply to a doll. Therefore, by virtue of sharing those characteristics, the girl could be said to be doll-like.

In summary, the dilemmas that can be inferred from the poem are: 1) the uncertainty regarding the exact condition of the girl when the group arrives at her house; 2) the reason for the girl's behaviour when the group arrives at her house; and 3) the identity of pāvai.

The first dilemma can be resolved into a single unidentified condition by considering the different possibilities in light of other evidence presented in the poem. The girl is either asleep, indifferent or overwhelmed by emotion when the group arrives at her house. She can be in only one of these three states at one time.

Certain evidence in the poem suggests that the girl is not asleep when the group arrives at her house. expression, "O Bright Wide-Eyed Maiden!", 14 suggests that she is wide awake. That is, if she is asleep or even half asleep how can her eyes be bright and wide open? 15 On the other hand they suggest that she has heard 16 and yet she still sleeps. How can she have heard and continue to sleep? In addition, if the girl is sleeping, or even dozing when the group arrived at her house, it suggests that she was not as enthusiastic about the festival's activites as her speech the day before indicated. She had intended to be up and at her friends' houses awakening them (6). For her to over-sleep is incompatible with that behaviour. Alternatively, if she is awake and had not yet gone to awaken her friends she also would appear to be indifferent or at least unenthusiastic. Therefore, for the girl to be asleep or half asleep when the group

arrives at her house suggests that she is indifferent to the events of the festival.

In addition, if the girl is asleep when the group arrives at her house, she could not still be asleep when she responds (2, 3, 4, 10). The references to sleep suggest that she gives the appearance of preferring to sleep rather than participating in the festival (4, 7, 8), and thus she seems to be indifferent.

The possibility that the girl is indifferent is also suggested by her apparent non-response to the group's singing about Siva. The response to the praise of Siva that the group expects is the response that she had manifested in the past (uttering "Siva" and melting -7). That behaviour is not one of the possibilities presented for her current condition. In fact it is explicitly stated that she does not react that way (2, 5, 6, 7).

The girl's own responses in verses 3 and 4 could be understood as expressions of her indifference. She appears to offer an excuse for her behaviour by suggesting that she, who is one of the new devotees, should be excused by the mature devotees, like themselves (3). The group's doubtful attitude towards her classification of herself as a new devotee in contrast to them as old devotees is indicated by "should".

not those with beautiful hearts sing to our Siva?" (3). Here the inclusive pronoun is used, which indicates that they regard Siva to belong to her as well as to them. The group does not really accept her excuse. The excuse could be offered for a variety of reasons, at least one of which is indifference. 17 The girl appears to try to delay participation by posing the mundane question of whether all have come (4). The group's disdain for the question is indicated by their response in which they say that they will not count how many have come because they are too busy singing and melting.

There is some evidence to suggest that the girl is not simply indifferent to their praise and to the events of the festival. The implication is that if she is indifferent to the praise of Siva and the events of the festival, then she is also indifferent to Siva. If that is so, then she must have undergone a radical change in the intensity of her belief or she must have lost her belief overnight. She praised regularly (2, 3) and melted when she heard praise (7). She also intended to rouse her friends at dawn (6). That suggests that she not only intended to participate but that she was so enthusiastic about the festival that she expected to be up before the others and at their houses to awaken them. For her not to respond to their praise and not to wake

them up, only out of indifference to praise and the events of the festival suggests that she seemingly has undergone a radical change to make her so indifferent.

Her responses in verses 2 and 10 however, suggest that she did not undergo such a radical change. reproaches the group for chiding her about her apparent misplaced affection for her bed. She suggests that it is not the time for such scolding. The implication is that their chiding of her is inappropriate if not irreverant. Some of the depth of her disapproval is conveyed in the expression cici (Shame!). 18 The girl also says that Siva cannot be circumscribed by praise which further suggests that she is not indifferent (10). The statement suggests that she does not praise Siva because he cannot be grasped by praise. Although she does not explicitly say so, this may be an explanation for why she is not praising Siva. The girl, then, is not indifferent to Siva, instead she is regarding him from a perspective of ineffability rather than from one of effability. This evidence suggests that the girl is not indifferent. This further supports the suggestion that the girl is neither asleep nor half asleep when the group arrives at her house, as to be asleep or half asleep would be a manifestation of indifference.

If the girl is neither asleep nor indifferent, then she must be in the condition described in the last half of the first verse. The group attributes the cause of that state to be the hearing of their praises of Siva (line 5 of verse 1). However, the possibility that she did not hear the praise of Siva is raised by the question: "Are your ears hard?". 19 The girl then, either heard the praise or she did not. If she heard the praise then it may or may not have prompted a response from her. It will be suggested that the best solution to this difficulty is that she heard the praise and that it did in fact prompt a response from her. That response is the state described in the last half of the first verse. It will be argued that the condition from which she emerged upon hearing the praise was not an ordinary state of consciousness such as sleep, doziness or the state in which one conducts their daily affairs.

It seems unlikely that the girl did not hear the group's praise. There are two possible reasons why she would not hear it: 1) because she was asleep; or 2) because she was in such a state that the group's praise could not penetrate her consciousness. It has already been suggested that the girl was not asleep when the group found her, but wide awake. On the other hand, if

she was so engrossed that she could not hear the group's praise, then it also seems that she would not be able to hear them when they addressed her; she could not have responded to them. She does respond to them however, and that response involves a change in her condition. The group finds her, as indicated in verse 1, with bright-wide eyes, lying on a bed filled with flowers, uttering sighs which are outburts of emotion, "forgetting herself", "directionless", and "tossing and turning". The phrases "forgetting herself" and being "directionless" indicate that she is unaware of herself and without volition. 20 Subsequently, the girl responds to the group by speaking to them (2, 3, 4, 10). order to speak to them she must have recovered an awareness of herself and regained her volition. Intentional speech requires both self awareness and volition. The group's addresses to her cause her to change from the state described in verse 1 to one where she is able to speak intentionally to the group.

Alternatively, it is possible that she heard the group's praise but it did not evoke a response in her. This possibility also seems unlikely. In the past she would respond almost anticipating the praise of Siva, by uttering "Siva" and by melting in ecstasy (7). In verse 1, however, neither one of those responses are

mentioned. There are two possible reasons why she would not respond to the praise of Siva. One is that she has become indifferent. That seems unlikely for the reasons that have already been mentioned. Secondly her state could be such that although she may hear the praise she still is unable to respond. The second possibility poses the same problem referred to earlier: If she cannot respond to the group's praise why would she be able to respond subsequently to the group's addresses to her?

The final alternative is that the girl heard the group's praise and did in fact respond to it. This in turn raises two questions: 1) What was her response to the praise of Siva; and 2) what was her condition prior to hearing it and responding?

The girl's response in the situation immediately preceding verse 1 cannot have been her usual response of uttering "Siva" and melting. Firstly, it is not one of the possiblilities indicated in verse 1. Secondly if she had responded in her usual way the group would have recognized her condition. Clearly, they are puzzled by her condition as the conflicting possibilities they present indicate. As was suggested above, the group finds her in the condition described in the first verse. 21 If the praises of Siva by the group coming

through the street evoked a response in her, then that response began with her hearing the praise which preceded the group's arrival. The change of state initiated by the group's praise of Siva cannot have been the change from the state in verse 1 to the state in verse 2 where she is capable of intentional speech because that change occurs only after the group has spoken to her (which is after the praise has stopped). Thus the girl appears to undergo two changes in her condition. The first is stimulated by the praise of Siva and results in her condition as described in the first verse. The second is prompted by the group's speech and results in the change from the state described in verse 1 to the state where she is capable of intentional speech. 22 The remaining concern is the nature of the girl's condition even prior to her hearing the praises sung of Siva.

There are two possibilities regarding the girl's state prior to hearing the praise of Siva. She was either in an ordinary state of consciousness or in a non-ordinary state of consciousness. An ordinary state, in this context, means a condition in which one is either asleep, half asleep, or awake and in the state of mind in which one goes about daily affairs. A non-ordinary state of consciousness refers to a state

that is not one of the states referred to as ordinary. It has already been suggested that it does not seem likely that the girl was asleep or half-asleep. 23 In addition, if the girl was awake and in the state in which one goes about daily affairs (religious or otherwise) but had not gone to awaken her friends, she would be unenthusiastic or indifferent. As suggested earlier, the girl does not seem to be indifferent. 24 It seems possible, then, that the girl arose as she had intended but before she could leave to awaken her friends something happened so that she entered a non-ordinary state of consciousness (yet to be identified) and therefore was unable to do as she had intended the day before.

The dilemma regarding the girl's state can be partially resolved by suggesting that when the group arrived at her home she had just emerged from a non-ordinary state of consciousness into the state described in the last half of the first verse. However, it is still not clear what the nature of the non-ordinary condition is. The second dilemma dissolves once the first one is understood. In other words once the girl's condition is understood, then her behaviour, which is an outward manifestation of that condition, is also understandable, and in turn, her behaviour can

convey something about the nature of her condition. The resolution of the third dilemma also requires an understanding of the girl's non-ordinary condition and it requires some insight into the nature of Sakti. A consideration of certain descriptive and figurative elements suggests what the nature of that condition is and in addition reveals certain characteristics of Sakti. Those are the subjects of the following chapter.

## Notes to Chapter Three

- 1Primarily the dialogue is between a girl and a group of her friends. There is some controversy about this view. Yocum says that there is "no clear indication, however as to who is speaking at what time." Glenn E. Yocum, Hymns to the Dancing Siva (Columbia, Missouri: South Asia Books, 1982), p. 63, n. 35. There is no doubt that there is a dialogue. The second person vocatives and verb formations make that clear. Even in verses 11-20 where the dialogue appears to be a chorus, (except 15), the recurrent final imperatives indicate that someone is being addressed. There is a problem in some of the verses deciding who says what (for example verse 2) and exactly who is speaking in verse 10 and 15. However, there are clues in the poem which shed light on who speaks where and says what. These are the context, the use of pronouns, the use of intimacy and the imagery. The issue of who speaks where and says what has been considered in some detail in the notes on the translation and in Appendix A. For other interpretations regarding the dialogue see Pope's translation and Navaratnam's discussion of the poem.
- <sup>2</sup>See verses 3-<u>nam</u>; 7-<u>en</u>; 13-<u>em</u>, <u>enkal</u>; 15-<u>em</u>; and 19-<u>enkal</u>. The references to Siva as "ours" or "mine" suggests that the speakers (speaker) feel a sense of intimacy towards the object considered to be possessed.
- <sup>3</sup>V. Raghavan, <u>Festivals</u>, <u>Sports and Pastimes of India</u> (Ahmedabad: B.J. Institute of Learning and Research, 1979), pp. 190-1.
- 4 Yocum, p. 63, n. 35. For the correlation of the festival to the English calendar see G.U. Pope, trans., introd., notes, prefix, The Tiruvacagam or "Sacred Utterances" of the Tamil Poet, Saint, and Sage Mānikka-Vācagar (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1900), p. 103.
- <sup>5</sup>G. Vanmikanathan, <u>Pathway to God Through Tamil</u>
  <u>Literature: I Through the Tiruvaachakam</u> (New Delhi: The Delhi Tamil Sangam, 1971), pp. 47-8, 223. Raghavan, pp. 190-191.
- <sup>6</sup>Discussion with Dr. S.S. Jannaki, Director of The Kuppuswami Sastri Research Institute, Madras, India (at the University of Calgary), 23 March, 1987.

- <sup>7</sup>Raghavan, p. 191.
- 8 See the discussion of petai in chapter 4.
- <sup>9</sup>The title is derived from the refrain. It is a way of identifying the poem. Discussions with Dr. R. Radhakrishnan, Professor of Linguistics, University of Calgary, 9 June, 1987. See also K.M. Balasubramaniam, trans., <u>Tiruvembavai in Tamil by Saint Manikkavachagar</u> (Madras: The South India Saiva Siddhanta Works Publishing Society, Tinnevelly Ltd., 1954), p. 2.
- 10 As indicated in the notes on verse 1 the exact meaning of the refrain is somewhat open. There is no doubt however that the refrain is addressed to pavai:
- 11<sub>Vanmikanathan</sub>, pp. 225-226.
- 12 Raghavan, p. 190; T. Burrow and M.B. Emeneau, A <u>Dravidian Etymological Dictionary</u>, 2nd ed., (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1984), no. 4107.
- 13 See verse 1, notes 6 and 7.
- 14This address is traditionally used to refer to a beautiful woman. Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 9 June, 1987. Interpreting the address as suggesting wakefulness involves drawing an inference beyond its traditional use.
- 15Dr. Janaki brought this possibility to my attention. Discussions, 11 March, 1987.
- 16 The question regarding whether or not the girl heard the praises of Siva is raised further on in the chapter (pp. 123-26).
- $^{17}\mathrm{See}$  chapter 5 for another explanation for her response.
- <sup>18</sup>See verse 2, note 5.
- 19Dr. Janaki bought this problem to my attention. Discussions, 13 March, 1987.
- $20_{\mbox{See}}$  verse 1, notes 6 and 7.
- <sup>21</sup>See pp. 123-24 of this chapter.
- $^{22}\mathrm{This}$  two-fold account of the change was in part

stimulated by Dr. Janaki's indication that the state of union appears to be incompatable with the state described in the last half of verse 1. Discussions, 13 March, 1987.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup>See p. 119-22.

 $<sup>^{24}</sup>$ See p. 121-3.

### CHAPTER FOUR

## DESCRIPTION AND IMAGERY

In Chapter 3, it was suggested that the girl was in some unidentified non-ordinary state when the group came through the street singing praises of Siva. In addition it was suggested that there was no explicit indication in "Tiruvempavai", neither by the girl nor by the group, as to what her condition actually was. 1 The dilemmas, if they are to be resolved, must be resolved at a level other than the expressed level. The abundance of descriptive and figurative elements in the poem suggests that these aspects contribute to an understanding of the girl's condition. The difficulties lie in determining how these aspects can reveal meaning, and in determining what that meaning is. The purpose of this chapter is to show how the figurative and descriptive aspects in "Tiruvempavai" can be understood to suggest both the nature of girl's experience and that of the group. chapter 5, those insights will be utilized in order to resolve the dilemmas.

There are two ways in which the descriptive and figurative elements in "Tiruvempavai" can be understood to suggest the nature of girl's experience and that of

the group. Firstly, the descriptive and figurative elements taken as a whole reveal a pattern of associations. An analysis of those associations suggests that there is a difference between the nature of the girl's experience of Siva and that of the group. On the basis of this analysis it will be suggested that the group's relationship with Siva can be considered to be more concrete than that of the girl's, and that the girl and Siva are characterized by luminosity whereas the members of the group are not. Secondly, the use of figurative elements by the group and the girl further contributes to an understanding of the nature of their respective religious experiences. The group's use of three major similes, their use of the verbs atu and patu, and their reference to "bees" provides specific information about their experience of Siva. The group's use of these figurative elements show how their religious experience can be understood to be more concrete than the girl's. The girl's use of the words, "parrots" and pētai, reveals important features of her experience of Siva and how it is more subtle than that of the group. This chapter will deal firstly with the pattern of associations which emerges, and secondly with the group's and the girl's use of certain figurative elements.

In order to understand the significance of the pattern of associations and the particular figurative elements used by the group and the girl, it is necessary to appeal to the well developed literary tradition that preceded the bhakti movement in Tamil Nadu. 2 There are several reasons for invoking this tradition in order to interpret the descriptive and figurative elements of this poem which will be considered here. The first reason is an appeal to continuity. That is that Māṇikkavācakar and other bhakti poets did not create their poetry in a vacuum, but did so in the context of their culture. Part of that culture was the literary tradition that existed prior to the bhakti movement. Several modern scholars have noted that the literary traditions of that time continued to influence literature after the rise of bhakti.3 If Māṇikkavācakar's traditional biography is correct, he was well versed in sacred and secular knowledge. 4 He would be acquainted with the classical literature and he would be in a position to employ those conventions in his poetry. As will be shown, the use of description in general and of certain figurative elements ("parrots", pētai, and "bees") have a particular significance in the classical literary tradition. Also, the descriptions of the clouds, the sun and dawn are similar to descriptions found in the classical Tamil poetic tradition.  $^{5}$ 

In addition to the general appropriateness of appealing to the earlier literary traditions for the illumination of certain figurative elements, specific features of the poem also suggest that the appeal is suitable. Firstly, "Tiruvempavai" is a poem and the earlier literary genres of akam and puram are poetic genres. 6 Secondly, of the two genres in that tradition (akam and puram), the akam genre conventionally requires that all characters be anonymous. 7 In "Tiruvempavai" the girl and the group never receive a particular identity. These characters could be any girl or any group of girls. Thirdly, in the akam genre, the heroine never explicitly conveys her feelings. She indicates her mood indirectly through suggestion. Her state of mind also manifests itself physically, through her appearance and through her behaviour. It is through those manifestations of her feelings, in part, that her state may be recognized. 8 In "Tiruvempavai" the girl makes no explicit statement about her state. The group perceives certain physical and behavioural characteristics about her, but they cannot identify her condition.

Since the girl makes no explicit comment about her state, it seems that if the girl's state is to be conveyed in the poem, it must be done suggestively by the girl herself. The precedent for an appeal to the suggestive elements in the poem in order to identify the girl's condition is set in the <a href="mailto:akam">akam</a> genre of poetry. The clues to her state must lie in her responses at the suggestive level and in the group's description of her physically.

The notion that the outward characteristics of the heroine reflect her inner state can be generalized so that the outward characteristics of the group can be understood to contribute to an understanding of their inner state as well. 9 Thus, the descriptions of the members of the group should convey something about their inner condition. In addition, it can be expected that what the group says suggestively also can reveal something about their own state. The difficulty is in determining how the figurative elements which the group and the girl use are to be interpreted. In the early poetic tradition, the meaning of a comparison, whether both of the objects of the comparison are stated or not, is arrived at through its use in tradition. 10 Thus, by using the classical tradition where necessary in order to illuminate ambiguous imagery, and by utilizing that

which is unambiguous, the description and certain of the figurative elements (similes, "parrots", pētai and "bees") should reveal something about the inner states of the group and the girl.

The descriptive and figurative elements form a pattern of associations. These patterns of associations are connections evoked between elements sharing a common feature or common features at the time of the poem. patterns can be traced for the elements in the poem. They have been summarized in four charts in Appendix B. However, only the patterns that emerged concerning Siva, the group and the girl will be considered here as they are the most important figures for resolving the dilemmas. The patterns can be classified into three levels of relative subtlety. The first and most concrete level is that based on ornamentation. second, and a little more subtle level, is that suggested through the colour of different elements. third and most subtle level of associations is that based on certain intangible attributes such as those suggested through the notions of beauty and light.

The descriptions of Śiva, the girl and the group evoke suggestively certain connections between Śiva and the girl, and between Śiva and the group that exist at the time of the poem. In addition, since the

descriptions of the girl and the group can be understood to reflect their inner state, these descriptions also convey something about the nature of their experience.

At the least subtle level, that of ornamentation, Siva is said to wear white ash (11), be associated with an anklet or anklets (1, 6, 11, 18, 20) and to wear a crown filled with flowers (10), a wreath of konrai flowers (14), and to have flowers associated with his feet (2, 10, 17, 18, 20) and to be adorned with snakes (13). The girl is described as being fragrant (5, 17) and being ornamented (nerilaiyay - 2). It is implied in the vocatives that if she plays in the pond her ornaments would also be jingling and swaying like the group's (12, 13, 14). 11 That suggests that she may be ornamented like the members of the group, although the indirect nature of the reference indicates that the poem does not draw attention to the fact that the girl also is adorned. The members of the group wear anklets (13), bracelets (12, 13), earrings (14) and waistlets (14). They have flowers in their hair and bees buzzing around the flowers (12, 14). Their breasts are adorned (15) and they are associated with ornamentation in general (nērilaiyir - 2, pūnkalan -14).

The stress in the descriptions at this least subtle level, the level of ornamentation, is on the group and

Siva. In other words, Siva and the group are described more frequently and with more variety than the girl. This suggests that the group's relationship with Siva is stronger at this level and more concrete than the girl's. The way in which the relationship can be considered to be more concrete will become apparent when the three similes are discussed later in the chapter.

The classifying feature of the level of intermediate subtlety is colour. The descriptions of colour are more subtle than those of ornaments because while colour is in some way physical it is not, generally, removable as is the case with ornaments (unless it is a colour of an ornament). Five different colours are mentioned in the poem. They are red, gold, white, black and dark blue or blue-black. Red (11, 17, 20), gold (12, 17, 20) and white (11, 20) are associated with Siva. The girl is associated with white (3) and with black (17). The girl, at this level, is linked to Siva through white. The group has no colour associated with it. The significance of the girl's experience at this level is that it has some component, which in terms of subtlety, lies in between the least and most subtle levels. An understanding of this component of her experience of Siva will be suggested following the discussion of the use of figurative

elements by the girl and the group, and after the girl's condition prior to responding to the group's praise is identified.

The descriptive elements at the third and most subtle level of associations are the qualities of beauty and light. Siva, the girl and the group are associated with beauty. However, only Siva and the girl are associated with light. Beauty is used in reference to Siva (5, 7, 9, 15, 18). The words which are used to refer to Siva in terms of beauty are kolam (5), claim or  $\overline{\text{ciran}}$  (7, 9, 15) and  $\underline{\text{am}}$  (18). Siva's beauty (5, 7) is said to be unknown by the knowledge or the conceptualization of the gods. His feet  $(9)^{13}$  and eyes (18) are referred to as beautiful. There also is a reference to the beauty of Siva in general (15).14 Kolam and cir convey the notion of positive qualities in general. It would seem that Siva's beauty is associated with positive qualities. 15

The beauty of the girl at the time of the poem and of the group, as a subtle quality, is implied through their respective ornamentation. Ornamentation suggests a physical beauty. Physical beauty in turn suggests a more abstract internal beauty, if one invokes the notion, mentioned above, that one's outer appearance reflects one's inner state. This inner beauty is a

positive inner state. 16 The inner beauty of the group is further suggested by the girl's reference to the as pānkutaiyīr. 17

Both Siva and the girl are associated with light whereas the members of the group are not. He is said to be the Effulgence ( $c\overline{o}ti - 1$ , 2, 8, 14). He is also referred to as Effulgence indirectly (5, 10, 18, 20). 18 He is associated with light in the epithet,  $t\overline{e}can$  (2) and by being "red like a flame" (12). In those two references, light is one of his attributes. references connect the girl with light. She is described as having bright, wide eyes (1), and a luminous smile (3, 4). The word for bright in verse 1 is val. It means "lustre, light, splendour". 19 The luminosity of her smile is conveyed by comparing it to a pearl (3, 4). Her smile is said to be white like a pearl (3). The adjective is ven. Ven means "white, pure and bright". 20 Her smile is then said to be like a luminous pearl in the fourth verse. The adjective in this comparison is ol. Ol means luminosity. 21 The shift of the adjective from  $\underline{\text{ven}}$  (3) to  $\underline{\text{ol}}$  (4) makes the notion of luminosity more explicit and the repetition emphasizes that quality in the girl.

At this most subtle level, beauty is a quality which is associated with Siva, the girl and the group.

However, only Siva and the girl are luminous. It can be suggested that at this most subtle level of association the girl's connection to Siva is stronger than that of the group by virtue of the fact that she shares two qualities with Siva while the group shares only one quality. The stronger association between the girl and Siva rather than between the group and Siva at this most subtle level, suggests that the girl's experience of Siva is more subtle than the group's. The way in which her experience could be understood to be more subtle will become apparent when her use of the words "parrots" and pētai are discussed.

In general, then, a consideration of the pattern of description of Siva, the group and the girl suggests certain differences and similarities between the girl and the group, and their respective relationships with Siva. Those similarities and differences reiterate that there is a contrast between the girl as she is now and the group, as discussed in chapter 3. At the descriptive and figurative level, the contrast between the group and the girl as she is now is revealed both through the type of level stressed in describing them, and through the level at which there is, relatively speaking, the strongest connection with Siva. The description of the group occurs mostly at the least

subtle level, not at all at the intermediate level and to a lesser degree at the most subtle level. In contrast the girl is described primarily at the most subtle level and somewhat at the other two levels. This suggests that the group's connection to Siva at the least subtle level is stronger and their experience is more concrete than the girl's. In contrast, the girl's connection to Siva at the most subtle level is stronger and her experience more subtle than the group's.

The group's and the girl's use of certain figurative elements provides specific information about their respective experiences and substantiates the understanding of the nature of their experience as suggested through the pattern of associations. group's experience will be shown to be of a less subtle nature than the girl's in two ways. Firstly the group's experience involves understanding Siva in terms of concepts. Secondly their experience is primarily of a sacred universe where the emphasis is on Siva as he relates to the cosmos and hence to devotees. The girl's experience of Siva will be shown to be more subtle than the group's in the sense that it cannot be conceptualized, that it involves union with Siva and that it lacks the orientation towards the cosmos that the group's experience includes.

The group's experience will be considered by a discussion of the three major similes that they use, the repetitive use of the verbs, <u>ātu</u> and <u>pātu</u>, and their use of "bees". The girl's experience will be considered through her use of the figurative elements, "parrots" and <u>pētai</u>. The discussion will begin with the three similes and then move to a discussion of the terms, "parrots" and <u>pētai</u>. It will be concluded with consideration of the significance of the use of "bees" by the group.

In "Tiruvempāvai", a significant amount of information is conveyed about the group's religious experience through their use of three major similes. These similes convey something about nature, Śiva, and the beauty of life to devotees like themselves. The structure of the similes is that the group compares some aspect of nature to some aspect of Śiva (Śiva-Śakti, Śakti or his foot). For example the group compares the action of the rain cloud to the action of Śakti. The group easily finds parallels between aspects of nature and their conceptions of Śiva. In a sense, the religious outlook of the devotees affects how they view nature.

However, the similes can be understood to convey information about Siva by reversing the comparison. For

someone who is familiar with the aspects of nature that are being compared, their understanding of nature enriches their understanding of Siva. That enriched understanding occurs by the applying of attitudes and notions associated with the aspect of nature to the aspect of Śiva (Śiva-Śakti, Śakti or his foot) being compared. In the example given above, a rain cloud is compared to Sakti. In the original comparison the cloud is asked to pour rain generously like Sakti generously gives grace. Knowing that Sakti brings grace generously, then, indicates how the cloud is to pour its rain. In the environment in which Manikkavacakar lived rain is essential for life and thus the cloud which brings the rain is regarded as beneficient. In reverse then, Sakti, like the cloud, is beneficient. 22 Knowing that the cloud is beneficient because it generously pours its rain indicates that Sakti is beneficient because she generously gives grace. The significance of such an effect is that a communication of religious ideas can occur through the common medium of nature between members of the same environment.

In the first simile, the group compares a pond to Siva-Sakti. 23 The descriptions in the first three lines of verse 13 are the points that are compared. Each of those lines can be interpreted as referring to the pond

or to Siva-Sakti. In the first line a dark blue and a red flower are mentioned. If the line is understood as referring to the pond, then the flowers are understood to be in the pond. The colours of the flowers can also be understood to refer to Siva-Sakti. From verse 11, it is known that Siva is red, so it follows that the dark blue colour is a reference to Sakti. The colour of Sakti is confirmed in verse 16, where the colour of the rain cloud and the colour of Sakti are compared. second line can be understood to refer to either the pond or to Siva-Sakti. That is possible because some of the words have double meanings. The double meanings yield both the adornments of the pond (the flock of birds and woven sounds) and the respective adornments of Siva-Sakti (entwined snakes and a collection of bracelets).<sup>24</sup> The third point of comparison which occurs in the third line refers to bathing. In the case of the pond what is washed off is physical uncleanliness and in the case of Siva-Sakti it is spiritual impurities. All those who come and enter into the pond are cleansed like those who come and enter into Siva-Sakti. The significance of the comparison is that it reveals the cleansing power of Siva-Sakti and it suggests the intensity of the devotion of the group who

readily identify the pond with  $\acute{\text{Siva-Sakti}}$ , both visually and functionally. <sup>25</sup>

In the second major simile, the group compares a cloud to Sakti. That the cloud is being compared to Sakti is not explicitly stated. Certain features of the cloud, however, are clearly compared to certain features of Sakti. The features of the cloud are suggested by references to its advancing, collecting water from the sea, arising and appearing (implying it is dark blue), its flashing (implying lightning), its sounding (implying thunder), its bending (implying a rainbow), and its pouring (implying rain). The cloud's features are compared respectively to Sakti's colour, her small waist, 26 the sound of her anklets, her eyebrow and her giving of grace. From the simile it is evident that Sakti pours grace generously (curakkum) on devotees of the King (Siva), and on the group and the cloud. 27 Sakti is also inseparably united with the King.

By invoking the notion of the reverse analogy, further insight is gained into the nature of Sakti. In the classical poetic tradition rain clouds are also described. In that tradition the cloud is thought to be beneficient, generous, and to give indiscriminately and unselfishly. The cloud brings rain which is necessary for life and for prosperity. The cloud, then,

is thought to be beneficient. 29 In the original comparison the cloud is asked to be like Sakti in various ways, and to pour rain as Sakti generously pours grace. In terms of the reverse comparison, as the rain which the cloud brings is necessary for life and prosperity, so the grace which Sakti brings is necessary for spiritual life and prosperity. Thus, as the cloud is beneficient so is Sakti. The reverse comparison brings out the importance of Sakti's grace.

A further element which is bought out in this comparison is the notion that grace fosters physical well-being as well as spiritual well-being. This is indicated in the request for rain, in which the group addressing the cloud asks it to pour rain like grace is poured on "us". The form of "us" used is namakku. is the form of the pronoun which includes the individual addressed. That means that the cloud is a recipient of grace as well as the group.  $^{30}$  That suggests that the function of the physical aspects of the world involves grace. Verse 12 already indicates that Siva, the dancer, creates, maintains and conceals the universe. Thus, the notion that the world's components and inhabitants have a sacred dimension has already been introduced by this point. Verse 16 suggests that the natural world is subordinated to the spiritual.

comparison of the cloud to Sakti the activities of nature parallel those of the spiritual realm and yet are subordinate, subsumed and governed by those of the spiritual realm. Nature has clear sacred dimensions in this simile.

The last of the three major similes is the comparison between the sun and the foot of Siva at Annamalai (coti linga).31 This comparison is made explicit by the marker, pol. It is a comparison of the effect of each of them. The brightness or illuminative power of the foot of the coti linga is significantly brighter than the diamonds on the crowns of the gods that come to bow at that foot. The lustre of the diamonds on the crowns of the gods is overshadowed by the brilliance of the foot of the coti linga. way, the sun causes darkness to be hidden, and when it encounters the stars causes them to grow pale and yield to the light of the sun. 32 In this simile the brightness or illuminating power of the sun is compared to that of the foot by comparing the effect of their brightness. Following this comparison, in the same verse, Siva is said to remain yet become differentiated. One of the things into which he becomes differentiated is the "sky with flourishing light" (line 5 and 6). 33 Siva is then the sun and its bearer, the sky. The verse establishes that Siva is greater than the sun by virtue of becoming the sun and much more, and yet remaining apart. These last lines of the verse, when taken together with the comparison, indicate explicitly the sacred aspect of nature. Siva becomes all of nature.

From the comparison it is seen that as Siva's lustre or illuminatory power is greater than that of the gods at the spiritual level, so is the sun's brightness greater than that of other lights at the physical level. Since Siva encompasses both the physical and spiritual realm his illumination is of the highest kind.

The description of the sun's activities is familiar. It is a description of dawn. By employing the notion of the reverse comparison certain aspects of the description of the rising sun can be applied to the foot of the cōti linga. As the appearance of the sun causes darkness to be hidden, and other light to pale in comparison, so does the appearance of the foot of the cōti linga cause spiritual darkness to be hidden and other forms of knowledge to pale in comparison.

In each of these three similes the group compares some aspect of nature to some aspect of Śiva (Śiva-Śakti, Śakti or his foot). The effect of that comparison is that concepts of Śiva (Śiva-Śakti, Śakti or his foot) inform the reader about nature. The

presentation of the three similes in the poem moves chronologically from viewing nature in terms of the sacred (13) to a suggestion that nature as well as humans are affected by the sacred (16) to a statement that if nature is not sacred in its totality, it definitely has a sacred dimension.

However, since the aspects of nature being compared to Siva are presumably known to an inhabitant of the milieu in which Manikkavacakar lived, the effect of the similies on a hearer of the poem is also a reverse comparison. The result of that reverse comparison is that aspects of nature contribute to the hearer's understanding of Siva (Siva-Sakti, and the foot). the comparison of the cloud to Sakti, the cloud was asked to pour rain generously like Sakti. the reverse comparison, as the cloud's rain is necessary for life and brings spiritual prosperity, so Sakti's grace is necessary for life and brings spiritual prosperity. In the third simile, the illuminative power of the sun at the physical level is compared to the illuminative power of the foot of the coti linga at the spiritual level. In terms of the reverse comparison, as the sun removes darkness and causes other light to pale, so the foot of the coti linga removes darkness and

causes other forms of spiritual light to pale in comparison.

The group's use of the verbs, atu and patu, also contribute to an understanding of the nature of their experience. The verbs indicate the intense and positive nature of their activity. For the most part the verbs <u>ātu</u> and <u>pātu</u> describe the nature of the group's activity. Patu, to sing, is used repeatedly in some form to indicate the manner in which Siva is praised (1, 4, 5, 6, 11, 14, 15, 17, 18). In the last half of the poem, <u>atu</u>, to play, is used to describe what the group does in the pond. It includes such activities as leaping into or entering the pond ( $\underline{pay}$ -13, 15, 17, 18; pukku-11, 13), scooping the water (kutai-11, 12) and singing (patu-14, 15, 17, 18). The action indicated by atu and patu is energetic and joyful. In addition to "play",  $\overline{\underline{a}tu}$  also means to move, wave, swing, shake, dance, sport and enjoy.  $^{34}$  The repeated use of the verbs suggest the ongoing nature of the activity. The group does not just sing and dip into the pond once or twice. They sing and play over a period of time. energetic, joyful and ongoing nature of the activity suggests that the group, as devotees, willingly participate in these activites.

In addition, the activity of the group generally seems to have a positive effect physically and spiritually. This notion is suggested by the injunction: "singing about our Lord...springing into the waters beautiful with lotus flowers so that well-being will flourish, play!" (17). Exactly what is meant by well-being is not specified. However, it can be inferred from the poem that the activity in which the group participates contributes to both worldly and spiritual well-being. The notion that worldly well-being will flourish is inferred from the context of the festival and the activities appropriate to the festival. 35 The concerns of the group in the festival context are worldly concerns: suitable husbands and rain. It can be inferred that the group engages in the festival willingly, as devotees, but also so that their concerns will be addressed by Siva. Their activites are to be engaged in so that well-being will flourish. well-being that is to come about, at least in part, is the worldly concerns that they raise.

- However, the activities of the group are done for spiritual benefit as well. The group indicates that they play and sing, entering they pond to deteriorate their births (12). The overcoming of births is a spiritual goal.<sup>36</sup> In addition, their concern for

suitable husbands is also a spiritual concern. They request husbands who will not cause their devotion to Siva to be compromised. The activity of singing and playing in the pond is engaged in both to deteriorate births and to retain the level of devotion they have attained.

The activities of entering the tank (poykai pukku), scooping the water (kutai) and singing (pātu) are activities which are part of the playing referred to by ātu. These activites are done so that well-being, worldly and spiritual, will flourish. This suggests that the activities of devotees contribute to the well-being of the world in general.

The three similies combined with the notion of the positive effect of devotees' actions suggests a vision of a sacred universe. All of the universe is mutually interdependent and is ultimately dependent on God.

Nature, the pond, the cloud with its rain, and the sun all affect the lives of the devotees (and others) and in turn the activity of the devotees has its positive effect on nature, and on themselves. There is in the three similes, the presentation of a harmonious relation between God, nature and devotees, where each does its part.

The group's experience as it is conveyed through the three similes is of devotees in harmony with God and the sacred universe. They have a clear, conceptual understanding of Śiva, Śakti, the universe and themselves, and how all of these components are interrelated. These similes indicate that the group's religious experience is primarily of devotees in a sacred universe. The similes suggest how the group's experience of Siva occurs at the least subtle level, as it was suggested to be in the discussion of the pattern of associations developed earlier. They experience Siva in terms of the concepts of the sacred universe and how he is conceptually understood to "be" and to participate in the lives of devotees. They, also, know conceptually that Siva "becomes" all of the universe (18). Thus they know conceptually that Siva in some way inheres in them or is part of them. This latter understanding suggests how they also have a connection to Siva at the most subtle level.

The nature of the girl's experience, it will be shown, can be understood as it is suggestively conveyed through the use of the two terms "parrots" and petai. Both are symbols in the akam genre. The significance of these terms can be understood by interpreting their use in terms of their significance in the classical Tamil

poetic tradition and more specifically in terms of the <a href="mailto:akam">akam</a> genre of that tradition. In order to do that it is necessary to briefly outline the framework of the <a href="mailto:akam">akam</a> genre.

In the <u>akam</u> convention, the background for the experience to be described is divided into five physiographic regions each corresponding to a particular phase of love. <sup>38</sup> The five regions are: 1) <u>kuriñci</u> which is associated with lover's union; 2) <u>mullai</u> which is associated with domesticity and patient waiting; 3) <u>marutam</u> which corresponds to lover's infidelity and sulking scenes; 4) <u>neytal</u> which is related to separation and anxious waiting; and 5) <u>pālai</u> which is associated with elopement, hardships, separation from parents or lovers. <sup>39</sup> Each region has associated with it particular flora, fauna, other objects, people, and usually a time of day and/or a season. <sup>40</sup> The elements associated with each region serve as clues which help identify the phase of love being described in classical poems.

The girl compares the group's words to those of a parrot. In terms of the classical conventions, there seem to be two possible interpretations of that comparison. Firstly, the comparison may be an attempt to indicate the pleasant nature of the girls' speech. There is a reference in the classical poetry in which

the cries of the parrot are said to be melodious like a particular musical instrument called the vayir.41 In addition, in the akam convention the parrot is a symbol for the kurinci region, the corresponding phase of love is lovers' union. In the kuriñci region, the heroine is assigned the duty of keeping the parrots away so that they do not eat the ears on the plants in the fields of that region. That duty allows the heroine the opportunity to engage in a clandestine meeting with her lover. 42 In the akam context, the presence of the parrots suggests that a clandestine meeting is occurring between the heroine and her lover. That is, if the parrots are present then the heroine is not in the field keeping them away. If she is not keeping them away, then she must be elsewhere, that elsewhere being with her lover. The meeting provides the opportunity for the union of the two lovers. With that literary background, it is possible that that context is invoked by the use of the word in the poem. In the context of "Tiruvempavai", the presence of the parrots (the group) symbolically suggests the possibility of union with Siva, or that union occurs. The presence of the group invokes the comparison with parrots who have the opportunity of coming while the clandestine meeting is taking place. According to tradition, the parrots

indicate their arrival by their cries.<sup>43</sup> In "Tiruvempāvai", the group announces their arrival by their singing. The girl may be suggesting the possibility or the actual occurrence of union by comparing the group to parrots.

Another term which contributes to an understanding of the girl's condition through what she says is petai. The term is first used by the group. They compare the girl to those who are indifferent, inactive like petaivar with hard hearts (7). In this case the meaning of pētai is one who is ignorant as a result of being naive. 44 Pētai can also be understood in the context of the akam conventions as a woman of the palai region, 45 in which the corresponding phase of love is that of elopement, hardships, or separation from parents or lovers. The context of application in "Tiruvempavai" by the group must be that the girl is separated from her lover (in this case Siva). Elopement, hardship or separation from parents is inappropriate. Firstly, the festival context of the poem suggests that she is at Secondly, hardships do not seem suitable for the festival context. If the girl is suffering as a result of being separated from her lover (Siva), then the state in verse 1 could be a manifestation of that suffering. The state of the woman in the palai region has been

described as "the separation from the man who[m] she loves and reveres leaves the <u>thalavi</u> emotionally empty and physically incapable of any action, except pining for her thalavan". 46

The interpretation of petai according to the akam conventions contradicts its use in verse 7, but at the same time it is compatible with the emotional state described in first verse. The woman of the palai region suffers precisely because she is not naive and does not have a hard heart. She suffers because she is filled with love and longing. On the other hand, the notion of one who is naive and therefore is unresponsive and indifferent, is incompatible with the state described in the first verse. If the group is invoking the akam convention in addition to using petai to mean naive, it suggests that they do not know whether or not the girl has a hard heart. The use of petai in this phrase of verse 7, then, reiterates the group's uncertainty regarding the girl's condition.

The girl uses petai in the tenth verse, and by doing so redefines the meaning of the word. Her redefinition of the term suggestively indicates the nature of her condition. The occurrence of petai in verse 10 follows the group's injunction for her to sing about Siva in his form as half-man (Siva) and half-woman

(Śakti-8), and the group's plea to Śiva for suitable husbands (9). In the girl's response, pētai is used to designate the female aspect of Śiva in his form as half-man and half-woman. Since pētai was first used by the group to describe the girl (through comparison) its re-use by the girl suggests that if she is like a pētai, it is not a pētai as described by the group. Firstly, the notion of separation (as suggested by the akam convention) is negated. The pētai is united with Śiva. This suggests that the girl's condition is one of union with Śiva. Secondly, Śakti is also the spouse of Śiva (11). Thus the term seems to suggest that her relationship of union parallels that of Śakti's relationship of union with Śiva. The girl is then like a wife to Śiva.

The second way in which the term may be redefined is in the notion that the <u>pētai</u> is a naive one. If <u>pētai</u> does indeed convey the status of the girl's relationship with Siva, her comments about Siva reveal what she knows. She knows that Siva is ineffable. He is not only a concrete form (half-man and half-woman) who can be conceptually grasped, he is much more. In fact he transcends conceptualization. The <u>pētai</u> is not a naive one rather she is one who knows Siva's ineffability.

The girl can be understood to convey something about her own state by utilizing two terms, parrots and pētai, in a suggestive manner. Her comparison of the group to parrots suggests the possibility if not the actuality of union with Siva. In her use of pētai she effectively redefines its two meanings from one who is separated from her lover and one who is naive, to one who is united with her lover and one who knows. Her use of these two terms sheds light on the nature of her relationship to Śiva. Her experience of Śiva is one of union and one in which Śiva is understood as ineffable.

The fact that the members of the group have not experienced union with Siva is suggested by them through their references to bees. In verse 12, the bees are said to buzz around the group's hair. In verse 14, the swarm of bees sways. The bee represents, in the classical poetry, the lover's role in courtship or sexual union. 47 The bees taking pollen from the flowers is a symbol of sexual union. 48 The buzzing suggests that the bees are looking for the right flower. Also the swaying suggests that the bees hover over the moving flowers in the girls' hair. That symbolism suggests Siva, the lover, is hovering waiting for the right moment to unite with the members of the group. That action indicates that this union has not occured.

The contrast between the experience of Siva by the group and that of the girl, as it is conveyed by them through the descriptive and figurative elements in the poem, can now be summarized. The group's experience of Siva is primarily external and effable. The girl's experience of Siva is internal and ineffable. group's experience is primarily directed outwards from themselves towards the cosmos and is conceived in concrete terms. In contrast, the girl's experience is notably not directed outwards towards the cosmos and it is non-concrete (ineffable). That suggests her experience is more internal and more subtle than the group's. In addition, the girl's connection to Siva at the second level of intermediate subtlety can be understood to be a stage in her experience between the subtle experience of ineffability and the group's experience of conceptualizing Siva in relation to the cosmos. This could correspond to the state described in She is no longer at the last half of the first verse. the ineffable stage, as she is overwhelmed by emotion and acts erratically, nor is she at the stage of intentional conceptualization of Siva in relation to the cosmos. 49 Also the girl's most subtle experience is one of union and one which makes her luminous. The group indicates that they have not experienced union and in

addition, they have no association with light. This suggests that they have not had the experience that the girl had. It also explains why they did not recognize her state when they first encountered her.

In general, the analysis of certain descriptive and figurative elements in the poem revealed a sharp distinction between the experience of the group and that of the girl. The members of the group have not experienced union with Siva while the girl has. The members of the group are not associated with light whereas the girl is. The question which must be answered, in order to identify the girl's condition is: What is the significance of the girl's association with light? Chapter 5 will address this question.

## Notes to Chapter Four

- <sup>1</sup>See chapter 3, p. 126-7 for the non-ordinary state, and pp. 113-5 regarding the lack of any explicit indication of the girl's condition.
- $^{2}\mathrm{Zvelebil}$  suggests the following dating for the anthologies from the classical poetic tradition: 1) the earliest anthologies - 1st cent. BC to 2nd cent. AD; 2) the middle anthologies - 2nd cent. AD to 4th cent.; 3) the later anthologies - 3rd cent. to 5th cent.; 4) the latest anthologies - 4th cent. to 6th century. Kamil Zvelebil, The Smile of Murugan: On Tamil Literature of South India (Leiden: E.J. Brill, 1973), pp. 42-3. The classical poetry is divided into two genres, akam and Akam in the context of literature refers to puram. "'inner life', 'private life' and, more specifically, 'all aspects of love', ie. premarital, marital and extramarital love". Puram in reference to literature refers to "'outward life, public life, political life' and more specifically 'heroism, war'." Zvelebil, p. 91.
  "The interpretation of 'akam' as 'soul' 'inner feelings' is secondary and develops later especially in devotional lyrics."\_ Alexander M. Dubianski, "An Analysis of the Mullai-Palai Fragment of Ancient Tamil Poetry," Journal of Tamil Studies, 15 (June 1979), p. 101, n. 13.
- $^3$ According to A.K. Ramanujan: "The classical conceptions of akam and puram do not die. They find vigorous new lives in every major Tamil text until the twelfth century, and even beyond...in the moving and popular hymns of the Saiva and Vaisnava saint-poets, and in Kampan himself. All these texts, all except the Buddhist epic, are widely read, recited and used in temples, festivals, and political platforms." A.K. Ramanujan, selected and trans., Poems of Love and War from the Eight Anthologies and the Ten Long Poems of Classical Tamil (New York: Columbia University Press, 1985), p. 296. He also says in Hymns for the Drowning: Poems for Visnu by Nammalvar (Princeton: University Press, 1981); pp. 157-8, that: "Not only the personae, but the landscapes and the situations of classical Tamil love poetry (and heroic poetry) are enlisted as 'signifiers' for a new 'signification'." As George Hart, III, says in The Poems of Ancient Tamil: Their Milieu and Their Sanskrit Counterparts (Berkley: University of California Press, 1975), p. 195: "...all Tamil poetry, including that of the medieval period, is

- very different from Sanskrit. Tamil writers always followed Tamil conventions and took most of their ideas from earlier Tamil Literature... See also Zvelebil, Smile, p. 198.
- <sup>4</sup>Glenn E. Yocum, <u>Hymns to the Dancing Śiva: A Study of Manikkavācakar's Tiruvācakam</u> (Columbia, Missouri: South Asia Books, 1982), p. 51.
- <sup>5</sup>For more details see the discussion of the simile involving the cloud (pp. 147-8) and the simile involving the rising sun (pp. 149-50) as well as the corresponding notes (28, 32, 33).
- 6<sub>See n. 2.</sub>
- 7P.S. Subrahmanya Sastri, <u>Tolkappiyam: The Earliest Extant Tamil Grammar Text in Tamil and Roman Scripts with a Critical Commentary in English, Porul-Atikaram-Tamil Poetics. Part I Akattinai and Purattinai (Mylapore: The Kuppuswami Sastri Research Institute, 1949), s. 56 and s. 57; Zvelebil, <u>Smile</u>, p. 91; Ramanujan, <u>Poems of Love</u>, p. 235.</u>
- 8"Since shyness and credulity are in the nature of women, the amorous desire in women under <a href="kalavu">kalavu</a> is not clearly expressed, but is to be understood from suggestion and position." <a href="Tolkappiyam">Tolkappiyam</a>, s. 106; <a href="Kalavu">Kalavu</a> is one of the stages in the <a href="akam">akam</a> genre. The topics of the <a href="akam">akam</a> genre are "love", and more generally, "inner life" (see n. 2). "Since it is not expressed except at the critical situation, the lady's love towards the lover is inferred by others through her features, etc.", s. 205. See also G. Sundaramoorthy, <a href="Early Literary Theories in Tamil (In Comparison with Sanskrit Theories">Sanskrit Theories</a>, Foreward M. Varadarajan (Madurai: Sarvodaya Ilakkiya Pannai, 1974), pp. 61, 147.
- <sup>9</sup>In the Sanskrit literary tradition, dress and appearance also reveal the inner feelings. Discussions with Dr. S.S. Janaki, 11 March, 1987. Perhaps this is a general characteristic of Indian literature, which therefore may support interpreting the group's inner state on the basis of their outer state.
- 10 Tolkappiyam, s. 292 and s. 294.
- 11 See Appendix A, verse 12.
- $^{12}$ The colour white in verse ll is the colour of the ashes.

- Thus the association for Siva with the colour is less subtle than if it were the colour of a non-removable feature. The other reference is to the coti linga which is described as puntarikam. Puntarikam can mean a white lotus.
- 13 Atiyom is a reference to devotees. See verse three, note 2. The adjective, cir, can be understood to describe the feet. Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 13 January, 1987.
- 14 <u>Cir</u> has been translated as beauty in the discussions in order to emphasize that the word has been used. It was rendered as "glories" in the translation.
- 15<u>Cir</u> can also mean a good state or condition, or celebrity. <u>Kōlam</u> can also convey gracefulness, handsomeness, or distinguishing marks. Visvanatha Pillai, <u>A Tamil English Dictionary</u>, 9th ed. (Madras: The Madras School Book and Literature Society, 1984).
- 16 Wearing ornaments seems to indicate a positive inner state and not wearing ornaments seems to indicate a negative inner state. See Hart, p. 166; and M. Varadarajan, The Treatment of Nature in Sangam Literature (Ancient Tamil Literature), 2nd ed. (Madras: The South India Saiva Siddhantha Works Publishing Society, Tinnevelly, Ltd., 1969), p. 322-3. See also note 8. For example, Dubianski, in his discussion of the separation theme (mullai and palai- see the discussion on p. 156 of this chapter) indicates that the woman is angry and displeased at being separated from her husband but supresses those feelings. However, she "does not beautify herself". The receipt of a message that her husband is returning "marks the revival of her beauty. She cleans her hair, untwists knots (in [the] plait), beautif[ies] it with flowers, and when the hero comes, the happy family life resumes.... Dubianski, pp. 88-9. In the discussion of this theme, the heroine's negative inner state is reflected in a physical appearance that is uncared for and therefore is not beautiful. When the heroine's inner state becomes more positive and happy her physical beauty becomes manifest once more. Physical beauty reflects a positive inner state.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>See verse 3, note 2.

 $<sup>^{18} \</sup>text{See}$  chapter 5 for an explanation of  $\underline{\text{coti}}$  and a justification for the citations being implied references

- to the coti linga, pp. 171-4.
- <sup>19</sup>Visvanatha Pillai.
- <sup>20</sup>Visvanatha Pillai.
- 21Klaus Ludwig Janert, ed., <u>Miron Winslow Tamil-English Dictionary</u>, (Madras, 1862; rpt. Wiesbaden: Steiner, 1977).
- <sup>22</sup>For an elaboration of this comparison and a justification of the interpretation see the discussion of the simile further on in the chapter, pp. 147-9.
- 23The comparison is made explicit by the phrase: enkal pirattiyum enkonum ponricainta ponku matu. Ponricainta expresses the notion of "resemblance, being like". Ponku matu refers to the pond. Enkal piratti enkonum refers to "Our King and Lady". The grammatical structure makes it clear that the pond is compared to Siva-Sakti. See A.H. Arden, Progressive Grammar of the Tamil Language, Revised by A.C. Clayton, 5th ed. (1942; rpt. Madras: The Christian Literature Society, 1969), p. 181, no. VII and no. VIII.
- <sup>24</sup>Discussion with Dr. Janaki, 19 March, 1987.
- 25<sub>My</sub> attention was drawn to the intensity of the devotion of the group in this particular context by Dr. Janaki. Discussions, 19 March, 1987.
- $26_{\mbox{In}}$  this verse the usual comparison has been reversed. See verse 11, note 5.
- 27 Curakkum is the future relative participle of the verb cura. It means "to spring, stream, flow," or "give abundantly" among other things. Janert. Nammakku includes the person addressed. Here the cloud is addressed and therefore is included in namakku. The cloud also is a recipient of grace. See Appendix A verse 16.
- 28 There are descriptions of rain clouds in the classical poetic tradition that are similar to the description in "Tiruvempāvai". The cloud draws water from the sea. It becomes darker and darker, goes around the sky emitting lightning and thunder. Then it pours down in torrents. Varadarajan, p. 254.
- <sup>29</sup>Xavier S. Thani Nayagam, <u>Landscape</u> and <u>Poetry: A Study</u>

- of Nature in Classical Tamil Poetry (Bombay: Asia Publishing House, 1966), pp. 19-20; Hart, p. 249.
- 30 See Appendix A, verse 16.
- $^{31}$ See chapter 5, p. 171.
- 32The description of dawn is similar to that found in the classical literature. Both the stars and the moon are said to grow pale at dawn. Varadarajan, p. 251.
- 33 This description is similar to a description of the sun in classical literature. Varadarajan, p. 42.
- 34 Burrow, no. 347.
- $^{35}\mathrm{See}$  chapter 3 for the activities appropriate for the festival, p. 113.
- 36 See chapter 5, pp. 185 and the corresponding note.
- 37 <u>Atu</u> governs the following verbs: <u>kutai</u> (11, 12), <u>pukupāyntu</u> (13), <u>pāyntu</u> (13, 15, 17, 18), and <u>pātu</u> (14, 15, 17, and 18).
- 38 Zvelebil, Smile, pp. 91-2.
- 39 Zvelebil, Smile, p. 96.
- 40 Zvelebil, Smile, pp. 94-101.
- 41 Varadarajan, p. 150.
- 42 Varadarajan, pp. 46, 62, 105, 294-5, 298, 356. Varadarajan gives the references to the specific poems in his notes on each of these pages.
- 43 Varadarajan, p. 150.
- $^{44}$ See verse 7, note 6.
- 45 Zvelebil, p. 99.
- 46 Lalitha Sambamoorthy, "The Psychological Symbolism of Paalai in Kutunhthokai", Proc. of The Second International Conference Seminar of Tamil Studies, Jan. 1968 (Madras: The International Association of Tamil Research, 1971), Vol. II), p. 28.
- <sup>47</sup>Hart, p. 262.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup>Hart, p. 166.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup>See chapter 3 for a discussion of the state described in the last half of the first verse (p. 123-4).

## CHAPTER FIVE

## SIVA AS THE COTI, AND THE RESOLUTION

In chapter 4, a consideration of certain descriptive and figurative elements of the poem resulted in the conclusion that the girl's and the group's experiences differed significantly. That difference was in part characterized by the girl's association with Siva through light. The group was not connected with light. In the first part of this chapter, the focus will shift from the girl and the group to Siva in order to show the significance of Siva as light (the coti). In the second part of this chapter the focus will shift back to the girl and the group whereupon the consideration of the significance of Siva as light (the coti), in relation to devotees, will contribute to the resolutions of the dilemmas.

An important conception of Siva in the poem is Siva as the  $\underline{\cot}$ , Effulgence (Great Light). It will be suggested that the girl's condition is a result of an encounter with the  $\underline{\cot}$ . That encounter is symbolically represented by the conferment of the foot of the  $\underline{\cot}$  linga. The encounter accounts for her luminosity and the subtle nature of her experience. It will be

suggested that this experience is a spiritually significant state, as it is a prerequisite for the attainment of moksa. Once the condition of the girl has been identified, her behaviour, instead of being inappropriate, becomes understandable and in turn contributes to an understanding of the nature of her condition. Once the girl's state and behaviour are accounted for, the difficulty concerning the identity of pāvai can be considered. It will be suggested that pāvai is a designation for the girl which concisely represents the girl's state. A world-view will emerge as a result of the investigation into and resolution of the dilemmas.

The discussion will begin with a consideration of Siva as the <u>cōti</u>. That discussion leads to a consideration of how the <u>cōti</u> and devotees may be related, and of how such a relationship is established. A discussion of that relationship leads to a consideration of the foot symbolism and related concepts in "Tiruvempāvai".

The <u>cōti</u> is a recurrent image in "Tiruvempāvai".

The setting of the poem initially invokes the image.

The <u>cōti</u> <u>lin̄qa</u> is the <u>lin̄qa</u> form of Śiva worshipped at Annāmalai. There are several myths associated with the <u>cōti</u> <u>lin̄qa</u>. A summary of the myth that seems to be

compatible with the understanding of the myth presented in the <u>Tiruvācakam</u> is found in the <u>Śiva Purāna</u>. following is a brief summary which will provide a background regarding how the myth can be understood to be used in the poem. Vișnu and Brahmā had an altercation. Siva, out of compassion, appeared as a pillar of fire. At the sight of the column both gods stopped their fighting. Visnu transformed himself into a boar and attempted to dig down to find the bottom of the pillar. Brahmā changed himself into a gander and tried to fly to the top of the column. Both travelled at great speed for many years but they were not able to reach either end of the pillar. They realized that the column was infinite and returned to the place where they had been fighting. There they praised the god of that fire.4

Glenn Yocum notes that this myth is the "most frequently cited myth" in the <u>Tiruvācakam</u>. Some of the references to the myth found in the <u>Tiruvācakam</u> illustrate the compatibility of the conception of the <u>Cōti</u> in the poems with that of the myth cited above. It also indicates how the myth seems to have been understood by Māṇikkavācakar. In the <u>Tiruvācakam</u>, poem 15 stanza 2, it says that the altercation began when Viṣṇu and Brahmā each claimed to be transcendent. The

pillar is described as passing though the three worlds. 6

It is infinite and immeasurable (15:12). Viṣṇu, as a boar, dug down through the seven underworlds but could not find the bottom of the pillar. 7 The bottom that Viṣṇu looked for is referred to as the foot. 8 The top is referred to as the crown. Brahmā, likewise, was unable to find the crown. 9

In "Tiruvempāvai" there are several explicit and implicit references to the  $c\overline{o}ti$ . The group praised the coti Without Beginning or End (1). The girl used to praise the param coti (2). The group praised the param coti (5) and the nature of the coti (14). Implied references to the coti linga also occur in the poem (5, 10, 18, 20). 10 The connection to the coti linga is made through a reference to the mythic account of the origin of the coti linga (5, 10, 20). In verse 5, the group reports that the girl had said that they, and those like them, could know the mountain that Mal (Visnu) and the Four-Faced-One (Brahmā) could not. Here the mountain is the coti linga of which Mal and the Four-Faced-One could not see the bottom or top. The connection to the coti linga in verse 10 is made by reference to the foot, patamalar, which is ineffable and is below the seven nether regions, and to the crown which is the crown to all things. Another reference to the coti linga can be

deciphered from the reference to <u>patamalar</u> which is referred to as the "beginning" in verse 20. <u>Patamalar</u> is only used twice in "Tiruvempavai", once in verse 10 and once in verse 20. This suggests that <u>patamalar</u> refers to the same thing, the foot of the <u>coti linga</u>, in both cases. The white lotus, <u>puntarikam</u>, that was not seen by Mal or the Four-Faced-One is referred to in verse 20. In verse 18, there is the reference to the foot of Siva at Annamalai. It was already indicated above that Siva at Annamalai is the <u>coti linga</u>. 11

There is a connection stated in the poem between the <u>coti</u> and certain people. In verse 5, the girl in the past indicated that they and people like them could know the "Mountain" that Mal and the Four-Faced-One could not. As indicated above that "Mountain" is the <u>coti linga. 12</u> Therefore, devotees like the girl and the group can come to know the <u>coti linga</u>. The question is: How can they come to know Siva as the <u>coti linga</u>?

There are two references in "Tiruvempāvai" to feet that are bestowed. Those two feet are malarppātam, the blossom-foot (2), and cenkamalapporpātam, the red-lotus-golden foot (17). If the bestowed feet are the same as the foot of the cōti linga, then it is possible that humans come to know Śiva as the cōti linga through the bestowal of the foot of the cōti linga. In

order to determine if the foot of the <u>cōti linga</u> can be equated with the graciously bestowed feet, <u>malarppātam</u> and <u>cenkamalapporpātam</u>, it is necessary to consider the symbolism of the feet in the poem.

References to the foot or the feet occur frequently in "Tiruvempāvai" (1, 2, 3, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 3, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20). The words used for the foot or feet are patam (2, 10, 12, 17, 20), ati (3, 9, 17, 18, 20), and  $t\bar{a}l$  (15). Adjectives associated with references to the feet are: malar (blossom-2, 10, 20), pon (golden-12, 17, 20), cenkamalam (red lotus-17), kamalam (lotus-18), cir (holy-9), and inai (two-20). The feet are also referred to indirectly by <a href="kalalkal">kalalkal</a> (anklets-1, 20), kalal (anklet-6, 11, 18), cilampu (anklet-16), centalirkal (red sprouts-20), puntarikam (white lotus-20), and ponmalarkal (golden flowers-20). Adjectives associated with these indirect references to the feet are var (leather-girt-1, 6), pon (golden-16), (flower-20),  $\underline{\text{cem}}$  (20) and  $\underline{\text{van}}$  (beautiful-6). Some of these references (pon, malar, cenkamalam, kamalam and patam) will be suggested to represent a symbolic motif which will indicate that the foot of the coti linga is the same foot as the graciously bestowed feet, malarppātam and cenkamalapporpātam.

The final verse of the poem is comprised almost entirely of references to the feet. This suggests that the concepts related to the feet are summarized in this verse (see pp. 251-2 for that translation). The references to the feet in verse 20 are varied and rich in description. Two of the seven references to the foot or feet occur in exactly the same form elsewhere in the poem. They are patamalar (10) and porpatam (12).  $\underline{\underline{Punkalalkal}}$  appears without the adjective,  $\underline{\underline{pu}}$ , (1) and pu is not used elsewhere in references to anklets. atikal is not used any where else in the poem, although ati is. Centalirkal and puntarikam do not appear elsewhere in the poem. The last reference to the feet in verse 20 is ponmalarkal. This reference, also, is not used in this form anywhere else in the poem. However both pon and malar are used almost exclusively in association with patam. The exceptions are where malar is used in reference to Sakti in the pond analogy (13) and pon is used in reference to Sakti's anklets in the cloud analogy (16). 13 Patam, when used in reference tō Śiva, is always modified by at least pon or malar. 14 The recurrence of patam in "Tiruvempavai", that patam is always modified by pon or malar or both, and the occurrence of ponmalarkal suggest that a series of interrelationships may exist which are identified or

connected through the symbolic sub-motif in the foot symbolism circumscribed by references to <u>pātam</u>, <u>malar</u> and <u>pon</u>. This possibility is also supported by the apparent lack of such a motif described by <u>kalal</u> or <u>ati</u>, and the single occurrences of references to <u>centalirkal</u> and <u>puntarikam</u>. <sup>15</sup> An investigation into the references to <u>pātam</u> does suggest that such a motif may be understood to be in the poem, and it also suggests what the significance of the foot symbolism in "Tiruvempāvai" might be.

There are four distinct references to patam in the poem. They are: malarppātam, blossom-foot (2); pātamalar, foot-blossom (10, 20); porpātam, golden foot (12, 20); and cenkamalapporpātam, red-lotus-golden foot (17). Each of these occurrences of pātam are described explicitly in certain ways. Pātamalar is said to be ineffable and transcendent (10), and to be a "beginning" (20). Malarppātam is described as shying away from the praising of the gods, and as the foot that is graciously bestowed (2). Cenkamalapporpātam also is said to be the foot that is graciously conferred (17). There are two references to porpātam. Porpātam is said to be the foot of he who possesses (12). The notion of possession, then, is related to porpātam by virtue of the fact that

it belongs to one who possesses. <u>Porpātam</u> is then said to be the basis of the appearance of life (20).

However, each of these expressions referring to patam cannot be considered to be different descriptions of the same patam because they all cannot be equated to each other. Malarppātam and cenkamalapporpātam, as the feet that are graciously bestowed, cannot be equated with porpatam which is the basis of life. From the contexts of the use of malarppatam (2) and cenkamalapporpatam (17) it is clear that these feet are graciously bestowed by Siva on the living. In addition, not all of the living receive the bestowed foot, Malarppatam is inaccessible to the gods malarppātam. The bestowed foot, then, cannot be the foot which gives life (porpatam) because all who are living, which includes the gods, have received the benefit of porpatam, while only some of the living receive Thus the bestowal of the foot presupposes malarppātam. the action of porpatam.

Since patam suggests that all designations in which patam appear are related, but as they cannot be equated, there must be some way in which the designation is meaningful. That way is suggested by the phrase, ponmalarkal. As pon and malar for the most part describe patam, ponmalarkal may summarize the

interrelationships of the references to patam. Only in line 7 of verse 20 do the words pon and malar appear together. Ponmalarkal suggests that the two feet are somehow a combination of two of the single feet designated by patam, one modified by pon and one by The combination of two patams yields two feet which provide the plural in the equation. plural, kal, is taken as an abbreviation for the plural of patam then the phrase ponmalarkal can be obtained. 16 There are only two combinations of the patams which can be related in this way. The first combination is patamalar and porpatam, and the second is malarppatam and porpatam. Patamalar and malarppatam taken together do not provide a pon, and their combination yields two malars. Cenkamalapporpatam cannot combine with any of the others because it will always retain its cenkamala. The plural marker, kal, suggests that the resolution of the patams by the equation ponmalarkal into combinations of two feet, indicates that those two feet are not identical symbolically. It was mentioned above that malarppatam cannot be identified with porpatam, as malarppātam presupposes the action of porpātam.

Various descriptive and conceptual evidence suggests that three of the four feet (patamalar, malarppatam and cenkamalapporpatam) are different

descriptions of the same graciously bestowed foot, and that porpātam is a description of a different life-giving foot. It was mentioned above that patamalar, through the mythic association, refers to the foot of the coti linga (10). 17 Malarppatam shies away from the gods, even though the gods praise the foot (2). Therefore, for gods, it is inaccessible and thus unknowable. It is known that the foot that the gods  $honour^{18}$  is the foot of the  $c\overline{o}ti$   $li\overline{n}qa$  (18). From the mythic context and the references to the myth in the  $\underline{\text{Tiruvacakam}}^{19}$  and those in "Tiruvempavai" (5, 20)<sup>20</sup> it is also known that Visnu and Brahmā cannot know the coti linga and thus by extension neither can the other gods. Thus, although the gods can honour the foot of the  $c\overline{o}ti$ linga, they cannot know that foot. Therefore, malarppatam, as the foot which the gods praise but cannot know, is the same foot as the foot of the  $\underline{c\bar{o}ti}$ linga. Cenkamalapporpatam is connected to the foot of the coti linga by the reference to atikkamala (18). The link is made through the use of the word kamala, and that patam and ati are feet. Atikkamala is the foot of the  $c\overline{o}ti$  linga. The first three feet all can be related to a reference outside the patam framework, the foot of the coti linga. Porpatam, however, is not related to

that outside reference, neither through description nor through concepts.  $^{21}$ 

In addition, certain connections within the patam framework suggest that malarppatam, patamalar and cenkamalapporpatam refer to the same patam. Patamalar and malarppatam are linked descriptively by the word, malar. Malarppatam and cenkamalapporpatam are connected conceptually in that both are graciously bestowed. There is, within the patam framework, only an indirect link between cenkamalapporpatam and patamalar. That connection is through their common relation with malarppatam.

The interrelationship between the three patams can be taken one step further, in order to suggest another characteristic of the three patams taken as one. Malar relates malarppatam, patamalar and kuvalaikkarmalar (13). Kuvalaikkarmalar is the dark blue waterlily which refers to Sakti in the pond analogy (13). Cenkamala connects cenkamalapporpatam and cenkamalappaimpotu (13). Cenkamalappaimpotu refers to Siva in the pond analogy. Since all three patams are really the same foot, they can be combined to yield the notion of Siva-Sakti in the pond analogy. Through that association the foot of the coti linga also can be understood to cleanse.

Porpātam is connected to <u>cenkamalapporpātam</u> through the common adjective, <u>pon</u>. Although these two feet cannot be equated, as the action of <u>cenkamalapporpātam</u> presupposes the action of <u>porpātam</u>, <sup>22</sup> it seems that they must share a common characteristic. Since <u>porpātam</u> is attributed with only two descriptions the shared feature must be one of those two characteristics. The common characteristic cannot be that both are the basis of the appearance of life, because that feature of <u>porpātam</u> is the characteristic which precludes the equating of the two <u>porpātams</u>. The shared feature must be <u>porpātam</u>'s capacity to possess. Both feet, then, possess. <sup>23</sup>

There is also a possible conceptual connection between porpātam and pātamalar. Porpātam is said to be the basis of the appearance (torram) of life. Pātamalar is said to be a "beginning" (āti). What pātamalar is the beginning of is not specified. Both feet represent a beginning. However, as pātamalar is clearly linked with malarppātam and cenkamalapporpātam, it seems that pātamalar and porpātam represent different types of beginnings.

A consideration of the motif suggested by <u>ponmalarkal</u> and <u>patam</u> indicates that there are two different feet, one is <u>porpatam</u> which is the basis of life and the other is the foot of the <u>coti</u> <u>linga</u>. <u>Ponmalarkal</u> is said to grace by "taking and ruling", and thereby saving (<u>uyya ātkontarulum</u>). Thus both feet are part of the saving action of Śiva.

In summary, it has been suggested that the process of saving (which occurs by "taking and ruling") is divided into two components through the equation ponmalarkal. The first is symbolized by porpatam. Porpatam, as the foot which represents the basis of life, suggests that life itself is part of the spiritual process. Life is also a form of possession by Siva. The second part of the saving process is represented by the foot of the coti linga. All the attributes and qualities associated with the three references to patam connected to the foot of the coti linga and with atikkamala (from the comparison in verse 18) can be summarized in order to describe the second component of the saving process. This foot is graciously bestowed and it is unattainable by the gods. It also represents a form of possession. On the basis of the reverse comparison discussed in chapter 4, the effect of the gracious bestowal of the foot of the coti linga can be compared to the dawning of the sun. As the sun, when it rises, causes darkness to be removed and other forms of light to pale in comparison and yield, so the gracious bestowal of the foot of the coti linga removes spiritual darkness and causes other forms of spiritual illumination to pale in comparison. It is also the highest form of illumination. <sup>24</sup> Such illumination is an experience of Siva as ineffable (10). The experience of the bestowal of the foot of the <u>coti</u> <u>linga</u> represents a beginning (20), a new stage in spritual life. The encounter with it involves cleansing, the removal of spiritual blemishes. <sup>25</sup>

In "Tiruvempavai" there are other references to the Those contribute to an feet in the plural form. understanding of the significance of the two feet. first plural reference is in the first verse. group indicates that they have been singing praises about the anklets of Matevan (Siva). The notion of the two feet is introduced but not elaborated here. retrospect, it indicates that they have been praising the source of life as well as the bestowal of the foot, and that conceptually at least they know about the two feet. The rest of the plural references are found in the last verse. Verse 20 can be divided into four parts, three have to do with the feet. The first two lines are a request by the group to have the patamalar (the foot of the coti linga) and the centalirkal (the red sprouts) graciously bestowed on them. The red sprouts are defined as "the end". "The end" of what is

not specified. Since the reference here is also to patamalar which is the "beginning", presumably it is the end of what patamalar is the beginning. This indicates that both feet are required to bring about this end. The request for the two feet, then, is really a request for the second foot (they already have the first foot because they are alive), and for the end which both feet help bring about.

The next section of verse 20 is lines 3 to 5 which relate the foot (or feet) to all of life. Porpatam is said to be the beginning of life. Next the anklets (kalalkal) are said to be the enjoyment for all of life. The feet are a source of enjoyment in two ways. Firstly porpatam can be thought of as the source of wordly pleasure. Secondly the gracious bestowal of the second foot brings delight. 26 All of life, in theory, potentially can receive the second foot by virtue of the workings of rebirth. 27 The following line in the verse indicates that both feet (atikal) are the termination of all life. Since Manikkavacakar indicates in the Tiruvacakam, but not explicitly in "Tiruvempavai", that he believes in the cycles of rebirth, this line indicates that both feet enable one to break out of the cycle of rebirth. 28 It is not exactly clear from

"Tiruvempavai" what the state of release from the cycles of rebirth is like.

The third section refers to the <u>ponmalarkal</u> (golden flowers) which save, by "taking and ruling", and the <u>puntarikam</u>, the white lotus which was not seen by Māl and the Four-Faced-One. The references to feet in the plural indicate that both feet bring pleasure in life and that both bring about the spiritual goal, the end of life, however that is conceived to be by Mānikkavācakar.

There are several other references in the poem to a foot in the singular. It is not always clear exactly which foot is intended although sometimes the context suggests a meaning. 29 For example, in verse 18 there are two references to feet. The first is atikkamala which was discussed above as the foot of the coti linga and which is the foot that is bestowed. The second reference is to kalal (anklet). This anklet is said to belong to him who remains while becoming the whole of the universe. This concept suggests that the reference is to the foot which is the basis of life.

The golden flowers (ponmalarkal) are said to grace by "taking and ruling" (atkontu) and simultaneously saving (uyya). The phrase, in various forms, is used several times in "Tiruvemapavai". Its use in these other places contributes to an understanding of the

nature of the feet. Atkol is a combination of al and kol. Al can be understood as a noun, meaning person or slave, or as a verb, meaning to rule, possess or govern. No Kol in the phrase is a verb or a verbal form which can mean a variety of things, but basically it means to take or accept. The term has been translated in each case as to "take and rule" when it is used in reference to Siva. This represents a certain interpretive position. The justification for it will be made after the use of the term has been elaborated.

The combination of <u>al</u> and <u>kol</u> appears in two forms five times in the poem in reference to Siva. <sup>32</sup> The first occurrence of the phrase is in verse five. In this context, the group indicates that they are singing about the characteristics of Siva that remove blemishes by taking and ruling, and gracing (<u>atkontaruli</u>). Those whose blemishes are removed are "us" (<u>nammai</u>). This refers to the group and the person addressed, the girl. Thus, "taking and ruling" removes blemishes and is a form of grace.

The phrase, <u>atkontu</u>, occurs again in the sixth verse. There the anklet (or the wearer of the anklet) is referred to as that which graces, and it belongs to him who is unknown to the gods, those on earth and others. The owner of the anklet comes, gives a kindly

look, and takes and rules. The notions of "coming" and "unknowability" associated with the anklet and Siva in this context suggests that this is a reference to the foot that is bestowed. Here, the "us" is the exclusive form, emmai. Arulum is the future relative participle of the verb, arul (to grace). Arulum modifies the anklet. The future relative participle indicates either that the anklet will grace in the future or that in general the anklet graces. The emmai excludes the girl from those who receive the foot. Perhaps the group is suggesting that because she is not behaving appropriately she is not eligible or will not receive the foot.

The next occurrence of <u>al</u> and <u>kol</u> is in the eleventh verse. There Siva's sport is described as that which graces by "taking and ruling" (<u>ni</u> <u>atkontarulum</u> <u>vilaiyattu</u>). That sport saves others who did certain things and saves the group who do the same things (ll). In verse 12, Siva's sport is described as the "preserving, creating and concealing of us (<u>ellom</u>), the world, and the heavens". The equation of Siva's sport to creation, preservation and destruction of the worlds, and that it takes and rules relates Siva's sport to the foot which is the basis of life. If <u>porpātam</u> is the basis of life, the manifestation, maintenance and

destruction of all must be, figuratively, the activity of that foot. In verse 11, devotees' rituals include bathing themselves and praising the anklet. The anklet here is only qualified by "your" (un) which refers to Siva. The context of sport and that it saves suggests perhaps that they are praising the anklet that is the basis of life and Siva's creation. Alternatively, they could be referring to the foot that is bestowed in Siva's creation.

In verse 15, al and kol are used again. In this context they describe the Knowing One, (vittakar). The Knowing One "takes and rules" (atkollum) in such a way that the one who is "taken and ruled" becomes filled with "madness" for Siva. In this context, "madness" (pittu) is used to describe one so filled with devotion that their behaviour exceeds the bounds of normal everyday behaviour.

The final occurrence of the phrase is the last verse. As indicated above, it is used to describe ponmalarkal, the golden flowers.

Atkol is something that both feet do. It effects the removal of blemishes and causes one to become "mad" with devotion for Siva. The process of atkol itself redeems. "Take" rather than "accept" was used in the rendering of kol because "take" implies a more

intentional action than does "accept". The function of <a href="mailto:porpātam">porpātam</a> (creating, etc.) suggests that the initiative in the spiritual development of the individual is wholly Siva's. He provides life so that the individual may mature spiritually. Also "rule" was selected over "people" or "slave" as a rendering for āl because "ruling" stresses the on-going process of Siva's action.

A discussion of the feet has suggested how the connection between Siva as light and the luminosity of the girl may be understood. Siva, as light, illuminates individuals. That action is symbolically represented by the gracious bestowal of the foot of the coti linga. The motif of the feet divides spiritual development, generally, into two stages: that stage which is prior to the bestowal of the foot and the stage which follows that bestowal. Prior to having received the foot of the coti linga one has not been "illuminated" and after the bestowal one has been "illuminated". Thus the association of light suggests that such an individual has been graced with the foot. On the basis of the girl's association with light, it can be said that she has been graced with the foot of the coti linga.

The nature of the experience of the bestowal of the foot is indicated by the girl and through description. Through the use of the terms,  $p\overline{e}tai$  and "parrots", the

girl suggestively conveys that it is a state of union with Siva. 33 She also indicates that she is in an experience which is ineffable, since in verse 10 she describes the <u>patamalar</u> as being beyond words. This suggests that the state is one of mystical union with Siva. In addition, the stress on the description of the girl at the most subtle level suggests that the experience of the foot is internal. It occurs within her rather than in relation to the world. 34

In terms of the contrast between the group and the girl, it is clear that the group is not experiencing the foot at the time of the poem. Their experience is diametrically opposed to that of the girl. The symbolism of the bees indicates that they are not experiencing union. 35 Secondly, their experience of Siva is effable. They praise Siva continually in a rich and varied fashion throughout the poem. Thirdly the group's experience was found to be primarily external and directed towards Siva in the universe. 36

In addition, the members of the group do not seem to have had the experience of the bestowed foot yet. They are not associated with light. This suggests that they have not been "illuminated". That illumination occurs through the bestowal of the foot. Also, in the final verse of the poem, they request that they be

granted the  $\underline{\text{patamalar}}$  (the foot of the  $\underline{\text{coti linga}}$ ) and the  $\underline{\text{centalirkal}}$  (red sprouts). 38

The contrast in the behaviour of the group and the girl as she is at the time of the poem, and between the girl as she was in the past and at the time of the poem are explainable from the perspective that the girl has received the foot of the  $c\overline{o}ti$   $li\overline{n}qa$  and while the group has not. The explanation is summarized in the girl's designation of herself as a "new" devotee in contrast to them as "old" devotees (3). It has already been indicated in chapter 3 that there is a similarity between how the girl was in the past and how the group is currently, and a contrast between the girl in the past and present.  $^{39}$  It was also suggested in chapter 3 that there was a difficulty in that if the members of the group are "old" devotees and she was like them, then how could she now be a "new" devotee? 40 The foot of the coti linga is said to represent a "beginning". bestowal suggests that the receiving devotee enters a new phase of spiritual development. The foot, on the basis of the reverse analogy, removes darkness and causes other illumination to pale in comparison, and to yield. These are results which the other foot, the one which is the basis of life, does not effect. In this way, the bestowal of the foot brings about a new

condition. The girl, then, is a new devotee in the sense that she has entered a new phase of spiritual development initiated by the conferment of the foot of the <u>coti linga</u>. The difference between how the girl was (an "old" devotee) and how she is at the time of the poem (a "new" devotee) is a result of having experienced the foot.

The contrast between how the girl behaved in the past and how she behaves at the time of the poem also helps to determine when her experience of the foot occurred. The experience must have occurred between the time she promised to go and awaken her friends (the day before-6) and when the group arrived at her house the following day (1). At the time that the girl made the promise, she was an "old" devotee. She was planning to act in accordance with what is expected in the festival context. 41 By the time the group arrived at her house she was a "new" devotee. She was not acting appropriately in their minds. She was not participating in the activites of the festival. Since in chapter 3 it was argued that the girl was in a non-ordinary state when she heard the group's praise of Siva in the street, $^{42}$  it seems that the state that she was in was a state in which she was experiencing the foot of the  $\underline{c\overline{o}ti}$ linga.

The experience of the foot represents a non-ordinary experience or state of mind for two reasons. Firstly the structure of the foot symbolism suggests that such an experience must be non-ordinary as it is outside the normal experiences of life. That is, since Mānikkavācakar believes in the cycles of rebirth and since the bestowal of the foot is a prerequsite for bringing about the end of that cycle, a prerequipate for bringing about the end of that cycle, a prerequipate for the conceivable that one could live many lives before one experienced the foot of the coti linga. The bestowal of the foot, then, occurs within life but not as part of the ordinary flow of life.

Secondly in chapter 3, non-ordinary was used more precisely than above to describe a state in which one was neither asleep, nor half asleep, nor awake and in a frame of mind in which one goes about their daily affairs. 45 It was argued in that chapter that the girl was neither asleep nor half asleep but awake. 46 It was also suggested that she could not be in a state in which one goes about their daily affairs. The experience of the foot of the coti linga appears to be a state in which one must be awake because there is an awareness. The state involves an experience of Siva as ineffable. In order to experience Siva as ineffable one must be in a state which is ineffable. An experience of

ineffability cannot be a state in which one goes about their daily affairs. In order to conduct one's daily business one must deal with describable particulars. Consequently, the state in which one experiences the bestowed foot cannot be ordinary in the sense in which the term has been defined here. Thus, the girl's state just prior to hearing the praising of the group in the street, is possibly the state in which she was experiencing the foot of the <u>coti linga</u>.

In terms of the levels of subtlety discussed in chapter 4, the experience of the foot of the coti linga can be considered to occur at the most subtle level of experience. This is because it is at this level that one experiences luminosity and ineffability. The girl's experience, upon emerging from that state after hearing the praise, occurs at the intermediary level of subtlety. It is the state described in the last half of verse one. In that state she is overwhelmed by emotion and behaving erratically without volition. At this stage she still lacks the concrete experience of the group in which they intentionally act, by joyfully praising Siva in a variety of ways. 47

Now that the girl's state prior to hearing the praise has been identified her behaviour becomes understandable and at the same time serves to convey

something about her experience of the foot of the  $c\overline{o}ti$ The girl was not religiously negligent, rather she was in an important spiritual state which determined her behaviour. Several things can be observed from her behaviour during the state and upon emerging from it. Since she was in that state, she did not go and awaken her friends. 48 It is clear that the experience of the foot takes precedence over the spiritual activities followed in the normal course of a devotee's life. effect continues for the period immediately following the experience. That is evident from the fact that once the group initiated a response from the girl, she did not immediately begin to participate in the activities of the festival. It is not clear, however, from the poem, if the normal activities of devotees will continue to be inappropriate for one who has experienced the It also appears that the state in which the foot is experienced is engrossing, overwhelming and one which effectively suppresses one's self-awareness, so that one does not act under one's own volition, if the individual can act at all. This is suggested from the time that it took the girl to recover her self-awareness. She hears the praise and enters the state described in the first In that state she still appears to lack self-awareness. It is only in the second change, when

she speaks to the group that she has regained her self-awareness. 49 The girl's behaviour at the time of the poem suggests the immediate importance of the experience and its completely involving nature.

The identification of the girl's condition and an understanding of the girl's behaviour that resulted from that condition contributes to a resolution of the dilemma regarding the identity of pāvai. The issue is whether pāvai refers to the girl or to Sakti. Pāvai can mean either: 1) a woman, or 2) a doll-like creature, or 3) an image which represents a female goddess worshipped in the festival context. 50 pāvai as woman is appropriate for both Sakti and the girl. However, several aspects of the poem suggest that the last two meanings of the term cannot apply to Sakti. In contrast, an understanding of the girl's behaviour and condition suggests that those two meanings can apply to her.

The notion of a doll-like creature suggests inactivity, and a lack of volition and self-awareness. In the poem Sakti is described as active. Sakti is said to "separate and foster" (14), and to come and grace (16). In addition, both she and Siva cleanse (13). The nature of her activity implies that she has volition and self-awareness. The girl, on the other hand, after having received the foot, is relatively inactive. When

the group finds her at her house, she is in a state in which she is incapable of undertaking any intentional action. <sup>51</sup> Pāvai, then, would seem to more appropriately suggest the girl if the "doll-like" meaning was used.

The third understanding, that pavai refers to the image worshipped in the festival, also does not readily apply to Sakti as she is presented in "Tiruvempavai". This use of the word is evoked by the cultural associations of the term with the festival and by the other aspects of the festival evident in the poem.  $^{52}$  In the poem, however, the main object of worship is Siva, not Sakti. Siva is extolled or mentioned in every verse of the poem. Sakti is only featured in verse 16 and somewhat less so in verse 13. She is praised along with other objects of praise in verse 14, and in verses 8, 10 and 11 she is only indirectly mentioned. Further, the group makes three requests of Siva (9, 19 and from the context 20). No requests are made to Sakti and she is never addressed. Thus, pavai as referring to the object worshipped, does not really apply to Sakti in "Tiruvempavai". This third definition of pavai indirectly applies to the girl. The notion of pavai as an image who is worshipped or honoured in the festival context evokes the idea of a female goddess. In the context of the worship of Siva, Sakti is the goddess.

evoked. Since Sakti is not the one worshipped in the poem, the term does not apply to her specifically in the context of the poem. However, as the term evokes in general the notion of Sakti, it can be thought of as a metaphor for the girl in which she is compared in some way to Śakti, as Śakti is presented in the poem. What is not clear from the use of the term itself is what is being compared. The poem, however, can be understood to give an indication of the point of comparison. Basically two aspects of Sakti are described in the poem: her activity and her relationship with Siva. Sakti's activity, referred to above, is divine activity. It is activity done to humans not done by humans. Sakti's activity is intentional and therefore involves self-awareness. The girl, however, is more or less inactive and when the group found her she was incapable of intentional action. 53 It seems, then, that the point of comparison cannot be Sakti's activity. Therefore, it must be, within the context of the poem, a comparison to Sakti's relationship with Siva. That relationship is described in several ways in the poem. Sakti is the woman portion of Siva in his form as half-man and half-woman (8, 10). In verse 11, she is referred to as the spouse of Siva and in verse 16 Sakti is said to be inseparable from Siva. The two features of this

relationship which emerge are that Siva and Sakti are united and they are married. Pāvai, used in the context of the festival to refer to a female goddess, then, suggests that the girl, like Sakti, is in union with Siva and figuratively is married to him. That understanding of the term, is reinforced by understanding the girl's condition to be one in which she has experienced the gracious bestowal of the foot. That condition is a state in which she is in union with Siva. 54 In addition, as one who has received the foot of the cōti linga she is a devotee who is worthy of respect and honour.

<u>Pāvai</u>, as a term describing the girl, succinctly summarizes her state as one who has experienced the foot of the <u>cōti linga</u>. The girl's state is one in which her relationship with Siva parallels that of Sakti's and that such a state has the effect that one overcomes one's sense of self, at least temporarily.

"Tiruvempavai" can be understood to present two
types of religious experiences. The first is that of
devotees in the activities of everyday life. This type
of experience is depicted through the group's
experience. The festival serves as the occasion for the
group to show the nature of their religious experience.
Although the festival may not be an everyday event, the

concerns of the festival are the concerns of life in this world, husbands (9, 19) and rain (16). The members of the group delight in their activities. They participate willingly. They praise joyfully. 55 The second type of religious experience that can be understood to be presented in the poem is the experience of the girl. Her experience is of the foot of the  $c\overline{o}ti$ This experience is pivotal in a devotee's life as it is necessary for the attainment of the cessation of the cycles of rebirth, moksa. 56 This second type of experience transcends the first type when it occurs. As the poem has indicated, for the girl the activities which the group were engaged in had lost their significance for at least a period of time. It would seem then, that while everyday concerns are really spiritual concerns, in the overall purpose of life (spiritual progress) they become subordinated to another. experience which furthers one along the spiritual path towards the spiritual goal. The spiritual goal seems to be the state which is brought about through the action of the two feet. What that state is, however, is not elaborated in "Tiruvempavai". Even though the type of religious experience of the group is superceded by the type of religious experience of the girl, it does not mean that the group's type of religious experience is

not a valid and important experience. The girl was like the group. 57 In other words, the type of religious experience which is exemplified by the group leads to the second type of experience, that of the foot of the coti linga. Thus, both types of experience are legitimate and essential for spiritual growth.

This conception of life and God's role in it is succinctly symbolized by Siva's two feet. 58 The first foot, porpātam, is the foot which is the basis of life. It represents by extension the existence of the universe, the purpose of life in the universe and the type of religious experience exemplified by the group. The second foot, the foot of the cōti linga, represents an essential spiritual experience which is necessary for full spiritual growth to occur. It represents the type of experience that the girl in the poem had. Both feet, then, are essential for human fulfillment. Siva provides the opportunity and the means for spiritual growth, and he brings about its completion.

The three dilemmas that can be inferred from
"Tiruvempavai" provoke the reader to search the poem for
their resolution. Such a search stimulates an
investigation into the rich descriptive and figurative
elements used in the poem. An analysis of some of those
elements contributed to a resolution of the dilemmas.

The resolution of the dilemmas and the insights derived from the descriptive and figurative elements in conjunction with the expressed meaning of the poem conveys a positive view of life in which all activities of life are spiritual activities. To devotees allowed to live as devotees, life is a joyous experience which leads to ultimate fulfillment.

## Notes to Chapter Five

- 1See chapter 4, p. 141.
- There are 8 explicit and implied references to the coti in "Tiruvempāvai". See pp. 173-4 of this chapter. The only other motif mentioned as many times is that of Siva as King. Siva is referred to as kon (in various forms 6, 9, 13, 16, 19), as araiyan (7, 15) and as aracai (17).
- <sup>3</sup>Alain Daniélou, <u>Hindu Polytheism</u>, (Bollingen Series LXXIII (New York: Bollingen Foundation, 1964), p. 221.
- <sup>4</sup>Rabindra Kumar Siddhantashastree, <u>Saivism Through the Ages</u> (New Delhi: Munshiram Manoharlal Publishers Pvt. Ltd., 1975), pp. 104-4.
- <sup>5</sup>Glenn E. Yocum, <u>Hymns to the Dancing Siva</u> (Columbia, Missouri: South Asia Books, 1982), p. 142.
- 6<sub>Tiruvācakam</sub>, 28:9, 29:4.
- 7<sub>Tiruvācakam</sub>, 4:1-10, 8:1, 11:1.
- \*\*Tiruvācakam\*, 4:1-10, 8:1, 11:1, 27:5. The following references to the foot of the coti linga in these verses are all in the singular form: 4:10 malarati, 8:1 pańkumalarppātam\*, 11:1 tiruvatiyai and 27:5 atiyum\*). The notion of a coti linga with human qualities (having a foot) is suggested by the myth. In the myth the pillar of light opens, revealing an anthropormorphic image of Siva. For a full description of the myth see chapter 7 in the "Vidyesvara Samhitā", Siva-Purāna, trans. Board of Scholars (Delhi, 1970; rpt. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1977), I, pp. 54-7.
- <sup>9</sup>Tiruvācakam, 27:5 (<u>mutiyum</u>).
- 10 It is not exactly clear whether the <u>param coti</u> (2, and 5) and the <u>coti</u> Without Beginning or End (1) are the same as the <u>coti linga</u>. It could be that the <u>coti linga</u> with a foot and crown (even though the foot and crown transcend the sphere of the physical and conceptual universe 10) are a more concrete representation of the <u>param coti</u>.
- · 11 See chapter 5, p. 171.

- <sup>12</sup>See chapter 5, p. 173.
- $^{13}$ See Appendix B, Chart 2 for the use of <u>malar</u> and Chart 3 for the occurence of <u>pon</u> as adjectives.
- <sup>14</sup>See verses 2, 10, 12, 14, 17 and 20, and the following paragraph. In verse 14, <u>patam</u> is used in reference to Sakti not Siva.
- 15 Kalalkal is modified by var and Matevan (1), and by pu (20). Kalal is modified by vanvar (6), un (11) and ninran (18). In reference to kalal or kalalkal, var is the only recurring adjective and it only connects two references. There is no other linking adjective in the references to kalal or kalalkal. Ati is used four times as a reference to devotees, ativir (3) and ativom (3, 9, 17). Ati is used two other times as a reference just to feet, as atikkamalam (18) and inai atikal (20). As with kalal and kalalkal, the references to ati do not seem to suggest that the references to ati form a sub-motif in the foot symbolism, since there are no linking adjectives.
- 16 Patam is the singular form of a neuter noun. The singular, however, often has been taken semantically as a plural. Discussions with Dr. Radhakrishnan, 19 June, 1987. This study has not investigated the occurrence of the plural and the singular in "Tiruvempavai", nor has it investigated the possibility that metrical constraints might require that the singular rather than the plural be used sometimes. As, both singular and the plural references to the feet occur in the poem, it is being assumed in this study that the use of the singular and plural, where they occur with respect to the feet of Siva, are significant.
- 17See pp. 173-4 of this chapter.
- 18 The word rendered as "honours" is the future relative participle of the verb, <u>iraincu</u>. It means to bow before, or worship (Burrow, no. 516). In the translation it has been rendered as "bowing". In the reference to it here "honours" was used in order to emphasize the aspect of worship which can be associated with the term.
- <sup>19</sup>See n. 6 and n. 7 of this chapter.
- $^{20}$ See the discussion of the implied references to  $\overline{\cot i}$

earlier in the chapter.

- <sup>21</sup>The references to porpatam are the foot of he who possesses (12) and the foot which is the basis of life (20). Porpātam does not seem to be connected to the foot of the coti linga either mythically or through the use or a particular adjective. For a discussion of these two concepts in relation to the other references to patam and possibly indirectly to the other patams see further on in the chapter. The possiblity of an indirect connection of porpatam to the foot of the coti linga is negated in that discussion.
- $^{22}$ See p. 178-9 of this chapter.
- <sup>23</sup>See verse 12, note 8. What is possessed is not specified. From the discussion of  $\overline{al}$  and  $\underline{kol}$  (see pp.187 ff.) it would seem that what is possessed is all of life. See also the reference to possession on p. 183.
- $^{24}$ See pp. 149-50 of chapter 4.
- $25_{\mbox{For a discussion}}$  of the link of three of the references to patam to the pond analogy (13) see p. 181 of this chapter.
- 26<sub>This</sub> statement is made on the basis that ceńkamalapporpātam is said to bring delight that is not experienced by Visnu, Brahmā or the gods (17) and that cenkamalapporpatam is a reference to the foot of the coti linga (see p. 180).
- $^{27}$ Although it is not indicated in "Tiruvempavai" it is indicated in the <u>Tiruvācakam</u> that Manikkavācakar believes in the cycles of rebirth. See 4:11-13. following is quoted from Vanmikanathan's rendering of the first poem in the Tiruvacakam, lines 26-31:

As grass, shrub, worm, tree, as full many a kind of beast, bird, snake,

as stone, man, goblin, demons,

as mighty giants, ascetics, devaas,

in the prevalent world of mobiles and immobiles, Oh noble Lord, I have been born

in every kind of birth, and am wearied!

29 The following are other references that have not been discussed in the chapter. In verse 3 there is a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup>See n. 27.

- reference to ativir and ativom. (See note 2 of that verse). In verses 9 and 17 there are the references to ativom, "We who are at your feet". Tal occurs in verse 15. It is the foot of the Knowing One: This reference is considered in the discussion of all and kol. It is unclear from the context which of the two feet is meant. In verse 16, there is a reference to Sakti's anklets.
- <sup>30</sup>V. Visvanatha Pillai, <u>A Tamil English Dictionary</u>, 9th ed. (Madras: The Madras School Book and Literature Society, 1984).
- 31 Klaus Ludwig Janert, ed., <u>Miron Winslow Tamil-English Dictionary</u>, (Madras, 1862; rpt. Wiesbaden: Steiner, 1977).
- <sup>32</sup>The exception to this occurs in verse 3. See note 3 of that verse in chapter 2.
- 33See chapter 4, pp. 155-61.
- 34 See chapter 4, pp. 140-2; 162.
- <sup>35</sup>See chapter 4, p. 161.
- <sup>36</sup>See chapter 4, pp. 138-9; 155; 162.
- $^{37}$ See chapter 4, p. 141.
- 38 As mentioned earlier in the chapter, the request for both feet, really is a request for the second foot. The group already has the first foot, figuratively speaking, because they are alive. Also, the request is, effectively, a request for the end which both feet bring about (20).
- <sup>39</sup>See chapter 3, pp. 111-6, especially pp. 115-6 for the discussion of the contrasts in behaviour between the group and the girl as she was in the present, and the behaviour of the girl as she was in the past and is in the present.
- <sup>40</sup>See chapter 3, p. 117.
- <sup>41</sup>See chapter 3 for a discussion of the appropriate behaviour for the festival, p. 113.
- <sup>42</sup>See chapter 3, pp. 126-7.
- $^{43}$ See n. 27 of this chapter.

- 44 See this chapter, p. 185.
- <sup>45</sup>See chapter 3, p. 126.
- 46 See chapter 3, pp. 119-20
- 47 See chapter 3 for a discussion of the state described in the last half of verse one (pp. 122-3) and for the group's activity (pp. 111-3).
- 48 See chapter 3, pp. 126-7.
- 49 See chapter 3 for a justification of these statements, pp. 125-6.
- <sup>50</sup>See chapter 3, p. 113; Burrow, no. 4107.
- 51 See chapter 3, pp. 123-4.
- 52 See chapter 3, p. 113.
- 53See chapter 3, pp. 123-4.
- $^{54}$ See chapter 4, pp. 156 ff; and this chapter, pp. 109-1.
- <sup>55</sup>See chapter 3, pp. 111-3; chapter 4, pp. 152-4.
- 56<sub>See</sub> this chapter p. 185.
- <sup>57</sup>See chapter 3, p. 116.
- There appears to be <u>prima</u> <u>facie</u>, a compatibility between the symbolism of the feet in "Tiruvempāvai", as interpreted in this study and the symbolism of the feet in the image of Naṭarāja, the dancer in Citamparam. The right foot of Naṭarāja symbolizes concealment, and the raised left foot the bestowal of grace. Concealment represents the spiritual development that occurs in the general process of life and the left foot represents the spiritual development which ensures the termination of the cycle of life. (See verse 12 note 3). Thus the right foot of Naṭarāja seems to be equivalent to <u>porpātam</u> and his left foot seems to correspond to the foot of the <u>coti</u> <u>linga</u>. However, in order to ascertain whether these equivalences are appropriate it would be necessary to investigate the symbolism of Naṭarāja and the concepts of the five cosmic functions in more detail than has been done in this study.

#### CHAPTER SIX

#### CONCLUSION

"Tiruvempāvai" has been interpreted variously. The poem lends itself to different interpretations. The poem can be interpreted as presenting a dilemma regarding the condition of the girl. She could either be asleep, indifferent or out of her senses as a result of hearing the group's praise. Three interpretations were mentioned, each of which took as a point of departure one of these states. The poem itself does not resolve the dilemma at the expressed level and therefore it is open to interpretations based on each of the possibilities presented regarding the state of the girl. Two other dilemmas also appear in the poem. They are the confusion regarding why the girl behaves as she does and the identity of pāvai.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the possibility that the poem was open to an interpretation in which the dilemmas could be resolved. The study revealed that if the descriptive and figurative elements were utilized the dilemmas could be resolved. In order to understand how those elements could reveal meaning the classical Tamil poetic tradition was invoked as well

as the  $\underline{\text{Tiruvacakam}}$  and the mythic context of the  $\underline{\text{coti}}$  linga.

In the analysis of the descriptive and figurative elements, it was found that a contrast existed between the girl at the time of the poem and the group at this level, as well as at the expressed level. Just as the girl's behaviour at the time of the poem and that of the group's were found to be markedly different, so were their respective experiences found to contrast sharply. The experiences of the girl and the group were distinguished by the girl's association with light and the group's non-association with light. The girl was found to be connected with Siva through that association, but as the group was not associated with light no such connection existed between them and Siva.

An investigation into the significance of Siva as light resulted in a consideration of Siva as the <u>cōti</u>. It was found that Siva as the <u>cōti linga</u> was knowable to human beings through the gracious bestowal of the foot of the <u>cōti linga</u>. The experience of the foot is what makes one luminous.

The girl, then, by virtue of being luminous was suggested to have experienced the foot. It was further suggested that this experience occurred just prior to the group's praising in the street and was the

explanation for the non-ordinary state that she was suggested to be in at that time. Once the girl's condition was identified her behaviour became understandable. Further, an understanding of the girl's condition and behaviour, as well as an understanding of Sakti in "Tiruvempāvai" led to the conclusion that pāvai referred to the girl. The designation of the girl as pāvai summarizes her condition and its effect on her behaviour.

In order to determine that devotees become luminous through the bestowal of the foot of the coti linga, it was necessary to consider the foot symbolism in "Tiruvempavai". It was found that the two feet circumscribe a certain world-view. One foot is the basis of life. As such the foot symbolizes the process of life and the spiritual growth that occurs through life in this world. The second foot, the foot of the coti linga, when bestowed, initiates a new stage of spiritual growth. The experience of that foot is non-ordinary and profound. It is necessary in order for the cycle of rebirth to be broken and the goal of life to be obtained. Both feet symbolize Siva's beneficient action with respect to individuals.

Both stages in spiritual growth can be understood to be represented in the poem. The group represents

devotees in the first stage and the girl represents a devotee who has just begun the second stage. The positive depiction of the group and the girl indicates that life for Siva's devotees is a joyful occasion.

The analysis of "Tiruvempavai" in this study is not exhaustive. This study has not examined the possibility that constraints could be placed on the interpretation of patam as a result of the requirements of metre or as a result of a non-specific use of the plural and singular markers in the poem. There are, also, many other descriptive and figurative elements which could be considered and which could possibly contribute to a further elaboration of the interpretation suggested in this study. Perhaps a fuller understanding of the nature of the experience of the foot of the coti linga could be arrived at through an analysis of the epithets of the girl and descriptions of her not considered here. Further, Siva is richly portrayed in the poem. Only the motif of Siva as the coti has been considered in this study. An investigation of the various ways in which Siva is portrayed in the poem would provide more detail for the world-view suggested in this interpretation of the poem.

In addition, the study of the motif of the feet and the  $\underline{\mathtt{coti}}$   $\underline{\mathtt{linga}}$  offered here could be extended to the

rest of the poems in the <u>Tiruvacakam</u>. Such a study could determine if the motif of the feet and the understanding of the <u>coti</u> <u>linga</u> suggested here was compatible with the uses of those symbols elsewhere in the <u>Tiruvacakam</u>.

If the motif of the feet and the experience of the foot of the <u>coti</u> <u>linga</u> are compatible with the occurrence of the feet and with the experience of the foot of the <u>coti</u> <u>linga</u> in other poems in the <u>Tiruvacakam</u>, it is possible that further insight could be gained into the nature of the experience of the foot. Several questions seem to be left unanswered in "Tiruvempavai". Firstly, it is not clear whether or not the experience of the foot is a one time event or whether it is an event which recurs periodically while the devotee matures. Further, it is not clear exactly what the nature of the goal or end is that the two feet bring about.

"Tiruvempāvai" is a rich and multi-faceted poem.

As was once said in the context of the classical poetic tradition: "a good Tamil poem is like a bottomless river". "Tiruvempāvai" is a good Tamil poem. In spite of the studies done on "Tiruvempāvai", there is still room for more investigation into the poem itself and into its significance in the Tiruvācakam as a whole.

# Notes to Chapter Six

This was said to G. Hart by his Tamil teacher,
Ramasubramanium. George Hart L., III., <u>The Poems of Ancient Tamil: Their Milieu and Their Sanskrit</u>
Counterparts (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1975), p. 185.

#### APPENDIX A

A Discussion of the Use of First Person Pronouns in "Tiruvempavai"

Andronov classifies nam, its base (nam) and its declined forms as a pronoun that includes whoever is addressed. He then classifies yam and nankal, their respective bases (em, and enkal which is nankal in later Classical Tamil) and their declined forms, as pronouns that exclude the person addressed. Arden considers yam and its declined forms to be poetic forms of nam and the corresponding declined forms. He, like Andronov, regards nankal and its declined forms to exclude the person or persons addressed. The issue is: Are yam and nankal and their respective bases and declined forms used as inclusive or exclusive pronouns in "Tiruvempāvai"?

The chart at the end of this discussion lists the plural first person pronominal forms that appear in each verse in "Tiruvempāvai". In certain verses it is clear how the pronominal forms are functioning. From these verses it can be interpreted that Māṇikkavācakar uses

 $\underline{nam}$  (and its forms) and  $\underline{yam}$  (and its forms) as described by Andronov.

The exclusive use of  $y\overline{a}m$  and its declined forms is made clear in verses 1, 4, 9, 11, 19 and in the refrain in each verse. In verse 1, the group states that they  $(y\overline{a}m)$  have been singing. The girl has heard yet why is she sleeping? It is clear that only the group has been singing and not the girl. Yam, in its use here, excludes the speaker. In verse 4, the group uses the exclusive form yam, in "we won't do it". The use is clearly exclusive because they, as a group excluding her, are otherwise engaged and will not count. She, who is not part of their activity, can count. The group refer to themselves in the plural using the form emakku (9, 19), and they also use the exclusive genetive forms, em (19) and enkal (19) in their requests to Siva. would be inappropriate for them to ask for a request from Siva and at the same time ask him to bestow it on himself by addressing him using an inclusive form of "us". Likewise it would be inappropriate to address Siva as "our King" using an inclusive form and thereby imply that he is a King to himself. Again in verse ll they comment that Siva protects them. They use emai to refer to themselves. If they used nammai it would mean that they would be asking Siva to protect himself as

well as them. That would be inappropriate. Finally, in the refrain, the address is to  $\underline{em}$   $\underline{pavay}$ . If the inclusive form was used  $(\underline{nam})$  it would imply that she belonged to herself. That does not make sense.

Verse 2 gives an example of the inclusive use of  $\underline{n\overline{a}m}$ . The group allude to a time when they and the girl inside would speak to each other. They say: "When we  $(\underline{n\overline{a}m})$  spoke night and day...." It is clear that the group means that the girl inside was speaking with them. Thus when they address her in the verse they use  $\underline{n\overline{a}m}$  indicating that she was one of them speaking.

If it is assumed that Māṇikkavācakar uses nām and yām and their declined forms respectively as inclusive and exclusive pronouns consistently throughout the "Tiruvempavai", then these forms can be used to help determine who says what in the poem. The remaining portion of this appendix will give a verse by verse account for the occurrences of those first person plural pronouns not already considered.

Verse 2: yām. If the girl were to say the sentence which includes yām, it would mean that she would be excluding the group. It would be inappropriate for her to exclude them from those who have love for Siva. In verse 1, their love has already been introduced and in

verse 3 she indicates that they have been devotees for a long time. If the group says the statement including the yam it means that they exclude her in their reference. It is clear who the "we" are. They are the members of the group. Furthermore, the statement would be a suitable response to her chastisement of them for their apparently inappropriate joking with her. remind her that they also are devotees and perhaps indicate that they are not being disrespectful in trying to prod her into joining them in the activities of the festival. After all she is seemingly being religiously negligent by not participating in the festival (see chapter 3). It is appropriate that they exclude her as she did not seem to include herself in her chastisement. They as a group have been isolated by the chastisement, so they, as a group excluding her, respond that they are not disrespectful.

Verse 3: <a href="mailto:naicivanai">naicivanai</a>. This is said by the group. It indicates that they regard Siva as hers as well as theirs. In line 6, the exclusive <a href="mailto:emakku">emakku</a> is used. The ellom <a href="mailto:ariyom">ariyom</a> indicates that the group is speaking. Therefore, the group uses <a href="mailto:emakku">emakku</a> to refer themselves and to exclude the girl from that reference. "All that is necessary" means it is necessary for them not the girl.

Verse 5: nam pol arivom. This is a statement made by the girl in the past. This indicates that the girl used to (and maybe still does) think that she and whoever she spoke to and anyone like them could know the coti linga. Nammai is said by the group to the girl. It shows that they think Siva removes their blemishes and hers through his acts of grace, by "taking and ruling" them (nammai atkontarulik kotatum).

Verse 6: Emmai is said by the group to the girl. They exclude her from the description. They are implying or suggesting that she does not receive the "taking and ruling" that includes the "kindly glance". Emakku is also said by the group to the girl. They enjoin her to sing for them if not for herself and for others like them about their King. The intimacy the group mentions between Siva and the girl in verse 3 and the action that she receives along with them seems to be somewhat reversed by the two references here in verse 6. They are perhaps suggesting that she is not worthy of receiving the "kindly glance". Also, they may be suggesting that if she will not sing for herself that at least she should sing of Siva for them and others like them.

The verse ends with an injunction to play Verse 12: The bathing is to include other action: speaking, scooping and praising. The  $n\overline{a}m$  occurs in the context of other action that goes along with the playing. The injunction does not mean that the action has necessarily occurred. The  $n\overline{a}m$  includes the individual addressed but it does not necessarily mean that the person addressed is partaking in the activity. The  $n\overline{a}m$  may just describe what the group and the girl would be doing if they did play and do all the . concurrent action. The context suggests that the group is speaking to her. They have been praising and they indicate that this is how devotees have lived for generations (11). In verse 12, they continue their activity. In light of the situation in verse 13 (see below) it is possible that this verse is addressed to the girl.

Verse 13: This verse helps determine who is addressed in verses 11, 12 and the following verses. The exclusive references are enkal piratti and enkon. The inclusive reference is nañ cankam. There seems to be three possibilities regarding who addresses who in this verse. Either the members of the group address each other or they address the girl; or the girl addresses

the group. There is no difficulty with the inclusive reference if the group is addressing each other. However, if that is the case there is a problem with the exclusive references. Who is being excluded and who is being included? They cannot both all be included, as is indicated by using nam, and yet at the same time be excluded, as is indicated by  $e\hat{n}kal$  and em. The verse cannot be said by the girl to the group because the  $\underline{em}$ and enkal would imply that they do not regard Siva and Sakti intimately as the notion of possession ("our") suggests they do. Clearly they regard Siva intimately and by extension Sakti also. 4 If the group is addressing the girl the difficulty is resolved. If this is the case, they enjoin her to play. The action that is described as occurring concurrently (by the use of cilampa, arppa and ponka) with playing among other things is "our  $(\underline{nam})$  bangles jangle". If she were to play (along with them) her bangles would jangle also. She would be one of them and therefore nam is appropriate. The idea is: "come and play with us, our  $(\underline{\text{nam}})$  bangles will jangle...." The  $\underline{\hat{\text{enkal}}}$  and  $\underline{\text{em}}$ indicate that they consider the King and the Lady as theirs but not hers or that for her the notions are inappropriate at this time. They have noted her apparent indifference and in verse 6, they seem to

suggest that perhaps she is not so intimate with Siva. 5
The distinction here may be a comment on that. This
distinction emphasizes that the vision of the pond
resembling Siva-Sakti is their vision, not hers. It
also emphasizes that there is a difference between the
group and the girl. If the group is addressing the girl
in this verse, it seems likely that they are doing so in
verses 12, 14, 17, and 18 also.

Verse 14: Nammai is included under the injunction to play: "singing to the bangle wearer who...us (nammai)". 6 This may mean those who play and sing receive the action of the Bangle Wearer (Sakti). The girl, as the person addressed, may be a recipient of the action of the Bangle-Wearer whether or not she joins in the playful activity in the pond, or only if she partakes in that action.

Verse 15: The exclusive reference in this verse is em, and the inclusive references are nam peruman and nam pati. The em forms a part of a quote of a past or past statements. It, therefore, does not help determine who is speaking in this verse. The verse can be addressed either: 1) to the girl by the group; or 2) to the girl by a member of the group; or 3) to the group by the girl; or 4) to the group by a member of the group. Nam

peruman is not part of the injunction to play. Therefore it cannot be inclusive through subsequent participation. Nam peruman, then, must be considered to include both the speaker (or speakers) and the person (or persons) addressed. In verse 13, the girl was excluded from the notion of intimacy or concrete association with Siva by the group. This suggests that the verse is not addressed to the girl. The second  $\underline{n}\overline{\underline{a}}\underline{m}$ falls under the injunction to play. It can be inclusive through the play. The only other possibility is that the verse is addressed to the group. The plural vocative supports this notion. The question is then who is addressing them, the girl or another member of the group. The enjoinment to sing, praise and play is what has been said by the group previously. 8 It seems more likely that a member of the group would continue to speak in this way, than that the girl would begin to speak this way. Also if a member of the group says the verse to the group then she includes the group among those who regard Siva intimately in reference to Siva as If the girl were to say the verse, then nam peruman. she would be referring to Siva as "hers". It has been suggested that the notion of Siva as "hers" does not seem to be appropriate for her at the time of the poem. 9 Also, the member of the group, in the injunction, could

be encouraging her friends to play. The group could say the refrain.

Verse 16: The cloud is addressed in this verse. feature by feature concrete descriptions the exclusive pronoun is used. In the context of asking the cloud to pour (rain) like Sakti pours her grace, inclusive pronouns appear (namakku and nantammai). That conveys that the cloud is considered to be "possessed and ruled" by Sakti and that it receives grace. That more abstact inclusion of the cloud as being "possessed and ruled" and the recipient of grace makes sense in the context of Siva creating and preserving the world (12). It is stated that Sakti who "possesses and rules" us (nantammai alutaival) is not separate from the King (16). King is qualified by em, the exclusive form. That suggests that although Sakti "rules and possesses" the cloud and pours grace for it, the notion of the King, and for that matter lady, (em pirātti) is not applicable to the cloud.

Verse 17: The inclusive references are: <a href="nam">nam</a>, and <a href="nam">nantammai</a>. The exclusive reference is <a href="namkal">nankal</a>. An individual is addressed in this poem. That person is described as having blemishes through the inclusive reference <a href="nantammai">nantammai</a> kotatti (removes our blemishes).

The person, therefore, should be human. She cannot be Sakti as was suggested by Pope. 10 Since the girl has already been referred to as having fragrant hair in verse 8, and she is the only one indicated as having fragrant hair in the poem, it suggests that she is the one addressed. She is re-included in the action of Siva in this verse as the use of nam and nantammai indicate. 11 The exclusive reference suggests that somehow the intimacy and (or) the concrete notion (indicated by nankal perumanai) may still be inappropriate for the girl.

Verse 20: From the context of the lines in verse 20 it is clear that the first 7 lines of the verse are addressed to Siva. Line 8 can be addressed to either Siva or to the girl. The use of yam indicates that the person addressed is excluded. If it is Siva, that is appropriate because he does not participate in the bath. If it is addressed to the girl it means that she does not participate in the bath either. If the verse is addressed to the girl, the porri may still be directed towards Siva, or it may be a verbal participle indicating that they will take the bath praising.

## The Occurrence of First Person Pronouns

# in "Tiruvempāvai" `

verse & <u>line</u>	<u>nām</u>	yām	nānkal or yānkal	phrase
			•	
1-L2		<u>yām</u>		we sang
1-L8	•	em		our friend
2-L1	<u>nām</u>			when we spoke
2-L8		<u>yām</u>		weaffection
3-L7	nam			our Siva
3-L8		<u>emakku</u>		is necessary for us
4-L7		yām		we won"t
5-Ll	<u>nām</u>			we can know
5-L5	nammai			ruling us
6-L5	<del></del>	emmai		rules us
6-L7		emakku		sing for us
9-L7		emakku		grant us
11-L8		emai		protect us
12-L1	nām	<u> </u>		we bathe
	<u>Ham</u>		enkal	our Lady
13-L4			envar.	·
13-L4		<u>em</u>		our King
13-L5	nam			our bangles
14-L7	<u>nammai</u>			fosters us
15-L1		<u>em</u>	•	our Lord
15-Ll	nam			our Lord

15-L7	nām			let us sing
16-L2	-	<u>emmai</u>		possesses and rules us
16-L3		<u>em</u>		our Lady
16-L5	<u>nantammai</u>			possesses and rules us
16-L6		<u>em</u>		our King
16-L7	namakku	,		for us
17-L2	nam			if is with us
17-L3	<u>nantammai</u>			our blemishes
17-L4	nam			our homes
17-L7	•		<u>nankal</u>	our Lord
19-L2		<u>em</u>		our fear
19-L3			<u>enkal</u>	our Lord
19-L4		<u>em</u>		our breasts
19-L5		<u>em</u>		our hands
19-L6		<u>em</u>		our eyes
19-L7		<u>emakku</u>		grantto us
19-L8		<u>emakku</u>		whatto us
20-L7		yām		redeem us
20-L8		<u>yām</u>		let us play

### Notes to Appendix A

- 1<sub>M</sub>. Andronov, <u>A Standard Grammar of Modern and Classical Tamil</u>, Foreward S.K. Chatterji and T.P. Meenakshidundaran, USSR Academy of Sciences Institute of Oriental Studies (Madras: New Century Book House Pvt. Ltd., 1969), pp. 100, 103-4.
- <sup>2</sup>A.H. Arden, <u>A Progressive Grammar of the Tamil Language</u>, revised by A.C. Clayton, 5th ed., (1942; rpt. Madras: The Christian Literature Society, 1969), p. 100.
- <sup>3</sup>Arden, p. 96.
- <sup>4</sup>See Chapter 3, n. 2.
- <sup>5</sup>See the discussion of verse 6 in this Appendix. See chapter 3 for a discussion of the group's view of the girl as indifferent.
- $^6\mathrm{See}$  the discussion in note 13 of the Appendix regarding the inclusion of  $\underline{\mathrm{nam}}$  under the injunction to play.
- <sup>7</sup>See note 1 of the notes corresponding with the translation of verse 15.
- $^{8}\mathrm{See}$  the discussion for verses 12 and 13 in this Appendix.
- 9See chapter 3, n. 2 and discussion in notes 3, 6 and 13
   of this Appendix.
- 10 See the textual note 3 for verse 17.
- 11 See the discussion in notes 3, 6 and 13 of this
  Appendix.

### APPENDIX B

## I. Ornamentation

Item	Girl	<u>Siva</u>	Sakti	Group	Misc.
anklets	-	kalalkal 1, 20 kalal 6, 11,18	<u>cilampu</u> 16	<u>cilampu</u> 13	
flowers see next chart	on bed 1,2	2,10,14 17,18, 20	-	<u>kōtai</u> -14	pond-13 12,15, 17,18
bangles	-	-	<u>kuruku</u> 13 <u>valai</u> -14	cankan 13 valai-12	
crown	-	10	_	_ `	gods-18
ornament in : general	nerilai 2	-	_	<u>nērilai</u> 2 <u>kalaņ</u> -14	-
ash	_	11	-	. <b>-</b>	-
colly- rium	· _	-	11	-	-
scent	5,17	-	-	_	-
ear- rings	_	-	-	14	-
adorned breasts	<b>-</b> .	-	-	15	
waist- lets	-	-	-	12	-

II. Flowers

Name	Girl	Siva	Sakti	Group	Pond - <u>Water</u>
malar	-	patam 2,10,20 pon malarkal 20	_	-	paim kuvalai kar 13
potu	on bed	crown-10	_ `	-	<u>cen</u> <u>kamala</u> 13
kamalam	<del></del>	cen kamala por pātam 17 ati kamalam 18	-	- `	cen kamala paim potu 13
pańkayam	_	-		-	13,17
<u>pun</u> tarikam	-	20	_	-	-
pū	-	kalalkal 20	-	-	12,13,15, 17,18
<u>kōtai</u>	_	_		14	-

III. Colours

Colour	<u>Girl</u>	Siva	<u>Śakti.</u>	Group	Misc.
Red <u>ceyya</u> <u>ceň</u>	<u>-</u>	11 <u>cenkamala</u> <u>porpātam</u> 17 <u>centalirkal</u> 20	-	-	- cenkan (Viṣṇu) 17 pond 13
Gold pon	-	porpātam 12,20 cenkamala- porpātam-17 ponmalarkal 20	ponañ cilampu 16	_	<del>-</del>
White	_	puntarikam	_	_	-
<u>ven</u>	smile 3	20°° ashes 11	-	-	conch shells 8
Blackness	hair 17	-	mai colly rium-ll	-	-
Blue- black -	-	-	analogy with		cloud 16
<u>kār</u>			cloud 16	·	pond 13·

IV Qualities

<u>Item</u>	<u>Girl</u>	Siva	<u>Sakti</u>	Group	Misc.
beauty	adorned	kolam-5 cirān-7	-	panku- utaiyir 3	<b>-</b>
		cir atiyom 9		adorned chpt. 3	·
_	-	<u>cīr</u> -15 <u>ankan</u> 18	-	-	-
light	val-eyes  ven smile-3 ol-smile 4	coti 1,2,8,14 5,10,18 20 tecan 2 like a flame-11		- ,	sun, stars 18 gems of gods-18

APPENDIX C

TRANSLATION

TIRUVEMPĀVAI

Ι

Without Beginning or End

the Precious Great Effulgence

we sang about;

Oh Bright Wide-Eyed Maiden,

you heard, and

yet you sleep?

Are your ears hard?

Upon hearing the loud sounds,

the praise of Mātēvan's anklets

with leather girts

in the street:

on the bed filled with flowers
exclaiming overwhelmedly
forgetting herself
directionless, in this way.
tossing and turning

she lay.

Ah! Ah!

Is this, indeed, the state of our friend?

Oh our Lady!

ΙI

You would express [your] love

for the Supreme Effulgence,

whether night or day

whenever we spoke.

Now, you have given your affection

to the bed filled with flowers, itself?

Oh Adorned One!

Oh Adorned Ones!

Shame!

Is it that:

this is the place to playfully reproach?

Are not we ones who have affection

for the Lord in Tillai's sacred hall,

the Lord of Sivaloka,

the possessor of immanent light

who graces

coming to give bestowing

the foot [adorned] with blossoms that withdraws from the

praising of the Gods?

Oh Our Lady!

III

Oh One with the white smile like a pearl!

Coming previously,

appearing in [our] presence,

sweetly, mouth wateringly,

you would say:

"My Father, Blissful One, Ambrosial One".
Come and open your door!

Oh Bhaktas!

Ancient Devotees of the Lord!

Oh Beautiful Ones!

Is it wrong to accept those and forgive the faults of us who are new devotees?

Would you mislead [us]?

Do not we all know your affection?

Should not those with beautiful hearts sing to our Siva?

All of this is necessary for us.
Oh Our Lady!

Oh One smiling like a luminous pearl!

Has it not dawned yet?

Not all those whose speech

is beautiful like a parrot's

have come, have they?

After counting we will tell you the way that it is.

Don't waste your time sleeping!

Singing

to the one Medicine for Heaven,
to the Excellent Sense of the Vedas,
to the Person who is agreeable to the eyes,

heart melting,

mind dissolving,

while dissolving,

we won't do [it].

You come and count,

If it is deficient,

[go back] to sleep.

Oh Our Lady!

V

Deceitful One!

whose honied mouth flows with milk

that speaks, indeed, real falsehoods, saying:

"That Mountain

unknown by Mal

unseen by even the Four-Faced-One

we can know,

Others like us can know."

Open the door!

After singing about the beauty of him who

is unknown by the knowledge

of the world, the heavens and others,

about the characteristics

that remove blemishes

gracing

taking and ruling

us:

even if [we] cry unrestrainedly "Siva" "Siva":

You do not know! You do not know!

See that nature!

Oh Fragrant-locked One!

Oh Our Lady!

VI

Oh Doe!

Yesterday you said:

```
"Tomorrow I will come and awaken you."
    Unashamedly, that [promise] has gone.
       Explain what has happened.
    Has it not dawned?
. Open the door!
       for those who come singing about
          the beautiful banded-anklet
             that graces:
             [of Him who]
                 comes,
                 kindly looks
                  [and]
                  takes and rules
                  us;
             who is:
                  unknown to the knowledge of those
                     in heaven,
                     on earth,
                     and others.
 Your body does not melt.
     Only you can feel this way.
 Sing to our King
     for us
     for others like us.
         Oh Our Lady!
```

Mother!

Could it be that:

You would open your mouth

crying: "Siva",

upon hearing of the emblems of

Him of great beauty

who is

without equal

unknowable to the

conceptualization

of the gods,

You would be like wax before a fire

[upon hearing] "O Southern One";

Listen!

all of us

without exception

individually

have cried:

"My Lord, My King, Sweet Ambrosia!":

and still you sleep?

You lie down

indifferent

inactive

like one who is naive

with a hard heart.

What blissful sleep this is!

Oh Our Lady!

VIII ·

Everywhere

the rooster crows

the birds chirp.

Everywhere

in seven notes music sounds

the white conch shells resound.

We have sung about:

the noble things without compare,

the supreme Grace beyond compare,

the supreme Effulgence without compare.

Did you not hear?

Bless you!

What is this? Is it sleep?

Open your door!

Is this, indeed, the manner

in which you show your affection

to He who is the sea [of grace]?

Sing to Him

who is without equal,

who stands

underlying the cosmic rest,
who is part woman
Oh Our Lady!

IX

Oh Ancient of ancient things!

Oh Newness of new things!

We who have attained you as Lord are at your holy feet.

We bow to the feet of your devotees.

We, there, are companions to them alone.

They, only, will be our husbands.

We will serve

obediently doing what they desiringly say.

If you grant to us thus,

Oh our King,

We will be without want.

Oh Our Lady!

Х

His foot-blossom

surpassing words

lies below the seven netherworlds.

His crown

filled with flowers

alone is the end to all things.

His form

is not only the holy form which is part woman.

He is to his devotees

the one friend

who cannot be contained by the praise of the Vedas, gods, and humans.

Siva is, indeed,

of the faultless lineage.

Oh Young Girls of the temple!

What is his village?

What is his name?

Who are his relatives?

Who are not his relatives?

How can [one] sing about his nature?

Oh Our Lady!

XΙ

Entering the wide tank

swarming with bees,

scooping and scooping with [our] hands,

sounding "muker",

singing about the anklet:

Oh Father, see!

That is how we who have been your devotees for generations

have lived.

O Red One

like a full flame!

O Rich One

smeared with sacred white ash!

O Husband of the woman

with wide blackened eyes

and a small waist!

O Father!

We have been saved

by all of the redemptive ways

of those who are saved

by your sport

that graces

taking and ruling.

Without failing in strength,
You will protect us.

O Our Lady!

Speaking, indeed, words about the sporting,
the preserving, creating and concealing
of us, the world, and the heavens
by the Dancer who dances with fire
there in good Tillai's sacred hall,
of He who is the holy water

about whom we sing
while we bathe
to deteriorate
the suffering of fated birth;

scooping in the pond

resplendent with flowers,

while bees buzz

around [our] pretty hair,

while waistlets

loudly jangle,

while bangles

tinkle;

praising

the golden foot

of He who possesses;

play

in the waters

of the great hill tank!

#### O Our Lady!

XIII

With the flowers of the beautiful

blue water-lily

with the beautiful full buds of the

red lotus,

with flocks of birds

with woven sounds

[and]

because of those

who have arrived

coming to wash off

their blemishes

springing, springing to enter

the swelling pond

resembling our King and Lady

together

our bangles jangle and anklets tinkle

filled with happiness

our breasts rise

fomenting the stirred waters

leaping into the waters

with lotus flowers.

play!

#### O Our Lady!

XIV

While

pretty earrings wave,

beautiful adorning ornaments sway,

flower-adorned locks wave

swarms of bees sway,

moving the cool waters

singing of the sacred hall

singing of the substance of the Vedas,

singing of the essence of

that substance,

singing about the nature of the Effulgence

singing about the wreath of konrai flowers

singing of the nature of the beginning,

singing the way which is the end,

singing of the nature of the foot

of the bangle-wearer, herself,

who separates and

fosters

us,

play!

O Our Lady!

She would speak unceasingly

sometimes

about the glories of our Lord

sometimes

repeatedly crying: "Our Lord".

She,

sometimes

would be on the ground

eyes wet with long streams [of tears];

sometimes

due to the happiness in [her] heart

the water would not stop;

would not show respect to the gods, themselves.

[The] manner is of such a person

who is maddened

in this way

for the great King.

Who is such a person?

Let us,

singing with a full mouth

O Ones whose breasts are adorned

with an embossed band!

of the foot of the Knowing One

who takes and rules

in this way!

leaping into the waters with beautiful flowers, play!

O Our Lady!

XVI

Advancing

compressing the sea

arising

being visible

like she who possesses

appearing

flashing

like the small waist of she

who possesses and rules us;

sounding

like the beautiful gold anklets

on the holy feet of our Lady;

bending a bow

like the holy brows;

like the sweet grace of her

having advanced

that flows first

for the devotees of the King

who is inseparable

from Her who

possesses us,

for us also;
Pour [your rain]
O Cloud!

O Our Lady!

XVII

With the Red-Eyed One,
with the Four-Faced One,
with the gods,
that one delight
is not anywhere;

it is with us
O One with the Fragrant Black Locks!
when [He]

removes our blemishes and rises, graciously,

bestows the red-lotus golden foot
about that guardian who thereby graces,
about the King with beautiful eyes,
about the best Ambrosia for we who are devotees,
about our Lord,

in each of our homes

singing

so that well-being will flourish, springing into the waters

beautiful with lotus flowers;

play!

O Our Lady!

IIIVX

Like the foot-lotus of Him in Annamalai before which

gods go, bowing,

the diamonds on their crowns lose their lustre;

the sun's rays

having come

pervade the space

conceal the darkness and dull the cool light

of the yielding stars.

He remained

becoming female, male and neuter, becoming the sky flourishing with light, becoming the earth,

becoming differentiated [into] so much;
and [in addition]
becoming space-filling Ambrosia;

singing of His anklet,

O Girl!

leaping into the flower-filled waters play!

O Our Lady!

XIX

"The youth is in your hands.

She is [given] in trust to you only."
Because of our fear

which is renewed by that old saying;
we will say one thing to you our Lord.
Listen!

Let not our breasts join the shoulders of those who are not your devotees.

Let not our hands do any service which is not for you.

Let not our eyes see anything else night and day.

If you grant, our King, to us this status, here what does it matter to us

where the sun rises?

O Our Lady!

Praise be to you!

Let us be graced with your foot-flower which is the beginning.

Praise be to you!

Let us be graced with your red sprouts which are the end.

Praise be to you!

[Your] golden foot is the basis to all life.

Praise be to You!

[Your] flowery anklets are happiness to all that lives.

Praise be to You!

[Your] two feet are the end to all life.

Praise be to You!

[Whose] white lotus was not seen, indeed, by Mal or the Four-faced One.

Praise be to You!

[Whose] golden flowers that grace, taking and ruling, redeem us.

Praise be to You!

Let us play in the water [during] Markali.
O Our Lady!

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

### I Primary Sources (Texts and Translations)

- Balasubramaniam, K.M., trans. <u>Tiruvembavai in Tamil by Saint Manikkavachagar</u>. Madras: The South India Saiva Siddhanta Works Publishing Society, Tinnevelly Ltd., 1954.
- Irāmanāta Pillai, P., ed. and commentator. <u>Tiruvācakam</u>.

  Madras: South India Saiva Siddhānta Publishing Works
  Society, Tinnevelly, Ltd., 1976.
- Pope, G.U., trans., introd., notes, prefix. The

  Tiruvacagam or 'Sacred Utterances' of the Tamil Poet,
  Saint, and Sage Manikka-Vacagar. Oxford: Clarendon
  Press, 1900.
- Vanmikanathan, G. Pathway to God Through Tamil
  Literature: I Through the Tiruvaachakam: An Original
  Interpretation and Translation of The Tiruvaachakam.
  New Delhi: The Delhi Tamil Sangam, 1971.

## II Studies on the Tiruvacakam

- Navaratnam, Ratna. A <u>New Approach</u> to <u>Tiruvacagam</u>.

  2nd. ed. Annamalainagar: Annamalai University, 1971.
- ------- <u>Tiruvachakam: The Hindu Testament of Love</u>. Gen. eds. K.M. Munshi and R.R. Diwakar. Bombay: Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, 1963.
- Yocum, Glenn E. <u>Hymns to the Dancing Siva: A Study of Manikkavācakar's Tiruvācakam</u>. Columbia, Missouri: South Asia Books, 1982.

#### III Reference Works

- Andronov, M. A Standard Grammar of Modern and Classical Tamil. Foreward S.K. Chatterji and T.P. Meenakshisundaran. USSR Academy of Sciences Institute of Oriental Studies. Madras: New Century Book House Pvt. Ltd., 1969.
- Apte, Prin. Vaman Shivaram. <u>The Practical Sanskrit-English</u>
  <u>Dictionary</u>. Poona, 1957; rpt. Kyoto: Rinsen Book Co.,
  1978.
- Arden, A.H. <u>A Progressive Grammar of the Tamil Language</u>. Revised by A.C. Clayton. 5th ed. 1942; rpt. Madras: The Christian Literature Society, 1969.
- Burrow, T. and M.B. Emeneau. A <u>Dravidian Etymological</u>
  <u>Dictionary</u>. 2nd ed. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1984.
- Janert, Klaus Ludwig, ed. <u>Miron Winslow Tamil-English</u>
  <u>Dictionary</u>. Madras, 1862: rpt. Wiesbaden: Steiner,
  1977.
- <u>Lifco Tamil-Tamil-English Dictionary</u>. 1966; rpt. Madras: The Little Flower Co., 1968.
- Radhakrishnan, R. <u>A Reference Grammar of Tamil</u>. Sections on Phonology and Word Formations. Manuscript.
- The Random House College Dictionary. Revised Ed. 1975; rpt. New York: Random House, Inc., 1984.
- Visvanatha Pillai, V. <u>A Tamil-English Dictionary</u>. 9th ed. Madras: The Madras School Book and Literature Society, 1984.
  - Whitney, William Dwight. Sanskrit Grammar: Including both the Classical Language, and the Older Dialects of Veda and Brahmana. 2nd ed. 1889; rpt. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press, 1981.

# IV Works on Saivism

- Arunachalam, M. <u>Peeps into Tamil Culture 2: Outlines of Saivism</u>. Tiruchitrambalam Mayuram: Gandhi Vidyalayam, 1978.
- Dhavamony, Mariasusai. Love of God According to Saiva Siddhanta: A Study in the Mysticism and Theology of Saivism. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1971.
- Gaston, Anne-Marie. <u>Siva in Dance, Myth and Iconography</u>.

  Delhi: Oxford University Press, 1982.
- Meenakshisundaram, T.P. "Tiru-p-pavai, Tiruvempavai in South-East Asia". Proc. of The First International Conference Seminar of Tamil Studies. April 1966. Kuala Lumpar: International Association of Tamil Research, 1968.
- Narayana Ayyar, C.V. Origin and Early History of Saivism in South India. Madras University Historical Series, No. 6. Gen. ed. K.A. Nilakanta Sastri. 1939; rpt. Madras: University of Madras, 1974.
- Siddalingaiah, T.B. "Origin and Development of Saiva Siddhanta Upto 14th Century". Madurai: Madurai Kamaraj University, 1979.
- Siddhantashastree, Rabindra Kumar. <u>Śaivism</u> <u>Through the</u>

  <u>Ages</u>. New Delhi: Munshiram Manoharlal Publishers

  Pvt. Ltd., 1975.
- <u>Śiva-Purāna</u>. Trans. Board of Scholars. 1970; rpt. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1977. Vol. 1.
- Sivaraman, K. <u>Śaivism in Philosophical Perspective: A</u>

  <u>Study of of Saiva Siddhānta.</u> Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1973.

# V Works on the Classical Tamil Literary Tradition

Dubianski, Alexander M. "An Analysis of the <u>Mullai-Pālai</u> Fragment of Ancient Tamil Poetry". <u>Journal of Tamil</u> Studies, 15 (June 1979), 88-103.

- Hart, George L. III. <u>The Poems of Ancient Tamil: Their Milieu and Their Sanskrit Counterparts</u>.

  Berkeley: University of California Press, 1975.
- Nadarajah, D. "The Tamil Ideals of Female Beauty" Proc.

  of The Second International Conference Seminar of
  Tamil Studies. Jan. 1968. Madras: The International
  Association of Tamil Research, 1971, Vol. II,
  pp. 34-40.
- Ramanujan, A.K. Hymns for the Drowning: Poems for Visnu by Princeton: University Press, 1981.
- <u>from the Eight Anthologies and the Ten Long Poems of Classical Tamil</u>. New York: Columbia University Press, 1985.
- Sambamoorthy, Lalitha. "The Psychological Symbolism of Paalia in Kutunhthokai" Proc. of The Second International Conference of Tamil Studies. Jan. 1968.

  Madras: The International Association of Tamil Research, 1971, Vol. II, pp. 25-33.
- Subrahmanya Sastri, P.S., Tolkappiyam: The Earliest Extant

  Tamil Grammar Text in Tamil and Roman Scripts with a Critical Commentary in English: Porul-Atikaram-Tamil Poetics. Mylapore: The Kuppuswami Sastri Research Institute, Part I, 1949; Part II, 1952; Part III, 1956.
- Sundaramoorthy, G. <u>Early Literary Theories in Tamil (In Comparison with Sanskrit Theories)</u>. Foreward M. Varadarajan. Madurai: Sarvodaya Ilakkiya Pannai, 1974.
- Thani Nayagam, Kavier S. <u>Landscape</u> <u>and Poetry: A Study of Nature in Classical Tamil Poetry</u>. 2nd ed. Bombay: Asia Publishing House, 1966.
- Varadarajan, M. The Treatment of Nature in Sangam Literature (Ancient Tamil Literature). 2nd ed. Madras: The South India Saiva Siddhanta Works Publishing Society, Tinnevelly, Ltd., 1969.
- Zvelebil, Kamil. The Smile of Murugan: On Tamil Literature of South India. Leiden: E.J. Brill, 1973.
- ----- Tamil Literature. Leiden: E.J. Brill, 1975.

#### VI Other Works

- Coomaraswamy, Ananda K. "The Dance of Shiva", in <u>The Dance</u>
  of <u>Shiva: Fourteen Indian Essays</u>. Revised Ed. New
  Delhi: Sagar Publications, 1976.
- Daniélou, Alain. <u>Hindu Polytheism</u>. Bollingen Series LXXIII. New York: Bollingen Foundation, 1964.
- Nilakanta Sastri, K.A. <u>Development of Religion in South</u>
  <u>India</u>. Bombay: Orient Longmans, 1963.
- Radhakrishnan, R. and N. Rajagopalan. "AaNDal:
  Devotional, Literary and Psychological Aspects."
  Manuscript prepared under Canada Council Research
  Grant No. 741897 held by P.C. Craigie, H.G. Coward
  and R. Radhakrishnan, 1976, 7 pages.
- Literature." <u>Journal of South Asian Literature</u>, XIX, No. 2 (1984), pp. 201-213.
- Raghavan, V. <u>Festivals, Sports and Pastimes of India</u>.

  Ahmedabad: B.J. Institue of Learning and Research, 1979.
- Zimmer, Heinrich. Myth and Symbol in Indian Art and Civilization. Bollingen Series VI. Ed. Joseph Cambell. 1946; rpt. New York: Bollingen Foundation, 1963.

## Personal Discussions

- Janaki, Dr. S.S. Discussions. 9 to 26 March, 1987.
- Letter to writer. 10 June, 1987.
- Radhakrishnan, Dr. R. Discussions. September, 1986 to June, 1987.