A note on Prime *n*-tuples

Daoud Bshouty

Department of Mathematics
Technion, Haifa 32000, Israel

and

Nader H. Bshouty

Department of Computer Science
University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada

Twin primes and prime triples are common names given to special prime numbers related to a famous conjecture of Goldbach. A twin prime is an integer p such that p and p+2 are both prime numbers. The so called "Twin Prime Conjecture" states that there exists infinitely many twin primes. Although believed to be true, it remains an intriguing open question.

Prime triples with respect to two integers $\{r, s\}$ are integers p such that p, p + r and p + s are all primes. The question of how many prime triples exist with respect to a given $\{r, s\}$ depends, very much so, on r and s. Only two prime triples with respect to $\{2, 4\}$ exist, namely, p = 1 and p = 3, whereas, for the case r = 2 and s = 6 it is again a widely open question. In [2, prob. 4, p. 177] a bound on $\pi_3(x)$, the number of all prime triples with respect to $\{2, 6\}$ that are less than x is given. Here too, infinitely many prime triples of these are believed to exist.

Prime *n*-tuples with respect to $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{n-1}\}$ are similarly defined and for an intelligent guess of the r_i 's (*i.e.* where one cannot prove by elementary means that there are finitely many prime *n*-tuples) the conjecture is that there are infinitely many such

primes. Clearly, consecutive prime n-tuples are farther apart as n gets larger. The following theorem gives a partial quantitative measure of that spread. To the best of our knowledge our method is new.

Theorem. Let $Q = \{r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_n\}$ and $P = \{p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_n\}$ be two sets of positive integers such that each p_i is a prime (n+1)-tuple with respect to Q. Then there exist a positive constant c, independent of n, such that

$$(p_n - p_1)(r_n - r_1) \ge cn^4.$$

The proof depends on a divisibility property of the determinant of the $Van\ der$ $Monde\ matrix$ with integer entries. Let d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_n be integers, the Van der Monde matrix $V(d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_n)$ is the $n \times n$ -matrix whose i-th row $(i = 1, \cdots, n)$ is the vector $(d_1^{i-1}, d_2^{i-1}, \cdots, d_n^{i-1})$. A well known theorem states that

$$\det V(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (d_i - d_j).$$
 (1)

The following lemma is problem 270 in [1].

Lemma . let d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n be integers. Then

$$1!2!\cdots(n-1)! = det\ V(1,2,\cdots,n)\ |\ det\ V(d_1,d_2,\cdots,d_n).$$

Proof of Theorem: By an immediate application of the Lemma we have

$$1!2!\cdots(2n-1)! \mid det \ V(r_1,r_2,\cdots r_n,-p_1,-p_2,\cdots,-p_n)$$

and therefore by (1)

1!2!
$$\cdots$$
 (2n - 1)! $|\det V(r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n) \det V(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n) \prod_{i,j=1}^n (r_j + p_i).$

The numbers $p_1+r_1,p_1+r_2,\cdots,p_1+r_n$ are primes, hence by the Prime Number Theorem

$$p_1 + r_j \ge c_1 j \log j$$
 for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$,

for some $c_1 > 0$. Here c_1 and henceforth all $c_k, k = 1, 2, \cdots$ will denote positive constants. Therefore $p_1 + r_j > p_1 + n$, i.e.

$$r_j \ge n$$
 for $j > c_2 \frac{(n+p_1)}{\log(n+p_1)} > c_3 \frac{n}{\log n}$.

Also

$$p_i \ge n$$
 for $i > c_4 \frac{n}{\log n}$

and therefore

$$1!2!\cdots(2n-1)! \mid \det V(r_1,r_2,\cdots,r_n)\det V(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n) \prod_{i,j=1}^{c_5 n/\log n} (r_i+p_j)$$

where the * indicates that the multiplication is to be taken over all couples (i, j) such that $r_i + p_j < 2n$. Hence

$$1!2!\cdots(2n-1)! \leq (r_n-r_1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}(p_n-p_1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}(2n)^{c_5^2n^2/\log^2 n}.$$

Taking the $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ root of both sides, we remian with

$$(r_n - r_1)(p_n - p_1) \ge c_7 \left(\prod_{k=1}^{2n} k!\right)^{\frac{2}{n^2}} n^{-c_6/\log^2 n}.$$

Using Stirling's formula for approximating k! and noting that $n^{-1/\log^2 n}$ converges to one as n tends to infinity, we finally get

$$(r_n - r_1)(p_n - p_1) \ge c_8 n^4$$
.

Far more reaching studies exist in the literature. For example in [3] small divisors of sums of sets, not necessarily of the same size, are studied using advanced tools such as the large sieve. In connection with our theorem, the authors show the existence of arbitrary large sets P and Q such that each $p_i + r_j$ is prime. Moreover, if P and Q are in [1, N]

then $n^2 < c_9 N$ (see section 6) which follows directly from our result with the advantage of being elementary.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. K. Faddaev and I. S. Sominskii, Problems in Higher Algebra, Freeman, San Francisco, 1965.
- [2] W. J. Le Veque, Fundemantals of Number Theory, Addison-Wesley, Philippines, 1977.
- [3] C. Pomerance, A. Sárközy and C. L. Stewart, On Divisors of Sums of Integers, III, Pacific J. Maths, 133 (1988), 363-379.